



Erratum

Erratum In the article “Physiological response of invasive mussel *Limnoperna fortunei* (Dunker, 1857) (Bivalvia: Mytilidae) submitted to transport and experimental conditions”, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.15315>, published in Brazilian Journal of Biology, vol. 77, no. 1, p. 195, in the results of the article:

Where it reads:

The glycogen content after the first week of maintenance of *L. fortunei* specimens under laboratory conditions was significantly higher (0.34 ± 0.79 mg glucose / g tissue) than in depurated and non-depurated field animals (0.15 ± 0.07 mg of glucose / g of tissue) and those that were maintained for four weeks in the laboratory (0.09 ± 0.06 mg glucose / g tissue). Based on our results, there was significant difference among treatments of non-depurated animals (ANOVA: $F_{5,35} = 3.69$, $p = 0.008$) (Figure 2, Table 1). However, the glycogen concentrations among non-depurated animals decreased after the first week, and in the fourth week, reached concentrations at levels similar to those obtained in animals from the field (0.42 ± 0.11 mg glucose/g tissue).

It should be read:

The glycogen content after the first week of maintenance of *L. fortunei* specimens under laboratory conditions was significantly higher (0.79 ± 0.34 mg glucose / g tissue) than in depurated and non-depurated field animals (0.15 ± 0.07 mg of glucose / g of tissue) and those that were maintained for four weeks in the laboratory (0.09 ± 0.06 mg glucose / g tissue). Based on our results, there was significant difference among treatments of non-depurated animals (ANOVA: $F_{5,35} = 3.69$, $p = 0.008$) (Figure 2, Table 1). However, the glycogen concentrations among non-depurated animals decreased after the first week, and in the fourth week, reached concentrations at levels similar to those obtained in animals from the field (0.09 ± 0.06 mg glucose/g tissue).