

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/fst.01018

The effects of different feeding times and diets on the whole body fatty acid composition of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) larvae

Orhan DEMİR1*, Süleyman SARIGÖZ2

Abstract

In this study, the effects on whole body fatty acid levels of goldfish larvae (*Carassius auratus*) caused by different feeding times $T_{(1-4)}$ and diets $D_{(1-3)}$ were investigated during the early larval stages which is the first 15 days' of feeding. Fatty acid levels for all experimental groups were found to be: 1.54 to 3.45% for myristic acid, 17.57 to 22.68% for palmitic acid, 28.81 to 41.16% for total saturated fatty acids- Σ SFA, 2.37 to 4.04% for palmitoleic acid, 13.79 to 39.21% for oleic acid, 22.60 to 47.59% for total monounsaturated fatty acids- Σ MUFA, 3.62 to 7.69% for linolenic acid-ALA, 0.35 to 1.11% for arachidonic acid-ARA, 7.50 to 9.76% for total n-6 fatty acids- Σ n-6, 1.04 to 2.28% for linoleic acid-LA, 0.81 to 2.52% for eicosapentaenoic acid-EPA and 5.56 to 10.28% for docosahexaenoic acid-DHA. The total n-3 acids- Σ n-3, total polyunsaturated fatty acids- Σ PUFA, PUFA/SFA ratio, Σ n-6/ Σ n-3 and DHA/EPA ratio of the experimental groups were determined to be 7.24 to 14.83%, 14.73 to 23.46%, 0.47 to 0.73, 0.58 to 1.04 and 3.21 to 8.7, respectively. In conclusion, the whole body fatty acid levels of goldfish larvae (*Carassius auratus*) determined in this study revealed the significant effects of different feeding times (T_{1-4}) and different diets (D_{1-3}) using (P < 0.05).

Keywords: Carassius auratus; larvae; fatty acids; feeding times; diets.

Practical Application: Generation of species-specific larval feeding protocols.

1 Introduction

Lipids are the source of essential dietary fatty acids that provide energy for growth and the survival of the fish. Fish require fatty acids with a lower melting temperature and longer-chain unsaturated fatty acids relative to mammals. These fatty acids increase stress resistance and are essential in developing the nerve tissues (Parameshwaran et al., 2002). Freshwater fish need either linoleic (18: 2n-6), linolenic acids (18: 3n-3) or both in their diets, whereas marine fish require eicosapentaenoic (EPA) (20: 5n-3) and docosahexaenoic acids (DHA) (22: 6n-3). However, it has been reported that DHA dietary supplements positively affect the incubation rate in common carps. Nevertheless, the fatty acids requirements of ornamental fish larvae are not sufficiently documented (Sales & Janssens, 2003).

The total lipid amounts and fatty acid composition of fish vary depending on the environmental, physical, chemical and biological conditions of the water (as well as salinity, turbidity, pH, hardness and diversity, and density of phyto and zooplankton) (Bayır et al., 2010). Adequate and balanced feeding of embryos and larvae is very important for their normal development, growth and metamorphosis. All nutrients required for development and growth during incubation and for the yolk-sac fry until first feeding are transferred from the mother through the yolk sac (Zengin et al., 2013). In fish feeds, fish oil is very expensive and can be easily oxidized, so it is therefore, necessary to partially or totally replace it with plant oils. The inclusion of plant oils into larval diets increases growth and survival (Geurden et al., 1999). Dietary requirements

of n-3 fatty acids of goldfish (Carassius auratus) and common carp are range from 0.05 to 0.1% (Parameshwaran et al., 2002). Goldfish can synthesize n-3 and n-6 PUFAs from 18 carbon fatty acids (Geurden et al., 1999). Low levels of highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFA) in the diet led to larval mortalities and, but EPA supplements alone, or together with DHA, increased the survival and growth in Asian sea bass. This is also the case for other marine fish larvae (Seiffert et al., 2001). Some researchers have reported that dietary DHA is more effective than EPA (Seiffert et al., 2001). Higher dietary DHA requirement levels - compared to EPA have been reported in coral fish, yellowtail, turbot and some flatfish. There are some conflicting reports in published literature (Seiffert et al., 2001). For instance, some put forward the idea that dietary n-3 HUFA for larvae is not significant. A study argued that dietary n-3 HUFA did not affect fatty acid compositions of lambuka larvae "mahi-mahi" (Coryphaena hippurus) during the first 9 days. This was attributed to the amounts of EPA and DHA deposited in the yolk sac of the larvae. Marine fish eggs were reported to be rich in DHA, but during the first 10 days after incubation, the levels rapidly decreased. Centropomus parallelus larvae were not affected in terms of growth rate, survival or swim bladder development by feeding with rotifers, including varying the fatty acid compositions by enriching with different sources (Seiffert et al., 2001). Small changes in the fatty acid levels of total lipids were observed during the 6-day incubation period. The most significant decrease occurred in MUFA levels.

Received 18 Jan., 2018

Accepted 28 May, 2018

¹ Aquaculture Department, Faculty of Fisheries, Isparta University of Applied Sciences, Isparta, Turkey

²Mediterranean Fisheries Research, Production and Training Institute, Republic of Turkey Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock, Antalya, Turkey

 $^{{\}rm *Corresponding\ author:\ or handemir@sdu.edu.tr}$

According to the result of the study, the levels of myristic acid, palmitic acid, palmitoleic acid, stearic acid, oleic acid, MUFA, linoleic acid, arachidonic acid, Σ n-6, EPA, DHA and Σ n-3 were 1.6 to 1.3%, 19.1 to 18.8%, 4.5 to 3.6%, 4.4 to 5.8%, 12.5 to 13.5%, 29.5 to 32.1%, 3.3 to 4.1%, 3.4 to 3.7%,11.2 to 12.0%, 2.9 to 3.3%, 20.6 to 22.3% and 25.9 to 27.1%, respectively. An increase in ARA and DHA, and a decrease in 18:2 (n-6) and 20:5 (n-3) were noted. SFA levels of polar lipids were increased (Wiegand, 1996). Levels of goldfish larvae 16:0, 18:0, 20:4 (n-6) and 22:6 (n-3) during the yolk sac and following stages were higher than in the eggs. Larvae hatched from low quality eggs were low in n-3 HUFA, whereas those from high quality eggs consumed MUFA for growth. The survival rate of larvae incubated at 22 °C was not dependent on the egg quality but on the total lipid and fatty acid profiles of the eggs (Wiegand et al., 1991). During the first 21 days, carp larvae had higher levels of oleic acid when fed triolein, and higher levels of lauric and myristic acid when fed groundnut oil. In the study mentioned, significant differences were recorded for medium-chain fatty acids (12:0 and 8:0) (Fontagne et al., 1999). A comparison was made of the fatty acid levels in rainbow trout larvae and fry that were fed or starved during the first 16 days. In the starved fish, consumption of oleic acid was preferable, but palmitic acid levels remained unchanged. In trout larvae starved for 29 days, linoleic, eicosanoic (20:0), docosanoic (22:0) and docosatrienoic acids (C22:3 ω -3.) were consumed, but EPA was changed little and DHA was retained (Zengin et al., 2013). The larvae of common carp hatched from the eggs of fish distributed in an estuarine region of the South Caspian Sea were fed with rotifer and compounded diets for 15 days, and their fatty acid compositions were determined (Farhoudi et al., 2011). Fatty acid compositions of mature dwarf carp changed depending on the water temperature and the DHA level in the diet (Varga et al., 2013). Larvae fed on 7.5% dietary fish oil had higher MUFA and PUFA levels (Thamizshselvi et al., 2014). Despite a rapid growth in the ornamental aquaculture sector, broodstock collection from the wild is a limiting factor. It is

forecast that ornamental aquaculture will put less pressure and damage on the environment than food aquaculture (Tlusty, 2002).

In this study, all trial groups were supplied with live feed (freshwater rotifers) as the first food, but over different periods, and then fed with different foods. The aim of this study was to determine the effects of four different feeding times (T $_{\rm 1-4}$) with three different diets (D $_{\rm 1-3}$) on the whole body fatty acid profiles of goldfish larvae during the early larval stage.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental conditions

The experiment was conducted in the aquarium section of the Kepez Unit of the Mediterranean Fisheries Research Production and Training Institute, Antalya, Turkey. Post yolk sac larvae used in the study were produced by the Oranda variety of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*).

A total of 2340 goldfish larvae, with an initial weight of 0.97 to 1.13 mg and a total length of 5.77 to 6.05 mm were used in this experiment. Thirteen experimental triplicate treatments – including the control – were tested in thirty-nine 10 L glass aquariums connected to a recirculation system. Each tank was stocked with 60 larvae (6 larvae/L). Each aquarium was supplied with a water flow of 100 mL/min with air stones providing aeration. The water renewal rate and frequency of the recirculation system was 30% and twice a week. Water temperature was thermostatically controlled at 26.8 °C. The photoperiod was set to 12 hours of darkness and 12 hours of light with a timer.

Feeding schedules, diet compositions and formulations were shown in Tables 1 and 2. Experimental dry diets (D_1 commercial feed, D_2 casein-based diet and D_3 egg protein-based diet and R-rotifer, Table 2) were formulated according to Castell & Tiews (1980) and Bandyopadhyay et al. (2005). Freshwater rotifers used in this study were produced in a 12 m³ pond within a

	Experimental groups		Feeding times "T" (days)	
1	T_1D_1	T ₁	15	D ₁
2	T_1D_2			$\mathrm{D_2}$
3	T_1D_3			D_3
4	T_2D_1	T_2	3+3+9	$3R + (3R + D_1) + 9D_1$
5	T_2D_2			$3R+(3R+D_2)+9D_2$
6	T_2D_3			$3R+(3R+D_{3})+9D_{3}$
7	T_3D_1	T_3	5 +3 +7	$5R + (3R + D_1) + 7D_1$
8	T_3D_2			$5R+(3R+D_2)+7D_2$
9	T_3D_3			$5R+(3R+D_{3})+7D_{3}$
10	$\mathrm{T_4D}_\mathrm{1}$	T_4	7 + 3 +5	$7R + (3R + D_1) + 5D_1$
11	$\mathrm{T_4D}_2$			$7R + (3R + D_2) + 5D_2$
12	$\mathrm{T_4D}_3$			$7R + (3R + D_3) + 5D_3$
13	С		15	15R

^{*}Different feeding times $(T_{1,4})$, dry diets $(D_{1,3})$, a commercial rainbow trout diet (D_1) , casein based dry diet (D_2) , egg protein based dry diet (D_3) , live food "rotifer" (R) as the control group (C). The figures before the diets in the latest column refer to the number of days that a particular diet was offered.

Table 2. Nutrient compositions (%) and formulation of experimental diets (D_1 Commercial diet, D_2 Casein based diet and D_3 Egg protein based diet) used in the study.

Ingredients	D ₂ (%)	D ₃ (%)	D ₁ (%)	R (rotifer)
Casein	45	33	(Fish meal, Hydrolyzed fish	
Gelatin	6.7	7	protein, Fish oil, soybean	
Salmon oil	10.5	10.2	products, wheat products,	
Dextrin	16.5	16.5	vitamin premix, mineral premix, natural mold	
Egg protein	9.8	21.8	inhibitor and antioxidant)	
Vitamin C	0.5	0.5	inition and antioxidant)	
Vitamin premix ¹	2	2		
Mineral premix ²	1	1		
Methionine	1.8	1.8		
Lisin	1.7	1.7		
Carboxymethyl cellulose	3	3		
Carofil-red	1	1		
Crude protein*	59.85	59.55	60.8	65.85
Crude oil*	10.81	10.39	11.28	10.03
Crude ash*	3.86	4.26	11.91	10.11

¹Vitamin premix: 12.000.000 IU A, 2.500.000 IU D₃, 200.000 mg E, 10.000 mg E, 20.000 mg B₂, 20.000 mg B₂, 100.000 mg B₃, (Ca D-pantothenate), 20.000 mg B₆, 200 mg B₁₂, 150.000 mg B₃ (iniacin), 10.000 mg B₉ (folic acid), 1.000 mg B₇ (biotin), 200.000 mg C, 200.000 mg inositol, 1.000.000 mg choline; ²Mineral premix: 5.000 mg Cu, 20.000 mg Mn, 2.000 mg Co, 2.500 mg I, 30.000 mg Zn, 250 mg Se; *Proximate compositions of dry matter of experimental diets (%).

greenhouse. All trial groups were supplied live feed as the first food, but over different periods of 15, 7, 5 and 3 days, and then fed with different foods. In the experiment, 4 feeding times and 3 different diets ($4 \times 3 = 12$) were used. Feeds were offered at 07:30, 10:00, 12:30, 15:30 and 17:30. Rotifers were given at a rate of at a minimum rate 10 ind/mL, whereas dry diets were given *ad lib*. At the end of the experiment, all larvae in each tank were collectively weighed and then killed with an overdose of anesthesia (phenoxyethanol). The samples were dried using tissue paper and then kept in a freezer at -20 °C prior to fatty acid analysis.

2.2 Chemical analysis

Proximate analysis - except for crude lipids - of the experimental diets was performed according to the methods for (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1990; James, 1999) dry matter at 104 °C until a constant weight; for ash content by incineration in a muffle furnace at 600 °C for 2 hours and for crude protein (N \times 6.25) using the Kjeldahl method after acid digestion. Lipid content was determined by ether extraction using an automatic extraction system (ANKOMXT15 Extractor, ANKOM Technology, Macedon, USA) (American Oil Chemists Society, 2009). Lipids were extracted from the larvae and feed samples according to Bligh & Dyer (1959). Fatty acids were esterified according to the method of Ichihara et al. (1996). Briefly, 4 mL 2N of KOH and 2 mL of n-hexane were added to 10 mg of extracted lipids. The mixture was then vortexed for 2 minutes, centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes and the hexane layer was used for fatty acid analysis using a Thermo Focus GC (Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc., Italy). The injector and detector temperatures were set at 220 and 280 °C, respectively. During this time, the oven temperature was kept at 140 °C for 5 minutes. The temperature was increased by 4 °C/min up to 200 °C and

then to 220 °C at increments of 1 °C/m. A $5\,\mu L$ sample amount was used and the pressure of the carrier gas was 6ps. The split ratio was 1:40. Fatty acids were detected based on the Supelco standard and the results were expressed as a percentage of the total. The fatty acid compositions of the experimental diets are given in Table 3.

2.3 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of data was performed using JMP software v8 for windows. The effects of treatments on variables were analyzed by a factorial design (4 feeding times and 3 different diets) and the treatments were distinguished by using the Tukey multiple comparison test (P = 0.05). On the other hand, a comparison between the control and the other diets was made using Dunnett's test (Özdamar, 2001; Yıldız et al., 2011).

3 Results

The larvae were fed with live feed for 15, 7, 5 and 3 days. Larval trial feeds were used for the rest of the 15-day feeding period. The amount of body fatty acidity of the larval groups fed with live feed for the same period varied depending on the test diet (P < 0.05). The body fatty acidity of larval groups fed with the same trial diet varied depending on the different feeding times (P < 0.05).

The influence of the experimental (D_{1-3}) diets used in this study was generally lower than those fed with rotifers. The levels of fatty acid 14:0 and 16:0 were significantly higher, but not that of 18:0. The diets (D_{1-3}) and feeding times (T_{1-4}) also had significant effects on Σ SFA concentrations. All of the group's 16:1 and 18:1n-9 were significantly higher, except for the T_1D_{1-3} groups (P < 0.05). The effects of experimental treatments on the fatty acid profiles of goldfish larvae are given

Table 3. Fatty acid profiles of the experimental diets and rotifer.

Fatty acids	$D_{_1}$	$\mathrm{D_2}$	D_3	Rotifer
C14:0	5.29	2.69	2.9	7.97
C16:0	14.75	8.98	9.39	12.64
C18:0	2.58	2.34	2.34	2.91
ΣSFA	22.62	14.01	14.63	23.52
C16:1	4.46	2.77	2.87	7.74
C18:1n-9	20.29	40.43	41.14	10.22
Σ MUFA	24.75	43.20	44.01	17.96
C18:2n-6 (LA)	9.2	14.06	14.14	6.9
C18:3n-3 (ALA)	3.89	5.25	5.30	10.55
C20:4n-6 (ARA)	0.06	0.17	0.15	0.79
C20:5n-3 (EPA)	7.02	2.73	2.59	6.07
C22:6n-3 (DHA)	7.64	3.9	3.43	1.41
Σ PUFA	27.81	26.36	25.61	25.41
TOTAL	75.18	83.57	84.25	66.89
PUFA/SFA	1.23	1.88	1.75	1.08
∑n-6	9.26	14.76	14.29	7.69
∑n-3	18.55	11.88	11.32	18.03
$\sum n-6/\sum n-3$	0.50	1.24	1.26	0.43
DHA/EPA	1.09	1.43	1.32	0.23
Others	24.82	16.43	15.75	33.11

 $C14:0 = myristic\ acid;\ C16:0 = palmitic\ acid;\ C18:0 = stearic\ acid;\ \Sigma SFA = total\ saturated\ fatty\ acids;\ C16:1 = palmitoleic\ acid;\ C18:1n-9 = oleic\ acid;\ \Sigma MUFA = total\ monounsaturated\ fatty\ acids;\ C18:2n-6\ (LA) = linoleic\ acid;\ C18:3n-3\ (ALA) = linolenic\ acid;\ C20:4n-6\ (ARA) = arachidonic\ acid;\ C20:5n-3\ (EPA) = eicosapentanoic\ acid;\ C22:6n-3\ (DHA) = docosahexaenoic\ acid;\ \Sigma PUFA = total\ polyunsaturated\ fatty\ acids;\ \Sigma n-6 = total\ n-6\ fatty\ acids;\ \Sigma n-3 = total\ n-3\ fatty\ acids.$

in Table 4. The palmitoleic acid proportion of larvae fed with the D_1 commercial feed and the D_2 casein-based diet tended to decrease with feeding times. However, the 16:1 level for the larval group fed with rotifer was significantly higher than those on the experimental diets (P < 0.05). Also, the effect on the 18:1n-9 proportion of larvae was similar to those fed with the D_3 egg protein-based food with feeding times (T_2 , T_3 and T_4), but not to any others. The Σ MUFA was seen to be the lowest in the $T_{2-3}D_3$ groups.

Linoleic acid levels were significantly changed by diet types and their feeding times. The levels of 18:2 n-6 observed in all experimental larvae – except for those fed with D_1 at time T_1 groups – were lower than those that received the rotifer treatment. Also, linoleic acid was detected in the lowest T_4D_3 group. However, the 20:4n-6 level of the rotifer feeding group was lower than those of the diets at all feeding times, except for T_1D_1 . The 18:3n-3 level of the D_1 feeding group was significantly reduced compared to those fed diet D_2 and D_3 at times T_2 , T_3 and T_4 , but not T_1 . The effects on the 18:3n-3 levels of larvae fed with the experimental diets were generally lower than those of the rotifer groups. The 20:5n-3 and 22:6n-3 levels of the D_3 group significantly increased at T_2 , T_3 and T_4 , compared to the other two diets, but not at T_1 .

The D_1 and D_2 groups had lower (0.64-1.11%) levels than that of the D_3 group except for T_1 , while the 20:5n-3 level of the control group was generally higher than those of the D_1 and D_2 groups. Although significant differences were observed in the results of this study, the 22:6n-3 levels of the group fed with rotifer did not have a clear trend when compared with the other diet groups.

The Σ n-3 levels of larvae belonging to the D₃ (egg protein-based food) group was significantly higher than those of D₁ and D₂, except at time T₁. The larvae of the control group were significantly different from the experimental diets without a clear trend for Σ n-3.

The <code>PUFA</code> concentrations displayed a similar trend to <code>\Sigma n-3</code> among the treatments. The <code>PUFA/SFA</code> ratio for the <code>D_1</code> group was significantly higher than those of the <code>D_2</code> and <code>D_3</code> groups at times <code>T_1</code> and <code>T_4</code>, but significantly lower than at the other feeding times. The <code>\Sigma n-6/\Sigma n-3</code> ratio changed significantly from 0.58 to 1.04 by diet and feeding times. The <code>DHA/EPA</code> ratios of the experimental diets at all feeding times were significantly higher than those of the control group. The <code>DHA/EPA</code> ratios of larvae were significantly lower than those in <code>D_1</code> compared to <code>D_2</code> and <code>D_3</code> at feeding time <code>T_1</code>.

4 Discussion

In this study, the whole body fatty acid compositions of goldfish larvae (C14:0, C16:0, C18:0, Σ SFA, C16:1, C18:1n9, Σ MUFA LA, ALA, ARA, EPA, DHA, Σ PUFA, PUFA/SFA, Σ n6, Σ n3, n6/n3, DHA/EPA) were significantly affected by feeding times and diets (P < 0.05). The average 14:0 levels (1.54 to 3.45%) of the control group and other treatments were higher than those reported by Wiegand (1996) (1.3%) and Farhoudi et al. (2011) (0.96%), but slightly lower than those of Zengin et al. (2013) (3.48 to 4.17%). Higher amounts of 14:0 in common carp larvae were reported by Fontagne et al. (1999). Goldfish larvae fed continuously with rotifer had significantly higher 14:0 levels than those of the other groups in this study. The average levels of 16:0 (17.57 to 22.68%)

Table 4. Average fatty acid and fatty acid groups of goldfish larvae maintained on different experimental diets administered at varying feeding times during 15 days.

Fatty Acids	Times -	Diets			
	1111103	$D_{_1}$	D_2	D_3	Rotifer
C14:0	$T_{_1}$	$^{a}3.01 \pm 0.01^{A*}$	$^{\rm a}2.19 \pm 0.01^{\rm B*}$	$^{\circ}2.03 \pm 0.01^{\text{C*}}$	$3.45 \pm 0.05^*$
	T_{2}	$^{\circ}1.56 \pm 0.01^{\text{B*}}$	$^{\circ}1.54\pm0.02^{\mathrm{B}\star}$	$^{a}2.92 \pm 0.01^{A*}$	
	T_3	$^{\rm c}1.56\pm0.01^{\rm B*}$	$^{\mathrm{c}}1.58\pm0.01^{\mathrm{B}\star}$	$^{\rm b}2.81 \pm 0.01^{\rm A*}$	
	T_4	$^{\rm b}1.64 \pm 0.02^{\rm B}$	$^{\rm b}2.03 \pm 0.01^{\rm A*}$	$^{c}1.97 \pm 0.02^{A*}$	
C16:0	$T_{_1}$	$^{a}22.68 \pm 0.01^{A*}$	$^{a}21.19 \pm 0.01^{B*}$	$^{d}18.75 \pm 0.15^{C*}$	$22.23 \pm 0.0^{*}$
	T_{2}	$^{b}19.32 \pm 0.01^{B*}$	$^{c}18.37 \pm 0.02^{C*}$	$^{c}20.23 \pm 0.03^{A*}$	
	T_3	$^{\rm c}18.45\pm0.10^{\rm B}{}^{\star}$	$^{\rm d}17.61\pm0.05^{\rm C*}$	$^{\rm b}21.06\pm0.01^{\rm A*}$	
	T_4	^d 17.57± 0.03 ^C *	$^{b}18.55 \pm 0.03^{B*}$	$^{a}21.85 \pm 0.01^{A*}$	
C18:0	$T_{_1}$	$^{a}7.27 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	$^{\mathrm{b}}9.14 \pm 0.24^{\mathrm{B}\star}$	°9.31 ± 0.00 ^A *	7.02 ± 0.04 *
	T_2	$^{d}9.65 \pm 0.02^{B*}$	$^{de}9.33 \pm 0.04^{\text{B}}$	$^{\rm f}7.27 \pm 0.01^{\rm A}$	
	T_3	$^{\rm g}9.20 \pm 0.10^{\rm A}$	$^{\mathrm{h}}8.48\pm0.02^{\mathrm{B}\star}$	$^{hi}8.23 \pm 0.01^{B*}$	
	T_4	$^{j}8.53 \pm 0.02^{B*}$	$^{\mathrm{jk}}8.27\pm0.02^{\mathrm{B}\star}$	$^{1}13.85 \pm 0.05^{A*}$	
ΣSFA	$T_{_1}$	^a 33.66 ± 0.01 ^A *	$^{a}32.87 \pm 0.11^{B*}$	$^{ m d}30.86 \pm 0.14^{ m C}*$	$34.04 \pm 0.0^*$
	T_{2}	$^{\mathrm{b}}31.42 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{B}*}$	⁶ 30.31 ± 0.02 ^C *	$^{\circ}31.83 \pm 0.05^{\text{A*}}$	
	T_3	$^{\circ}30.35 \pm 0.07^{\text{B*}}$	°28.81 ± 0.03°×	$^{\rm b}33.71 \pm 0.03^{\rm A*}$	
	T_4	$^{\rm d}29.19 \pm 0.06^{\rm C}*$	$^{b}30.38 \pm 0.03^{B*}$	$^{a}41.16 \pm 0.11^{A*}$	
C16:1	$T_{_1}$	$^{\rm a}3.70\pm0.01^{\rm A}$	$^{a}3.12 \pm 0.01^{B*}$	°2.91 ± 0.01°*	$4.04 \pm 0.02^{*}$
	T_2	$^{b}2.73 \pm 0.02^{B*}$	$^{\rm b}2.68 \pm 0.01^{\rm B*}$	$^{\rm a}3.47\pm0.02^{\rm A}$	
	T_3	$^{\circ}2.52 \pm 0.00^{\text{B}*}$	$^{\circ}2.52 \pm 0.00^{\text{B}*}$	$^{\rm b}3.26 \pm 0.01^{\rm A*}$	
	$T_{_4}$	$^{\rm d}2.37\pm0.02^{\rm C*}$	$^{\rm c}2.47 \pm 0.02^{\rm B*}$	°2.91 ± 0.00 ^A *	
C18:1n-9	T_1	^d 27.51 ± 0.01 ^C *	$^{ab}37.62 \pm 0.02^{B*}$	^a 39.21 ± 0.05 ^A *	$26.95 \pm 0.0^*$
	T_{2}	$^{\rm a}38.49 \pm 0.05^{\rm A*}$	^a 38.92 ± 0.45 ^A *	^b 24.19 ± 0.05 ^B *	
	T_3^2	^b 36.66 ± 0.56 ^A *	^b 36.66 ± 0.56 ^A *	$^{\circ}22.53 \pm 0.03^{\text{B}*}$	
	T_4	$^{\circ}32.68 \pm 0.05^{\text{B}*}$	^b 36.06 ± 0.03 ^A *	d13.79 ± 0.10 ^C *	
ΣMUFA	T_1	^d 37.94 ± 0.01 ^{C*}	$^{ab}45.96 \pm 0.00^{B*}$	^a 47.59 ± 0.02 ^A *	$39.40 \pm 0.0^*$
	$T_2^{'}$	^a 47.27 ± 0.06 ^A *	^a 47.92 ± 0.52 ^A *	^b 35.85 ± 0.02 ^B *	
	T_3^2	^b 45.59 ± 0.58 ^{A*}	^b 45.59 ± 0.58 ^{A*}	^c 33.58 ± 0.03 ^B *	
	$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{4}^{3}$	°41.74 ± 0.02 ^{B*}	^b 44.92 ± 0.02 ^{A*}	^d 22.60 ± 0.20 ^C *	
C18:2n-6 (LA)	T_1^{4}	^a 7.69 ± 0.01 ^A *	⁶ 6.44 ± 0.01 ^C *	^a 6.79 ± 0.05 ^B *	$7.43 \pm 0.02^{*}$
, ,	$T_2^{'}$	^d 5.10 ± 0.05 ^C *	°5.85 ± 0.02 ^{B*}	$^{\mathrm{a}}6.87 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{A}}$	
	T_3^2	°5.76 ± 0.02°×	^b 6.58 ± 0.01 ^A *	$^{\mathrm{b}}6.40\pm0.02^{\mathrm{B}}$	
	$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{_{4}}^{^{3}}$	⁶ 6.32 ± 0.03 ^B *	^a 7.04 ± 0.06 ^A *	$^{\circ}3.62 \pm 0.06^{C*}$	
C20:4n-6 (ARA)	T_1^4	^b 0.35 ± 0.01 ^C *	°0.64 ± 0.01 ^{B*}	b0.70 ± 0.01 ^A *	$0.42 \pm 0.00^{*}$
, ,	T_2	^a 0.76 ± 0.01 ^A *	$^{a}0.80 \pm 0.00^{A*}$	°0.48 ± 0.01 ^B *	
	T_3^2	^a 0.75 ± 0.01 ^A *	b0.69 ± 0.01 ^B *	°0.49 ± 0.00°×	
	${f T}_4^{}$	^a 0.72 ± 0.01 ^B *	°0.64 ± 0.02°×	^a 1.11 ± 0.00 ^A *	
∑ n-6	T_1	⁶ 9.02 ± 0.01 ^A	°8.49 ± 0.01 ^B *	^a 9.12 ± 0.10 ^A	$8.97 \pm 0.04^{*}$
- *	T_2	$^{d}7.50 \pm 0.04^{C*}$	$^{\circ}8.44 \pm 0.02^{\text{B}*}$	ab8.98 ± 0.05 ^A	= 3.01
	T_3	$^{\circ}8.37 \pm 0.01^{\text{C}*}$	^b 9.08 ± 0.01 ^A	ab8.63 ± 0.01 ^{B*}	
	${ m T}_4^{}$	^a 9.27 ± 0.01 ^{A*}	^a 9.76 ± 0.03 ^A *	⁶ 8.49 ± 0.17 ^B *	
C18:3n-3 (ALA)	${ m T}_{1}^{4}$	^a 2.37 ± 0.02 ^{A*}	a1.77 ± 0.00 ^{B*}	b1.88 ± 0.05 ^B	$2.07 \pm 0.02^*$
(11111)	T_{2}	$^{d}1.04 \pm 0.03^{C*}$	$^{\circ}1.33 \pm 0.01^{\text{B}*}$	^b 2.01 ± 0.02 ^A *	2.0, ± 0.02
	T_{3}	$^{\circ}1.30 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	b1.48 ± 0.01 ^{B*}	b2.03 ± 0.01 ^{A*}	
	T_4	b1.63 ± 0.01 ^C *	$^{a}1.76 \pm 0.01^{B*}$	$^{a}2.28 \pm 0.01^{A*}$	

C14:0 = myristic acid; C16:0 = palmitic acid; C18:0 = stearic acid; Σ SFA = total saturated fatty acids; C16:1 = palmitoleic acid; C18:1n-9 = oleic acid; Σ MUFA = total monounsaturated fatty acids; C18:2n-6 (LA) = linoleic acid; C20:5n-3 (EPA) = eicosapentanoic acid; C22:6n-3 (DHA) = docosahexaenoic acid; Σ n-3 = total n-3 fatty acids; Σ PUFA = total polyunsaturated fatty acids. Average fatty acid values sharing common superscripts in the same rows or column are not significantly different (based on the Tukey multiple comparison test) (P < 0.05); *Indicates that these values are significantly different from the control (Dunnett's test) (P < 0.05).

Table 4. Continued...

Fatty Acids	T.*	Diets			
	Times -	D_1	$\mathrm{D_2}$	D_3	Rotifer
C20:5n-3 (EPA)	T_1	^a 2.20 ± 0.01 ^{A*}	°0.80 ± 0.01 ^B *	°0.81 ± 0.03 ^B *	2.07 ± 0.01*
	$T_{_2}$	$^{ m d}0.64 \pm 0.02^{ m C*}$	$^{ m d}0.73 \pm 0.01^{ m B*}$	$^{a}2.46 \pm 0.01^{A*}$	
	T_3	$^{c}0.88 \pm 0.01^{B*}$	$^{\mathrm{b}}0.90\pm0.01^{\mathrm{B}*}$	^a 2.52 ± 0.01 ^A *	
	$\mathrm{T}_{_{4}}$	$^{b}1.18 \pm 0.01^{B}$	^a 1.11 ± 0.01 ^C *	^b 2.29 ± 0.00 ^A *	
C22:6n-3 (DHA)	$T_{_1}$	^a 9.17 ± 0.01 ^{A*}	⁶ 6.91 ± 0.01 ^B *	$^{\circ}6.62 \pm 0.03^{C*}$	$6.64 \pm 0.01^*$
	T_2	$^{ m d}5.56 \pm 0.02^{ m C*}$	$^{ m d}6.32 \pm 0.01^{ m B}$	^a 9.95 ± 0.01 ^A *	
	T_3	$^{c}6.71 \pm 0.03^{B*}$	°6.46 ± 0.01 ^C *	^a 10.28 ± 0.01 ^A *	
	$T_{_4}$	$^{b}8.55 \pm 0.01^{B*}$	^a 7.66 ± 0.01 ^C *	$^{\mathrm{b}}9.46 \pm 0.13^{\mathrm{A}*}$	
∑n-3	$T_{_1}$	^a 13.74 ± 0.00 ^A *	^b 9.48 ± 0.02 ^B *	$^{\rm d}9.30 \pm 0.05^{\rm B}$	$10.78 \pm 0.0^*$
	$T_{_2}$	$^{ m d}7.24 \pm 0.02^{ m C*}$	$^{\mathrm{d}}8.38\pm0.02^{\mathrm{B}\star}$	^b 14.41 ± 0.01 ^A *	
	T_3	$^{c}8.89 \pm 0.02^{B*}$	$^{c}8.83 \pm 0.02^{B*}$	$^{a}14.83 \pm 0.03^{A*}$	
	$\mathrm{T}_{_{4}}$	$^{b}11.35 \pm 0.00^{B*}$	^a 10.53 ± 0.01 ^C *	^c 14.02 ± 0.12 ^A *	
Σ PUFA	$T_{_1}$	^a 22.76 ± 0.01 ^A *	^b 17.96 ± 0.02 ^B *	c18.42 ± 0.05°×	$19.75 \pm 0.0^*$
	T_2	$^{d}14.73 \pm 0.05^{C*}$	c16.81 ± 0.03 ^B *	$^{a}23.39 \pm 0.06^{A*}$	
	T_3	°17.26 ± 0.01°×	^b 17.91 ± 0.02 ^B *	$^{\rm a}23.46 \pm 0.04^{\rm A}$	
	$T_4^{'}$	$^{b}20.62 \pm 0.01^{B*}$	$^{\mathrm{a}}20.28\pm0.02^{\mathrm{B}}$	^b 22.51 ± 0.29 ^{A*}	
PUFA/SFA	T_{1}	$^{b}0.68 \pm 0.00^{A*}$	$^{ m d}0.54 \pm 0.00^{ m C}$	$^{\circ}0.60 \pm 0.00^{B}$	$0.58 \pm 0.00^*$
	T_{2}	$^{ m d}0.47 \pm 0.00^{ m C*}$	$^{c}0.55 \pm 0.00^{B*}$	$^{a}0.73 \pm 0.00^{A*}$	
	T_3	$^{\circ}0.57 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$^{\mathrm{b}}0.62 \pm 0.00^{\mathrm{B}*}$	$^{\mathrm{b}}0.70\pm0.00^{\mathrm{A}*}$	
	T_4	^a 0.71 ± 0.00 ^A *	$^{a}0.67 \pm 0.00^{B*}$	$^{ m d}0.55 \pm 0.01^{ m C*}$	
$\sum n-6/\sum n-3$	T_{i}	$^{ m d}0.66 \pm 0.00^{ m C*}$	$^{ m d}0.90 \pm 0.00^{ m B}$ *	$^{a}0.98 \pm 0.02^{A*}$	$0.83 \pm 0.00^{*}$
	T_2	$^{a}1.04 \pm 0.00^{A*}$	^b 1.01 ± 0.00 ^B *	^b 0.62 ± 0.00 ^C *	
	T_3	$^{b}0.94 \pm 0.00^{B*}$	^a 1.03 ± 0.00 ^A *	⁶ 0.58 ± 0.00 ^C *	
	$\mathrm{T_4}$	$^{c}0.82 \pm 0.00^{B*}$	c0.93 ± 0.00 ^A *	^b 0.61 ± 0.01 ^C *	
DHA/EPA	T_{i}	$^{c}4.17 \pm 0.01^{B*}$	$^{a}8.69 \pm 0.04^{A*}$	$^{a}8.23 \pm 0.29^{A*}$	$3.21 \pm 0.01^*$
	T_{2}	$^{a}8.70 \pm 0.24^{A*}$	$^{a}8.66 \pm 0.10^{A*}$	$^{\mathrm{b}}4.04 \pm 0.02^{\mathrm{B}*}$	
	T_3	^b 7.67 ± 0.08 ^A *	^b 7.17 ± 0.07 ^B *	^b 4.08 ± 0.01 ^C *	
	${ m T}_4^{}$	^b 7.27 ± 0.03 ^A *	⁶ 6.90 ± 0.06 ^B *	⁶ 4.13 ± 0.05 ^C *	

 $C14:0 = myristic\ acid;\ C16:0 = palmitic\ acid;\ C18:0 = stearic\ acid;\ \Sigma FA = total\ saturated\ fatty\ acids;\ C16:1 = palmitoleic\ acid;\ C18:1n-9 = oleic\ acid;\ \Sigma MUFA = total\ monounsaturated\ fatty\ acids;\ C18:2n-6\ (LA) = linolenic\ acid;\ C20:5n-3\ (EPA) = eicosapentanoic\ acid;\ C20:6n-3\ (DHA) = docosahexaenoic\ acid;\ \Sigma n-3 = total\ n-3\ fatty\ acids;\ \Sigma PUFA = total\ polyunsaturated\ fatty\ acids.\ Average\ fatty\ acid\ values\ sharing\ common\ superscripts\ in\ the\ same\ rows\ or\ column\ are\ not\ significantly\ different\ (based\ on\ the\ Tukey\ multiple\ comparison\ test)\ (P<0.05);\ *Indicates\ that\ these\ values\ are\ significantly\ different\ from\ the\ control\ (Dunnett's\ test)\ (P<0.05).$

in this study are comparable to those of Wiegand (1996) (18.8%) and Farhoudi et al. (2011) (23.54%), but slightly higher than those of Zengin et al. (2013) (15.46 to 18.46%). The 18:0 levels (7.02 to 13.85%) were similar to Farhoudi et al. (2011) (13.43%) while higher than those of Wiegand (1996) (5.8%) and Zengin et al. (2013) (3.64 to 4.51%). The Σ SFA values of the experimental groups ranged from 28.81 to 41.16%, in harmony with the findings of Farhoudi et al. (2011) (41.52%) and Zengin et al. (2013) (30.43 to 35.81%), but higher than those of Wiegand (1996) (26.7%).

Our findings related to 16:1 n-7 (2.37 to 4.04%) are consistent with the results of Wiegand (1996) (3.6%) and Farhoudi et al. (2011) (3.9%), but lower than those of Zengin et al. (2013) (7.45 to 8.66%). The later author (Zengin et al., 2013), however, reported similar levels of 18:1 n-9: 18.74 to 24.45% compared to ours from 13.79 to 39.21%, which are higher than those

found by Wiegand (1996) at 12.5% and Farhoudi et al. (2011) at 12.61%. The Σ MUFA concentrations in this investigation varied from 22.60 to 47.59%, which are higher than the average value (20.06%) of Farhoudi et al. (2011), but comparable to those of Wiegand (1996) (29.5%) and Zengin et al. (2013) (31.48 to 35.42%). Our Σ MUFA values are also consistent with those of common carp and catfish larvae (Stancheva et al., 2014). Thamizshselvi et al. (2014) reported the highest MUFA content in fish-fed experimental diets with 7.5% of fish oil.

Wiegand (1996), Farhoudi et al. (2011) and Zengin et al. (2013) reported 18:2n-6 levels between 3.3 and 5.33%, which are within the range of our findings. The 20:4n-6 levels of goldfish larvae were 0.35 to 1.11% in this study, and were remarkably lower than those reported in published literature (Wiegand, 1996; Farhoudi et al., 2011; Zengin et al., 2013). Wiegand et al. (1991) found higher levels of 20:4 n-6 in goldfish larvae when compared

with the eggs, and also underlined the importance of total lipid and fatty acid composition in terms of survival of the larvae.

The Σ n-6 levels (7.50 to 9.76%) are lower than those of the findings of previous reports (Wiegand, 1996; Zengin et al., 2013), but similar to those reported by Farhoudi et al. (2011). The amounts of the group fatty acids tended to increase with the duration of transition from live feed to dry feeds.

Our findings related to 18:3n-3 levels were supported by Farhoudi et al. (2011). Rainbow trout larvae consumed high levels of this fatty acid during their 29-day starvation period (Zengin et al., 2013). EPA levels reported at 2.9% by Wiegand (1996), 3.93% by Farhoudi et al. (2011) and 4.2 to 5.02% by Zengin et al. (2013) were higher than those of this study. Zengin et al. (2013) recorded that EPA was largely retained by trout larvae during the starvation period. In this study, EPA levels in larvae tended to increase in parallel with the rotifer feeding period.

DHA concentrations in this study appear to be lower than those of the literature findings (Wiegand, 1996; Farhoudi et al., 2011). According to information reported by from studies in which dietary DHA concentrations had a profound effect on the hatching rate in common carp (Sales & Janssens, 2003). As mentioned for EPA levels, DHA was also retained by trout larvae during the starvation period (Zengin et al., 2013). Results revealed that the levels of Σ n-3 (7.24 to 14.83%) in goldfish larvae were lower than those of the previous studies (Wiegand, 1996; Farhoudi et al., 2011; Zengin et al., 2013). In addition, Σ PUFA levels observed in the study were lower than those of published literature (Wiegand, 1996; Farhoudi et al., 2011; Zengin et al., 2013; Stancheva et al., 2014). Low quality fish eggs were reported to have lower levels of n-3 PUFA (Wiegand et al., 1991). The ratios of $\Sigma PUFA/\Sigma SFA$ and $\Sigma n-6/\Sigma n-3$ in goldfish larvae were consistent with those reported by Wiegand (1996), Farhoudi et al. (2011) and Zengin et al. (2013). However, the DHA/EPA ratios of the study are different than those reported by Farhoudi et al. (2011) and Zengin et al. (2013). As mentioned in published literature, larval fatty acid concentrations and profiles changes depend on species, egg quality, larval stage, water temperature, diet and other biotic-abiotic factors.

5 Conclusion

The amount of body fatty acid of larval groups fed with live feed for the same period varied depending on the test diets. The body fatty acid of larval groups fed with the same trial diet varied depending on the different feeding times (P < 0.05). Also, in the experiment, it was observed that the fatty acid components of the larvae were changed according to the fatty acid structure of the feed. The Σ SFA, Σ MUFA, Σ n-6/ Σ n-3, DHA/EPA and ARA rates of larvae fed on commercial feed D_1 increased; however, the Σ PUFA, Σ n-3, and Σ PUFA/ Σ SFA ratios were observed to decrease. The Σ SFA, Σ MUFA, DHA/EPA rates of larvae fed on the D_2 casein-based diet increased; however, the Σ PUFA, Σ n-3, Σ n-6, ALA and Σ PUFA/ Σ SFA ratios were observed to decrease. The Σ SFA, Σ n-3 and DHA/EPA rates of larvae fed on the egg protein-based diet D_3 , increased. Nevertheless, the Σ MUFA, Σ PUFA, ALA, LA, Σ n-6, Σ n-6/ Σ n-3 and Σ PUFA/ Σ SFA ratios

were observed to decrease. The whole body fatty acid levels of goldfish larvae determined in this study revealed the significant effects caused by the different diets used and the different feeding times. The different feeding times and the different diets have been recommended for the whole body fatty acid this way 14:0, 16:0, 16:1, 18:2 n-6 and 18:3 n-3 to T_1D_1 ; 18:0, Σ SFA and 20:4 n-6 to T_4D_3 ; 18:1 n-9 to T_1D_3 ; 20:5 n-3, 22:6 n-3, Σ n-3 and Σ PUFA to T_3D_3 ; Σ n-6 to T_4D_2 ; Σ n-6/ Σ n-3 and DHA/EPA to T_2D_1 ; Σ MUFA to T_4D_2 ; Σ PUFA/ Σ SFA to T_2D_3 (Table 4).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Scientific Research Projects Coordination Department of Süleyman Demirel University with a grant no: 3534-YL2-13.

References

- American Oil Chemists Society AOCS. (2009). Official methods and recommended practices of the American Oil Chemists Society (6th ed.). Illinois: AOCS.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists AOAC. (1990). Offical methods of analysis. Arlington: AOAC.
- Bandyopadhyay, P., Swain, S., & Mishra, S. (2005). Growth and dietary utilization in goldfish (*Carassius auratus* Linn.) fed diets formulated with various local agro-produces. *Bioresource Technology*, 96(6), 731-740. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2004.06.018. PMid:15588775.
- Bayır, M., Sirkecioğlu, A. N., Bayır, A., Yanık, T., & Aras, N. M. (2010). The effects of fatty acids on growth and stress tolerance of fish. Journal of Agricultural Faculty of Atatürk University, 41(1), 65-70.
- Bligh, E. G., & Dyer, W. J. (1959). A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology*, 37(8), 911-917. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/o59-099. PMid:13671378.
- Castell, J. D., & Tiews, K. (1980). Report of the EIFAC, IUNS and ICES working groups on the standardization of methodology in fish nutrition research (IFAC Technical Paper, No. 3). Hamburg: FRG.
- Farhoudi, A., Abedian Kenari, A. M., Nazari, R. M., & Makhdoomi, C. H. (2011). Study of body composition, lipid and fatty acid profile during larval development in Caspian Sea Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). Su Ürünleri Dergisi, 6(4), 417-428.
- Fontagne, S., Pruszynski, T., Corraze, G., & Bergot, P. (1999). Effect of coconut oil and tricaprylin vs. triolein on survival growth and fatty acid composition of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) larvae. *Aquaculture*, 179(1-4), 241-251. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00193-3.
- Geurden, I., Bergot, P., Van Ryckeghem, K., & Sorgeloos, P. (1999). Phospholipid composition of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) larvae starved or fed different phospholipid classes. *Aquaculture*, 171(1-2), 93-107. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00423-2.
- Ichihara, K., Shibahara, A., Yamamoto, K., & Nakayama, T. (1996). An improved method for rapid analysis of the fatty acids of glycerolipids. *Lipids*, 31(5), 535-539. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02522648. PMid:8727647.
- James. C.S. (1999). *Analytical chemistry of foods*. Gaithersburg: Aspen Publishers.
- Özdamar, K. (2001). SPSS ile biyoistatistik. Eskişehir: Kaan Kitabevi.
- Parameshwaran, K., Edirisinghe, U., & Dematawewa, C. M. B. (2002). Replacement of cod liver oil with soybean or coconut oil in diets of larval goldfish, Carassius auratus L. Tropical Agricultural Research and Extention, 5(1&2), 62-67.

- Sales, J., & Janssens, G. P. J. (2003). Nutrient requirements of ornamental fish. *Aquatic Living Resources*, 16(6), 533-540. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.aquliv.2003.06.001.
- Seiffert, M. E. B., Cerqueira, V. R., & Madureira, L. A. S. (2001). Effect of dietary (n-3) highly unsaturated fatty acids on growth and survival of fat snook (*Centropomus parallelus*, Pisces: Centropomidae) larvae during first feeding. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, 34(5), 645-651. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/ S0100-879X2001000500013. PMid:11323752.
- Stancheva, M., Merdzhanova, A., Dobreva, D. A., & Makedonski, L. (2014). Common carp (*Cyprinus caprio*) and European Catfish (*Sillurus glanis*) from the Danube River as sources of fat soluble vitamins and fatty acids. *Czech Journal of Food Sciences*, 32(1), 16-24. http://dx.doi.org/10.17221/31/2013-CJFS.
- Thamizshselvi, N., Thirumathal, K., Stephen Sampath Kumar, J., & Agnes, S. B. (2014). Influence of artificial diet on the fatty acid composition of freshwater fish *Cyprinus Carpio* (Common Carp). *International Journal of Experimental Pharmacology*, 4(2), 140-144.
- Tlusty, M. (2002). The benefits and risks of aquacultural production for the aquarium trade. *Aquaculture*, 205(3-4), 203-219. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00683-4.

- Varga, D., Müller, T., Specziar, A., Febel, H., Hancz, C. S., Bazar, G. Y., Urbanyı, B., & Szabo, A. (2013). Note on the special fillet fatty acid composition of the dwarf carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) living in thermal lake heviz, Hungary. *Acta Biologica Hungarica*, 64(1), 34-48. http://dx.doi.org/10.1556/ABiol.64.2013.1.4. PMid:23567829.
- Wiegand, M. D. (1996). Utilization of yolk fatty acids by goldfish embryos and larvae. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 15(1), 21-27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01874834. PMid:24193985.
- Wiegand, M. D., Kitchen, C. L., & Hataley, J. M. (1991). Incorporation of yolk fatty acids into bodys lipids of goldfish (*Carassius auratus* L.) larvae raised at two different temperatures. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 9(3), 199-213. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02265141. PMid:24213711.
- Yıldız, N., Akbulut, Ö., & Bircan, H. (2011). *İstatistiğe Giriş* (7th ed.). Erzurum: Aktif Yayınevi.
- Zengin, H., Vural, N., & Çelik, V. K. (2013). Comparison of changes in fatty acid composition of starved and fed rainbow trout, (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) larvae. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 13, 397-405.