Essential oils in pathogen resistance induction of *Eucalyptus benthamii* Maiden et Cambage
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**ABSTRACT:** This study evaluated the essential oils of *Melaleuca alternifolia*, *Casearia sylvestris* and *Eugenia uniflora* as inducers of defense mechanisms in *Eucalyptus benthamii* seedlings. Four mL of each oil, with a concentration of 0.75% were sprayed in *E. benthamii* seedlings and two bioassays were performed, in the first, the essential oils were sprayed and after 30 days, sugars, proteins, peroxidases, phenylalanine ammonia (PAL), and phenols were evaluated and; in the second, seven days after the first analysis, the essential oils were again sprayed and after three days, the same variables were evaluated. The essential oils of *M. alternifolia*, *C. sylvestris*, and *E. uniflora* sprayed had no significant effects on *E. benthamii* seedlings after 30 days in terms of total sugars, proteins, peroxidase, PAL activity, and phenols. However, when *M. alternifolia* and *E. uniflora* essential oils were sprayed seven days after the first analysis with evaluation after 3 days, an increase in total sugars was observed. After these days, all essential oils promoted an increase in protein levels. The oils of *E. uniflora* and *C. sylvestris* also increased peroxidase levels. The PAL defense enzyme not showed increased when essential oils were used. The essential oils of *M. alternifolia* and *C. sylvestris* had potential as inducers of defense mechanisms on *E. benthamii* seedlings after 3 days of their application, what it demonstrated not be permanent.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The genus *Eucalyptus* one of the most cultivated forest species in Brazil and occupies 5.56 million hectares, representing 71.9% of the total cultivation area (IBÁ, 2015). *Eucalyptus dunnii* (Maiden), *E. saligna* (Smith), *E. grandis* (Hill) and *E. benthamii* (Maiden et Cambage) are the species with the highest economic importance.

The expansion of the eucalyptus plantation area throughout the country, mainly with clonal trees, is increasing in the incidence of insect pests. Among them is the species *Thaumastocoris peregrinus* (CARPINTERO & DELLAPÉ), 2006.
Hemiptera: Thaumastocoridae), originally from Australia, but with occurrence in African and European countries (JACOBS & NESSER, 2005; CARPINTERO & DELLAPÉ, 2006; MARTÍNEZ & BIANCHI, 2010; WILCKEN et al., 2010; IDE et al., 2011; SOPOW et al., 2012; GARCIA et al., 2013; JIMENEZ-QUIROZ et al., 2016; MACHADO et al., 2019; CASTIGLIONE et al., 2020; MACHADO et al., 2020). It was first registered in Brazil in 2008, spreading rapidly throughout all regions of the country. It causes significant damage to eucalypt stands, with substantial economic losses (GARCIA et al., 2013; LORENCETTI et al., 2015).

Thaumastocoris peregrinus is a pest insect with high reproductive capacity and it is characterized by its rapid infestation in commercial eucalypt plantations (JACOBS & NESSER, 2005; MACHADO et al., 2020). Due to its rapid growth the application of synthetic phytosanitary products (NOACK et al., 2009; MACHADO et al., 2016; WILCKEN et al., 2019), biological control (WILCKEN et al., 2010; MASCARIN et al., 2012; BARBOSA et al., 2017; LORENCETTI et al., 2018; SOLIMAN et al., 2019; WILCKEN et al., 2019), and the use of alternative products (LORENCETTI et al., 2015) have been investigated to control this species.

According to SMITH (1996), the use of alternative products such as essential oils can induce the production of key enzymes involved in the synthesis of lignin and phytoalexins, such as peroxidase, polyphenoloxidase and phenolic compounds, capable of activating or inducing resistance responses in plants. This approach represents an alternative strategy for the control of T. peregrinus as well as other pests or pathogens.

Knowledge of the plant defense routes involving the activation of secondary metabolites in the induction of resistance is indispensable for studies of new products to control diseases and insects. However, tests on resistance induction in eucalyptus are rare, especially with essential oils as inducers and the studies already realized with other species (RODRIGUES et al., 2006; COUTO et al., 2009; DEBONA et al., 2009; MORAES et al., 2009; BARROS, 2011) only had results evaluated few days after induction, demonstrating to be necessary to observe if this effect can be permanent.

This work evaluated the effects of essential oils of M. alternifolia, C. sylvestris and E. uniflora on the defense mechanisms of E. benthamii seedlings against an insect pest.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four-month-old seedlings of E. benthamii were obtained from the commercial nursery Golden Tree Reforestation Company and they were brought to the Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná - Campus Dois Vizinhos, Unidade de Ensino e Pesquisa em Viveiro de Mudas. They were kept in a greenhouse and irrigated via a sprinkler eight times by day for 30 minutes.

The seedlings were evaluated for their total height, diameter of breast height (DBH), at height 15 cm and number of leaves with the objective of using a standardized material. The experimental design consisted of four treatments with four replications, containing 20 seedlings by plot.

Essential oils of M. alternifolia, C. sylvestris and E. uniflora with a concentration of 100% were obtained from the Garden City of Ibiúna, SP. They had been extracted via steam dragging, packed in amber glass bottles and refrigerated (Brastemp®) at ± 4 °C until use. Essential oil of M. alternifolia contains 1,8-cineol (72,31%), a-terpineol (8,55%) and a-thujone (6,1%), while that of C. sylvestris contains g-muurolene (19,55%), a-zingiberene (15,24%) and s-amorphene (13,17%). Essential oil of E. uniflora contains calamen-10-ene (20,21%), silipherfrol-6-em-5-one (10,06%) and germacrone (6,61%).

The elicitor potential of essential oils of M. alternifolia, C. sylvestris and E. uniflora on E. benthamii, at the concentration of 0.75% adjusted in sterilized distilled water were evaluated. For the control, sterile distilled water and Tween 80® (0.01%) was used.

Of each solution, 4 mL were manually sprayed on each E. benthamii seedling to reach the point of drainage. Two bioassays were carried out, first, spraying at 30 days after receiving the material, with the evaluation performed 30 days after treatment and; the second, spraying at seven days after the first analysis, with evaluations after tree days. In both bioassays, the biochemical analyzes of the foliar tissues were carried out on total sugars, total proteins, peroxidases, PAL, and phenols.

The concentrations of total sugars were determined by the phenol sulfuric method described by DUBOIS et al. (1956). For this, 1 g of leaf tissue was used, and the total sugars concentration was determined via a standard glucose curve. Protein quantification was performed by the method of BRADFORD (1976). Leaf tissue samples of 1 g were used and the extract was analyzed by a spectrophotometer at 630 nm to obtain the absorbance value. Extraction and determination of peroxidase were performed according to MATSUNO & URITANI (1972); absorbance was measured at 450 nm in a spectrophotometer.

The PAL activity was determined via colorimetric quantification of the trans-cinnamic
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acid released from the substrate phenylalanine, using a spectrophotometer at 290 nm according to the methodology described by RODRIGUES et al. (2006).

The determination of total phenols was carried out in two stages, following the method adapted from BIELESKI & TURNER (1966). In the first phase, approximately 1 g of leaf tissue was macerated at room temperature with 4 mL of the MCA solution (methanol, chloroform, water 6/2.5/1.5, v/v/v), packed in Eppendorf tubes, and centrifuged at 6000 rpm at 20 °C for 20 min. Subsequently, the total supernatant was collected, and a further extraction of the remaining residue was carried out, adding 4 mL of MCA, vortexed, and centrifuged again at 6000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was added to the previously collected supernatant and the obtained extract was spiked with 1 mL of chloroform and 1.5 mL of distilled water, followed by centrifugation at 6000 rpm (15 min). The second stage comprised the determination of total phenols, performed by the method adapted from JENNINGS (1991). The samples were prepared from a 0.5 mL aliquot from the top of the phenol extraction tube (MCA extract) and 0.5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL of diluted Folin Ciocalteau reagent 1:10 (v/v) were added. After 15 min, 5 mL of the alkaline reagent “A” (prepared with 2% sodium carbonate in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide solution) were added and after 50 min, absorbance was read at 760 nm in a spectrophotometer (model SP-2000UV-Spectrum). The result was expressed as mg.g⁻¹ fresh tissue. Quantification of phenols was performed using a standard curve with tyrosine.

The data were submitted to the normality test of Lilliefors, according to the square root of x + 1. Subsequently, the transformed data were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Duncan test at 5% of probability, using the Genes® software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The essential oils of M. alternifolia, C. sylvestris, and E. uniflora, sprayed on E. benthamii seedlings analyzed after 30 days had no significant effect on total sugars, proteins, peroxidase, PAL activity and phenols (Table 1). This showed that the defense-inducing effect is not permanent, since, on day 3 after the application, it was obtained opposite results, with significant effect of these treatments on the same variables mentioned, except for phenols (Table 2).

Most of the studies (RODRIGUES et al., 2006; COUTO et al., 2009) carried out using pathogen resistance inducers evaluated the defense metabolic activity within few days after induction, as it may not remain in the plant for an extended period. It is worth mentioning that most of these works (DEBONA et al., 2009; MORAES et al., 2009; BARROS, 2011) involved annual crops, while for perennial species, such as eucalyptus, the patterns could be different.

After spraying pathogen resistance inducers, the rates of plant protein production are expected to increase because of the triggering of defense gene expression, which it was observed after the application of oils of M. alternifolia, C. sylvestris and E. uniflora. This demonstrated that the metabolic behavior of the plants has been altered. A statistically significant increase in the activity of the PAL defense enzyme was observed for the control and treatment with M. alternifolia and C. sylvestris essential oils (Table 2).

_Casearia sylvestris_ contains terpenes and triterpenes, steroids or triterpenoids, flavonoids, fatty acids and anthocyanosides in its leaves. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total sugars (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proteins (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Peroxidase (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>PAL (U·min/mg ptna)</th>
<th>Phenols (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.39 ± 0.041[^a^]</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.002[^a^]</td>
<td>41.80 ± 2.11[^a^]</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.142[^a^]</td>
<td>31.99 ± 5.39[^a^]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. alternifolia</em></td>
<td>6.47 ± 0.071</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.006</td>
<td>46.09 ± 5.16</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.161</td>
<td>24.67 ± 1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. sylvestris</em></td>
<td>4.82 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.011</td>
<td>38.50 ± 4.18</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.141</td>
<td>24.41 ± 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. uniflora</em></td>
<td>6.04 ± 0.085</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.0017</td>
<td>41.26 ± 3.04</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.106</td>
<td>22.61 ± 1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV %</td>
<td>23.68</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>18.18</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>23.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ns – not significant by the F test at the 5% probability of error level.
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species *M. alternifolia* has high economic interest due to the presence of essential oils stored in the leaf tissue (VIEIRA et al., 2004), containing terpenes and monoterpenes, naturally occurring substances that induce resistance (BROPHY & DORAN, 2004). However, it needs to be verified whether this expression can inhibit the activity of *T. peregrinus* in the nursery. CAMPOS et al. (2003) observed that bean plants treated with salicylic acid triggered PAL gene expression by activating plant defense routes. Similarly, LORENCETTI et al. (2015) observed that the alternative products Rotenat CE® and Topneem® acted as inducers of resistance in soy cotyledons, expressing high values of PAL activity.

The higher levels of PAL may be due to the lower concentrations of total sugars obtained, probably triggering plant stress due to the lack of photoassimilates, enabling the production of defense compounds.

The enzyme PAL allowed the expression of several compounds such as lignin, flavonoids (anthocyanins), phytoalexins, and salicylic acid (VERMERRIS & NICHOLSON, 2006; EMILIANI et al., 2009), which they are responsible for the survival of the plant under stress, which triggers the transcription of the messenger RNA that encodes PAL, increasing its amount in the plant and thereby stimulating the synthesis of phenolic compounds (CHITARRA & CHITARRA, 2005).

The increase in total sugar levels after 3 days of the spraying of essential oils, *M. alternifolia* and *E. uniflora* (Table 2), is directly involved in primary plant metabolism. Sugars are substrates of secondary metabolism, sustaining the production of phenolic compounds via the phenylpropanoids. We assumed that their lack may have triggered a specific route, in this case, PAL production, caused by internal stress.

We hypothesized that the production of PAL in the control was stimulated because of the possible production of volatile salicylates released by nearby plants treated with essential oils. These volatiles can reach plants at long distances from the emitting source, acting on the systemic responses acquired of these plants to activate the chemical defense routes (HEIL & TON, 2008).

The application of *E. uniflora* and *C. sylvestris* oils resulted in higher peroxidase values when compared with the control (Table 2). Peroxidase is an enzyme present in microorganisms, plants, and animals, where it catalyzes the oxidation of hydrogen and its reducers. Some peroxidases are induced during stress caused by pathogens (HIRAGA et al., 2001). Peroxidases oxidize organic substrates through the elimination of hydrogen peroxide, reactive oxygen species and electron acceptors. They also play an important role in plant growth and development, cellular detoxification and, defense mechanisms such as wound healing and phenolic compound oxidation (BAYSAL et al., 2003) and impact the final polymerization of lignin, oxidizing the hydroxyls of phenolic groups. Changes in peroxidase activity have often been correlated with the potential contribution of plant protection (PASCHOLATI, 2011; PINTO et al., 2011; STANGARLIN et al., 2011).

This result does not rule out the possibility of using *E. uniflora* oils as a defense inducer for eucalyptus seedlings, since it triggered an increase in one of the defense routes. For example, *E. uniflora* essential oil has the potential to induce the phytoalexin glyceoline in cotyledons of *Glicine max* (soy bean) (SCHWAN-ESTRADA et al., 2000; MAZARO et al., 2008).

In general, the oil of *E. uniflora* had the highest impact on all variables at 3 days after application (Table 1), most likely because of the highest total sugar

---

**Table 2 - Concentrations of total sugars (mg.g⁻¹), proteins (mg.g⁻¹), peroxidase (mg.g⁻¹), phenylalanine ammonia - PAL (U.Abs/min/mg ptna), and phenols mg.g⁻¹) in Eucalyptus benthamii seedlings sprayed with essential oils of Melaleuca alternifolia, Casearia sylvestris, and Eugenia uniflora and evaluated after 3 days.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total sugars (mg.g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proteins (mg.g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Peroxidase (mg.g⁻¹)</th>
<th>PAL (U.Abs/min/mg ptna)</th>
<th>Phenols (mg.g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.77b</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.010b</td>
<td>43.45 ± 4.19b</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.75a</td>
<td>24.97 ± 1.32ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. alternifolia</em></td>
<td>7.35 ± 0.67a</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.009a</td>
<td>52.56 ± 1.68ab</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.22ab</td>
<td>25.69 ± 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. sylvestris</em></td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.12b</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.008ab</td>
<td>58.11 ± 3.10a</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.14ab</td>
<td>27.56 ± 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. uniflora</em></td>
<td>7.92 ± 0.89a</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.002a</td>
<td>63.39 ± 1.70a</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.10b</td>
<td>26.42 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV %</td>
<td>22.46</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ns - not significant by the F test at the 5% probability of error level.
content obtained, which it was also observed for *M. alternifolia* in total sugars and proteins. The elevation of total sugars may be related to the increased metabolic activity of the induced plants, since the metabolic cycles are integrated and the induction of compounds of the secondary metabolism can affect the primary metabolism of carbon, such as glycolysis as well as pentose phosphate or citric acid cycle.

These results are in agreement with those reported by TANG et al. (1996) for *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L. Heynh.) on leaves infected by *Albugo candida*, where there was an increase in the total sugar concentration. In young plants of *Shizolobium amazonicum* (Huber ex Ducke) and *S. parahyba* (Huber ex Ducke) (guapuruvu) submitted to two cycles of water deficiency, an increase in total sugar content was observed (CARVALHO, 2005). Different stress situations, including pathogen attacks, cause direct or indirect accumulation of total sugars (ROITSCH, 1999).

**CONCLUSION**

The essential oils of *M. alternifolia* and *C. sylvestris* have potential as inducers of defense mechanisms on *E. benthamii* seedlings after 3 days of their application, what it demonstrated not be permanent.
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