Integrated crop-livestock versus conventional systems: use of soil indicators to detect short-term changes during seasonal variation

Nayane Jaqueline Costa Maia1,*, Mara Cristina Pessôa da Cruz4, José Carlos Batista Dubeux Junior2, Leonardo Sartori Menegatto3, Jeferson Garcia Augusto3, Gabriela Geraldi Mendonça4, Maira Caroline Terçariol1, Joyce Graziella Oliveira3, Flávia Fernanda Simili3

1. Universidade Estadual Paulista "Júlio de Mesquita Filho" – Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias – Jaboticabal (SP), Brazil.
2. University of Florida – Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences – North Florida Research and Education Center – Marianna (FL), United States of America.
3. Instituto de Zootecnia – Centro de Pesquisa de Bovinos de Corte – Sertãozinho (SP), Brazil.
4. Universidade de São Paulo – Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia – Pirassununga (SP), Brazil.

Received: Apr. 30, 2021 | Accepted: Sep. 13, 2021
Section Editor: Hector Velenzuela
*Corresponding author: nayane.maia1@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Soil quality indicators may have different seasonal trends during the year. The hypothesis of this study was that sowing maize and marandu palisadegrass with the presence of grazing animals might cause short-term changes in soil indicator responses. We compared four intercropping techniques: ICLS-1: maize plus marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously, without herbicide; ICLS-2: maize plus marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously, with herbicide; ICLS-3: maize plus marandu palisadegrass in lagged sowing (i.e., marandu palisadegrass sown 20 days after maize sowing); ICLS-4: maize plus marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously (palisadegrass on the rows and inter-rows, with herbicide). Two control treatments in monoculture (i.e., grass and maize monocultures) represented the conventional systems. The soil was sampled three times over a one-year period, for the evaluation of carbon and nitrogen fractions as affected by seasonal variability. Results indicated that ICLS-1 and ICLS-4 enhanced soil quality more quickly during the seasonal variation. The ICLS-2 negatively affected microbial biomass (22%), resulting in reduced labile carbon (43%), carbon management index (33%), and potentially mineralizable nitrogen (20%). Labile carbon and the carbon management index were more sensitive and efficient indicators for verifying soil quality changes in the short-term. Results indicated that there is large variability in these soil indicators during the annual cycle, and further studies are needed to verify the effects of the tested systems on different soil nitrogen fractions and how they affect available nitrogen in the short-term. However, potentially mineralizable nitrogen can provide positive insights for short-term changes in the soil.
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INTRODUCTION

Soil quality can be affected by sowing method, vegetation cover, and performance of integrated crops (Silva et al. 2014). Studies have shown that intercropping cereal grains and grasses in the implementation of integrated crop-livestock systems (ICLS) has provided improvements in soil quality compared to conventional systems (Coser et al. 2016; Viaud et al. 2018). In general, the intercrop is established with simultaneous sowing of corn plus grass, and the forage grass seed is mixed with the fertilizer (Kluthcouski and Adair 2003; Mateus et al. 2007). In some cases, it is necessary to apply underdosing of herbicides such as nicosulfuron to reduce the competition of forage with corn, so that there is no loss in grain production (Jakelaitis et al. 2005; Freitas et al. 2008).
Thus, these crop interactions above the ground might result in direct or indirect effects in several attributes of soil fertility (Loss et al. 2013). However, the evaluation of these interactions is a challenge regarding the sustainability assessments of these systems (Rakkar et al. 2017), especially when there is cattle interacting with the cropping systems. The study of rapid methods to assess soil quality may provide a thorough evaluation of these systems with high sensitivity (Chi et al. 2017).

One of the most striking characteristics of ICLS is the oscillation of soil organic carbon (C) and soil organic nitrogen (N) during the rotation and seasonal variability, as they decrease during the annual cultivation phase and recover very fast during the perennial pasture phase (Galindo et al. 2020). Soil N mineralization forecasts are of particular interest in agricultural systems (Osterholz et al. 2017), because they can identify management practices that increase soil N cycling rates in the short term, as well as indicating systems that are more effective in increasing soil quality and improving environmental performance (Ghimire et al. 2019).

However, there is still much that is not understood about how different integrated system arrangements alter microbial communities and labile fractions involved in soil N cycling. Therefore, a soil assessment in areas with this system requires globalized and integrated approaches, considering all the organic inputs into the system and their functions in the soil (Dumont et al. 2013).

It is commonly accepted that the soil organic C and N reservoir is made up of a large reservoir that changes very slowly and of small reservoirs that change very rapidly (Fierer et al. 2009). However, it is believed that these small reservoirs are less protected and, therefore, less able to respond to changes in temperature, soil moisture, plant growth, and animal residues, all of which follow a seasonal pattern (Wuest 2014; Omer et al. 2018). Most previous studies examining seasonal trends have tended to measure respiration and microbial factors, while very few analyzed labile forms of C and N in the soil. Furthermore, soil systems or treatments may have different seasonal trends during the production cycle.

Although there are many studies on the benefits of ICLS on soil quality indicators, there is still limited information on how the consortium of maize and palisadegrass pastures in these systems might affect soil quality during the seasonal cycle. Thus, an unanswered question is if sowing strategy could provide better results for C and N indicators in the soil, or how these systems can improve soil quality compared with conventional ones of maize monocultures and pastures with respect to seasonal variation.

In this study, we investigated the effects of conventional systems with monocultures, as well as different sowing arrangements for the implementation of ICLS, on soil C and N indicators. The hypothesis of this study is that the sowing of maize and marandu palisadegrass with the presence of grazing animals might cause short-term changes in soil indicator responses. It is expected that, by increasing the soil quality, we can recommend the best arrangement of sowing and choose more sensitive and efficient indicators to facilitate the production processes of pastures in ICLS.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Site description

The experiment was conducted between November 2015 and January 2018 at Centro de Pesquisa de Bovinos de Corte, Instituto de Zootecnia/Agência Paulista de Tecnologia dos Agronegócios (APTA)/Secretaria de Agricultura e Abastecimento de São Paulo (SAA), Sertãozinho, São Paulo, Brazil (21°8’16"S and 47°59’25"W). The climate of the region according to the Köppen classification is Aw, characterized as humid tropical with a rainy season during summer and a dry season in winter. The study of soil quality indicators was performed between March 2017 and January 2018 (Fig. 1).

The soil of the experimental area is classified as clayey dystrophic red latosol (Santos et al. 2018), equivalent to an oxisol, according to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) soil classification (Bockheim et al. 2014). Before the implementation of this study, this area was managed for two consecutive years with corn to produce silage. Prior to this period, it was an area of extensive grazing system (livestock pasture using grass monoculture system) for 20 years. At the beginning of the experiment (November 2015), the soil had the attributes described in Table 1. The methods of soil fertility used in these analyses are described in Raij et al. (2001).
Soil indicators and short-term changes

Figure 1. Scheme of activities and weather data obtained from the meteorological station located at Centro de Pesquisa de Bovinos de Corte, Instituto de Zootecnia/Agência Paulista de Tecnologia dos Agronegócios (APTA)/Secretaria de Agricultura e Abastecimento de São Paulo (SAA), Sertãozinho, São Paulo, Brazil.

Table 1. Initial soil analysis of the experiment area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>OM</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Total acidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td>g dm⁻³</td>
<td>CaCl₂</td>
<td>mmolc dm⁻³</td>
<td>mmolc dm⁻³</td>
<td>mmolc dm⁻³</td>
<td>mmolc dm⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20 cm</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OM: organic matter; pH: 0.01 mol.L⁻¹ of a CaCl₂ solution.

Experimental design

The experiment was carried out in a field of 16.02 ha divided into 18 paddocks of 0.89 ha each, organized in a randomized block design with six treatments and three replications. Treatments consisted of four intercropping sowing techniques between maize and marandu palisadegrass for the establishment of ICLS (ICLS-1, ICLS-2, ICLS-3, and ICLS-4), and two monoculture sowings (control treatments) representing the conventional systems: crop system (maize grain production, CS) and livestock system (beef cattle in grass monoculture pasture, LS). Sowing techniques took into consideration spatial
arrangements (row or inter-row), grass sowing (simultaneous sowing or lagged sowing), and herbicide application (with and without). The four intercropping sowing techniques were:

- Maize plus marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously, without herbicide (ICLS-1);
- Maize plus marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously, with herbicide (ICLS-2);
- Maize plus marandu palisadegrass in lagged sowing (ICLS-3);
- Maize plus marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously in maize rows and inter-rows, with herbicide (ICLS-4).

All treatments were sown in December 2015, using a no-till planter with five rows.

Crop management

In the CS, Pioneer P2830H maize (Zea mays L.) was sown with a 75-cm row spacing and a seed density of 70,000 plants.ha⁻¹. At the time of sowing, 32 kg.ha⁻¹ of urea, 112 kg.ha⁻¹ of single superphosphate, and 64 kg.ha⁻¹ of potassium chloride were applied. In addition, 80 kg.ha⁻¹ of urea, and 80 kg.ha⁻¹ of potassium chloride were applied as side dress on the maize crop 20 days after sowing. Maize was planted for two consecutive years (December 2015 and December 2016), providing two harvests of maize grain (May 2016 and 2017) (Fig. 1). The field was left fallow between one harvest and the other.

In the LS, Urochloa brizantha (Hoechst. ex A. Rich.) R. D. Webster cv. marandu palisadegrass (syn. Brachiaria brizantha cv. marandu) pasture was sown with a 37.5-cm row spacing, with a seeding rate of 3.8 kg.ha⁻¹ of pure live seed. The marandu palisadegrass seeds were mixed with the sowing fertilizer: 32 kg.ha⁻¹ of urea, 112 kg.ha⁻¹ of single superphosphate, and 64 kg.ha⁻¹ of potassium chloride. In addition, 40 kg.ha⁻¹ of urea, 10 kg.ha⁻¹ of single superphosphate, and 40 kg.ha⁻¹ of potassium chloride were applied in the pasture in October 2016 and March 2017. Ninety days after sowing, the pasture was ready for grazing (March 2016). Three continuous stocking cycles were performed: the first cycle between March and April 2016 (30 days), the second between August and October 2016 (78 days), and the third between November 2016 and December 2017 (370 days).

In the ICLS, four types of intercropping of marandu palisadegrass and maize were studied. The same cultivar, row spacing, seeding rate, and fertilizers were performed as described for the CS, and the same seeding rate and fertilizers as described for the LS were used for all integrated systems. In ICLS-1, marandu palisadegrass was sown simultaneously with maize in the sowing row, resulting in a spacing of 75 cm between rows. In ICLS-2, simultaneous sowing was also performed equal to ICLS-1, but 20 days after maize emergence 200 mL ha⁻¹ of the herbicide nicosulfuron (8 g.ha⁻¹ of active ingredient) was applied, using an agricultural sprayer machine. In ICLS-3, the marandu palisadegrass was sown 20 days after maize sowing (lagged sowing). For this purpose, the grass seed was mixed in the fertilizer with the second fertilization and inter-row sowing was performed using a minimum cultivator.

In ICLS-4, simultaneous sowing of marandu palisadegrass and maize was performed, but the grass seeds were sown within and between maize rows, resulting in a spacing of 37.5 cm between rows. In the ICLS-4 system, the sowing fertilizer and the total amount of grass seed were divided among the maize intra- and inter-rows, aiming to provide a mixture of grass seeds and fertilizer. In addition, 200 mL.ha⁻¹ of the herbicide nicosulfuron (8 g.ha⁻¹ of active ingredient) was applied 20 days after maize emerged. The underdose of the herbicide nicosulfuron applied in ICLS-2 and ICLS-4 was used to suppress the growth of marandu palisadegrass and to decrease competition with corn. The aim of this sub-dose technique was to improve grain productivity. The difference between ICLS-2 and ICLS-4 treatments was the space between rows in pasture planting.

In all integrated systems, the maize was harvested in May 2016 (Fig. 1). Ninety days after harvest, the pastures were ready for grazing. Two continuous stocking cycles were performed: the first cycle was between August and October 2016 (78 days), and the second cycle between November 2016 and December 2017 (370 days).

Soil indicators and sampling

Soil samplings were collected from 0-10 and 10-20 cm layers, in 10 subsamples per experimental plot. After sampling, the soils were transported to the soil fertility laboratory of the Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho” (UNESP), School of Agricultural and Veterinarian Sciences, Jaboticabal city, Brazil.
Carbon indicators

The C in the soil microbial biomass (MBC) was determined by irradiation in a microwave oven for 2 min (Monz et al. 1991). Subsequently, irradiated and non-irradiated samples were extracted with a K2SO4 solution (0.5 mol.L⁻¹) (Silva et al. 2007). From the extract, 8 mL were used to determine the microbial biomass of the soil, by means of humid combustion (Walkley et al. 1934), with adaptations suggested by Raij et al. (2001).

The total organic carbon (TOC) was determined according to the method outlined by Walkley et al. (1934). The oxidized C was determined using the method described by Weil et al. (2003). From both the oxidized labile C by permanganate (LC) and the TOC in the soil, it was possible to calculate the carbon management index (CMI) of the soil, according to Blair et al. (1995), as described in the Eqs. 1 to 4:

\[ CMI = CPI \times LI \times 100 \]  
\[ CPI = \frac{TOC_{system}}{TOC_{reference}} \]  
\[ L = \frac{L_{system}}{L_{reference}} \]  
\[ LI = \frac{C_{labile}}{C_{non-labile}} \]

CMI: C management index; CPI: C pool index; LI: lability index; TOC_{system}: soil organic C in a certain treatment; TOC_{reference}: the soil organic C of the reference treatment (native forested area); L_{system}: lability (L) of C in the soil in a certain treatment; L_{reference}: lability of C in the reference treatment; C_{labile}: concentration of labile C in the soil of the treatment; C_{non-labile}: concentration of non-labile C in the soil of the treatment.

The reference treatment was a native forest area close to the treatment site (system). In this area, soil samples were collected at the same period and depths as in the treatment systems.

Nitrogen indicators

The N from the soil microbial biomass (MBN) was determined by the irradiation method in a microwave oven for 2 min (Monz et al. 1991). Then, irradiated and non-irradiated samples were extracted with a K2SO4 solution (0.5 mol.L⁻¹) (Silva et al. 2007). A 10-mL aliquot was taken from the extract for N determination, using the digestion-distillation-titration method, described and simplified by Silva et al. (2007).

The total organic nitrogen (TON) was measured by an adaptation of the method suggested by Raij et al. (2001). The potentially mineralizable nitrogen (PMN) was extracted by alkaline hydrolysis using the direct steam distillation method (DSD) (Roberts et al. 2009). In this case, the determination of N was made as described for TON.

Statistical analysis

Randomized blocks in a split-split-plot design were used. The model included the effect of blocks (three blocks), treatments (six systems), soil depth (two depths), and evaluation periods (three periods). The production systems were considered the main factor, while depth and sampling periods as secondary factors. The data were submitted to a variance analysis (ANOVA) and, when significant differences were found, an unfolding of the data was made, with means being compared by the Tukey’s test (α = 0.05).

Principal components analysis (PCA) was used as a dimensional reduction method to describe the relationship between all the soil indicators evaluated. The Kaiser criterion (1960) was used to choose the principal components that explained most of the variation in the data set. All statistical analyses were performed in Python 3.8 and Statistica 7 software.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Carbon indicators assessing soil quality

The different sowings of the ICLS had no significant difference in relation to CS and LS treatments, on the MBC and CMI (Fig. 2). However, the LS treatment obtained the least LC concentration, compared to the other systems evaluated (Fig. 2c). MBC was greater in the 0-10 cm layer (Table 2), and greater C contents of this microbial fraction were obtained in treatments CS, ICLS-1, and ICLS-4 (Fig. 2a). However, these treatments were only statistically significant, with respect to ICLS-2. In the seasonal variability studied, we found that MBC and MBN correlate approximately 60% with the concentration of total organic C pools and PMN in ICLS-1 (Table 3). These values suggest a greater increase of the microbial community in this treatment during the seasonal cycle, and with that a short-term increase of active N and C pools in the soil (Strickland et al. 2019).

Table 2. Carbon and nitrogen indicators of soil quality, as a function of soil depths and sampling periods (means ± SEM, n = 108)*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Total organic (g.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Microbial biomass (mg.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Labile (mg.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Carbon management index</th>
<th>Total Organic (mg.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Potentially mineralizable (mg.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>C/N</th>
<th>Soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm</td>
<td>20.73a ± 0.22</td>
<td>29.86a ± 4.26</td>
<td>729.7a ± 29.00</td>
<td>66.01a ± 18.96</td>
<td>2.44a ± 0.00</td>
<td>177.22a ± 35.14</td>
<td>15.28a ± 0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20 cm</td>
<td>20.69a ± 0.11</td>
<td>26.48b ± 4.72</td>
<td>698.0a ± 19.00</td>
<td>64.54a ± 19.03</td>
<td>2.36a ± 0.00</td>
<td>179.92a ± 35.23</td>
<td>14.63a ± 0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Total organic (g.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Microbial biomass (mg.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Labile (mg.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Carbon management index</th>
<th>Total Organic (mg.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Potentially mineralizable (mg.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>C/N</th>
<th>Soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar./2017</td>
<td>20.39a ± 0.13</td>
<td>29.24a ± 5.61</td>
<td>761.08a ± 19.0</td>
<td>67.4a ± 18.57</td>
<td>2.49a ± 0.00</td>
<td>176.74ab ± 26.98</td>
<td>15.58a ± 0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct./2017</td>
<td>20.92a ± 0.25</td>
<td>28.91a ± 3.55</td>
<td>796.4a ± 21.0</td>
<td>70.92a ± 16.79</td>
<td>2.33b ± 0.00</td>
<td>190.95a ± 29.26</td>
<td>14.93a ± 0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan./2018</td>
<td>20.72a ± 0.28</td>
<td>26.35a ± 4.66</td>
<td>584.13b ± 19.6</td>
<td>57.06b ± 9.53</td>
<td>2.38ab ± 0.00</td>
<td>168.03b ± 26.87</td>
<td>14.36a ± 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by similar letters column-wise were not statistically different according to the Tukey test at a 5% probability level; P: significance; CV: coefficient of variation; SEM: standard error of mean (Blair et al. 1995).

It is possible that the addition of the herbicide nicosulfuron in ICLS-2 acted by reducing the concentration of microbial biomass C in the soil by 25% (Karpouzas et al. 2014a; Karpouzas et al. 2014b). In the other treatments, such reduction was less than 10% (LS and ICLS-3). The proportion of MBC represents 17% of the LC and 23% of the total organic C pools of ICLS-4, while ICLS-2 represents a negative correlation of more than 40% in these indicators (Table 3), possibly caused by the addition of the herbicide. Even though the herbicide was also applied in ICLS-4, the sowing arrangement in rows and inter-rows may have mitigated the herbicide’s effect on the soil microbial community, diluting damages in function of a greater spatial distribution of the grass. Moreover, even after the application of nicosulfuron, the presence of different species and the increase in plant density provided more sources of energy and C in the soil that may have mitigated the herbicide effects, due to the increase in soil coverage and the number of roots that help to increase the microbial biomass (Freitas et al. 2018).

Table 3. Correlation (r) between LC, PMN, TOC, total organic N, and MBC and MBN in treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>LC/TOC</th>
<th>MBC/TOC</th>
<th>MBC/LC</th>
<th>PMN/TON</th>
<th>MBN/TON</th>
<th>MBN/PMN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICLS-1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICLS-2</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICLS-3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICLS-4</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LC: labile C; PMN: potentially mineralizable N; TOC: total organic C; TON: total organic N; MBC: microbial biomass C; MBN: microbial biomass N; CS: crop monoculture system; LS: livestock pasture monoculture system; ICLS-1: maize and marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously, without herbicide; ICLS-2: maize and marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously, with herbicide; ICLS-3: maize and marandu palisadegrass in lagged sowing; ICLS-4: maize and marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously in maize rows and inter-rows, with herbicide.
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Figure 2. Soil carbon indicators to assess soil quality as a function of management system*.

MBC: soil microbial biomass carbon; TOC: total organic carbon; LC: labile carbon; CMI: carbon management index; CS: crop monoculture system; LS: livestock pasture monoculture system; ICLS-1: maize and marandu paspalagrass sown simultaneously, without herbicide; ICLS-2: maize and marandu paspalagrass sown simultaneously, with herbicide; ICLS-3: maize and marandu paspalagrass in lagged sowing; ICLS-4: maize and marandu paspalagrass sown simultaneously in maize rows and inter-rows, with herbicide; — (internal): the second quartile or median; : the first and third quartiles; and : third and first quartiles up to the upper and lower limits; ∆: values of the means; max: maximum and minimum values; *means followed by similar letters do not differ according to the Tukey test at a 5% probability level.

The analysis of variance indicated significant effects on sampling period and systems for C, but there was no interaction between them (Table 2). A lower concentration of LC was observed in LS, with a 15% difference from other treatments (Fig. 2c). In comparison with TOC, the oxidized LC estimates a C pool that is more closely associated to the biological and labile functions of the soil, such as nutrient cycling (Duval et al. 2018). The CMI of the soil is dependent on the C existing in the microbial biomass (Fig. 2d), and thus in ICLS-2 the CMI was significantly reduced with the addition of the herbicide (33% difference) in comparison to the other integrated systems (Fig. 2a), which confirms the high negative correlation value observed between these indicators (Table 3) (Duval et al. 2018; Bongiorno et al. 2019).

Studies comparing labile fractions of C between crop-livestock integrated systems and conventional systems found that the greater the input of residues in the soil, the greater the LC and the CMI are in ICLS treatments, regardless of grazing intensity (Loss et al. 2013). Areas with greater organic inputs are likely to be the ones that present greater efficiency of soil microbial biomass (SMB) (Ghimire et al. 2019).

Soil sampling dates affected the contents of LC and the CMI (Table 2). The concentrations of these indicators were lower in the soil sampled in January 2018 (Fig. 1), possibly because of greater rainfall during this month (144 mm). It is possible that the microbial populations and soil respiration were more active throughout this rainy period. Additionally, compounds containing LC of the soil organic matter can be mineralized more rapidly in the soil surface, in comparison to deeper layers, causing reduction in the LC by being more rapidly oxidized or converted into TOC in the soil (Wang et al. 2017).

Nitrogen indicators to assess soil quality

The principal effects of N indicators are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 3. Interactions were significant only for MBN, C/N-SMB, and PMN/TON, which are presented in Table 4. The CS treatment resulted in less concentration of MBN in the soil, in comparison to the treatments with livestock, which differed among themselves (ICLS-3 and ICLS-4; Fig. 3c). Both treatments containing livestock were 30% more efficient in increasing N content in the MBN. Continuous supply of plant...
and animal residues to the soil might have affected these responses, improving survival and proliferation of microorganisms, leading to a consequent increase of the SMB (Rakkar et al. 2017; Duval et al. 2018).

The plots with the CS showed the largest C/N-SMB. In contrast, the ICLS plots were not different from the plots that had animals grazing (LS; Fig. 3d). The presence of livestock in these treatments may have benefited soil quality, because the processes of C and N transformation in the soil may occur faster during the seasonal cycle by having a greater supply of organic N relative to organic C (Galindo et al. 2020).

The CS and ICLS-1 increased the PMN, while in the other systems this indicator was approximately 20% lower (Fig. 3e). These results indicate that among the studied sowing arrangements in ICLS, the treatment ICLS-1 could recover a greatest share of N in short term. This likely occurred because the LC and PMN pools accounted for 21 and 18%, respectively, of the total organic C and N pools of ICLS-1 (Table 3).

For the conditions of our study, we found that PMN correlates with MBN concentration. The ICLS-1 treatment had the greatest correlation value (62%) between these indicators, followed by LS (56%) (Table 3). These values suggest that MBN contributes significantly to PMN in these treatments, especially in ICLS-1. The greater proportion of active pools of N pools for TON suggests faster turnover of soil organic matter in CS and ICLS-1 than in the other treatments (Fig. 3f). These results can be confirmed with the increase in PMN/TON over the seasonal cycle of CS and ICLS-1, ranging from 71 to 108 and from 65 to 120, respectively (Table 4). The observed correlation between organic inputs and PMN is further supported, because the faster turnover of organic residues may translate into greater short-term N availability during the seasonal cycle (Wuest 2014; Omer et al. 2018; Strickland et al. 2019). Using these ratios may be useful for assessing changes in soil quality during seasonal variability.

Throughout the experiment, LC contents were greater in soil samplings performed from October to March, which was reflected in an increased CMI during this period (Table 2). Treatments ICLS-1, ICLS-3 and ICLS-4 provided an increase in MBN, in the 10-20 cm depth. However, ICLS-4 was not statistically different from ICLS-2 at this depth (Table 4). Unlike conventional systems, this fraction of N in the crop-livestock integrating systems maintained the same concentration in the second sampled soil layer. This behavior indicates more benefits related to the crop consortium and to the introduction of livestock in the system. Nevertheless, long-term research is needed to explore these management strategies with ICLS and its potential to improve soil quality in the deeper soil layers below 20 cm.

### Table 4. MBN as a function of soil depth and periods; C/N-SMB as a function of depth and periods; relationships between PMN and TON as a function of periods. Values are presented as mean*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>MBN (mg·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>C/N - SMB</th>
<th>PMN / TON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0-10 cm</td>
<td>10-20 cm</td>
<td>Mar./17</td>
<td>Oct./17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.06 cB</td>
<td>3.71 bB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.82 bA</td>
<td>8.81 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICLS-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.26 bA</td>
<td>5.73 abA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICLS-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.86 bA</td>
<td>6.59 abA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICLS-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.98 bA</td>
<td>6.77 abB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICLS-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.08 bA</td>
<td>8.93 aA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by a same lowercase letter in a column and uppercase letter in a row are statistically equal according to the Tukey test at a 5% probability level; MBN: microbial biomass nitrogen; C/N-SMB: C/N ratio of the soil microbial biomass; PMN: potentially mineralizable N; TON: total organic N; CS: crop monoculture system; LS: livestock pasture monoculture system; ICLS-1: maize and marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously; with herbicide; ICLS-2: maize and marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously, without herbicide; ICLS-3: maize and marandu palisadegrass in lagged sowing; ICLS-4: maize and marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously in maize rows and inter-rows, with herbicide.
Figure 3. Nitrogen indicators of soil quality as a function of management system*.

TON: total organic nitrogen; C/N – Soil: C/N ratio of the soil; MBN: soil microbial biomass nitrogen; C/N – SMB: C/N ratio of the soil microbial biomass; PMN: potentially mineralizable nitrogen; CS: crop monoculture system; LS: livestock pasture monoculture system; ICLS-1: maize and marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously, without herbicide; ICLS-2: maize and marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously, with herbicide; ICLS-3: maize and marandu palisadegrass in lagged sowing; ICLS-4: maize and marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously in maize rows and inter-rows, with herbicide; — (internal): the second quartile or median; ■: the first and third quartiles; ◆ and ▼: third and first quartiles up to the upper and lower limits; ∆: values of the means; •: maximum and minimum values; *means followed by similar letters do not differ according to the Tukey’s test at a 5% probability level.

Both N and C/N-SMB were greater in ICLS-1, ICLS-2, and ICLS-4, especially in March (Table 4). It is noteworthy that in this experiment this period occurred soon after an increase in the stocking rate in these treatments, reaching 8 AU ha⁻¹, and also after an intense rainy period, with high light intensity and elevated temperatures (Fig. 1). The C/N-SMB suffered a 76% decrease in the CS and 61% in the LS during March, in comparison to system ICLS-3.
Unlike ICLS, the SMB quality was not maintained throughout the year in conventional systems (Table 4), displaying an increase in the CS during January and a decrease in the LS in this period. The most likely explanation is that a specific and unique microbial community colonizes each of these different systems. These communities are adapting to a combination of different C inputs, and changes in humidity and temperature conditions during the cropping cycle (Ramírez et al. 2020). In this study, these results indicate that this decomposition process is initiated only when the microbial biomass encounters adequate conditions to do so during the seasonal variability, such as the increased precipitation experienced in January (Fig. 1).

There are several possible sources of seasonal variation in soil C and N in this study. One is the short-lived C pool, generally considered to include particulate organic matter, labile forms, microbial biomass, root exudates, and animal excreta (Wuest 2014; Rakkar et al. 2017). One of the main conclusions of this study is that soil quality indicators can vary with sampling time, with some indicator values showing a large variability between sampling dates. Therefore, because of this variability in soil quality indicators observed between sampling dates, it is advisable that farmers and producers establish a standard sampling time and sample consistently during the same period each year. Sampling at different times of the year will increase the variability of the indicators and reduce the tracking of changes between years. Standard sampling procedures are important because applying fertilizer without understanding the interactions of climate conditions, organics input, and microorganisms in the soil often leads to a cycle of soil degradation that can have a significant effect on long-term productivity and the environment (Omer et al. 2018; Bongiorno et al. 2019; Ramírez et al. 2020).

The interaction between plant and animal residues may have led to a greater C/N-SMB in the first soil layer, subsequently affecting the second layer (Table 4). The sowing technique using nicosulfuron (ICLS-2) demonstrated an effect on SMB, but it did not influence maize productivity. The results of productivity for maize and livestock that were part of this research can be consulted in Mendonça et al. (2020). The investigation found that the intercropping sowing techniques used in the establishment of the ICLS did not affect corn productivity. However, the authors observed significant differences for animal production. The final average weight was 431, 456, 436, 448 and 452 kg/animal and gain per day was 0.22, 0.78, 0.82, 0.72 and 0.98 kg/animal/day, for LS, ICLS-1, ICLS-2, ICLS3 and ICLS-4, respectively. Therefore, possibly the differences found in animal production results were a consequence of the inclusion of pastures provided by the sowing techniques used. However, the largest gains per day were observed in ICLS-2 and ICLS-4, which received sub-doses of nicosulfuron to control grass growth; this pasture possibly recovered from the early herbicide application and was able to provide forage biomass that likely influenced the weight of these animals during the seasonal cycle.

**Principal component analysis**

To test the influence of seasonal variability on the soil quality indicators, we used three principal components; these principal components (PC1, PC2, and PC3) explained 28.970, 26.344 and 16.496%, respectively, of the total variance of the co-variance matrix (Table 5). Thus, together, the first three principal components explained 71.81% of the total variance (Fig. 4).

The greatest correlation in PC1 were with LC and CMI, representing an index of C lability. In the PC2 vs. PC3, the load of variables and the vector directions of TON and C/N-soil (Fig. 4b) and the low correlation and vectorial direction of the PMN and MBC (Fig. 4a) suggest that these soil indicators are not sensitive to systems during the seasonal variability.

In this PC, the load of variables and the vector directions of LC and CMI shown in Table 5 and Fig. 4 suggest that these soil indicators were more sensitive and efficient to verify soil quality changes in the systems in the short term. Furthermore, a positive correlation of TOC with LC, CMI and MBN (Fig. 4b) indicates that the C stock in the soil is strongly correlated with labile soil fractions and microbial biomass containing nitrogen-rich cells. However, although the LC indicator presents a significant difference only for the livestock treatment in relation to others (Fig. 2c), its use can be suggested because it allows a rapid soil analysis at low cost, considering that the only supplies needed for it are potassium permanganate and a spectrophotometer (Culman et al. 2012; Duval et al. 2018; Bongiorno et al. 2019).
Table 5. Eigenvalues, variance percentage and cumulative variance percentage of each principal component (PC1 to PC9), and correlation (r) between original variables and principal components. Important correlations coefficient ≥ 0.50*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Components</th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Percentage of variance</th>
<th>Cumulative variance percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>2.607</td>
<td>28.970</td>
<td>28.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>2.371</td>
<td>26.344</td>
<td>55.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>16.496</td>
<td>71.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC4</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>12.239</td>
<td>84.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC5</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>8.304</td>
<td>92.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC6</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>5.181</td>
<td>97.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC7</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>2.259</td>
<td>99.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC8</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>99.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC9</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
<th>PC3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TON</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBC</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBN</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/N – Soil</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/N – SMB</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Principal components in bold were chosen according to the Kaiser criterion (1960); TOC: total organic carbon; TON: total organic nitrogen; LC: labile carbon; MBC: soil microbial biomass carbon; PMN: potentially mineralizable nitrogen; MBN: soil microbial biomass nitrogen; CMI: carbon management index; C/N – Soil: C/N ratio of the soil; C/N – SMB: C/N ratio of the soil microbial biomass.

Figure 4. Bi-dimensional plot of (A) PC1 vs. PC2 and (B) PC2 vs. PC3 for soil indicators.

TOC: total organic carbon; TON: total organic nitrogen; LC: labile carbon; MBC: soil microbial biomass carbon; PMN: potentially mineralizable nitrogen; MBN: soil microbial biomass nitrogen; CMI: carbon management index; C/N – Soil: C/N ratio of the soil; C/N – SMB: C/N ratio of the soil microbial biomass.
CONCLUSION

The sowing of maize and marandu palisadegrass with the presence of grazing animals caused short-term changes in soil indicator responses. The best soil quality indicator results were found in ICLS-1 (maize plus marandu palisadegrass sown simultaneously) and ICLS-4 (maize plus marandu palisadegrass sown in maize rows and inter-rows plus the herbicide nicosulfuron). The LC and CMI were more sensitive and efficient indicators for verifying soil quality changes in the systems in the short term during the seasonal variability. However, the soil quality indicator of PMN can also provide positive insights on changes in soil quality as affected by seasonal variability. The results show that there is large variability in these soil indicators during the annual cycle. Therefore, further studies are needed to better understand how these soil quality indicators are affected by seasonal variability, which can help elucidate the mechanisms for improving nutrient dynamics and crop yield stability over time and thus provide information for selecting sowing arrangements that improve the integrated crop-livestock systems.
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