Comparison between immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry for Listeria monocytogenes detection in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues
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ABSTRACT: Listeria monocytogenes is a bacterium that infects humans and animals and causes a zoonotic disease characterized by encephalitis, septicaemia or abortion. In addition, listeriosis leads to significant economic losses due to animal death and sacrifice. This research compared the technique of immunofluorescence (IF) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) for the diagnosis of L. monocytogenes in formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues. A total of 30 tissue blocks from 15 animals with history and/or lesions compatible with listeriosis were selected. For both IHC and IF, the same diluted (1:200) polyclonal primary antibody was used against L. monocytogenes serotypes 1 and 4. For IHC, a polymer secondary antibody conjugated to peroxidase (HRP) was used. For IF, samples were incubated with a fluorescein-labeled anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody. Each sample was classified according to the presence and percentage of immunolabeling area. From 30 samples, 10 were positive at least for one technique, whereas eight samples were positive for both IHC and IF with similar score. There was strong immunolabeling in tissue samples from bovines experimentally infected with L. monocytogenes ATCC 7644, as well as in nervous tissues from naturally infected ruminants. Additionally, IF did not show any difference in sensitivity when compared to IHC. Using processed biological materials for IF, instead of fresh tissues, is a quite unique technique, since there are few protocols described. Therefore, this study demonstrated that both techniques are efficient to detect L. monocytogenes in FFPE tissues.
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Comparações entre imunofluorescência e imuno-histoquímica para detecção de Listeria monocytogenes em tecidos fixados e parafinados

RESUMO: Listeria monocytogenes é uma bactéria que infecta humanos e animais, podendo causar uma doença zoonótica caracterizada por encefalite, septicemia e aborto. Além disso, a listeriose resulta em perdas econômicas significativas pela morte e sacrifício de animais. O objetivo deste trabalho foi comparar a técnica de imunofluorescência (IF) e imuno-histoquímica (IHC) para detecção de L. monocytogenes em tecidos fixados e parafinados. Foram selecionados 30 blocos de tecidos de 15 animais com histórico e/ou lesões compatíveis com listeriose. Para ambas as técnicas, foi utilizado o mesmo anticorpo primário polyclonal diluído (1:200) para detecção de L. monocytogenes sorotipos 1 e 4. Para a IHC, foi utilizado anticorpo secundário em polímero acoplado a peroxidase (HRP). Para a IF, as amostras foram incubadas com anticorpo secundário anti-IgG de coelho marcado com fluoresceína. Cada amostra foi classificada quanto à presença e porcentagem de área positiva. Das 30 amostras, 10 foram positivas em pelo menos uma das técnicas, sendo oito amostras positivas para ambas IHC e IF comparáveis. Houve forte immunomarcagem tanto em amostras de bovinos experimentalmente infectados com L. monocytogenes ATCC 7644, como em amostras de tecido nervoso de ruminantes naturalmente infectados. Além disso, a IF não apresentou diferença na sensibilidade quando comparada com a IHC. O uso de materiais biológicos processados para IF, ao invés de tecidos frescos, é algo inovador, uma vez que existem poucos protocolos descritos. Portanto, este estudo demonstrou que ambas as técnicas são eficientes para detectar L. monocytogenes em tecidos fixados em formol e parafinados.
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INTRODUCTION

Listeriosis is one of the main foodborne diseases that cause systemic infection in several animal species and in humans, resulting in a high lethality rate in infected patients (SWAMINATHAN & GERNER-Smidt, 2007). The disease is often caused by Listeria monocytogenes, a flagellate, Gram positive and intracellular facultative bacterium (LIU, 2013), which leads to three distinct clinical manifestations: sepsis, with multiple abscesses in the spleen and liver; reproductive, with abortion; and neurological.
characterized by rhombencephalitis and microabscesses (LOPES, 2010; OEVERMANN et al., 2010).

In domestic animals, listeriosis mainly affects sheep, goats, and bovines. Encephalitis is the most common clinical sign of listeriosis in ruminants; although, other manifestations of the disease are also described in these animals (LOW & DONACHIE, 1997). The diagnosis of listeriosis in veterinary medicine is mainly based on the histopathological description associated with clinical signs; however, diagnostic confirmation by immunohistochemistry (IHC) technique, Gram staining and/or bacteriological culture is crucial (JOHNSON et al., 1995; LOEB, 2004). Molecular techniques such as PCR are also used for definitive diagnosis (KIRINUS et al., 2010; BARKALLAH et al., 2016). Currently, there are few studies describing the application of immunofluorescence (IF) for there are few studies describing the application of immunofluorescence (IF) for Listeria spp., although, other manifestations of the disease are also described in these animals (LOW & DONACHIE, 1997). Currently, there are few studies describing the application of immunofluorescence (IF) for Listeria spp., although, other manifestations of the disease are also described in these animals (LOW & DONACHIE, 1997).

The optimization and use of immunological techniques, such as IHC (JOHNSON et al., 1995; ROCHA et al., 2017) and IF (HENKE et al., 2015) in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues enabled the diagnosis of Listeria spp. without the need of biosafety laboratory infrastructure for bacterial isolation and DNA extraction from fresh tissues. In addition, these techniques also allowed retrospective studies using archived materials.

For fresh samples, definitive diagnosis of listeriosis based on microbiological isolation of the agent is relatively time-consuming and limited (JOHNSON et al., 1995). Thus, the verification of other accessible, fast, and reliable diagnostic tools is essential for the effective control of the disease. Therefore, this research optimized and compared IF and IHC techniques as alternative methods for definitive diagnosis of L. monocytogenes in FFPE tissues.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample selection

Thirty FFPE tissues were selected from 15 animals with a history and/or histopathological lesions suggestive of Listeria sp. infection. These animals were submitted to necropsy or microscopic evaluation at the Veterinary Pathology laboratory of the Instituto Federal Catarinense, Campus Concórdia SC, from January 2013 to December 2019. Eight samples were from ruminants naturally infected with Listeria sp., including sheep (5/8 cases), bovines (2/8 cases) and a goat. These animals presented histopathological lesions in at least one fragment of the central nervous system (cerebrum, cerebellum, brainstem and/or spinal cord), which were characterized by multiple microabscesses, mononuclear perivascular cuffing, and sometimes, associated with malacia and Gitter cells.

Other 22 tissue samples were obtained from five cattle (n = 20) and two gerbils (n = 2) experimentally infected with L. monocytogenes ATCC 7644 (JAGUEZESKI et al., 2018; JAGUEZESKI et al., 2020). Gerbils were infected with 5 x 10⁶ CFU of bacteria per animal by the oral route and were euthanized at 12 days post infection (dpi), which were observed multifocal hepatic microgranulomas (JAGUEZESKI et al., 2020). Cattle were infected with 1.32 x 10⁶ CFU of bacteria per animal by the oral mucosa after scarification and were euthanized at 14 dpi (JAGUEZESKI et al., 2018). Fragments of liver, jejunum, oral mucosa, brainsteam and/or cerebrum from these animals were previously tested by qPCR (JAGUEZESKI et al., 2018; JAGUEZESKI et al., 2020) and only positive samples were selected for this study. Additionally, fragments of liver, jejunum, brain steam and cerebrum from uninfected bovines (control group) were used a negative control.

Microscopic lesions in each tissue were previously evaluated in Hematoxylin and Eosin (HE)-stained slides, demarcated their spatial localization, and scored, according to the severity of inflammation (0 = absent, 1 = mild inflammation, 2 = moderate inflammation with multiple microabscess, 3 = severe inflammation with intraleisional necrosis).

Immunohistochemistry for L. monocytogenes

IHC was performed as previously described by ROCHA et al. (2017), with modifications. Protocol variations were previously tested, including: with or without proteinase K (DAKO, USA) antigen retrieval; primary antibody dilution (1:100 or 1:200) and incubation period (45 or 90 min); secondary antibody commercial kits with streptavidin-peroxidase (LSAB, DAKO, USA) or with HRP-polymer conjugate (DAKO, USA); PBS-Tween washing period (5 or 15 min); and chromogen kits of 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (AEC, DAKO, USA) or diaminobenzidine (DAB, DAKO, USA). The protocol with the best immunolabeling of positive controls, less nonspecific reaction, and the shortest incubation time was selected and described as follows.

Positively charged slides containing 3 µm-thick histological tissues were heated at 56 °C,
incubated in xylene for complete paraffin removal, followed by hydration in a decreasing series of alcohol (100 to 70%) and washing in PBS solution with 0.05% Tween 20 (Dinâmica, Brazil) for 5 min. To avoid nonspecific reactions, samples were incubated with a 4% hydrogen peroxide solution for 45 min and washed, followed by incubation with skim milk (1:10 dilution in PBS) as a blocking solution, for 45 min at 37°C in a humid chamber. Then, after removing the blocking solution, the slides were incubated with a diluted (1:200) polyclonal anti-\textit{L. monocytogenes} antibody (Listeria O Antiserum Poly Serotypes 1 and 4, Difco, USA) for 90 min in a humid chamber at 37°C, washed with PBS-Tween and incubated for 30 min with a HRP-polymer conjugate secondary antibody (EnVision+ Dual Link System-HRP Polymer Kit, DAKO, USA). The reaction was revealed using 3-Amino-9-ethylcarbazole (AEC, DAKO, USA) and sections were counter-stained with Harris Hematoxylin. Slide reading was performed under an optical microscope and immunolabeling was evaluated and scored in each tissue sample, according to the percentage of positive area: negative = < 1% of positive area; + = mild (1-5% of positive area); ++ = moderate (6-20% of positive area); +++ = severe (> 20% of positive area). As negative controls, tissue samples from uninfected animals incubated with primary antibody were used, as well as positive tissues incubated with PBS-Tween solution.

\textbf{Immunofluorescence for \textit{L. monocytogenes}}

For IF in FFPE tissues, the technique described by HENKE et al. (2015) was carried out with modifications. Protocol variations were previously tested, including: with or without proteinase K (DAKO, USA) antigen retrieval; serum dilution (2.5 or 10%) for blocking nonspecific binding sites; secondary antibody dilution (1:100 or 1:500), incubation period (60, 75 or 105 min) and incubation temperature (room temperature or 37°C); and Tween 20 concentration (0.05 or 0.1%) in PBS solution. The protocol with the best immunolabeling of positive controls, less nonspecific reaction, and the shortest incubation time was selected and described as follows.

Positively charged slides containing 3 µm-thick histological tissues were heated at 56°C, incubated in xylol for complete paraffin removal, and hydrated in a decreasing series of alcohol (100 to 70%) and PBS with 0.05% of Tween 20 (Dinâmica, Brazil) for 5 min. To prevent nonspecific reactions, sections were incubated with equine serum (Vector, USA) diluted at 2.5% at 37°C for 30 min in a humid chamber. After washing with PBS-Tween 0.05%, the slides were incubated for 90 min at 37°C, with the same primary antibody as described for IHC, i.e., diluted (1:200) polyclonal anti-\textit{L. monocytogenes} antibody (Difco, USA). Then, the samples were washed and incubated with diluted (1:100) FITC-labeled rabbit anti-IgG antibody (ab6717, Abcam, USA), for 105 min in a dark humid chamber at room temperature. Finally, all sections were washed in PBS-Tween and distilled water, mounted with glycerol (Isofar, Brazil) and analyzed up to 48 hours in a fluorescence microscope (Oxion® Euromex, Netherlands), with excitation filter 475 nm/AF40 and emission filter 535 nm/AF45. For image acquisition, a fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer Z1, Zeiss, Germany) with 100X immersion lens was used.

Each tissue was scored based on the presence and percentage of positive area of FITC immunolabeling: negative = < 1% of positive area; + = mild (1-5% of positive area); ++ = moderate (6-20% of positive area); +++ = severe (> 20% of positive area). Sequential sections of the same tissue block were used to compared IF score to the IHC result. As negative controls, tissue samples from uninfected animals incubated with primary antibody were used, as well as positive tissues incubated with PBS-Tween solution.

\textbf{Statistical analysis}

Sensitivity of IHC and IF were calculated separately in two animal groups: naturally infected and experimentally infected. As gold standard tests, qPCR of frozen tissues was used for experimentally infected animals (JAGUEZESKI et al., 2018; JAGUEZESKI et al., 2020), whereas histopathological lesion of neurologic listeriosis was used for naturally infected animals.

\textbf{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

Of the 30 tissues of selected animals, 10 samples (33.3%; 10/30) were positive in at least one of the techniques and of these, eight samples (80%; 8/10) were positive in both IHC and IF. Of all tissue fragments tested, only two bovine samples (6.7%; 2/30) showed a different result between the techniques, which a liver sample had mild immunostaining in IHC and was negative in IF, and a jejunum sample with mild immunostaining in IF and negative result in IHC.

There was strong immunolabeling by IHC (Figure 1A) and by IF (Figure 1B) in bovine tissues experimentally infected with \textit{L. monocytogenes} ATCC 7644, as well as in nervous tissues of ruminants with natural infection (Figures 1C and 1D). There was also
mild immunolabeling of liver from experimentally infected gerbils at 12 days post infection (dpi), as well as mild immunolabeling of the intestine and liver from experimentally infected bovines at 14 dpi. All samples from uninfected animals (control group) were negative in both techniques. The comparison of the results between IHC and IF is shown in table 1.

Interestingly, there was a variation in IHC and IF percentage of labeling area among different organs and animals; however, in all positive tissues, similar scores were observed between the two techniques. Additionally, no difference was observed in the sensitivity between IHC and IF for *Listeria* sp. detection. Sensitivity was 50% and 22.7% for naturally infected and experimentally infected animal groups, respectively.

In our study, the optimized IHC protocol using a polymer detection method conjugated to HRP reduced both incubation time and non-specific binding sites in FFPE tissues, when compared to the use of biotin-avidin or streptavidin-peroxidase kits (JOHNSON et al., 1995; RISSI et al., 2006; ROCHA et al., 2017; PRADO et al., 2019). The importance of this immunological technique compared to other diagnostic tools is given by the possibility of *in situ* and intraleisional detection of the antigen, which may also enhance investigations of *L. monocytogenes* pathogenesis (RAMOS-VARA & MILLER, 2014).

As in our research, other authors describe the efficiency of IHC to detect *L. monocytogenes* in animal tissues. In sheep, neurological listeriosis was confirmed through the IHC test in Rio Grande do Sul and Paraná, in which the pathogen was detected in the cytoplasm of neutrophils and macrophages (RISSI et al., 2010). The same was observed in our study, which showed strong immunolabeling of *L. monocytogenes* in neutrophil cytoplasm within cerebellar microabscesses in sheep (Figure 1 C). In goats, RISSI et al. (2006) confirmed neurological listeriosis in the central region of Rio Grande do Sul by the IHC method. This technique was also used

**Figure 1** - Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence for the detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues. Strong intraleisional immunolabeling in oral mucosa of experimentally infected bovine (A, B) and in cerebellum of naturally infected sheep (C, D). Immunohistochemistry (A, C) using HRP-polymer conjugated to secondary antibody and AEC staining (arrows), 400X. Immunofluorescence (B, D) using anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody labeled with FITC (green), 1000X.
to detect *L. monocytogenes* antigens in nervous tissue of buffaloes (PRADO et al., 2019) and to confirm encephalic listeriosis in 83% of bovine cases with successful bacterial isolation (JOHNSON et al., 1995).

The performance of IF in FFPE tissues, instead of fresh ones, may be considered quite unique, since there are few studies describing this technique for laboratory diagnosis of listeriosis in processed biological materials. HENKE et al. (2015) analyzed 11 brain tissue sections of small ruminants by IF and obtained a positive result in all samples, with the vast majority of bacterial antigen found within brain microabscesses.

With the adjusted IF protocol used in this research, as well as the IHC protocol, *L. monocytogenes* immunolabeling was not compromised with the removal of the antigen retrieval step. In addition, the shorter incubation time with a new primary antibody has optimized the technique to obtain a faster result, when compared to the previously described IF methodology for FFPE tissues (HENKE et al., 2015). Moreover, when comparing the described protocols for IF and IHC, the latter was, in our condition, a less expensive technique and easier to interpret the results.

In the IHC and IF techniques, only two samples showed different results. Despite using sequential cuts of the same tissue blocks to compare both techniques, variable amount of detectable antigen may have occurred among the analyzed tissue fragments. Additionally, a valid comparison between IF and IHC results were assessed due to minimal variation of both protocols until secondary antibody incubation, such as: (i) endogenous peroxidase inactivation in IHC, as the reaction is revealed with HRP enzymatic activity conjugated to the secondary antibody; and (ii) serum blocking solution instead of skim milk in IF, to avoid autofluorescent components.

Therefore, this study demonstrated that the two immunological techniques were efficient in detecting *L. monocytogenes* in FFPE tissues, with consistent localization of antigen immunolabeling in the same tissue sections. Although IF did not show any difference in sensitivity when compared with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples (species, age, organ)</th>
<th>Microscopic lesion score</th>
<th>IHC</th>
<th>IF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep, 1 year old, brainstem</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep, 1 year old, cerebrum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep, 1 year old, spinal cord</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep, NI, cerebellum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep, 3 years old, brainstem</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 20 months, brainstem</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, aborted fetus, cerebrum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat, NI, brainstem</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental infection *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 6 months, oral mucosa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 6 months, oral mucosa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 26 months, pons, cerebrum, and liver</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 13 months, pons, cerebrum, and liver</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 12 months, pons, cerebrum, and liver</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 6 months, pons, cerebrum, and jejunum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 6 months, pons, cerebrum, and liver</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 6 months, liver</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 12 months, jejunum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine, 6 months, jejunum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerbil, 6 months, liver</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerbil, 6 months, liver</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Necropsy at 14 days post infection (dpi) in bovines and 12 dpi in gerbils. NI= not informed.*
IHC, this technique has a potential role in diagnostic laboratories, as well as in situ studies of host-pathogen interactions during animal listeriosis.

CONCLUSION

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and immunofluorescence (IF) techniques were effective for *L. monocytogenes* detection in FFPE tissues of naturally and experimentally infected animals. Even though no difference in sensitivity was observed between the evaluated techniques, IF showed its potential application as diagnostic tool and for further studies related to *Listeria* sp. pathogenesis in FFPE animal tissues.
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