Abstract
We report the discovery that the earwig predator *Doru luteipes* (Scudder, 1876) (Dermaptera: Forficulidae) feed on *Puccinia polysora* Underw uredospore, the causal agent of Southern Rust of Corn (SRC), which is a primary disease affecting the maize crop in Brazil. We performed experiments in laboratory and greenhouse to test the effect of *D. luteipes* (1st/2nd and 3rd/4th instars, and adults) fungivory on the *P. polysora* uredospore concentration. All trials showed a significant reduction of the initial concentration of uredospore. There was a reduction in uredospore concentration with increase in number of *D. luteipes* feeding on them. We also tested the uredospore consumption by quantifying its percentage in the feces of *D. luteipes*. Nymphs of the 2nd/4th instar and adults fed 88%, 85%, and 83.8% of the uredospore, respectively. For nymphs of the 3rd instar, the percentage of uredospore consumption (75.6%) was statistically significant compared with the other groups. In greenhouse experiment, at twenty-eight days after plant inoculation with 9.9 x 10^6 underw uredospores, the percentage of uredospore consumption was 81.7%. Our results confirmed the fungivory of *D. luteipes* on *P. polysora* uredospore. This is the first report of *D. luteipes* fungivory, which may play an important role in the biological control of *P. polysora* in corn.
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1. Introduction

The earwig *Doru luteipes* (Scudder, 1876) (Dermaptera: Forficulidae) is the most common insect predator found in cornfields in Brazil (Cruz, 1995; Cruz and Oliveira, 1997). The nymph stage of earwig lasts approximately 40 days, and the adults can live up to one year (Reis et al., 1988). The longevity of *D. luteipes* protects the maize plants against almost all insect pests during the crop season (Cruz, 1995). *Doru luteipes* insect is a voracious predator and can eat up to 21 first instar larvae of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) per...
Day (Reis et al., 1988). *Doru luteipes* is attracted by volatile organic compounds produced by maize plants attacked by *S. frugiperda* and *Diatraea saccharalis* (Fabricius, 1794) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) (Naranjo-Guevara et al., 2017). The ability to feed on corn pollen enables *D. luteipes* to survive under the low availability of prey. This situation strongly evidences the relationship between this predatory species and the maize plants (Marucci et al., 2019).

Among fungal diseases, the southern rust of corn (SRC) caused by *Puccinia polysora* (Underw) is widely distributed throughout the corn-growing areas of Brazil and can cause up to 65% yield loss (Costa et al., 2010; Dudinhas et al., 2013; Moratelli et al., 2015; Amorim et al., 2016; Juliatti et al., 2016). Under favorable environmental conditions, the fungus produces teliospores and uredospores, which are the primary and secondary sources of inoculum of the disease (Cesala and Ferreira, 2002). Nevertheless, the high genetic variability of the pathogen and the breakdown of plant resistance by *P. polysora* impose the necessity for searching alternative approaches to reduce the disease incidence in cornfields (Waqul et al., 2002; Godoy et al., 2003).

Fungivory is an essential characteristic of many insects that remains relatively under-explored for biological control (Lawrence, 1989; Lundgren, 2009; Schickmann et al., 2012; Yamashita et al., 2015). For instance, the orders Coleoptera and Diptera have the highest number of known fungivorous species (Hanski, 1989; Komonen, 2003; Amat-García et al., 2004; Yamashita et al., 2015) followed by the orders Lepidoptera and Hymenoptera (Jonsell et al., 2001) and (Dermoptera (Chen et al., 2014; Paula et al., 2016).

In the family Coccinellidae, many species have been described as facultative fungivorous (tribes Coccinellini and Tytthaspidini), while in the cosmopolitan tribe Halyziini all members are specialized in feeding on powdery mildew fungi of Erysiphales (Sutherland and Parrella, 2009). Another study, Mondy and Corio-Costet (2004), reported that larvae of the European grapevine moth, *Lobesia botrana* (Denis & Schiffermüller, 1775) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) fed with the phytopathogenic fungus *Botrytis cinerea* Persoon:Fries (Sclerotiniaceae) showed a high survival rate, increased fecundity, and accelerated the larval development. Altogether, the interspecific relationship between fungi, insects, and plants suggests that the fungivory attribute of some insects may be useful for biological control of fungal pathogens. Thus, this approach associated with sustainable integrated management strategies may reduce the use of fungicides for controlling fungal diseases in plants (Mondy and Corio-Costet, 2004; Cividanes et al., 2007; Tabata et al., 2011).

Given the possibility of fungivory by *D. luteipes*, we point out the following questions: i) Does *D. luteipes* feed on *P. polysora* uredospores?; ii) What is the consumption of uredospores by nymphs and adults of *D. luteipes*? iii) Does exist a correlation between the increase in density of *D. luteipes* and the increase of uredospores consumption? In the present study, we tested the fungivory hypothesis by *D. luteipes* feeding on *P. polysora* uredospores.

2. Materials and Methods

The mycophagy behavior of *D. luteipes* feeding on *P. polysora* uredospores was tested in laboratory and greenhouse experiments. The experiments were carried out at the Entomology and Phytopathology laboratories and in the greenhouse at the Embrapa Milho e Sorgo, Sete Lagoas, Minas Gerais State. All material used in the experiments was washed with a solution of 0.1% Tween 20 in 200 mL water (v/v), and the maize leaves were disinfected with 70% ethyl alcohol. Five days old adult insects were after the last nymph ecdisys.

**Production and quantification of uredospores of *P. polysora***

A monopustular isolate of *P. polysora* obtained from the Multifunctional and Phytopathogenic Microorganism Collection (CMMF) of the Embrapa Milho e Sorgo was multiplied in a greenhouse. The uredospore production was achieved by inoculating plants of the susceptible maize hybrid BRS1010 on the 15th day after plant emergency (DAE) in the concentration of 1 X 10⁶ uredospores/mL, calibrated using a Neubauer Chamber (Figure 1A). The analysis of the number of spores in each experiment’s treatment was done by washing the used materials: leaves, moisten cotton, Petri dishes, *D. luteipes* and plastic containers, using Tween 20 at 0.1% until it reaches 200 mL. The spore concentration was obtained by counting in a Neubauer chamber.

**Insect rearing.** The rearing of *D. luteipes* was performed at the Insects and Management Ecotoxicology Laboratory of Embrapa Milho e Sorgo according to the methodology proposed by Souza et al. (2019), that uses groups about 50 insects per cage and diet made of cat food (35%), wheat bran (27%), beer yeast (23%), powdered milk (14%), nipagine (5%) and ascorbic acid (5%). The insects were multiplied and maintained at a temperature of 25°C ±2 with 70% relative humidity, and 12 hours photophase, in the greenhouse at the Embrapa Milho e Sorgo, Sete Lagoas, Minas Gerais State. All material used in the experiments was washed with a solution of 0.1% Tween 20 in 200 mL water (v/v), and the maize leaves were disinfected with 70% ethyl alcohol. Five days old adult insects were after the last nymph ecdisys.

**Doru luteipes feeding the *P. polysora* uredospores in the laboratory.** The experiment to test the *D. luteipes* feeding on *P. polysora* uredospores consisted of two treatments,

---

**Figure 1.** Greenhouse and laboratory experiments for testing *Doru luteipes* feeding on *Puccinia polysora* uredospores. Panel (A) and (C) depicts the general aspects of a maize plant infected with *P. polysora*, and (B) show *D. luteipes* feeding *P. polysora* uredospores in Petri dishes.
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each with ten replicates and negative control (absence of *D. luteipes*) and ten with one adult individual of *D. luteipes* per Petri dish. Before the tests, the insects were fasted for 24 h to starve them. Pieces of 5 x 5 cm of the third and fourth leaves above the first internode of maize plants with *P. polysora* pustules were placed in twenty 10 cm diameter Petri dishes (2 cm deep) (Figure 1B). A piece of cotton moistened with sterile distilled water was put into each Petri dish to provide water for *D. luteipes*. Afterward, the dishes were kept at 25°C ± 2 for 48 h, followed by the quantification of consumed spore. The quantification of the consumed uredospores was performed by comparing the number of spores on the leaves of the controls and the leaves submitted to feeding by *D. luteipes*.

*Dorus luteipes feeding the *P. polysora* uredospores in the greenhouse*. The maize hybrid BR5101, susceptible to *P. polysora*, was planted in forty 20 liters plant pots containing a soil and sand (1:1) mixture and then kept in the greenhouse. The plants were thinned to two plants per pot, and 15 days later, after sprouting, they were inoculated with a *P. polysora* uredospore suspension of 1x10^6 uredospores/mL. At three days after inoculation, the pots were separated in two treatments: 20 pots received two adult individuals of *D. luteipes*, and 20 were kept without insects (negative control). Afterward, all pots were covered with insect nets to prevent insects from escaping or entering the pots (Figure 1C). At 30 days, the aerial parts of the plants were collected in plastic bags containing 200 mL of 0.1% Tween-20 (v/v) and vigorously agitated. The uredospores concentration was determined in the laboratory.

Quantification of the *P. polysora* uredospores consumption by *D. luteipes* in the laboratory. *Puccinia polysora* uredospores collected from maize plants grown in the greenhouse were stored in 1.5 mL capacity Eppendorf tubes and stored at 4-8°C. The number of uredospores per gram was standardized by weighing and counting in a Neubauer chamber. The value obtained showed that 0.024g of uredospores corresponded to approximately 8.1 x 10^6 uredospores/mL. Sixty 50 mL plastic boxes containing 0.024g of uredospores each, and a piece of water-moistened cotton were separated in three treatments. Twenty boxes containing uredospores without insect were used as negative controls: 20 boxes with one individual of *D. luteipes* in the 1st or 2nd instar, and 20 boxes with one *D. luteipes* in the 3rd or 4th instar. The assessment was done using groups of 1st or 2nd instar and, 3rd or 4th instar because some insects went by ecdisis during the period. After five days, the boxes were washed to count the remaining uredospores and to estimate the uredospores consumption by *D. luteipes*.

Effect of *D. luteipes* density on *P. polysora* uredospores concentration. Sixty 10-cm diameter Petri dishes, each containing a piece of water-moistened cotton and a 5 x 5 cm leaf fragment with *P. polysora* pustules, were separated into three treatments: 20 Petri dishes without insects used as negative controls, 20 plates with two, and 20 with four adults of *D. luteipes*. Afterward, the treatments were kept at 25°C ± 2 for 48h. Before the test, the insects were starved for 24 h. The spore quantification assay was performed as follows: Petri dishes with their respective treatments were washed with 200 mL of 0.1% Tween-20, and the uredospores were counted in a Neubauer chamber.

Effect of the *D. luteipes* feeding time on spor concentration of *P. polysora*. Forty-two 20 liters vases with a 1:1 mixture of soil and sand were used to plant BR5101 hybrids in the greenhouse. After the emergency period, two small plants were kept in each vase, and the inoculation was made at the 15th DAE with the suspension of 1x10^4 *P. polysora* uredospore/mL. Three days after the inoculation (18 DAI), the vases were separated into two treatments: 21 vases had two adult *D. luteipes* individuals, and 21 vases were kept without insects as a negative control. After release, all 42 vases were covered with a cage to avoid the movement of insects between vases and external interference. Three severity evaluations were made using note scale (AGROCERES, 1996), followed by the collection of the plants at the 23, 28, and 33 DAE, using seven vases per treatment in each evaluation. For each evaluation, the aerial part of 14 plants was collected and put into plastic bags containing 200 mL of Tween 20 at 0.1%. The bags were shaken, and the concentration of uredospore quantified using a Neubauer chamber.

Quantification of the uredospores of *P. polysora* in feces of *D. luteipes*. In this experiment, forty nymphs from each instar and adults (males and females) were placed in separate boxes of 50 mL capacity, containing moistened cotton, and fasted for 48 hours. After this period, the insects were transferred to containers (500 mL) containing moistened cotton and *P. polysora* uredospores in paper forms (3 cm) sealed with cotton voile. For *ad libitum*, the insects were feeding for 24 hours. Then, the remaining uredospores not consumed were collected and quantified. The feces of each set of ten individuals (nymphs of each instar and adults) were collected to verify the presence of uredospores, resulting in four replicates. The feces were weighed, macerated with the aid of a glass stick, and transferred to a test tube containing 9 mL of Tween 80 surfactant solution, stirred for three minutes to break up the uredospores mass. The uredospores present in the feces were quantified by counting in the Neubauer chamber to determine the percentage of consumption concerning the total initially offered to the insects. The germination capacity of the uredospores after passing through the digestive tract of the earwigs was evaluated by plating 1 mL of the uredospores suspension recovered from the feces, in agar-agar medium and kept for 12 hours in a growth chamber. After this period, the uredospores were evaluated under an optical microscope.

Statistical analysis. The data were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the means compared by the Tukey test at 5% of probability. When necessary, the data were transformed into the square root of Y + 1.0 - SQRT (Y + 1.0) to attend the requirements of the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Correlation analysis was performed to assess the effect of the number of insects on the consumption of supplied uredospores. The statistical analysis, data transformation, and graphics were performed by using the statistical analysis software SISVAR®-Version 5.3 (Ferreira, 2011).
3. Results

In the experiments in the laboratory to test the *D. luteipes* feeding on *P. polysora* uredospores, the lower concentration of uredospores (3.81 x 10⁴ uredospores/mL) was observed in the treatment with *D. luteipes*, which differed statistically (Fc: 6.636; GL: 19; P-value: 0.027; CV%: 11.56) from the controls (5.32 x 10⁴ uredospores/mL) (Figure 2A).

In the experiment under greenhouse conditions, the uredospores concentration of 3.95 x 10⁴ uredospores/mL observed in the treatment with *D. luteipes* differed statistically (Fc: 6.896; GL: 38; P-value: 0.0124; CV%: 11.43) from the control (4.96 x 10⁴ uredospores/mL) (Figure 2B).

In the laboratory experiment for quantifying uredospores consumption by *D. luteipes*, the treatments with 1st/2nd and 3rd/4th instars showed the lowest uredospore averages. The insects consumed approximately 31% and 35% of the uredospores, respectively. The result was statistically different from the controls, but not from each other (Fc: 13.427; GL: 59; P-value: 0.0000; CV%: 6.54) (Figure 2C).

In the greenhouse experiment to evaluate the effect of the feeding period of *D. luteipes* over the concentration of *P. polysora* uredospores, there was a significant interaction between the evaluation periods and treatments on the uredospore concentration (Fc: 3.73; GL: 36; P-value: 0.034; CV%: 39.82) (Table 1). The regression analysis with the number of earwigs and uredospores (Figure 3) corroborated the other results of this study. It confirmed that the number of *D. luteipes* individuals was strictly connected with reducing the number of uredospores of *P. polysora*.

We found that both nymphs (2nd, 3rd, and 4th instars) and adults of *D. luteipes* consumed the *P. polysora* uredospores by counting the uredospores in the feces (Table 2). Nymphs of 2nd and 4th instars showed a significantly higher percentage of consumption compared to 3rd instar nymphs (Fc: 11.195; GL: 11; P-value: 0.0072; CV%: 3.76). For first-instar nymphs, it was impossible to count the number of uredospores due to the small number of feces produced.

The uredospores recovered from the feces of nymphs and adults did not germinate in a culture medium containing water-agar (Table 2). Most of the uredospores had their cells wall broken when they passed through the digestive tract of *D. luteipes*, which explains the absence of germination.

4. Discussion

The results of all experiments demonstrated the consumption of *P. polysora* uredospores by *D. luteipes*. These results confirmed our previous empirical observations on *D. luteipes* feeding on *P. polysora* uredospores in the greenhouse.

Several studies have indicated that the fungal sporulation rate in the host plant is a parameter for evaluating the plant resistance to diseases (Parlevliet, 1979; Delmas et al., 2016). In analogy to this concept, although *D. luteipes* did not directly reduce the rate of pathogen sporulation, it controlled the number of uredospores on the leaf lesions. This result suggests that *D. luteipes* may reduce the inoculum potential and disease epidemic in corn crops.

Tabata et al. (2011) also reported insect fungivory with greater emphasis on ladybugs predating powdery mildews. Fungi represent a nutrient-rich diet with many nutritional attributes as high amino acid content, vitamins, and minerals necessary for optimizing the entomophagous life-history traits (Martin, 1979; Mondy and Corio-Costet, 2004; Douglas, 2015). Studies by Sutherland and Parrella (2009) on insect fungivory concluded that this is the primary component for the natural control of fungal diseases in plants. In ladybugs *Psylllobora vigintimaculata* (Say, 1824) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), both the food specificity and aggregation in response to the population density of the fungus infecting a plant are desirable characteristics for biological control of powdery mildews. A positive effect of the fungivorous insect *Psylllobora bisoctonotata* (Mulsant, 1850) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) feeding on powdery mildews was also documented in Sudan (Satti, 2013). In
Table 1. Effect of *Doru luteipes* adults feeding on *Puccinia polysora* uredospores at different times in a greenhouse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Evaluation dates</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 DAE</td>
<td>28 DAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Control</td>
<td>15.7 ± 1.9 aA</td>
<td>18.3 ± 2.7 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Doru luteipes</em></td>
<td>18.4 ± 1.9 aA</td>
<td>9.9 ± 2.1 bB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>17.0 ± 1.3 A</td>
<td>14.1 ± 2.0 AB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Averages (± standard error) followed by the same capital letters in the column and lowercase in the row do not differ by the Tukey test (P < 0.05). Inoculum concentration (1x10^4 uredospores/mL); DAE = days after emergence.

Figure 3. *In vitro* effect on *Puccinia polysora* uredospores concentration determined by the number of *Doru luteipes* individuals feeding on it.

Brazil, *Psylllobora rufosignata* (Mulsant, 1851) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) feeding on uredospores from vine rust (*Phakopsora euwits* Diet. & Syd) was reported by Culik et al. (2011).

The presence of *D. luteipes* feeding preys and pollen have been documented throughout the year in all Brazilian maize-growing areas (Reis et al., 1988; Marucci et al., 2019), which reinforces its omnivorous behavior. Considering the high uredospore consumption rate and the absence of germination of uredospores recovered from the feces. We assume that *D. luteipes* feeding on *P. polysora* uredospores is a feature to be explored to reduce the inoculum source of southern rust disease in the field. Thus, field studies are necessary to determine the potential of *D. luteipes* for reducing the damages to maize crops caused by *P. polysora*. According to Pinho et al. (1999), the maize grain yield losses can reach up to 40% in susceptible genotypes during environmental conditions favorable for the southern rust disease development. In the greenhouse, a direct connection existed between the increased number of *D. luteipes* and the reduction of *P. polysora* uredospores. However, integrated management practices using *D. luteipes* for controlling SRC need to prevent the harmful effects of insecticides on the predator population. Thus, it is crucial to develop strategies to facilitate the *D. luteipes* fungivory and the use of selective insecticides affecting only the target pest populations. In order to test the effects of different insecticides on *D. luteipes*, Campos et al. (2011) found that the insecticides used for controlling the insect pest *S. frugiperda* (Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) showed low toxicity against the non-target *D. luteipes*.

To date, the transmission of *P. polysora* by insects was reported by Turner (1974) and involved *Aphis dorsata* (Fabr., 1793) (Hymenoptera: Apidae) after visiting corn plants with a high incidence of rust on leaves and stems. We reported the capacity of *D. luteipes* to reduce uredospores of *P. polysora* in *vitro* and greenhouse. We also verified that there was no germination of spores recovered from the feces of *D. luteipes*. In another study, Chen et al. (2014) reported that the passage of spores from the fungus *Lysurus mokusin* (Linnaeus) Fries through the gut of *Anisolabididae* significantly enhanced the germination rate of spores. The authors concluded that dispersal via feces plays a vital role in the dispersal of *L. mokusin* spores.

*Doru luteipes* feeding on *P. polysora* uredospores reduces the number of fungal structures and cause rupture in the cell wall. However, some uredospores showed no disruption of the cell wall. Studies are necessary to prove the occurrence of spore dispersal of *P. polysora* in the field. Chen et al. (2014) reported for *A. maritima*, there was an increase in spore germination in feces.

Table 2. Average number (± standard error) of *Puccinia polysora* uredospores in the diet and feces and percentage of consumption (± standard error) of uredospores by *Doru luteipes*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Uredospores/mL diet</th>
<th>Uredospores/mL feces</th>
<th>% consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd instar</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1x10^4</td>
<td>0.4 ±0.5x10^4</td>
<td>88.6±1.80 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd instar</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1x10^4</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.5x10^4</td>
<td>75.6±0.96 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th instar</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.4x10^4</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.8x10^4</td>
<td>88.5±2.19 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.4x10^4</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.9 x10^4</td>
<td>83.8± 1.08 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive control</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Averages followed by the same letters do not differ by the Tukey test (P < 0.05).
Insects can benefit from feeding fungal structures without dispersing spores (Souza et al., 2019). Also, a mutualistic relationship may occur in which the association benefits both the insect and fungus. Considering that both relationships are relevant for biological pest control, these aspects need to be investigated in the case of D. luteipes and *P. polysora*. The results of our work demonstrated the potential use of *D. luteipes* as a biological agent for controlling the Southern rust of corn, which may consequently reduce the number of fungicides applied in cornfields. Besides, *D. luteipes* has been maintained in the laboratory for many generations, allowing its large-scale production to supply the needs of biological control programs.

We described for the first time the *D. luteipes* fungivory reducing the *P. polysora* uredospore-density. This attribute of *D. luteipes* may help develop disease management strategies for reducing the SRC disease incidence in the field.
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