Synergistic effect of microRNA and albumin-bound nanoparticles for inhibition of glioblastoma cancer cell proliferation
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The functional significance of upregulation miR-34a in combination with albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles in U251 glioblastoma cell line has been evaluated. The MTT assay determined that miR-34a and albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles can reduce cell viability, but the combination of both factors has a stronger effect on cell viability. The application of qRT-PCR has demonstrated that the transduction of miR-34a could lead to exogenous upregulation of miR-34a level and downregulation of SURVIVIN. Moreover, treatment of U251 cells with miR-34a and nanoparticles together considerably inhibit SURVIVIN expression compared to miR-34a and nanoparticles alone. Flow cytometry showed that upon miR-34a overexpression cell cycle arrested in G1 phase, while treatment with nanoparticles increased the cell population in G2 phase. Upregulation of miR-34a along with treatment with nanoparticles elevated the number of cells arrested in G1/ G2 phases of the cell cycle. Expression of miR-34a with albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles reduced cell viability, downregulated SURVIVIN and enhanced cell cycle arrest in G1/G2 phases. Thus, the upregulation of miR-34a with these nanoparticles are potential candidates therapeutic for glioblastoma cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most prevalent form of brain tumor which accounted for 15.4% of all primary brain tumors and about 60-70% of all astrocytomas (Sordillo, Sordillo, Helson, 2015). Despite the fact that there has been progress in cancer therapies such as surgery, radiation and chemotherapy. Unfortunately, most of the glioma patients passed away during their course of treatments. The survival rate is quite low -less than one-year and the five-year survival rate is near 10% of GBM patients. The treatment of GBM is difficult due to the fact that tumors composed of a mix of different kinds of cells. Hence, finding new therapies is necessary for treatment and improvement of patient lives. Like other cancers, the key signaling pathways such as RAS, tumor protein 53, phosphoinositide kinase 3 (PIK3) pathways and along with factors that control cell cycle, have been known to be disrupted in GBM (Appin, Brat, 2014; Palanichamy et al., 2009).

MicroRNAs (also known as miRNAs or miRs) are small non-coding RNAs, which their mature forms have 20-25 nucleotides long. miRNAs regulate more than 60% of all human protein-coding genes and constitute an important regulatory network in all cell types. They have both inducing and inhibitory function by targeting promoters and mRNAs (Rad et al., 2013; Kouhkan et al., 2015; Mobarra et al., 2015a; Rad et al., 2013; Soufi-Zomorrodi et al., 2016). One of the best well-studied miRNAs with tumor-suppressor function is miR34a. It has been shown that its expression is high in normal tissue where as its expression is suppressed in
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colon cancer (Roy et al., 2012), breast cancer (Kang et al., 2015), lung cancer (Gallardo et al., 2009), brain tumors (Guessous et al., 2010) and almost all cancer types (Chen, Hu, 2012). This tumor-suppressor miR has been known to have multiple anti-tumorigenesis functions. For instance, inhibiting cell proliferation, inducing apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, reducing cell migration and reversing the chemoresistance to some drugs by targeting important oncosgenes in different cancer types as well (Chen, Hu, 2012; Li et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2014).

Paclitaxel is among the most important drug molecules used for chemotherapy in some modern treatment of different types of cancer, such as breast, melanoma, prostate, and bladder. The mechanism of paclitaxel is interfering with mitotic spindle assembly where the drug inhibits depolymerization of microtubules (Rowinsky, Donehower, 1995). Cells treated with paclitaxel undergo apoptosis or G2/M arrest. Due to some limitations in clinical application of paclitaxel, a novel formulation of paclitaxel was introduced as nanoparticles-albumin-bound (nab-Paclitaxel, ABRAXANE®). The albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles offered an alternative delivery method for improving efficacy and reducing adverse effects (Nateghian et al., 2016).

In the present study, we conducted a survey on the anti-tumorigenesis effects of ectopic expression of miR-34a along with albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles on U251 glioblastoma cell line. U251 cells were transduced with a lentiviral vector harboring miR-34a and treated with albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles. Cell viability, gene expression and cell cycle analysis carried out to determine the potential anti-cancer effect of miR-34a and albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles on U251 cells.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Chemicals**

Human serum albumin (HSA) purchased from Sigma, USA. Paclitaxel supplied as the pure powder by McChem, India. Ethanol, chloroform, DMSO and other solvent were used as an analytical grade (>98%) and purchased from Merck, Germany. Water used as double distilled water in all experiments.

**Cell culture**

Human glioblastoma (GBM) cell line U251 was obtained from Pasteur Institute of Iran. U251 were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, USA). 100 µg/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin, and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂.

**Lentivirus Packaging**

The pLex-miR-34a plasmid and pLex plasmid (empty vector) were obtained from Stem Cell Technology Research Center, Tehran, Iran. Lentivectors were co-transfected along with packaging plasmids, psPAX2 and pMD.2G into the HEK293T cell line using calcium-phosphate transfection as previously described (Langroudi et al., 2015). Lentiviruses were collected 48 h after transfection and concentrated using an ultracentrifuge (Jiang et al., 2015).

For transduction, the U251 cells were infected with pLex-miR-34a vector or pLex vector at the confluence of 60% at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 8 along with Polybrene 4 µg/mL. Then, transduction efficacy was estimated by fluorescence microscopy (Manufacture, model) for GFP-positive cells.

**Albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles preparation**

Albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles were prepared by a method inspired by nab technology. Paclitaxel (100 mg) was dissolved in chloroform: ethanol (1:1 v/v). HSA (4750.0 mg) was dissolved in WFI (17.500 mg).

Paclitaxel inorganic phase was loaded in HSA solution under probe sonicator by the amplitude of 40, and sonication was continued for 4 min and then seized by the homogenizer. Remaining solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure by rotary evaporator for 30 minutes. Finally, the product was lyophilized for 48 h at -50 °C (Christ, Alpha 2-4 LD, Germany) for further characterization. The final powder has a loading of 10%.

**Determination zeta potential and particles size distribution**

The size and zeta potential of the nanoparticles were determined using a Zetasizer Nano ZS analyzer (Malvern Instruments, UK) with a He–Ne laser beam at a wavelength of 633 nm at 25 °C (scattering angle of 90°). Samples were diluted to appropriate concentrations with distilled/filtered water if necessary. Triplicate samples were analyzed in each case.
Morphology of nanoparticles

The morphology of the nanoparticles was characterized by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Philips XL30, The Netherlands). For SEM imaging, nanoparticles were placed on a stub, sputter coated with gold and evaluated at 30 kV using a 6300 field emission scanning electron microscope.

Cell viability assay

Cell viability was determined by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, Sigma USA) assay. Briefly, U251 cells in 80% confluence were detached by Trypsin:25% EDTA and they were seeded at the density of 1×10⁴ cells per well in 96 well plates and incubated. After 24h the cells were treated with Temozolomide, paclitaxel, albumin-bound Paclitaxel Nanoparticles and Plex mir-34a. MTT assay carried out at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h after treatments.

Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted by using high pure RNA isolation kit (Roche, Germany) and then reverse transcribed to cDNA using random hexamers (β2M and Survivin), stem-loop RT specific primers (for miR-34a and SnORD47) and M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, USA).

Quantitative Real-time PCR for mRNAs and miR-34a was done using Quantitect SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan). QRT-PCR primer sequences are listed in Table I.

RESULTS

Characterization of nanoparticles

Nanoparticles showed Z-average particle size of about 140 nm with Pdl of 0.2 which are the characteristics of monodisperse particles. Figure 1 shows the morphological characteristics of the albumin nanoparticles, obtained by SEM. SEM micrographs clearly show the monodispersed spherical shape of the nanoparticles with minor deformation. The particles have a zeta potential of -25.5 mV as a suitable value for the stability of the nanoparticles for further experiments.

The effect of albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles on viability of U251 cells

U251 cells were treated with the 10 to 10⁶ nM of free paclitaxel or nanoparticles and MTT assay was carried out 24 h, 48 h and 72 h after treatment.

As it is shown in Figure 2, albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles reduced cell viability compared to the control groups. Interestingly, the effect of albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles on cell viability was more powerful than the free drug.

Also, for the IC50 dose the 10⁵ nM was determined for Temozolomide and free paclitaxel and but for the nanoparticle the 10⁶ nM is IC50.

The effect of miR-34a in combination with nanoparticles on cell viability

First, U251 cells were transduced with pLex-miR-34a or pLex lentivector and the effect of forced expression of miR-34a on cell viability was evaluated. The efficacy of transduction for both groups was more than 90% (Figure 3).

Cells underwent the MTT assay at three time-points post-transduction. The exogenous expression of miR-34a did not affect cell viability after 24 h, but it decreased the cell viability at 48 h and 72 after miR-34a up-regulation (Figure 4).

Cell cycle analysis

Cell cycle analysis carried out 48 hr after treatment of U251 cells with nanoparticles or lentivectors. In summary, cells were detached from plates and fixed for 1 h in 1 mL of 70% methanol in PBS and then re-suspended in 1 mg/mL of Propidium Iodide (PI) (Sigma, USA) and 0.5 mg/mL RNaseA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) in PBS for 30 min at 37 °C. Approximately 1.5×10⁴ cells were analyzed using the FL3-A channel on a CyFlow® flow cytometer (Partec, Germany). The data were analyzed by FlowJo™ software.
Finally, we analyzed the effect of overexpression of miR-34a along with treatment with albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles on U251 cell viability. As it is shown in Figure 4, cell viability is significantly decreased in the group treated with both factors compared with cells that only received miR-34a or control group. These results strongly suggested that overexpression of miR-34a in combination with albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles can reduce the cell viability of glioblastoma cells in vitro.

**pLex-miR-34a overexpression in U251 cells**

Real-time PCR analysis for miR-34a was performed to find out if our pLex-miR-34a lentivirus can exogenously overexpress miR-34a level in U251 cells. As Figure 5A shows, transduction of U251 cells with pLenti-miR-34a resulted in the up-regulation of the miR-34a level (P<0.05).

It has been shown that miR-34a as a tumor-suppressor miRNA targets a broad range of oncogenes such as BCL2, CCND1, CDK6, and CD44 (Liu et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009). Eventually, for confirming that exogenous upregulation of miR-34a will result in the inhibition of its targets, the expression level of Survivin as a known direct target of miR-34a was estimated (Cao et al., 2013; Cao et al., 2014; Shen et al., 2012). Increased level of miR-34a correlated with downregulation of Survivin at mRNA level 24 h, 48 h and 72 h post-transduction (P<0.05) (Figure 5B).

It is worth mentioning that we examined the effect of the treatment of U251 cells with paclitaxel and nanoparticles on the expression of SURVIVIN. Interestingly, both drugs were able to downregulate SURVIVIN expression at the mRNA level (Figure 5B) (P<0.05). Although the mechanism of this downregulation is unknown, the inhibitory effect of a factor on the
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expression of an oncogene has been always known as a benefit.

**Cell cycle analysis of treated cells**

In order to find out the effect of miR-34a and nanoparticles on the cell cycle, the flow cytometry using PI was done. The effect of miR-34a upregulation on the cell cycle of U251 cells was in line with the previous report and arrest cell cycle at the G1 (Figure 6B). But when U251 cells were treated with nanoparticles, most of the cells were arrested in the G2 phase (Figure 6C). Moreover, treating U251 cells with the combination of miR-34a overexpression and nanoparticles showed interesting results, in most of them, cell cycle has been stopped at G1 and G2 phases of the cell cycle (Figure 6D).

**DISCUSSION**

MiR-34a expression is diminished in most of the human cancers, in which it counteracts tumor progression (Slabáková, Eva *et al.*, 2017). MiR-34a belongs to the miR-34 family consisting of miR-34a, b and c. Although miR-34a derives from a polycistronic transcript, miR-34b and miR-34c share a common transcript at 11q23 loci (Lopez *et al.*, 2018). MiR-34a is an abundant miRNA,
FIGURE 5 - (A) Expression level of miR-34a after 24 h, 48 h and 72 h post transduction with pLex-miR-34a compared with pLex-Empty. (B) The effect of upregulation of miR-34a, paclitaxel drug, albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles and combination of both factors on Survivin expression. Data were the averages of at least three independent runs; bars, SD. [*] P<0.05 [**], P<0.01 and [***] P<0.001.

FIGURE 6 - Cell cycle assay using flow cytometry after 48 h. (A) control group (B) cells transduced with pLex-miR-34a (C) cells treated albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles (D) cells that overexpressed miR-34a and treated with albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles.
ubiquitously expressed in normal human tissue, whereas miR-34b/c expression patterns is more tissue specific.

It has been demonstrated that miR-34a downregulation plays a vital role in cell-cycle arrest, triggering apoptosis, senescence, and inhibition of the epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT). In addition, this miRNA is a direct target of TP53 and together they form a positive feed-forward regulatory loop. All these characters make miR-34a a promising candidate for therapeutic interventions using methods to restore its expression levels (Slabáková et al., 2017; Ito et al., 2017).

A growing body of evidence has been suggested the potential use of tumor-suppressor miRNA in combination with chemotherapies or nanoparticles as “miRNA combination therapy” in the cancer therapy field (Bader, Zhao, 2016; Mishra, Mishra, Merlino, 2016; Xie et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2016). In the current study, we investigated the anti-cancer effect of albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles along with the some forced expression of miR-34a in U251 glioblastoma cell line in vitro.

First, cell viability of U251 after overexpression of miR-34a alone or along with nanoparticle treatment was investigated. MiR-34a upregulation resulted in a reduction of cell proliferation and cell viability (Figure 4), but when it was combined with nanoparticle cell viability decreased more compared to the miR-34a-overexpressed group (Figure 4).

Then, to find out the effect of increased levels of miR-34a and nanoparticle on the expression level of miR-34a targets, the qPCR analysis was performed. MiR-34a has more than 30 target genes and one of its well-known targets is Survivin (Geng et al., 2015). As elevated level of miR-34a was correlated with down-regulation of Survivin (Figure 5B) which is in line with the previous reports that Survivin is a direct target of miR-34a. In addition, it seems albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles have an inhibitory effect on SURVIVIN expression at least at the mRNA level (Figure 5B). This is the first report on albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles having another tumor suppressor effect via down-regulation of SURVIVIN in glioblastoma cells in vitro.

Finally, the effect of enhanced expression of miR-34a and albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles on cell cycle was evaluated. It has been shown that miR-34a and paclitaxel have inhibitory effects on the cell cycle progression in U251 cell line. Upregulation of miR-34a resulted in cell cycle arrest in G1 whereas albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles arrested the cell cycle at the G2 phase. Interestingly, a combination of both factors leads to accumulation of cells in both G1 and G2 compared to the control group (Figure 6A-C).

In summary, our results showed that forced expression of miR-34a along with albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticles significantly reduced cell viability, a key oncogene expression like SURVIVIN and can simultaneously arrest cell cycle at G1/G2 phases in glioblastoma cells. Although, more in vitro and in vivo studies is needed for nano-combinational therapy for improve treatment in glioblastoma. In other hand cost of nano combination is important and its seem to these treatments are more expensive than single treatment. Another factor that must be considered is viral vectors distribution due to nature of glioblastoma.
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