

Assemblage of fish species associated with aquatic macrophytes in Porto Murtinho Pantanal, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil

Yzel Rondon Suárez^{1,3}, Fabiane Silva Ferreira¹ & Karina Keyla Tondato²

¹Laboratório de Ecologia, Centro Integrado de Análise e Monitoramento Ambiental – CInAM, Universidade Estadual de Mato Grosso do Sul – UEMS, Rod. Dourados-Itahum Km 1, CEP 79804-970, Dourados, MS, Brasil

²Programa de Pós-graduação em Biologia Animal, Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande Sul – UFRGS, Av. Bento Gonçalves, 9500, CEP 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil

³Corresponding author: Yzel Rondon Suárez, e-mail: yzel@uems.br

SUÁREZ, Y.R., FERREIRA, F.S. & TONDATO, K.K. **Assemblage of fish species associated with aquatic macrophytes in Porto Murtinho Pantanal, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil.** *Biota Neotrop.* 13(2): <http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v13n2/en/abstract?inventory+bn02313022013>

Abstract: The Porto Murtinho Pantanal lies at the limit of the Upper Paraguay River basin, and despite its biogeographical importance, several aspects of the ecology of the fish assemblage are not known. Monthly samples of fish associated with aquatic macrophytes were taken from February 2009 through January 2011. A total of 46,327 individuals were collected, belonging to 144 species. Characiformes and Siluriformes were the predominant orders, and *Odontostilbe pequirá*, *O. paraguayensis* and *Bryconamericus exodon* were the most abundant species. Two species, *Cynopotamus* sp. and *Pimelodus misteriosus*, were recorded for the first time in the Pantanal, and a new occurrence record of *Cichla piquiti* was observed, representing an approximately 400 km range expansion in your distribution area in the Pantanal.

Keywords: small fish, Paraguay river, fish inventories.

SUÁREZ, Y.R., FERREIRA, F.S. & TONDATO, K.K. **Assembleia de espécies de peixes associadas com macrófitas aquáticas no Pantanal de Porto Murtinho, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brasil.** *Biota Neotrop.* 13(2): <http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v13n2/pt/abstract?inventory+bn02313022013>

Resumo: O Pantanal de Porto Murtinho está no limite da Bacia do Alto Paraguai, e apesar de sua importância biogeográfica, alguns aspectos da ecologia das assembleias de peixes não são conhecidas. Amostras mensais de peixes associados a macrófitas aquáticas foram realizadas de Fevereiro de 2009 até Janeiro de 2011. Um total de 46.327 indivíduos foram coletados, pertencentes a 144 espécies. Characiformes e Siluriformes foram as ordens predominantes, e *Odontostilbe pequirá*, *O. paraguayensis* e *Bryconamericus exodon* foram as espécies mais abundantes. Duas espécies, *Cynopotamus* sp. e *Pimelodus misteriosus*, foram registrados pela primeira vez no Pantanal, e uma nova ocorrência de *Cichla piquiti* foi observada, o que representa uma expansão de cerca de 400 km de alcance em sua área de distribuição no Pantanal.

Palavras-chave: peixes de pequeno porte, rio Paraguai, inventário de peixes.

Introduction

Floodplain environments support higher taxonomic and functional diversity (Welcomme 1985, Lowe-McConnell 1999), in response to the widely varying spatial and temporal dynamics of aquatic, terrestrial and ecotone habitats (Ward et al. 1999, Robinson et al. 2002). Macrophyte beds are fundamentally important for the initial development of many fish species (Delariva et al. 1994, Sánchez-Botero & Araújo-Lima 2001), in addition to acting as feeding sites (Casatti et al. 2003) and a dispersal mechanism for small-sized species (Oliver & McKaye 1982, Machado-Allison 1990, Bulla et al. 2011). The abundance and complexity of macrophyte beds are among the main determinants of fish communities in both lentic (Súarez et al. 2001, 2004, Petry et al. 2003, Pelicice et al. 2005, Gomes et al. 2012) and lotic habitats (Bulla et al. 2011).

In the Pantanal, few and spatially poorly distributed analyses of fish communities have been published. Some studies have been carried out in the northern Pantanal (Baginski et al. 2007, Pacheco & Silva 2009, Fernandes et al. 2010, Milani et al. 2010, Silva et al. 2010, Lourenço et al. 2012) and the central region (Súarez et al. 2001, 2004); however few data are available from the southern Pantanal. This study aimed to characterize the composition of fish species associated with aquatic macrophytes in Porto Murtinho Pantanal, near the limit of the Upper Paraguay River.

Material and Methods

1. Study area

Porto Murtinho is an extreme southern portion of the Pantanal, defining the southern limit of the Upper Paraguay River Basin and comprising approximately 2.8% of the total Pantanal area (Silva & Abdon 1998). The predominant vegetation is savanna steppe (Chaco). The river reaches its highest level in June and July, and its lowest level from November through January.

2. Sampling

Samples were collected monthly from February 2009 through January 2011, in beds of aquatic macrophytes along the banks of the Paraguay and Amonguijá rivers and in the floodplain lakes Criminosa and Flores (Figure 1). Fish were sampled using a sieve (0.8×1.2 m), drag nets (1.5×5.0 m) with 2 mm mesh, and seines (1.5×10 m) with 15, 20 and 30 mm between adjacent knots. A total of 109 sample units were obtained, with non-standardized sampling effort. The scientific samples were authorized by the Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis (IBAMA/SISBIO # 13458-1).

Fishes were fixed in 10% formalin and preserved in 70% ethanol for identification and counts. In the laboratory, identification was carried out with the help of the identification key to the Fishes of the Pantanal (Britski et al. 2007). Voucher specimens were catalogued in




Figure 1. Study area with sampling localities at Porto Murtinho Pantanal, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, from February 2009 through January 2011.

the collection of fishes of the Department of Zoology, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, and in the Coleção de Peixes do Nupelia, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Maringá, Brazil.

The richness per order in each locality was compared using a chi-square test to verify any differences in the general pattern of taxonomic composition among localities.

Results

A total of 46,327 specimens were collected, belonging to 144 species in nine orders. The Characiformes showed the highest richness, with 73 species (50.7%), followed by Siluriformes with 39 (27.1%), Perciformes with 16 (11.1%), Gymnotiformes with 10 (6.9%) and Cyprinodontiformes with 2 (1.4%). Other orders (Lepidosireniformes, Rajiformes, Synbranchiformes and Beloniformes) had one species each (Table 1). The chi-square test showed that no significant variation (chi-square=5.85; df=12; p=0.92) in richness per order existed among the localities (Figure 2).

The most abundant species were *O. pequirá* (10,259 individuals/22.14%), followed by *O. paraguayensis* (8,617 individuals/18.60%) and *B. exodon* (4,275 individuals/9.23%). In




Figure 2. Relative richness per order in localities sampled at Porto Murtinho Pantanal, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, from February 2009 through January 2011. AR= Amongujá River; PR= Paraguay River; CL= Criminosa Lake; FL= Flores Lake.

Table 1. List of species and occurrences in localities sampled in Porto Murtinho Pantanal from February 2009 through January 2011. AR= Amongujá River; PR= Paraguay River; CL= Criminosa Lake; FL= Flores Lake.

Species	AR	PR	CL	FL
Rajiformes				
Potamotrygonidae				
<i>Potamotrygon brachyura</i> (Günther, 1880)	-	X	-	-
Lepidosireniformes				
Lepidosirenidae				
<i>Lepidosiren paradoxa</i> Fitzinger, 1837	-	X	-	-
Characiformes				
Anastomidae				
<i>Abramites hypselonotus</i> (Günther, 1868)	X	X	X	X
<i>Leporinus friderici</i> (Bloch, 1794)	X	X	X	X
<i>Leporinus lacustris</i> Campos, 1945	X	X	X	X
<i>Leporinus macrocephalus</i> Garavello and Britski, 1988	X	X	X	X
<i>Leporinus obtusidens</i> (Valenciennes, 1836)	-	X	-	-
<i>Leporinus striatus</i> Kner, 1858	X	X	X	X
<i>Leporinus</i> sp.	X	X	X	X
<i>Schizodon borellii</i> (Boulenger, 1900)	X	X	X	X
<i>Schizodon isognathus</i> Kner, 1858	-	X	-	-
Characidae				
<i>Acestrorhynchus pantaneiro</i> Menezes, 1992	X	X	X	-
<i>Aphyocharax dentatus</i> Eigenmann and Kennedy, 1903	X	X	X	X
<i>Aphyocharax rathbuni</i> Eigenmann, 1907	X	X	X	-
<i>Aphyocharax paraguayensis</i> Eigenmann, 1915	X	X	X	X
<i>Aphyocharax anisitsi</i> Eigenmann and Kennedy, 1903	X	X	X	X
<i>Astyanax asuncionensis</i> Géry, 1972	X	X	X	X
<i>Astyanax pellegrini</i> Eigenmann, 1907	X	X	X	X
<i>Astyanax marione</i> Eigenmann, 1911	-	X	-	-
<i>Bryconamericus exodon</i> (Eigenmann, 1907)	X	X	X	X
<i>Charax leticiae</i> Lucena, 1987	X	X	-	-
<i>Clupeocharax anchoveoides</i> Pearson, 1924	X	X	X	-
<i>Cynopotamus</i> sp.	-	X	X	-
<i>Engraulisoma taeniatum</i> (Castro, 1981)	-	X	-	X
<i>Galeocharax humeralis</i> Valenciennes, 1834	X	X	X	X
<i>Gymnocorymbus ternetzi</i> (Boulenger, 1895)	X	-	-	X

Table 1. Continued...

Species	AR	PR	CL	FL
<i>Hemigrammus marginatus</i> Ellis, 1911	X	X	X	-
<i>Hemigrammus lunatus</i> Durbin, 1918	X	X	-	-
<i>Hyphessobrycon eques</i> (Steindachner, 1882)	X	X	X	X
<i>Jupiaba acanthogaster</i> (Eigenmann, 1911)	-	X	-	-
<i>Markiana nigripinnis</i> (Perugia, 1891)	X	X	X	-
<i>Metynnis maculatus</i> (Kner, 1858)	-	X	-	-
<i>Mylossoma paraguayensis</i> Norman, 1928	X	X	X	-
<i>Moenkhausia forestii</i> Benine, Mariguela and Oliveira, 2009	X	X	X	X
<i>Moenkhausia sanctafilomenae</i> (Steindachner, 1907)	X	X	X	X
<i>Moenkhausia dichroua</i> (Kner, 1858)	X	X	X	X
<i>Moenkhausia intermedia</i> Eigenmann, 1908	X	X	-	-
<i>Myloplus levis</i> (Eigenmann and McAtee, 1907)	X	X	X	-
<i>Odontostilbe pequirá</i> (Steindachner, 1882)	X	X	X	X
<i>Odontostilbe paraguayensis</i> Eigenmann and Kennedy, 1903	X	X	X	X
<i>Piabucus melanostoma</i> Holmberg, 1891	X	X	X	-
<i>Poptella paraguayensis</i> (Eigenmann, 1907)	X	X	X	-
<i>Prinobrama paraguayensis</i> (Eigenmann, 1914)	X	X	X	X
<i>Psellogrammus kennedyi</i> (Eigenmann, 1903)	X	X	X	X
<i>Pygocentrus nattereri</i> Kner, 1858	-	X	-	-
<i>Roeboides microlepis</i> Steindachner, 1879	X	X	-	X
<i>Roeboides prognatus</i> Boulenger, 1895	X	X	X	-
<i>Roeboides descalvadensis</i> Fowler, 1932	X	X	X	X
<i>Salminus brasiliensis</i> (Cuvier, 1816)	-	X	-	-
<i>Serrapinus calliura</i> (Boulenger, 1900)	X	X	X	X
<i>Serrasalmus maculatus</i> Kner, 1858	X	X	X	-
<i>Serrasalmus marginatus</i> Valenciennes, 1837	X	X	X	X
<i>Tetragonopterus argenteus</i> (Cuvier, 1816)	X	X	X	X
<i>Triportheus pantanensis</i> (Kner, 1858)	X	X	X	X
<i>Triportheus nematurus</i> (Günther, 1874)	X	X	X	X
Crenuchidae				
<i>Characidium</i> aff. <i>zebra</i> Eigenmann, 1909	X	X	X	X
<i>Characidium laterale</i> (Boulenger, 1895)	X	X	X	X
Curimatidae				
<i>Curimatella dorsalis</i> (Eigenmann and Eigenmann, 1889)	X	X	X	X
<i>Cyphocharax gillii</i> (Eigenmann and Kennedy, 1903)	X	X	X	X
<i>Psectrogaster curviventris</i> Eigenmann and Kennedy, 1903	X	X	X	-
<i>Potamorhina squamoralevis</i> (Braga and Azpelicueta, 1983)	X	X	X	-
<i>Steindachnerina brevipinna</i> (Eigenmann and Eigenmann, 1889)	X	X	X	-
<i>Steindachnerina conspersa</i> (Holmberg, 1891)	X	X	X	-
<i>Steindachnerina nigrotaenia</i> (Boulenger, 1902)	X	X	X	-
Cynodontidae				
<i>Rhaphiodon vulpinus</i> Spix and Agassiz, 1829	X	X	X	-
Engraulididae				
<i>Lycengraulis</i> sp.	X	X	X	X
Erythrinidae				
<i>Hoplias</i> sp.	-	X	-	-
<i>Hoplias malabaricus</i> (Bloch, 1794)	X	X	X	X
<i>Hoplerythrinus unitaeniatus</i> (Spix, 1829)	X	-	-	-
Gasteropelecidae				
<i>Gasteropelecus sternicla</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	-	X	X	-
<i>Thoracocharax stellatus</i> (Kner, 1858)	X	X	X	-
Hemiodontidae				
<i>Hemiodus orthonops</i> (Eigenmann and Kennedy, 1903)	X	X	X	X
Lebiasinidae				

Table 1. Continued...

Species	AR	PR	CL	FL
<i>Pyrrhulina australis</i> Eigenmann and Kennedy, 1903	X	X	X	X
Paradontidae				
<i>Apareiodon affinis</i> (Steindachner, 1879)	X	X	X	-
Prochilodontidae				
<i>Prochilodus lineatus</i> (Valenciennes, 1836)	X	X	X	X
Siluriformes				
Auchenipteridae				
<i>Auchenipterus nigripinnis</i> (Boulenger, 1895)	X	X	-	-
<i>Epapterus dispilurus</i> Cope, 1878	-	X	-	-
<i>Parauchenipterus striatulus</i> (Steindachner, 1876)	X	-	-	X
<i>Parauchenipterus galeatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	X	X	X	X
Aspredinidae				
<i>Bunocephalus doriae</i> Boulenger, 1902	X	X	X	X
Callichthyidae				
<i>Callichthys callichthys</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	-	-	X	-
<i>Corydoras hastatus</i> Eigenmann and Eigenmann, 1888	X	X	X	X
<i>Hoplosternum littorale</i> (Hancock, 1828)	X	-	-	-
<i>Leplosternum pectorale</i> (Boulenger, 1895)	X	-	-	X
Doradidae				
<i>Platydoras armatulus</i> (Valenciennes, 1840)	X	-	-	-
<i>Oxydoras kneri</i> Bleeker, 1862	-	X	-	-
Heptapteridae				
<i>Rhamdia</i> sp. Bleeker, 1858	X	X	X	-
Loricariidae				
<i>Farlowella paraguayensis</i> Retzer and Page, 1997	X	X	-	-
<i>Hemiodontichthys acipenserinus</i> (Kner, 1853)	X	X	-	-
<i>Hypoptopoma inexpectatum</i> (Holmberg, 1893)	X	X	X	X
<i>Hypostomus</i> sp. Lacépède, 1803	X	X	X	X
<i>Hypostomus boulengeri</i> (Eigenmann and Kennedy, 1903)	X	X	-	-
<i>Hypostomus latifrons</i> Weber, 1986	X	X	-	-
<i>Loricariichthys platymetopon</i> Isbrücker and Nijssen, 1979	X	X	X	-
<i>Loricariichthys labialis</i> (Boulenger, 1895)	-	X	X	-
<i>Loricaria</i> sp. Linnaeus, 1758	X	X	-	-
<i>Otocinclus vittatus</i> Regan, 1904	X	X	X	X
<i>Pterygoplichthys ambrosettii</i> (Holmberg, 1893)	-	X	-	-
<i>Pyxiloricaria menezesi</i> Isbrücker and Nijssen, 1984	X	X	-	X
<i>Rineloricaria parva</i> (Boulenger, 1895)	X	X	X	X
<i>Sturisoma barbatum</i> (Kner, 1853)	X	X	-	-
Pimelodidae				
<i>Pimelodella taenioptera</i> Ribeiro, 1914	X	X	X	-
<i>Pimelodella gracilis</i> (Valenciennes, 1840)	-	X	-	-
<i>Pimelodella mucosa</i> Eigenmann and Ward, 1907	-	X	-	-
<i>Pimelodus maculatus</i> Lacépède, 1803	X	X	X	X
<i>Pimelodus misteriosus</i> Azpeliueta, 1998	X	-	-	-
<i>Pimelodus argenteus</i> Perugia, 1891	-	X	-	-
<i>Iheringichthys labrosus</i> (Lütken, 1874)	-	X	-	-
<i>Pinirampu pirinampu</i> (Spix and Agassiz, 1829)	-	X	-	-
<i>Megalonema platanum</i> (Günther, 1880)	X	X	-	-
<i>Sorubim lima</i> (Bloch and Schneider, 1801)	X	X	-	-
<i>Pseudoplatystoma corruscans</i> (Spix and Agassiz, 1829)	X	X	-	-
<i>Zungaro zungaro</i> (Humboldt and Valenciennes, 1821)	X	-	-	-
Trichomycteridae				
<i>Ituglanis eichorniarium</i> (Miranda Ribeiro, 1912)	-	-	X	-

Table 1. Continued...

Species	AR	PR	CL	FL
Gymnotiformes				
Apternotidae				
<i>Apteronotus albifrons</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	X	X	-	X
Gymnotidae				
<i>Gymnotus</i> spp.	X	X	X	-
<i>Gymnotus inaquilabiatu</i> s (Valenciennes, 1839)	X	X	X	-
<i>Gymnotus paraguensis</i> Albert and Crampton, 2003	X	X	-	-
Hypopomidae				
<i>Brachyhypopomus</i> sp. A Mago Leccia, 1994	X	-	X	-
<i>Brachyhypopomus</i> sp. B Mago Leccia, 1994	X	X	X	X
<i>Brachyhypopomus</i> sp. C Mago Leccia, 1994	X	X	X	X
Sternopygidae				
<i>Sternopygus macrurus</i> (Bloch and Schneider, 1801)	X	X	X	X
<i>Eigenmannia trilineata</i> López and Castelo, 1966	X	X	X	X
<i>Eigenmannia virescens</i> (Valenciennes, 1842)	X	X	-	X
Perciformes				
Cichlidae				
<i>Aequidens plagiозonatus</i> Kullander, 1984	X	X	X	X
<i>Apistogramma trifasciata</i> (Eigenmann and Kennedy, 1903)	X	X	X	X
<i>Apistogramma commbrae</i> (Regan, 1906)	X	X	X	X
<i>Apistogramma borellii</i> (Regan, 1906)	X	X	X	X
<i>Astronotus crassipinnis</i> Heckel, 1840	X	-	X	-
<i>Bujurquina vittata</i> (Heckel, 1840)	X	X	X	X
<i>Chaetobranchopsis australis</i> Eigenmann and Ward, 1907	X	X	X	-
<i>Cichla piquiti</i> Kullander and Ferreira, 2006	-	X	-	-
<i>Cichlasoma dimerus</i> (Heckel, 1840)	X	-	-	-
<i>Crenicichla semifasciata</i> (Heckel, 1840)	X	X	X	X
<i>Crenicichla lepidota</i> Heckel, 1840	X	X	X	X
<i>Crenicichla vittata</i> Heckel, 1840	X	X	X	-
<i>Gymnogeophagus balzanii</i> (Perugia, 1891)	X	X	X	-
<i>Mesonauta festivus</i> (Heckel, 1840)	-	-	-	X
<i>Satanoperca pappaterra</i> (Heckel, 1840)	X	-	-	-
Sciaenidae				
<i>Pachyurus bonariensis</i> Steindachner, 1879	-	X	-	-
Beloniformes				
Belonidae				
<i>Potamorhaphis eigenmanni</i> Ribeiro, 1915	X	X	X	-
Cyprinodontiformes				
Rivulidae				
<i>Rivulus punctatus</i> Boulenger, 1895	X	X	X	-
<i>Trigonectes balzanii</i> (Perugia, 1891)	X	-	-	-
Synbranchiformes				
Synbranchidae				
<i>Synbranchus marmoratus</i> Bloch, 1795	X	X	X	X

contrast, 22 species were each represented by only one individual. Thus, the three most abundant species comprised approximately 50% of the total sampled individuals, while 123 species represented individually less than 1%, and together comprised 14.14% of the total sample. Despite the predominance of small species, many of the fishes collected are important for fisheries, including *P. corruscans*

(Pintado), *Z. zungaru* (Jaú), *S. brasiliensis* (Dourado), and *S. lima* (Jurupensen), among others.

Among the species collected, the tucunaré *Cichla piquiti*, an introduction from the Amazon basin, was found in the Paraguay River. Another, *Cynopotamus* sp., was recorded for the first time in the Upper Paraguay River, and its taxonomic status is unknown.

Pimelodus misteriosus, a species of the Paraná basin, was recorded for the first time in the Upper Paraguay River.

Discussion

The fish species associated with aquatic macrophytes in Porto Murtinho Pantanal comprised 53.5% of the total species richness known for the Pantanal (Britski et al. 2007). This shows both the homogeneity in fish species distribution across the Pantanal floodplain, and the importance of aquatic macrophytes for maintenance of fish diversity. Moreover, the predominance of Characiformes and Siluriformes observed follows the Neotropical biogeographic pattern and other studies in the Pantanal (Willink et al. 2000, Suárez et al. 2001, Baginski et al. 2007, Pacheco & Silva 2009, Milani et al. 2010).

The dominance of two small species of Cheirodontinae (*O. pequirá* e *O. paraguayensis*) follows a habitat pattern, since other studies on fishes associated with aquatic macrophytes have yield the same general results (Suárez et al. 2001, Baginski et al. 2007, Pacheco & Silva 2009, Silva et al. 2010). This suggests that the macrophyte habitat is favorable for these species, probably because of the good availability of food and protection from predators. Moreover, the greater dominance of a few species is common in tropical communities. Magurran & Henderson (2003) suggested that abundant/common species are those residing in this habitat, while other species are occasional visitors. This hypothesis can be used to explain our data, in response to the close association between the species found and macrophyte beds. The smaller fish species are possibly more abundant because they are resident in the macrophyte beds.

Also, the occurrence of some commercially important species occurred as juveniles, suggesting that macrophyte beds are also an important habitat for the initial development of larger species, as demonstrated by Delariva et al. (1994) for the Upper Paraná River.

In relation to introduced specie tucunaré *Cichla piquiti*, Resende et al. (2008) defines as a well-established species in the Pantanal, and reported its occurrence from the Piquiri River (northern Pantanal) to the region of Corumbá (central Pantanal). Our data revealed that it occurs approximately 400 km southward, at the limit of the Pantanal (Upper Paraguay River). Therefore, along the main river (the Paraguay), the tucunaré occupies approximately 70% of the north-south axis of the Pantanal, although its occurrence in tributaries of the Paraguay River has not been evaluated, nor has its influence on aquatic communities.

The specimens of *Cynopotamus* sp. collected are of unknown taxonomic status; they differ from others reported from the Pantanal, although they may have been recorded in the Middle Paraguay River. Only after careful analysis can we determine if this record is a geographical expansion of distribution, or an undescribed species. Complementarily, *P. misteriosus* is a species described from the Paraná basin, and is here first recorded in the Pantanal.

In conclusion, the fish diversity associated with aquatic macrophytes in Porto Murtinho Pantanal is composed by small-sized species, with some juveniles and adults of larger species occurring in different frequencies. Some species were recorded for the first time in the Pantanal (*Cynopotamus* sp. and *P. misteriosus*), or the record represents an expansion of the known distribution (e.g., *C. piquiti*). Finally, the great fish diversity, typical of the Pantanal, suggests the fundamental importance of macrophyte beds for fish and fisheries ecology.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Centro de Pesquisa do Pantanal (CPP/MCT), Fundect, UEMS for their financial, personnel, and logistical support. We are indebted to Ediléia A. Silva, Maiane J. Pereira, Mariane I. Santos, Marcelo M. Souza, Marlon C. Pereira, Gabriela S. V. Duarte, Patricia L. Rondon and William F. Antonialli Júnior for help in the field work. Y. R. Suárez is supported by productivity grants from CNPq.

References

- BAGINSKI, L.J., FLORENTINO, A.C., FERNANDES, I.M., PENHA, J.M.F. & MATEUS, L.A.F. 2007. A dimensão espacial e temporal da diversidade de peixes da zona litoral vegetada de lagoas marginais da planície de inundação do rio Cuiabá, Pantanal, Brasil. *Biota Neotrop.* 7(3): <http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v7n3/pt/abstract?article+bn04007032007> (último acesso em 27/08/2012).
- BRITSKI, H.A., SILIMON, K.Z. & LOPES, B.S. 2007. Peixes do Pantanal: Manual de Identificação. 2. ed. Embrapa, Brasília, 227p.
- BULLA, C.K., GOMES, L.C., MIRANDA, L.E. & AGOSTINHO, A.A. 2011. The ichthyofauna of drifting macrophyte mats in the Ivinhema River, upper Paraná River basin, Brazil. *Neotrop. Ichthyol.* 9(2):403-409. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1679-62252011005000021>
- CASATTI, L., MENDES, H.F. & FERREIRA, K.M. 2003. Aquatic macrophytes as feeding site for small fishes in the Rosana Reservoir, Paranapanema River, Southeastern Brazil. *Braz. J. Biol.* 63(2):213-222. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1519-69842003000200006>
- DELARIVA, R.L., AGOSTINHO, A.A., NAKATANI, K. & BAUMGARTNER, G. 1994. Ichthyofauna associated to aquatic macrophytes in the upper Parana River floodplain. *Rev. Unimar* 3:41-60.
- FERNANDES, I.M., MACHADO, F.A. & PENHA, J.M.F. 2010. Spatial pattern of a fish assemblage in a seasonal tropical wetland: effects of habitat, herbaceous plant biomass, water depth, and distance from species sources. *Neotrop. Ichthyol.* 8(2):289-298. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1679-62252010000200007>
- GOMES, L.C., BULLA, C.K., AGOSTINHO, A.A., VASCONCELOS, L.P. & MIRANDA, L.E. 2012. Fish assemblage dynamics in a Neotropical floodplain relative to aquatic macrophytes and the homogenizing effect of a flood pulse. *Hydrobiologia* 685:97-107. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10750-011-0870-6>
- LOURENÇO, L.S., FERNANDES, I.M., PENHA, J.M.F. & MATEUS, L.A.F. 2012. Persistence and stability of cichlid assemblages in neotropical floodplain lagoons. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 93:427-437. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10641-011-9933-9>
- LOWE-McCONNELL, R.H. 1999. Estudos Ecológicos de Comunidades de Peixes Tropicais. Edusp, São Paulo, 534p.
- MACHADO-ALLISON, A. 1990. Ecología de los peces de las áreas inundables de los llanos de Venezuela. *Interciencia* 15:411-423.
- MAGURRAN, A.E. & HENDERSON, P.A. 2003. Explaining the excess of rare species in natural species abundance distributions. *Nature* 422:714-716. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01547>
- MILANI, V., MACHADO, F.A. & SILVA, V.C.F. 2010. Assembléias de peixes associados às macrófitas aquáticas em ambientes alagáveis do Pantanal de Poconé, MT, Brasil. *Biota Neotrop.* 10(2): <http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v10n2/en/abstract?article+bn02310022010> (último acesso em 28/08/2012).
- OLIVER, M.K. & McKAYE, K.R. 1982. Floating islands – a means of fish dispersal in Lake Malawi, Africa. *Copeia* 1982:748-754. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1444082>
- PACHECO, E.B. & SILVA, C.J. 2009. Fish associated with aquatic macrophytes in the Chacororé-Sinhá Mariana lake system and Mutum River, Pantanal of Mato Grosso, Brazil. *Braz. J. Biol.* 69(1):101-108. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1519-69842009000100012>

Fish species associated with aquatic macrophytes

- PELICICE, F.M., AGOSTINHO, A.A. & THOMAZ, S.M. 2005. Fish assemblages associated with *Egeria* in a tropical reservoir: investigating the effects of plant biomass and diel period. *Acta Oecol.* 27:9-16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2004.08.004>
- PETRY, P., BAYLEY, P.B. & MARKLE, D.F. 2003. Relationships between fish assemblages, macrophytes and environmental gradients in the Amazon River Floodplain. *J. Fish Biol.* 63:547-579. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1095-8649.2003.00169.x>
- RESENDE, E.K., MARQUES, D.K. & FERREIRA, L.K.S.G. 2008. A successful case of biological invasion: the fish *Cichla piquiti*, an Amazonian species introduced into the Pantanal, Brazil. *Braz. J. Biol.* 68(4):799-805. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1519-69842008000400014>
- ROBINSON, C.T., TOCKNER, K. & WARD, J.V. 2002. The fauna of dynamic riverine landscapes. *Freshwater Biol.* 47:661-677. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2002.00921.x>
- SÁNCHEZ-BOTERO, J.I. & ARAÚJO-LIMA, C.A.R.M. 2001. As macrófitas aquáticas como berçário para a ictiofauna da várzea do rio Amazonas. *Acta Amazon.* 31(3):437-447.
- SILVA, H.P., PETRY, A.C. & SILVA, C.J. 2010. Fish communities of the Pantanal wetland in Brazil: evaluating the effects of the upper Paraguay River flood pulse on *bata* Caiçara fish fauna. *Aquat. Ecol.* 44:275-288. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10452-009-9289-9>
- SILVA, J.S.V. & ABDON, M.M. 1998. Delimitação do Pantanal brasileiro e suas sub-regiões. *Pesqui. Agropecu. Bras.* 33:1703-1711.
- SÚAREZ, Y.R., PETRERE-JÚNIOR, M. & CATELLA, A.C. 2001. Factors determining the structure of fish communities in Pantanal lagoons (MS, Brazil). *Fisheries Manag. Ecol.* 8(2):173-186. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2400.2001.00236.x>
- SÚAREZ, Y.R., PETRERE-JÚNIOR, M. & CATELLA, A.C. 2004. Factors regulating diversity and abundance of fish communities in Pantanal lagoons, Brazil. *Fisheries Manag. Ecol.* 11(1):45-50. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2400.2004.00347.x>
- WARD, J.V., TOCKNER, K. & SCHIEMER, F. 1999. Biodiversity of floodplain river ecosystems: ecotones and connectivity. *Regul. River.* 15:125-139. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1646\(199901/06\)15:1/3%3C125::AID-RRR523%3E3.0.CO;2-E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1646(199901/06)15:1/3%3C125::AID-RRR523%3E3.0.CO;2-E)
- WELCOMME, R.L. 1985. River Fisheries. Food and Agriculture Organization Fisheries Technical Paper, v.262, p.1-330.
- WILLINK, P.W., FROELICH, O., MACHADO-ALISSON, A.M., MENEZES, N.A., OYAKAWA, O.T., CATELLA, A.C., CHEMOFF, B., LIMA, F.C.T., TOLEDO-PIZA, M., ORTEGA, H., ZANATA, A.M. & BARRIGA, R. 2000. Fishes of the rios Negro, Negrinho, Taboco, Aquidauana, Taquari, and Miranda, Pantanal, Brasil: Diversity, distribution, critical habitats and value. In *Biological Assessment of the Aquatic Ecosystems of the Pantanal, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brasil* (P.W. Willink, B. Chemoff, L.E. Alonso, J.R. Montambault & R.A. Lourival). Conservation International, Washington, p.63-81. RAP Bulletin of Biological Assessment. n.18.

Received 09/03/2012

Revised 04/11/2013

Accepted 05/20/2013