This study aimed to evaluate the anticholinesterase activities of extracts and fractions of *Ocotea daphnifolia* in vitro and characterize its constituents. The effects of hexane, ethyl acetate, and ethanolic extracts on acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE) activity were determined with a spectrophotometry assay. All extracts inhibited cholinesterase activity, and the ethanolic extract (2 mg/mL) exhibited the highest inhibition of both enzymes (99.7% for BuChE and 82.4% for AChE). The ethanolic extract was fractionated by column chromatography resulting in 14 fractions that were also screened for their anticholinesterase effects. Fraction 9 (2 mg/mL) showed the highest activity, inhibiting AChE and BuChE by 71.8% and 90.2%, respectively. This fraction was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography high-resolution mass spectrometry which allowed the characterization of seven glycosylated flavonoids (containing kaempferol and quercetin nucleus) and one alkaloid (reticuline). In order to better understand the enzyme-inhibitor interaction of the reticuline toward cholinesterase, molecular modeling studies were performed. Reticuline targeted the catalytic activity site of the enzymes. *Ocotea daphnifolia* exhibits a dual cholinesterase inhibitory activity and displays the same pattern of intermolecular interactions as described in the literature. The alkaloid reticuline can be considered as an important bioactive constituent of this plant.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Alzheimer’s disease (AD), a chronic neurodegenerative disorder, is characterized by dementia, memory loss, and cognitive impairment. Several factors are associated with the pathology of AD, such as low levels of acetylcholine, β-amyloid (Aβ) deposits, oxidative stress, and tau protein hyperphosphorylation (Reid, Chilukuri, Darvesh, 2015). The main strategy in the clinical treatment of AD is based on the cholinergic hypothesis that associates cognitive decline with a loss of acetylcholine (ACh). Cholinesterase inhibitors improve cholinergic activity in the brain of AD patients since they increase the availability of ACh in the synaptic cleft (Ahmed et al., 2013).

There are two types of cholinesterases (ChE) responsible for the hydrolysis of ACh: acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE). In a healthy brain, AChE is the most important enzyme that regulates the level of ACh, while BuChE plays a secondary role. However, in patients with AD, the level of AChE gradually declines, and the activity of BuChE increases with disease progression (Ahmed et al., 2013). Moreover, BuChE may also participate in the formation of Aβ plaques (Reid,
Chilukuri, Darvesh, 2015). The dual inhibition of AChE and BuChE is a valuable approach and may provide additional benefits in the treatment of AD. The FDA has approved four AChE inhibitors (donepezil, galantamine, rivastigmine, and tacrine), and only these two last drugs act upon the two cholinesterases. These drugs can be used in the diagnosis or treatment of other diseases, such as myasthenia gravis, postoperative ileus, bladder distension, glaucoma, and cholinergic antidote (Colovic et al., 2013). The high cost and serious side effects of many synthetic drugs have encouraged researchers to identify effective AChE and BuChE inhibitors in natural products with low toxicity.

Some plant secondary metabolites have been shown to effectively inhibit cholinesterases (Othman et al., 2016). Ocotea is a genus that includes trees and shrubs and has the largest number of medicinal species of the Lauraceae family. Chemical investigations in several species of Ocotea have led to the isolation of a variety of secondary metabolites, such as alkaloids, lignans, flavonoids, and sesquiterpenes (Salleh, Ahmad, 2017). Ocotea daphnifolia (Meisn.) Mez is a tree found in different parts of Brazil, and no scientific information regarding its chemistry or biological activities has been reported so far. This study aimed to evaluate the AChE and BuChE inhibitory activities of extracts and fractions of O. daphnifolia leaves in vitro and to characterize the active chemical constituents present in this species. Additionally, molecular docking studies of O. daphnifolia alkaloids were performed to investigate the intermolecular interactions inside the cholinesterase active sites.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Reagents and chemicals**

Ethyl acetate, n-hexane, ethanol, methanol (analytical grade) and silica gel 60 (70–230 mesh) were obtained from VETEC. The substances used for the anticholinesterase test, acetylthiocholine iodide (ATCI), 5,5'-dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic-acid) (DTNB), S-butyrylthiocholine chloride (BTCI), physostigmine, acetylcholinesterase from Electrophorus electricus (EC 3.1.1.7, Type VI-S) and butyrylcholinesterase from equine serum (EC 3.1.1.8) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich® (St. Louis, MO, USA). Sodium phosphate dibasic and sodium phosphate monobasic were obtained from Anidrol (Diadema, SP, Brazil).

**Plant material**

Leaves of O. daphnifolia (Meisn.) Mez were collected in the municipality of Rio de Contas (Bahia) in December 2013. The identification of the plant species was conducted by a botanist and a voucher specimen (HUPES 205862) was deposited at the herbarium of the State University of Feira de Santana, Brazil.

**Obtainment of the extract**

Around 1.04 kg of dried and ground O. daphnifolia underwent successive extraction by maceration for 72 h using solvents of increasing polarity (hexane, ethyl acetate, and ethanol). After filtration, a solvent removal step was performed by evaporation using a rotary evaporator according to standard methodologies for plant extraction (Tachakittirungrod, Okonogi, Chowwanaponpohn, 2007). The extraction process yielded the hexane extract (7.83 g, 0.75%, HE), ethyl acetate extract (16.49 g, 1.6%, EAE), and ethanol extract (36.28 g, 3.5%, EE).

**Fractionation of the active extract**

About 24.16 g of the crude ethanol extract was fractioned in column chromatography (CC), with solvents in the order of increasing polarity (hexane, ethyl acetate, and ethanol) resulting in 14 fractions (F1-F14). All fractions were then screened for cholinesterase enzyme inhibitory activity in vitro.

**HPLC-HRMS/MS analysis**

The fraction with greater activity was analyzed through high-performance liquid chromatography high-resolution mass spectrometry (HPLC-HRMS/MS), Amazon Speed ETD model and APCI adapter, positive mode in the analytical center of the Chemistry Institute at the Federal University of Sao Paulo. The chromatograph used was Shimadzu, containing the column Luna C18 (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 μm). The mobile phase system corresponded to (A) H₂O/acetic acid 0.1% and (B) methanol, and the gradients were as follows: time 0-20 min, linear gradient from 5% to 100% B; 20-24 min, isocratic 100% B; 24-25 min, linear gradient from 100 to 5% B; and 25-35 min, isocratic 5% B. The flow of the mobile phase and the oven temperature were 1.0 mL/min and 40 °C, respectively.
In vitro cholinesterase inhibitory activity

AChE inhibitory activity was evaluated using Ellman’s microplate assay, with a few modifications, as described by Tan et al. (2014) using AChE from *E. electricus*. The extracts and fractions were evaluated at different concentrations ranging from 0.25 to 2.0 mg/mL. Briefly, 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer containing bovine serum albumin (140 µL), extracts/fractions (20 µL), and an enzyme solution (0.15 U/mL, 20 µL) were homogenized (microplate reader) and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Then, 10 µL of 10 mM DTNB were added into each well, followed by the addition of acetylthiocholine iodide (14 mM, 10 µL). Positive (eserine 14 µg/mL) and negative controls (1% ethanol and 0.1 M phosphate buffer) were also included. The absorbance was measured at 405 nm in a Multiskan microplate reader (Thermo Scientific ®) at 0 and 30 min. For the BuChE inhibitory assay, the same procedure was followed except that the enzyme and substrate used were BuChE (0.15 U/mL) from the equine serum and S-butyrylthiocholine chloride (14 mM), respectively. The percentage of the enzymatic activity was calculated using the following formula: % Inhibition = \{1 - [(T30 - T0 sample)/(T30 - T0 CN/TF)]\} × 100 (where T0 is the absorbance reading after the start of the reaction; T30, the absorbance reading at 30 min after T0; CN, negative control; and TF, phosphate buffer).

Molecular docking

Molecular docking was performed for alkaloid identified in the most active fraction (reticuloine) through DOCK 6.7 programs and accessories. The chemical structures of reticuloine were named as R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4 (Figure 1). The preparation of these molecules was performed in Chimera 1.9 with the calculation of Gasteiger-Hückel charge and the addition of polar hydrogens. Crystallographic structures of cholinesterases were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) [PDB ID: 6O4W (Gerlits et al., 2019) and PDB ID: 4AQD (Brazzolotto et al., 2012) for AChE (complexed with donepezil) and BuChE (complexed with tacrine), respectively. 6O4W and 4AQD structures were processed with the Dock Prep module in Chimera 1.9. The solvent access of the molecular surface of the enzymes was calculated with DMS accessory software (Ferrin et al., 1988). The negative image of the molecular surface was generated as a set of superimposed spheres through SPHGEN software (Kuntz et al., 1982). The file was edited by SPHERE SELECTOR (Kuntz et al., 1982) to contain only the spheres with a distance of 8.0 Å from the crystallographic ligand. The box around each active site was built with SHOWBOX accessory software. The delimitation of the active site was performed according to the position of the co-crystalized ligand with the respective protein (AChE and BuChE). The grids were computed with GRID accessory software based on the following parameters: attractive distance of energy = 6.0 Å, repulsive distance from van der Waals force = 9.0 Å, and overlap crash = 0.75 Å (Shoichet et al., 1992). Ligands were minimized utilizing a simplex algorithm implemented in DOCK 6.7 (Nelder, Mead, 1965). The GridScore score function was used for the classification of the affinity energy between molecules with enzymes. The docking success was measured by calculating the root mean square deviation (RMSD) of the docking pose against its conformation in the crystal structure. An RMSD lower than 2 Å is considered a very good result (Yang et al., 2013). The complexes formed were selected according to the value of the scoring function, and the intermolecular interactions were analyzed using the Protein-Ligand Interaction Profiler.

FIGURE 1 – Chemical structures of (S) -reticuloine used in molecular docking.
Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using a one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s test (5%). Differences were considered significant at p<0.05. The IC₅₀ of the active extract and fraction were calculated through nonlinear regression analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPrism statistical program (version 5.0).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In vitro cholinesterase inhibitory activity

All extracts of *O. daphnifolia* showed a concentration-dependent anticholinesterase activity on the enzymes AChE and BuChE. The EE was the most active, and all the evaluated concentrations presented a statistically significant difference in relation to the negative control (p<0.001). The mean percentage inhibitions of EE at the highest concentration tested (2 mg/mL) for AChE and BuChE were 82.4% and 99.7%, respectively. The lowest anticholinesterase effect was observed for EAE (AChE, 20.4%; BuChE, 66.9%) and HE (AChE, 46.2%; BuChE, 86.4%) (Figure 2). Only for the EE in the BuChE assay was it possible to calculate the IC₅₀, which was 0.59 mg/mL ($R^2 = 0.98$).

A plant extract is considered a potent AChE inhibitor when it inhibits the enzyme by over 50% (Vinutha *et al.*, 2007). Considering this criterion, only the EE (1.0 mg/mL) was classified as potent inhibitor against AChE, while for BuChE, all extracts were potent, although at different concentrations: 0.5 mg/mL (EE) and 1.0 mg/mL (EAE and HE). The present work is the first to report the biological activity of *O. daphnifolia*. Previous studies demonstrated a similar effect on AChE activity of another Ocotea species, *Ocotea bullata* (Amoo *et al.*, 2012). However, no reports were found that describe the effects of plants of the genus Ocotea on BuChE.

Among the cholinesterases, BuChE was more sensitive to the effects of all extracts of *O. daphnifolia*. Similar results were reported in an anticholinesterase activity study with the *Rauvolfia reflexa* species. Differences in the structure of AChE and BuChE may interfere with the inhibitory effect of various compounds. Although cholinesterases exhibit a sequence identity above 50%, the internal volume of the active site of AChE is lower than that of BuChE (Fadacinasab *et al.*, 2015).

The fractionation of the most active extract (ethanolic) yielded 14 fractions. All fractions significantly
inhibited the activity of both cholinesterases compared to the negative control (p< 0.001), except for the Fr1 and Fr4. Similar to the extracts, many of the fractions were more effective against BuChE. This increased inhibitory effect was observed for fractions 8, 9 and 10 that inhibited AChE by 70.1%, 71.8%, and 63.8% and BuChE by 87.8%, 90.2%, and 81.9%, respectively (Table I). For the most active fraction (Fr 9), it was possible to determine the IC_{50} against BuChE, which was 0.87 mg/mL (R^2 = 0.99).

The percent inhibition exhibited by these fractions was lower than those found for the EE (extract that yielded the fractions). These findings suggest a possible synergistic action of different compounds in the biological activity of O. daphnifolia. Plants produce a diversity of secondary metabolites, and their mode of action may be associated with synergistic interactions of the metabolites (Kaufmann et al., 2016).

### TABLE I – Mean and standard deviation of the inhibition of AChE and BuChE activities (%) after treatment with the fractions of the ethanolic extract of O. daphnifolia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction (2 mg/mL)</th>
<th>AChE</th>
<th>BuChE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.5 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.9 ± 14.9***</td>
<td>27.7 ± 3.4***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23.8 ± 5.7***</td>
<td>25.5 ± 3.4***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.4 ± 3.8</td>
<td>3.0 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.8 ± 4.5***</td>
<td>32.0 ± 4.9***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>39.0 ± 11.1***</td>
<td>36.5 ± 4.8***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>46.2 ± 6.7***</td>
<td>47.1 ± 3.1***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>70.1 ± 5.3***</td>
<td>87.8 ± 3.1***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>71.8 ± 5.0***</td>
<td>90.2 ± 1.2***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>63.8 ± 6.3***</td>
<td>81.9 ± 10.2***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>45.4 ± 8.9***</td>
<td>31.0 ± 3.0***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continuing)

### HPLC-HRMS/MS analysis

The HPLC-HRMS/MS chromatogram (positive mode) of the active fraction (Fr 9) isolated from the O. daphnifolia extract is shown in Figure 3. The mass spectra analysis of the peaks showed that the fraction contained mainly flavonol glycosides (peaks 2 to 8) and a minor proportion of alkaloids (peak 1). Table II shows the mass spectra of these flavonoid glycosides. The mass fragmentation showed the cleavage of interglycosidic bonds in position C-3, resulting in the formation of the intense flavonoid nucleus fragment (quercetin or kaempferol) (Gouveia-Figueira, Castilho, 2015). The mass spectra and a description of MS/MS fragmentation for each flavonoid structure (March et al., 2006; Vukics, Guttman, 2008; Tsimogiannis et al., 2007) can be found in the supplementary material.

Peak 2 showed a pseudo-molecular ion at m/z 627.1552 Da [M+H]^+ and the aglycone signal at m/z 303.0513, suggesting the existence of quercetin as aglycone and two hexose moieties [M+H-162-162]^+ assigned to quercetin-3-O-hexose-hexoside. Peak 3 (m/z 597.1451 Da, [M+H]^+) in the respective mass spectra showed the formation of the product ion relative to quercetin aglycone (m/z 303.0510), and it was classified as quercetin-3-O-hexose-pentoside. Peak 4 showed a molecular ion at m/z 567.1344 Da, and it was indicative of quercetin-3-O-dipentoside due to the loss of two pentoses. Peaks 6 and 7 showed pseudo-molecular ions at m/z 465.1030 Da and m/z 435.0925 Da, which can be associated with quercetin-3-O-hexoside...
and quercetin-3-O-pentoside, respectively. Peaks 5 ($m/z$ 581.1507 Da, [M+H$^+$]$^+$) and 8 ($m/z$ 449.1084 Da, [M+H$^+$]$^+$) showed aglycone signals at $m/z$ 287.0568 and 287.0577, with neutral losses of one pentose (-132) and one hexose (-162), respectively. These compounds were identified as kaempferol-3-O-hexose-pentoside and kaempferol-3-O-hexoside (Gouveia-Figueira, Castilho, 2015; March, Miao, 2004).

**FIGURE 3** – HPLC-HRMS chromatogram of the total flavonol glycosylated ions.

**TABLE II** – The flavonol glycosides of the *O. daphnifolia* ethanolic extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>$t_R$ (min)</th>
<th>[M+H$^+$]$^+$ ($m/z$)</th>
<th>MS$^2$</th>
<th>MS$^3$</th>
<th>Compound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>627.1552</td>
<td>303.0513</td>
<td>257.0460, 229.0506, 201.0564, 187.0411</td>
<td>Quercetin-3-O-hexose-hexoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>597.1451</td>
<td>303.0510</td>
<td>257.0459, 229.0514, 201.0569, 187.0412</td>
<td>Quercetin-3-O-hexose-pentoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>567.1344</td>
<td>303.0513</td>
<td>257.0459, 229.0511, 201.0564</td>
<td>Quercetin-3-O-dipentoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>581.1507</td>
<td>287.0568</td>
<td>213.0563165.0208</td>
<td>Kaempferol-3-O-hexose-pentoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>465.1030</td>
<td>303.0512</td>
<td>257.0452, 229.0512, 201.0566</td>
<td>Quercetin-3-O-hexoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>435.0925</td>
<td>303.0516</td>
<td>257.0457, 229.0511, 201.0563</td>
<td>Quercetin-3-O-pentoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>449.1084</td>
<td>287.0577</td>
<td>258.0533, 213.0561, 165.0204</td>
<td>Kaempferol-3-O-hexoside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$t_R$: retention time; [M+H$^+$]$^+$: molecular ion; $m/z$: mass-to-charge ratio

In addition, peak 1 in the active fraction was identified as an alkaloid derivative (Figure 3). This compound peak showed a pseudo-molecular ion at $m/z$ 330.1709 Da [M+H$^+$]$^+$ and fragments at $m/z$ 192.1040 Da and $m/z$ 177.0805 Da due to loss of two CH$_3$ radicals. These data were compatible with the alkaloid reticuline,
an aporphine alkaloid derived from a benzylisoquinolinic skeleton (Schmidt et al., 2005). Similar fragment profiles were found (Yan et al., 2013).

The benzylisoquinoline alkaloid reticuline, coclaurine and nacetyl norjuzifine were isolated from the bark and stem of the *Ocotea duckei* Vattimo (Morais, Barbosa-Filho, Almeida, 1998). The alkaloid class represents the main metabolites responsible for the anticholinesterase effects of plants. A study revealed high anticholinesterase activity of 9 alkaloids, including reticuline, against AChE and BuChE. These compounds presented a greater inhibition of BuChE in relation to AChE. These data were in agreement with the findings of the present study (Othman et al., 2016).

**Molecular docking**

Molecular docking was performed with reticuline, the alkaloid found in the most active fraction of *O. daphnifolia*, to understand and compare its binding interaction with the cholinesterases: AChE (PDB ID: 6O4W) and BuChE (PDB ID: 4AQD). The molecular docking results were considered accurate because the RMSD value was below 2.0 Å (AChE: 1.33 Å; BuChE: 0.26 Å).

Figure 4 represents the map of reticuline intermolecular interactions with AChE. The molecular docking of reticuline (R-1) with AChE occurs through a hydrophobic interaction of the indole ring of the amino acid Trp279 with the junction of the A and B rings of reticuline. The hydroxyl, -OH, of the A-ring of the molecule forms hydrogen bonds with the amino acid residues Phe288 and Arg289. The methoxyl, -OCH3, carries out the same type of molecular interaction with the side chain of Tyr124. The methoxyl, -OCH3, of ring C forms two hydrogen bonds with both the amine group and the carboxylic group of the amino acid residue Ser286.

The chemical structure of reticuline depicted in R-2 exhibited hydrophobic interactions of its B and C rings with Trp86. The indole region of this residue forms a π-cation interaction with the B ring of the reticuline molecule. This residue participates in the acetylcholine binding site of mammalian AChE (Bennion et al., 2015). The A ring performs a parallel π–π stacking interaction with Phe338 and parallel π–π stacking interaction with the Tyr337 phenol group, and that residue still binds hydrogen with the A ring -OH group. The protonated amine present in the B ring forms a Glu202 salt bridge and a His447 imidazole hydrogen bond. The Asp74 residue of AChE interacted by means a hydrogen bond with the -OH, and -OCH3 groups of the C ring. The binding site of human AChE consists of the catalytic triad (Ser203, Glu334, and His447) which lies of the bottom of 20 Å deep and narrow binding gorge. The anionic domain binds the quaternary trimethylammonium choline moiety of Ach residues aromatic ones: Trp86, Tyr130, Tyr337, and Phe338. In human AChE, π-cation interactions with Trp86 are also observed (Atanasova et al., 2015).

The molecular docking of the reticuline molecule with the structure represented by R-3 showed two hydrophobic interactions of the C ring and the portion of the molecule that binds C and B to the side chain of Trp86. This type of intermolecular interaction is also found with this amino acid in R-2. The phenolic ring of Tyr330 interacts with the C ring of the compound through hydrophobic interactions. The -OH of the C and A rings binds hydrogen to the side chain of Ser125 and Tyr124, respectively. The tertiary amine of the B ring performs an electrostatic interaction with the amino acid Glu202. The molecular docking of the reticuline molecule with the structure represented by R-3 showed hydrophobic interactions of the C ring and the portion of the molecule that binds C and B to the side chain of Trp86. This type of intermolecular interaction was also found with this amino acid in R-2. The -OH and -OCH3 of the C ring bind hydrogen to the indole group of Trp86 and Ser125, respectively. The tertiary amine of the B ring performs
a salt bridge interaction with the amino acid Glu202 and Trp86 aromatic ring, performing a cation-π interaction with the B ring. The A ring interacts with π-stacking (parallel) and π-stacking (perpendicular) with Phe338 and Tyr337, respectively. It is observed that the amine group of reticuline, as in the anacardic acid studied, is an electron donor region capable of interacting with PAS residues (Kiametis et al., 2017).

The A ring of the reticuline molecule in the conformation represented in R-4 showed a hydrophobic interaction with the Trp86 indole nucleus and a hydrogen bond with Ser125. In the B ring, there was a hydrophobic interaction with Trp86 and Tyr337. The C ring and Phe297 form a hydrophobic interaction, which also occurs with the Phe338 residue. Previous studies reported the interactions of known inhibitors (tacrine and galantamine) with Tyr337 and Trp86, which are residues present in the gorge of human AChE (Atanasova et al., 2015; Khan et al., 2018).

In Figure 5, the molecular conformations of reticuline are represented by the interactions carried out with the amino acids of BuChE. The R-1 conformation shows a hydrophobic interaction of the A ring with the indole ring of Trp79. The residue Trp79 is located in the region near the triad of BuChE (Ser195-His435-Glu322). This residue influences the mode of binding of all derivatives, participating in the orientation of the charges within the catalytic site. The -OH and -OCH3 groups attached to the A ring form a hydrogen bond with Thr117 and Tyr125, respectively. This ring forms two π-stacking parallel displaced interactions with Trp79. The C ring was stabilized by a hydrophobic interaction with Phe326 and through a hydrogen bond of its -OH group with Gly113. The B ring shows only a hydrophobic interaction with Trp79. The hydrophobic characteristics of the alkaloid in the R-2 conformation were similar to those found in R-1. Hydrophobic interactions play an important role in stabilizing ligand-receptor complexes. Only the -OH group of the A ring shows a hydrogen bond with Asp67, whereas the B ring amine forms a π-cation interaction with Trp79 and an ionic bond with Glu194. The Asp67 residue of BuChE is one of the most important residues because it is involved in controlling the architecture of the active site and the initial binding of the positively charged substrates (Nawaz et al., 2011). The R-3 conformation was stabilized by hydrophobic interactions: B-Trp79 ring and C-Phe326 ring. The amine of the B ring interacts via a salt bridge with Glu194.

The hydrophobic interactions of the molecule in the R-4 conformation presented the same pattern observed for R-3, differing only by the hydrogen bond in the B ring amine with Ser195. Molecular modeling studies show sequence alignment between equine BuChE and human BuChE (identity of 90%), and no differences were detected for the amino acid sequences within the active sites between the human and nonhuman sources (Bacalhau et al., 2016). Previous studies described that 2-methoxyatherosperminine, a phenanthrene alkaloid, docked at the choline-binding site and catalytic triad of BuChE from Homo sapiens and showed a higher anticholinesterase effect in vitro against BuChE compared with AChE (Othman et al., 2016). Uj-haq et al. (2010) suggest that the main differences between BuChE and AChE are related to residues present in the space of the enzymatic cavity. In AChE aromatic groups, they are replaced by more hydrophobic groups. Phe at the AChE acyl linkage site is replaced by Leu and Val residues, respectively. The pattern of reticuline interactions with BuChE was also been reported in docking studies with known ligands. Rivastigmine exhibited hydrophobic interactions with Trp residues and hydrogen bonds with Ser, Tyr, and Thr residues (Bacalhau et al., 2016). Asp residues interact with cationic substrates, and Tyr has been
implicated in the binding of substrates and inhibitors to BuChE, suggesting that this amino acid residue is part of the P-site of this enzyme (Macdonald et al., 2012). Different amino acid residues in the active site of BuChE, but not AChE, can accommodate compounds in their active site (Khaw et al., 2014).

Another class of secondary metabolites found in the most active fraction of O. daphnifolia was glycosylated flavonoids derived from kaempferol and quercetin. In silico interactions of flavonoids and glycosylated flavonoids with AChE have already been investigated by Xie et al. (2014), who reported that the glycosylation decreases the AChE inhibitory activities of flavonoids (quercetin, baicalein, and myricetin).

Ocotea daphnifolia have a dual cholinesterase inhibitory activity and exhibited more affinity for BuChE. The most active fraction is characterized by the presence of the reticuline alkaloid and glycosylated phenolic compounds derive from the flavonoid kaempferol and quercetin.

The molecular docking technique generally utilizes an energy-based scoring function to obtain the most favorable ligand orientation and conformation required for binding at the active site (Jang et al., 2018). The molecular docking of reticuline on AChE and BuChE suggested that this compound is capable of interacting with the catalytic activity site of both enzymes. The reticuline alkaloid is possibly an important bioactive constituent of the species O. daphnifolia.
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