

Molluscicidal activity of *Nerium indicum* bark

Sushma Singh
and D.K. Singh

Department of Zoology, DDU University of Gorakhpur,
Gorakhpur, UP, India

Abstract

The molluscicidal activity of *Nerium indicum* bark against *Lymnaea acuminata* snails was studied. The toxicity of different bark preparations was both time and dose dependent. The 24-h LC₅₀ of the lyophilized aqueous extract of bark was 34.5 mg/l whereas that of lyophilized boiled water extract was 42.5 mg/l. Low concentrations of vacuum-dried ethanolic extract (24-h LC₅₀: 4.9 mg/l) and purified bark (24-h LC₅₀: 0.87 mg/l) were effective in killing the test snails.

Key words

- *Nerium indicum*
- Plant molluscicide
- *Lymnaea acuminata*

Correspondence

D.K. Singh
Department of Zoology
DDU University of Gorakhpur
Gorakhpur 273 009, UP
India

Research supported by Uttar
Pradesh Council of Science
and Technology, UP
(No. CST/SERC/ZOOL/1/96).

Received March 12, 1997
Accepted March 17, 1998

The snail *Lymnaea acuminata* is the intermediate host of the flukes *Fasciola hepatica* and *F. gigantica* which cause endemic fascioliasis in the northern part of India (1,2). One of the major preventive steps against fascioliasis is the control of the vector snail population. Synthetic and natural molluscicides have played a significant role in restricting the population of the snail *Lymnaea acuminata* (2,3). Bioactive products of plant origin have become the focus of attention because they are less expensive and less hazardous to the environment than their synthetic counterparts. Singh et al. (4) have reported that the latex of *Nerium indicum* is highly effective in killing the snail *L. acuminata*. In the present study bark of the plant *Nerium indicum* Miller (Apocynaceae) was tested against *L. acuminata* to explore the full potential use of different parts of this plant as a molluscicide.

Nerium indicum bark was collected from the departmental garden from July to September 1996 and identified by Prof. S.K. Singh (taxonomist), Botany Department, University of Gorakhpur, where voucher (No. 1706) is on deposit. Different preparations

were obtained from *Nerium indicum* bark for the toxicity study.

Lyophilized aqueous bark extract. Small pieces of 5 g dried bark were crushed with a mortar and pestle and extracted with 100 ml water. These extracts were centrifuged at 1000 g and the supernatant was lyophilized. A total of 180 mg dry powder was obtained, which was used for the toxicity experiment.

Lyophilized boiled bark extract. The supernatant of the aqueous bark extract was prepared as described above and boiled for 5 min. The boiled water was allowed to cool and lyophilized. The 167 mg dry powder so obtained was tested for molluscicidal activity.

Ethanolic bark extract. Small pieces of dried bark were pulverized in a grinder. Ten grams powder was extracted with 250 ml 95% ethanol at room temperature overnight. Ethanol was evaporated under vacuum and the remaining 1.3 g dried parts were used for the determination of molluscicidal activity.

Purified bark. Forty milliliters of ethanolic (95%) bark extract was subjected to Silica gel (60-120 mesh, Qualigens Glass, Precious Electrochemindus Private Limited, Bombay,

India) column chromatography (5 x 45 cm). Five-milliliter fractions eluted with ethyl alcohol (95%) were collected. Ethyl alcohol was evaporated under vacuum and the remaining parts were used for the determination of molluscicidal activity.

Test animals, *Lymnaea acuminata* (2.25 ± 0.2 cm long), were collected locally and allowed to acclimate for 72 h. Toxicity experiments were performed by the method of Singh and Agarwal (5). Ten animals were kept in a glass aquarium containing 3 liters of dechlorinated tap water. Snails were exposed to different doses of different preparations and fractions obtained by column chromatography of *Nerium* bark to observe the toxicity for 24, 48, 72 and 96 h. The weight of the lyophilized aqueous bark extract, vacuum-dried ethanolic extract and the purified components obtained from the column

was taken as the final strength per liter of aquarium water. Control animals were exposed to an equal volume of dechlorinated water. The toxicity of these preparations was also tested against *Colisa fasciatus* fish.

Mortality was recorded every 24 h up to 96 h and dead animals were removed immediately so that other test animals were prevented from being contaminated. Lethal concentration (LC₅₀) values, upper and lower confidence limits and slope values were calculated according to the method of Russell et al. (6). The product moment correlation coefficient was determined between exposure time and different LC₅₀ values (7).

Table 1 shows that the toxicity of different preparations of *Nerium indicum* bark against *Lymnaea acuminata* was time and dose dependent. The 24-h LC₅₀ of the lyophilized aqueous extract and the dried pow-

Table 1 - Toxicity of *Nerium indicum* bark against the snail *Lymnaea acuminata*.

Batches of 10 snails were exposed to different extracts of *Nerium indicum* bark and column-purified ethanolic extract. Mortality was determined every 24 h. Each set of experiments was replicated six times. The values are the final concentrations (w/v) in the glass aquarium water. The product moment correlation coefficient showed a significant (P<0.05) negative correlation between exposure time and different LC₅₀ values. The *t*-ratio was >1.96, heterogeneity factor was <1.0, and the *g* value was <0.5 at all probability levels. LCL, Lower confidence limit; UCL, upper confidence limit. Slope value is reported as mean ± SEM.

Exposure time	Bark extracts	Lethal concentration LC ₅₀ (mg/l) (w/v)	95% Confidence limits		Slope value
			LCL (mg/l)	UCL (mg/l)	
24 h	Lyophilized water extract	34.5	27.2	50.8	1.94 ± 0.34
	Lyophilized boiled water extract	42.5	32.8	68.3	2.10 ± 0.39
	Ethanolic extract	4.9	3.6	8.0	1.22 ± 0.27
	Purified	0.87	0.79	1.0	3.93 ± 0.77
48 h	Lyophilized water extract	20.1	16.3	25.7	1.83 ± 0.29
	Lyophilized boiled water extract	27.3	21.4	39.0	1.65 ± 0.29
	Ethanolic extract	2.4	1.7	3.2	1.43 ± 0.26
	Purified	0.72	0.64	0.81	3.39 ± 0.73
72 h	Lyophilized water extract	13.5	10.6	16.6	1.87 ± 0.28
	Lyophilized boiled water extract	18.0	14.5	22.7	1.83 ± 0.28
	Ethanolic extract	1.2	0.54	1.6	1.33 ± 0.27
	Purified	0.59	0.50	0.65	4.07 ± 0.75
96 h	Lyophilized water extract	9.1	6.4	11.6	1.68 ± 0.27
	Lyophilized boiled water extract	11.0	7.6	14.2	1.47 ± 0.26
	Ethanolic extract	0.94	0.61	1.2	1.65 ± 0.29
	Purified	0.52	0.44	0.58	5.10 ± 0.82

der of the ethanolic bark extract against *Lymnaea acuminata* were 34.5 mg/l and 4.9 mg/l, respectively. The lyophilized powder of the boiled aqueous extract of bark was also toxic (24-h LC₅₀: 42.5 mg/l) against *L. acuminata*. The purified fraction obtained by column chromatography was more toxic (24-h LC₅₀: 0.87 mg/l) than other bark preparations. There was a significant negative correlation between the LC₅₀ of different bark preparations and exposure periods (Table 1). No mortality was observed in a fish (*C. fasciatus*) population exposed to the same concentrations as used in the treatments against the snail *L. acuminata*.

The slope values were steep and the results were found to be within the 95% confidence limits of LC₅₀. The *t*-ratio was higher than 1.96 and the heterogeneity factor was less than 1.0. The *g* values were less than 0.5 at all probability levels (Table 1).

The present results clearly indicate that the bark of *Nerium indicum* is an important source of a botanical molluscicide. The toxicity study revealed that the toxic component of *Nerium indicum* bark is soluble both in water and ethanol. The bioactive principle present in the bark is thermostable since there was no significant decrease in the molluscicidal activity of the boiled bark extract. Guzman and Ambros (8) observed that the aqueous extract of *Nerium indicum* bark is an effective insecticide against *Blatta orientalis*. Glycosides, steroids and terpenoids have been isolated from different parts of *Nerium indicum* (9). The toxic effect of different bark preparations may be due to the active glycoside neriodonin or neriodonein (10).

A comparison of the molluscicidal activity of the purified bark fraction (by column elution) with that of synthetic molluscicides

clearly demonstrates that the purified bark fraction is more potent. Thus, the 96-h LC₅₀ values of mexacarbate (1.7 ppm), formothion (8.5 ppm), phorate (15.0 ppm) and fenvalerate (1.1 ppm) against *Lymnaea acuminata* are higher than those of purified bark (0.52 ppm). The molluscicidal activity of purified bark (24-h LC₅₀: 0.87 mg/l) is about 23 times higher than that of the standard molluscicide niclosamide (24-h LC₅₀ against *L. acuminata*: 11.8 ppm) (5).

The molluscicidal activity of purified bark is less pronounced than that of *Nerium indicum* latex (24-h LC₅₀ against *L. acuminata*: 0.188 ppm) (4). The concentration range of latex effective against *L. acuminata* snails is toxic to the fish *C. fasciatus* (4), whereas the concentration range of bark effective against *L. acuminata* snails is not toxic to *C. fasciatus*, i.e., the use of bark in aquatic environments is safer than the use of latex.

The steep slope values indicate that a small increase in the dose of different treatments given in Table 1 caused high snail mortality. A *t*-ratio value greater than 1.96 indicates that the regression is significant. Heterogeneity factor values lower than 1.0 denote that in the replicate tests of random samples the concentration-response curves would fall within the 95% confidence limits and thus the model fits the data adequately. The index of significance of the potency estimation *g* indicates that the value of the mean is within the limits at all probability levels (90, 95, 99) since it is less than 0.5.

We conclude that *Nerium indicum* bark extract may be used as a potent molluscicide since the concentrations used to kill *L. acuminata* snails were not toxic for *C. fasciatus*. The mechanism by which these bark extracts cause snail death is not exactly known and will require further studies for elucidation.

References

1. Singh O & Agarwal RA (1981). Toxicity of certain pesticides to two economic species of snails in Northern India. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 74: 568-571.
2. Agarwal RA & Singh DK (1988). Harmful gastropods and their control. *Acta Hydrochimica et Hydrobiologica*, 16: 113-138.
3. Singh A, Singh DK, Misra TN & Agarwal RA (1996). Molluscicides of plant origin. *Biological Agriculture and Horticulture*, 13: 205-252.
4. Singh DK, Singh A & Agarwal RA (1993). *Nerium indicum*, a potent molluscicide of plant origin. *Journal of Medical and Applied Malacology*, 5: 93-95.
5. Singh DK & Agarwal RA (1984). Correlation of the anticholinesterase and molluscicidal activity of the latex of *Euphorbia royleana* Bioss. on *Lymnaea acuminata*. *Journal of Natural Products*, 47: 702-705.
6. Russell RM, Robertson JL & Savin NE (1977). POLO: A new computer program for probit analysis. *Bulletin of the Entomological Society of America*, 23: 209-213.
7. Sokal RR & Rohlf FJ (1973). *Introduction to Biostatistics*. W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, 271-273.
8. Guzman RS & Ambros FA (1992). Effectiveness of *Nerium indicum* as insecticide to the house pest *Blatta orientalis* (cockroaches). *7th Asian Symposium on Medicinal Plants, Spices and Natural Products* (ASOMPS VII), Manila, 2 (Abstract).
9. Siddiqui S, Siddiqui BS, Begum S & Hafeez F (1990). Chemical constituents of *Nerium oleander*. *Pakistan Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 33: 127-141.
10. Anonymous (1991). *The Wealth of India, Raw Materials*. Vol. VII. Publications and Information Directorate, CSIR, New Delhi, 15-17.