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Abstract

Some physical, chemical, microbiological and sensory attributes of homemade and commercial yoghurts sold at 15 points of Van province in Turkey were determined. The average pH, titration acidity, dry matter, fat-free dry matter, syneresis and protein of homemade and commercial yoghurts were 4.02 and 4.08, 1.16 and 1.10%, 14.55 and 13.77%, 4.23 and 3.49%, 10.31 and 10.27%, 29.16 and 29.32 ml/100 g, 3.61 and 3.66%, respectively. In homemade and commercial yoghurts, the average number of yeasts and molds was 6.02 and 3.63 log cfu/g; the number of \textit{L. delbrueckii} subsp. \textit{bulgaricus} was 7.98 and 7.78 log cfu/g; the number of \textit{S. thermophilus} was 8.29 and 7.60 log cfu/g. In homemade and commercial yoghurts, the average appearance was 3.38 and 3.88, consistency was 3.01 and 3.61, odor was 3.73 and 3.89 and taste was 3.74 and 3.64.
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Practical application: In this study, the physical, chemical and microbiological properties of yoghurt produced by industrial and traditional methods were compared.

1 Introduction

Fermented dairy products with different consistency and aroma are produced especially through lactic acid fermentation with the use of various starter cultures. Among the fermented dairy products, yoghurt is the most known and consumed dairy product. Yoghurt is similar with milk in point of nutrients, but more resistant than milk (Bonczar et al., 2002). Due to significance in human nutrition, health benefits, unique taste and aroma, yoghurt consumption increase worldwide. Taste and aroma are related to production of volatile and non-volatile acids and carbonyl compounds. Homogenization and starter cultures also play a great role in taste and aroma of the yoghurts (Köse&Ocak, 2014).

With diverse nutrients, yoghurt has several health benefits. It balances normal intestinal flora and has therapeutic effect in infantile gastroenteritis (baby diarrhea). Besides probiotic, antibiotic and antimicrobial effects, it was scientifically proved that yoghurt was effective in prevention of trace element toxicifications (Kaminarides et al., 2007; Renner &Saldamlı, 1983). It was also reported that yoghurt inhibited development of some pathogenic microorganisms and reproduction of anti-tumor cells (Renner & Saldamlı, 1983). The Russian scientist, Metchnikoff, known with his scientific researches on yoghurts, indicated that since the people of these regions consume large quantities of yoghurt, they did not get intestinal disorders and lived longer. Since yoghurt contained lactic acid and the other by products, it can inhibit the development of sporulation colon bacteria able to live anaerobic ambient (Sezgin, 1981).

Different types of yoghurts are produced in different countries of the world. Along with the demands of consumers, various fruit pieces are supplemented into yoghurts to get different taste and flavors. In rural sections of Turkey, yoghurts are produced in different types such as of torba yoghurt, winter yoghurt, dry yoghurt, tulum yoghurt and Silivri yoghurt to preserved yoghurts for longer time (Özdemir et al., 1995).

The aim of this study to determine physical, chemical, sensory and microbiological characteristics of homemade and commercial yoghurts served to markets in Van province and compliance with Fermented Dairy Products Communique of Turkish Food Codex (TFC) and TSE TS 1330 Yoghurt Standards for public health.

2 Material and methods

In this study, samples were taken from commercial and homemade yoghurts of 15 different points served to markets in Van province and samples were subjected to physical, chemical, sensory and microbiological analyses. Samples were preserved at +4°C until the time of analysis. Chemicals used in present analyses were all at analytical purity and quality. Analyses were performed in parallels. Dry matter, fat content (Kurt et al., 2007), fat-free dry matter (Anonim, 2002), protein (AOAC, 1990), pH (Kosikowski, 1982), titratable acidity (Anonim, 1999), syneresis (Tunçtürk et al., 2000), gelatin content (Anonim, 1989), yeast-mold, yoghurt bacteria (Frank et al., 1985) and sensory analyses (Bodyfelt et al., 1988) were conducted.
Statistical Analysis. Minimum, maximum, mean values of homemade and commercial yoghurts and standard deviations were calculated.

3 Results and discussion

Dry matter is the primary factor influencing yoghurt quality should be between 15.5-16.0% (Kaminarides et al., 2007). The mean dry matter ratios of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 1. The mean dry matter values of homemade yoghurts were lower than the values reported by (Eralp & Kaptan, 1970), greater than by (Kurdal & Demirci, 1980), close the values reported by (Senel et al., 2006). The present mean dry matter values of commercial yoghurts were lower than the values reported by (Senel et al., 2006), greater than the values reported by (Ozmen, 2012). The differences in dry matter values were mainly resulted from diversity of milks used in yoghurt production and skimming of milk fat through standard processes.

The mean fat content of homemade and commercial yoghurts is provided in Table 1. The mean fat ratios of homemade yoghurts were found to be lower than the values reported by (Sezgin, 1979), greater than the values reported by (Eralp & Kaptan, 1970; Senel et al., 2006; Ozmen, 2012), close to values reported by (Eralp & Kaptan, 1970). The present mean fat ratios of commercial yoghurts were lower than the values reported by (Eralp & Kaptan, 1970), greater than the values reported by (Yildirim, 1992) and close to values reported by (Ovayurt, 2013). It was indicated in TS 1330, fat ratio should be minimum 3.8% in whole-fat yoghurts; minimum 3.0% in fatty yoghurts; minimum 1.5% in semi-skimmed yoghurts; maximum 1.5% in low-fat yoghurts; maximum 0.15% in fat-free yoghurts. 87% of homemade yoghurts were found to be whole-fat yoghurts and 13% were found to be fatty yoghurts; maximum 1.5% in low-fat yoghurts; maximum 0.15% in fat-free yoghurts. 87% of homemade yoghurts were found to be whole-fat yoghurts and 13% were semiskimmed yoghurts.

Table 1. Physico-chemical analysis results for homemade and commercial yoghurts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter (%)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>14.55±1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>4.23±0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free dry matter (%)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>12.73</td>
<td>10.31±1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>3.61±0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.02±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidity (%)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.16±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syneresis(ml/100g)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>37.53</td>
<td>29.16±6.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin (%)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter (%)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>13.77±1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>3.49±0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free dry matter (%)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>10.27±1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>3.66±0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>4.08±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidity (%)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.10±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syneresis(ml/100g)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>15.92</td>
<td>42.03</td>
<td>29.32±7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin (%)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H: Homemade, C: Commercial

The mean fat-free dry matter quantities of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 1. Fat-free dry matter values of homemade and commercial yoghurts were similar to each other. Present values were close to values reported by (Turkoglu et al., 2003). According to Fermented Dairy Products Communique of TFC and TS 1330 Yoghurt Standard, all of the homemade yoghurts were complying with the standards and only one sample of commercial yoghurts were not complying with the standards.

According to Turkish Food Codex, protein content of yoghurts should be minimum 3.0%. The mean protein contents of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 1. Protein contents of homemade and commercial yoghurts were similar to each other. The present values were close to values reported by (Ozmen, 2012). Differences in protein contents were mostly because of differences in composition of milk processed into yoghurt or dry matter-dependent changes in protein contents. According to Turkish Food Codex, all of the homemade and commercial yoghurts were complying with the standards.

The mean pH values of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 1. The pH values of homemade and commercial yoghurts were similar to each other. Present values were close to values reported by (Yildirim, 1992).

The mean titration acidity values (in terms of lactic acid) of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 1. The mean lactic acid values of homemade and commercial yoghurts were similar to each other. Present values were close to values reported by (Atamer & Sezgin, 1987). According to TS 1330 Yoghurt Standard, titratable acidity should be between 0.6-1.6%. Titratation acidity of homemade and commercial yoghurts was within the specified ranges of TS-1330 and TFC and such a case indicated that yoghurts were fresh.

The mean syneresis values of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 1. The syneresis values of homemade and commercial yoghurts were similar to each other. The present values were close to values reported by (Atamer & Sezgin, 1987). The differences in syneresis of yoghurt samples were resulted from diversity of cultures and the effects of dry matters used in yoghurt production.

Although the amount of gelatin used in yoghurt production is known to be between 0.2-0.4%, researchers indicated better outcomes for gelatin ratio of 0.6% (Sezgin, 1981). Gelatin and sodium caseinate supplementations significantly reduce syneresis. It was also reported that gelatin had positive impacts on sensory attributes of the yoghurts (Akca, 1989). In this study, gelatin was not encountered in any of the homemade and commercial yoghurts.

Number of yeasts and molds designate the microbiological quality of yoghurts. Greater number of yeasts and molds indicate that sufficient care was not taken for hygienic conditions during the production and yoghurts were not preserved at cold environments. Yeast and mold may generate undesired taste and aroma in yoghurts though high proteolytic and lipolytic activities (Azgin, 1993). The mean number of yeasts and molds of homemade yoghurts was provided in Table 2. The present number of yeasts and molds of homemade yoghurts were close to values reported by (Gursoy et al., 2001). The present...
number of yeasts and molds of commercial yoghurts were close to values reported by (Azgın, 1993). The differences in number of yeasts and molds were mainly attributed to production methods, storage conditions and contaminations. In TS 1330 Yoghurt Standards, the upper limit for yeast and mold was set as 1.0x10^2 cfu/g. According to Fermented Dairy Products Communique of Turkish Food Codex, number of yeasts and molds should be maximum 1.0x10^2 and 1.0x10^3 cfu/g. Based on these values, it was observed that all of the homemade yoghurts were not complying with TS 1330 Yoghurt Standards and Fermented Dairy Products Communique of Turkish Food Codex. On the other hand, only 20% commercial yoghurts were complying with TS 1330 Yoghurt Standards and 26.6% were complying with Fermented Dairy Products Communique of Turkish Food Codex.

As it was stated in Yoghurt Standard, there should not be any living microorganisms in yoghurts, except for yoghurt bacteria (S. thermophilus and L. delbrueckii subs. bulgaricus). It was reported in previous researches that only the yoghurt bacteria were required in yoghurt production and the other microorganisms spoiled taste, aroma, texture and appearance and shorten the shelf life of yoghurts (Kaminarides et al., 2007). The mean number of S. thermophilus of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 2. The value of homemade and commercial yoghurts were close to values reported by (Cais-Sokolinska&Pikul, 2004). According to fermented Dairy Products Communique of Turkish Food Codex, total number of specific microorganisms should be minimum 1.0x10^2 cfu/g. The mean number of specific microorganisms was identified as 8.13 and 7.69 log cfu/g for homemade and commercial yoghurts. Present values of homemade and commercial yoghurts were greater than the value specified in Turkish Food Codex. While number of L. delbrueckii subs. bulgaricus bacteria of homemade and commercial yoghurts were similar to each other, number of S. thermophilus bacteria was different. Such differences were resulted from type and ratio of starter culture, hygienic conditions, milk microflora etc.

Appearance is a significant sensory attribute of yoghurts. A well-manufactured yoghurt should have a bright and homogeneous appearance, syneresis should not be observed and there should not be any molds (Cais-Sokolinska&Pikul, 2004). The mean appearance scores of homemade and commercial yoghurts were provided in Table 3. The present mean appearance scores of homemade yoghurts were similar to values reported by (Yazıcı, 1991). The mean appearance scores of commercial yoghurts were greater than (Yazıcı, 1991). Differences in appearance scores were resulted from high incubation temperatures or excessive yeast suppletions.

Table 2. Microbiological analysis results for homemade and commercial yoghurts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yeast and mold (log cfu/g)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.02±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lb. delbrueckii subs. bulgaricus (log cfu/g)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>7.98±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Str. Thermophiles (log cfu/g)</td>
<td>H15</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>8.29±0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast and mold (log cfu/g)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>3.63±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lb. delbrueckii subs. bulgaricus (log cfu/g)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>7.78±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Str. thermophilus (log cfu/g)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>7.60±0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H: Homemade, C: Commercial

The mean odor scores of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 3. The present odor scores of homemade yoghurts were similar to values reported by (Yazıcı, 1991). The odor scores of commercial yoghurts were greater than (Yazıcı, 1991). Undesired odor of yoghurt was attributed to burns during the heat treatment and odor absorption of milk fat around. Malodorous feeds may also influence the odor of milk, then the odor of yoghurt made of this milk. Taste is the most significant factor influencing yoghurt quality. Complex biochemical reactions of microorganisms in starter culture designate the taste of yoghurt. Besides, taste of milk processed into yoghurt and milked animal species also significantly influence yoghurt taste (Yazıcı, 1991).

The mean taste scores of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 3. Taste scores of homemade and commercial yoghurts were similar to each other. The taste scores
were similar to values reported by (Ovayurt, 2013). Taste-related undesired sides of yoghurts including sour, moldy, cheesy and burning smell tastes resulted from storage conditions.

The mean total sensory scores of homemade and commercial yoghurts are provided in Table 3. Among 15 homemade yoghurt samples, 13% were complying with the standards with respect to appearance, 40% with respect to taste and 20% with respect to odor, but homemade yoghurt samples were not complying with the standards with respect to consistency and total sensory scores. Among 15 commercial yoghurt samples, 73% were complying with the standards with respect to appearance, 40% with respect to consistency, 60% with respect to odor, 53% with respect to taste and 26.6% with respect to total score.

4 Conclusion

Present findings revealed that homemade and commercial yoghurts had similar physical and chemical characteristics. Microbial quality was not sufficient in both groups. While only 20% of commercial yoghurts were complying with the standards with respect to number of yeasts and molds, none of homemade yoghurts were able to comply with the standards with respect to yeast and mold quantity. With respect to sensory attributes, except for taste parameter, panelists more appreciated appearance, consistency and odor of commercial yoghurts than the homemade ones. It is recommended based on present findings that quality raw material deficiencies should be eliminated, quality and pure yeasts should be used, modern production techniques should be applied, appropriate cold-chain should be maintained and products should be served to markets through proper packaging and marketing systems.
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