Brief communication

Nosocomial infections caused by *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica*: an emergent pathogen

Graziella H. Pereira[a,*], *Doroti de Oliveira Garcia*[b], *Cely Saad Abboud*[a],
Vera Lucia de Barros Barbosa[a], *Paulo Sérgio Lucas da Silva*[c]

[a] *Department of Infectious Diseases and Infection Control, Dante Pazzanese Institute, São Paulo, SP, Brazil*
[b] *Center of Bacteriology, Adolfo Lutz Institute, São Paulo, SP, Brazil*
[c] *Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Brigadeiro, São Paulo, SP, Brazil*

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

We hereby describe the clinical and epidemiological features and, outcomes of nine patients with *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* infections in two hospitals over a 2-year period. All infections caused by this pathogen were nosocomial, or healthcare associated infections, in hemodialysis settings whereas none was correlated with hospital outbreaks.

© 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

We hereby describe the clinical and epidemiological features and, outcomes of nine patients with *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* infections in two hospitals over a 2-year period. All infections caused by this pathogen were nosocomial, or healthcare associated infections, in hemodialysis settings whereas none was associated with hospital outbreaks.

An increase of uncommon Gram-negative bacilli has occurred in the last decade in the nosocomial environment. A previous study in Taiwan reported an increased incidence of bacteremia caused by *E. meningoseptica* between 1999 and 2006, with an incidence rate ranging from 7.5 to 35.6 per 100.000 admissions.[1] *E. meningoseptica* is resistant to multiple antibiotics and has been previously described as a pathogen of neonatal meningitis and sepsis,[2] as well as a cause of infection among immunocompromised patients.[3] It causes significant morbidity and mortality rates may be as high as 50%.[2]

Infections caused by *E. meningoseptica* have rarely been identified, but in the last two years we found that several patients were diagnosed with nosocomial infections caused by this agent. The purpose of this study was to describe the clinical and epidemiological features and the outcomes of nosocomial infections caused by *E. meningoseptica* isolated at two hospitals involving different specialties.

We conducted a retrospective chart review of patients with nosocomial infections caused by *E. meningoseptica* (CDC),[4] from August 2010 to April 2012. Patients diagnosed with *E. meningoseptica* infections were initially identified from computer databases of the hospital infection committees of the Dante Pazzanese Institute and the Hospital Brigadeiro (São Paulo, Brazil). These two centers are tertiary teaching hospitals containing a total of 356-beds (73 ICU beds) and 150-beds (18 ICU beds), respectively. The former provides care for

clinical and surgery cardiac conditions, and the latter for transplant and hematological diseases. The Institutional Ethics Committee approved the study and waived the need for informed consent.

For every patient information was obtained concerning age, weight, gender, hospital course of treatment, antibiotic therapy within 30 days of the *E. meningoseptica* isolation, time elapsed from hospital admission to the *E. meningoseptica* infection, length of hospitalization, length of intensive care unit stay (LOS ICU), use of invasive procedures such as peripheral or central catheters, urinary catheter and mechanical ventilation within 30 days of the *E. meningoseptica* isolates, surgical procedures, antibiotic therapy following infection, time elapsed from the *E. meningoseptica* infection to death and presence of infection before, during and after isolating *E. meningoseptica*.

The isolates had been previously identified at the microbiology laboratory of each hospital using the Vitek® system (bioMérieux, France). Four *E. meningoseptica* isolates obtained from blood cultures were sent to the Adolfo Lutz Institute, a public health reference laboratory, to confirm the identification and to perform susceptibility tests. Classical phenotypic methods and API 20 NE System (Biomerieux, Mercy l'Etoile, France) were used for bacterial identification. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by E-test strips (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) for the following antimicrobial drugs: quinolones (ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, minocycline, and vancomycin. These tests were performed according to the recommendations of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.[5] Breakpoints for *Staphylococcus aureus* were used to interpret the MIC for vancomycin.

Nine patients were identified, six from the Dante Pazzanese Institute and three from the Brigadeiro Hospital. The clinical characteristics of each patient are presented in Table 1.

In our case series nosocomial infections by *E. meningoseptica* were rare in both hospitals. However, in the last two years this pathogen has been identified in the two centers. This finding indicates that an increased number of patients have been infected with this bacterium. In addition to previous reports of infections by *E. meningoseptica* in neonates and immunocompromised patients, studies have also described nosocomial infections and colonization by *E. meningoseptica*.[6,7] While some authors have reported an increase in bacteremia[1,8] due to *E. meningoseptica*, other investigators have described this pathogen only during outbreaks.[3,9–11] The higher incidence of *E. meningoseptica* during the study period of two years was not associated with a hospital outbreak.

At a medical center in Taiwan the majority of patients with a diagnosis of bacteremia had primary bacteremia, predominantly acquired in the ICU, but only 6% of the cases were related to catheterization.[1] In our study, most of the patients with bloodstream infections had a central line in place. However, it is difficult to conclude that a catheter was the cause of primary bacteremia. *E. meningoseptica* is a biofilm forming organism, which encourages persistent growth of bacteria in catheters. Therapeutic response to bacterial contamination of a patient's catheter is difficult and frequently involves removal of the catheter.[12] In an ICU setting, central venous catheters are often changed if primary bacteremia is detected, but implantable catheters can remain in place.[13] The two patients in our study with a Port-a-Cath® were successfully treated with antibiotic therapy.

Pneumonia outbreaks related to mechanical ventilation caused by *E. meningoseptica* have been described in acute care facilities. Weaver et al. concluded that caution should be used in patients treated with prolonged mechanical ventilation or transferred to acute care hospitals with this infection as such patients could serve as an important source of transmission of this multidrug resistant nonfermenting Gram-negative bacteria are waterborne pathogens bacterium.[9] Colonization or infection could contaminate water faucets in intensive care units and cause other environmental contamination.[10]

Chromosomal metallo-β-lactamase was shown to be produced by *E. meningoseptica* (GOB-18 and BlaB genes), which can hydrolyze most beta-lactam antibiotics and limit their usefulness as a therapeutic option.[10] *E. meningoseptica* is naturally resistant to most β-lactams, including carbapenems,[14] and, paradoxically, is sensitive to antibiotics that are effective against Gram-positive bacteria, such as vancomycin, quinolones, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, tigecyclin, and rifampin.[15] Our four isolates were susceptible to quinolones, minocycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Based on the MICs of vancomycin one isolate was considered susceptible, three isolates intermediate, and none resistant.

There is no optimal regimen for the treatment of *E. meningoseptica*. The relationship between *in vitro* tests and clinical response could be determining in this question. There is no consensus on standardized susceptibility breakpoints to this pathogen. In some cases, clinical response has been satisfactory, even in the presence of high minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs).[2] Therefore, the relationship between susceptibility *in vitro* and the clinical response to treatment remains to be established. One study reported that, although all isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin *in vitro*, three patients did not respond to ciprofloxacin therapy given for 6 or 7 days. After changing the treatment to vancomycin plus rifampin, all three patients survived.[11] The majority of our patients were treated with vancomycin or vancomycin combined with rifampin or ciprofloxacin. Further studies are necessary to determine whether treatment with vancomycin alone or in combination with ciprofloxacin, rifampin, or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole is more effective in bloodstream infections and pneumonia related to mechanical ventilation.

A majority of the patients in the study had a previous infection before the diagnosis of *E. meningoseptica* infection, and half of them had new infections from different bacteria after treatment. This fact may have worsened their clinical conditions and may have been an important factor in the mortality rates in the study.

The risk factors associated with mortality in patients with *E. meningoseptica*, as described in the study, were hypoalbuminemia, increased pulse rate at the onset of infection, the presence of a central venous line infection,[13,16] shock and inappropriate use of antibiotics.[8]

Mortality is variable among studies, ranging from 23%[1] to 52%.[12] In our study, death occurred in 33% of patients, predominantly in patients with pneumonia.

Table 1 – Clinical and outcome description of *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* infections.

Patient n°/Hospital	Age (years), gender	Underlying diseases	Diagnosis of infection diseases	Length of hospitalization (days)	Total length of ICU/ICU stay before infection (days)	Time between admission and infection (days)	Invasive device 30 days before infection
1/DPI	1.4; M	CHD, PH, malnutrition	Pn-MV	87	75/24	36	EI/MV, CVC
2/DPI	0.4; F	CHD, DS, congenital megacolon, malnutrition	BSI	84	10/9	22	EI/MV, CVC
3/DPI	0.4; F	CHD, PH, DS	Pn-MV	111	41/21	30	EI/MV, CVC
4/DPI	68; M	Severe mitral and aortic valves insufficiency	BSI	66	20/14	60	CVC
5/DPI	64; M	Endocarditis in treatment, AH and diabetes	BSI	35	No	11	PVC
6/DPI	81; F	CRF, diabetes, AH	BSI	NA	No	NA	CVC (Shilley)
7/BH	74; M	CLL, COP, diabetes, pneumonia	BSI	81	No	58	Port-a-Cath
8/BH	3; F	Liver failure (cause not determined)	Pn/VM	76	76/45	45	EI/MV, CVC
9/BH	60, F	NHL and ASCT	BSI	14	No	9	Port-a-Cath

Patient n°/Hospital	Surgery or procedure and length time before infection (days)	Previous exposure to antibiotics 30 days before	Susceptibility tests MICs (μg/mL)	Therapy, time of administration (days)	Associated infections before E. meningoseptica infection	Outcome after infection E. meningoseptica and length between infection and death
1/DPI	Cardiac catheterization, 23	CFT, VAN, IMP	Not performed	TEC (16) and TSM (18)	Pneumonia *Stenotrophomonas* and chickenpox	Pneumonia for *Proteus* and *Pseudomonas*, died after 51 days
2/DPI	Cardiac surgery, 9	CFU, VAN, IMP	Not performed	VAN (14)	Empiric treatment to pneumonia 8 days before isolated *E. meningoseptica*	Transferred to other hospital to correction of the megacolon
3/DPI	Cardiac surgery, 10	CFT, IMP, MER, VAN, AMP	Not performed	VAN (21)	Pneumonia with negative cultures	BSI for *Acinetobacter iwofii*, and *pneumonia*
4/DPI	Cardiac surgery, 57; pericardial drainage for 2 times	CFU, GEN, POL	Not performed	VAN and RIF (2)	BSI for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenem-resistant	Urinary infection for *Proteus* and wound infection of surgery for *Providencia* ESBL positive; died after 7 days
5/DPI	NA	CFT	VAN = 4 LEV = 0.094 CIP = 0.025 TSM = 0.19 MIN = 0.25	No treated	Presented two bacteremia and phlebitis for *Klebsiella* and *Elizabethkingia* with interval of 2 days	Good evolution, without specific treatment (only removal of peripheral catheter)
6/DPI	Hemodialysis	No	VAN = 8 LEV = 0.25 CIP = 0.19 TSM = 0.125 MIN = 0.25	VAN, CIP (21)	No	Removal of catheter
7/BH	Chemotherapy, 17 and neutropenia, 10	CPE, LEV, Cl, Mt	VAN = 8 LEV = 0.094 CIP = 0.25 TSM = 0.064 MIN = 0.094	VAN (10)	Empiric treatment to pneumonia	Cure and discharge after treatment
8/BH	Liver transplant, 69; pulsotherapy and tacrolimus	VAN, MER, LIN, TSM, GAN; PTZ, POL	Not performed	Not performed	BSI related catheter for *S. aureus*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Klebsiella* and *E. coli*	Died after 5 days.

Table 1 (Continued)

Patient n°/Hospital	Surgery or procedure and length time before infection (days)	Previous exposure to antibiotics 30 days before	Susceptibility tests MICs (μg/mL)	Therapy, time of administration (days)	Associated infections before *E. meningoseptica* infection	Outcome after infection *E. meningoseptica* and length between infection and death
9/BH	Chemotherapy and neutropenia	No	VAN = 12 LEV = 0.19 CIP = 0.25 TSM = 1.0 MIN = 0.19	VAN (10)	None	Cure and discharge after treatment

AMP, ampicillin B; AH, arterial hypertension; ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; BSI, bloodstream infection; BH, Brigadeiro Hospital; CPE, cefepime; CFT, ceftriaxone; CFU, cefuroxime; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CVC, central venous catheter; Cl, clarithromycin CHD, congenital heart diseases; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRF, chronic renal failure; DPI, Dante Pazzanese Institute; DS, Down syndrome; EI, endotracheal intubation; GAN, ganciclovir; GEN, gentamicin; IMP, imipenem; LEV, levofloxacin; LIN, linezolid; NHL, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; MV, mechanical ventilation; Mt, metronidazole; MIN, minocycline; PVC, peripheral venous catheter; PTZ, piperacillin-tazabactam; Pn-VM, pneumonia related to mechanical ventilation; POL, polymyxin; PH, pulmonary hypertension; RIF, rifampin; TSM, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; TEC, teicoplanin; VAN, vancomycin.

In conclusion, the prevalence of nosocomial infection by *E. meningoseptica* has increased, predominantly in patients with severe underlying diseases, prolonged hospitalization, treatment with invasive procedures, prior use of broad-spectrum antimicrobials and concomitant infections. These factors have impacted survival rates. Further studies are required to establish the most effective therapeutic approach.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

R E F E R E N C E S

1. Hsu MS, Liao CH, Huang YT, et al. Clinical features, antimicrobial susceptibilities, and outcomes of *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* (*Chryseobacterium meningosepticum*) bacteremia at a medical center in Taiwan, 1999–2006. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2011;30:1271–8.
2. Dipentima MC, Mason EO, Kaplan SL. In vitro antibiotic synergy against *Flavobacterium meningosepticum*: implications for therapeutic options. Clin Infect Dis. 1998;26:1169–76.
3. Bloch KC, Nadarajah R, Jacobs R. *Chryseobacterium meningosepticum*: an emerging pathogen among immunocompromised adults. Report of 6 cases and literature review. Medicine (Baltimore). 1997;76:30–41.
4. Garner JS, Jarvis WR, Emori TG, Horan TC, Hughes JM. CDC definitions for nosocomial infections, 1988. Am J Infect Control. 1988;16:128–40.
5. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 21th informational supplement CLSI document M100-S21. Wayne, PA, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2011.
6. Maraki S, Scoulica E, Manoura A, Papageorgiou N, Giannakopoulou C, Galanakis E. A *Chryseobacterium meningosepticum* colonization outbreak in a neonatal intensive care unit. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2009;28: 1415–9.
7. Ceyhan M, Yildirim I, Tekeli A, et al. A *Chryseobacterium meningosepticum* outbreak observed in 3 clusters involving both neonatal and non-neonatal pediatric patients. Am J Infect Control. 2008;36:453–7.
8. Lin YT, Chiu CH, Chan YJ, et al. Clinical and microbiological analysis of *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* bacteremia in adult patients in Taiwan. Scand J Infect Dis. 2009;41:628–34.
9. Weaver KN, Jones RC, Albright R, et al. Acute emergence of *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* infection among mechanically ventilated patients in a long-term acute care facility. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2010;31:54–8.
10. Wang JL, Chen ML, Lin YE, Chang SC, Chen YC. Association between contaminated faucets and colonization or infection by nonfermenting gram-negative bacteria in intensive care units in Taiwan. J Clin Microbiol. 2009;47:3226–30.
11. Güngör S, Özen M, Akinci A, Durmaz RA. *Chryseobacterium miningosepticum* outbreak in a neonatal ward. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2003;8:613–7.
12. Lin PY, Chen HL, Huang CT, Su LH, Chiu CH. Biofilm production, use of intravascular indwelling catheters and inappropriate antimicrobial therapy as predictors of fatality in *Chryseobacterium meningosepticum* bacteraemia. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;36:436–40.
13. Raad I, Hanna H, Maki D. Intravascular catheter-related infections: advances in diagnosis, prevention, and management. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7:645–57.
14. Bellais S, Aubert D, Naas T, Nordmann P. Molecular and biochemical heterogeneity of class B carbapenem-hydrolyzing β-lactamases in *Chryseobacterium meningosepticum*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2000;44:1878–86.
15. Lin YT, Chan YJ, Chiu CH, et al. Tigecycline and colistin susceptibility of *Chryseobacterium meningosepticum* isolated from blood in Taiwan. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2009;34: 100–1.
16. Hung PP, Lin YH, Lin CF, Liu MF, Shi ZY. *Chryseobacterium meningosepticum* infection: antibiotic susceptibility and risk factors for mortality. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2008;41:137–44.