Chemical structure and antioxidant activity of cephalopod skin ommochrome pigment extracts
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Abstract
Significant opportunities exist in the use of seafood by-products to create new beneficial products. Moreover, cephalopod skin is a good source of bioactive compounds. The present study compares the chemical structure properties and antioxidant activity of pigments extracted from the skin of Octopus vulgaris (OVS) and Dosidicus gigas (DGS) with methanol-HCl (T1) and ethanol-HCl (T2). The solubility and spectroscopic analysis (UV-Vis and 1H NMR) indicated that extracted pigments belonged to the ommochrome family. Xanthommatin, dihyd Roxanthommatin, and kynurenine compounds were identified in the extracts using correlated homonuclear spectroscopy (COSY). The results showed that OVS yielded a higher recovery rate of pigments with antioxidant activity (DPPH, ABTS, and FRAP) than DGS in both solvents. T1 extracted the highest level of antioxidant pigments. The kynurenine proportion and proton peaks observed at 3.0-5.0 ppm (amino-aromatics) in the 1H NMR spectra may explain the differences in antioxidant activity of OVS and DGS.
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Practical Application: Cephalopod are a source of compounds commonly associated with health benefits. This study provides information about the chemical characteristics of antioxidant ommochromes from the skin of cephalopods. This work also establishes the effect of the nature of solvents by comparing the activities of methanolic and ethanolic extracts. The results suggest a potential for further research in order to select the most effective cephalopod species in terms of its antioxidant activity.

1 Introduction

New food products that offer greater nutritional content and possible health benefits to consumers are a global trend (Aryee & Boye, 2015). In addition, clean label products (that is, virtually free of artificial additives) are demanded and preferred by consumers (Asioli et al., 2017). Hence, food science has focused on research into natural compounds that can be substituted for food additives and have potential against the development of diseases. Antioxidant activity has been widely regarded as a potential factor that helps prevent the development of chronic degenerative illnesses mediated by free-radical production (Vodnar et al., 2017). Moreover, protection from lipid oxidation is a critical factor in the quality of many foods, mainly those rich in unsaturated lipids (Shahidi & Zhong, 2015). Oxidative reactions lead to a change of colour, odour, and flavour of foods products, causing economic burden (Aziz & Karboune, 2018). Hence, different preservatives have been used to prevent quality deterioration during processing and storage of foodstuffs. Although synthetic antioxidants are highly effective, there is uncertainty about their negative impact on human health (Kumar et al., 2017). Thus, the search for compounds that can act as antioxidants has led research into the depths of the ocean, due to their potential to be used as preventive molecules against free radicals and oxidative reactions (Shahidi, 2006).

Ommochromes, a group of pigments found on the skin of cephalopods, have been evaluated as a source of useful nutraceuticals in the food industry. Ommochrome compounds can act as electron donors and stabilise free radicals (Romero & Martínez, 2015). In previous studies, the antioxidant activity of ommochromes has been proven in both food models and against free radicals (Aubourg et al., 2016; Ezquerra-Brauer et al., 2016, 2017; Chan-Higuera et al., 2019a). Recently, the mutagenic activity of ommochromes has been evaluated as a form of toxicity in vitro (Ezquerra-Brauer et al., 2017; Chan-Higuera et al., 2019b).

The extraction efficiency of bioactive compounds depends on, among other factors, the extraction solvent (Ngo et al., 2017). The solubility of an antioxidant compound in a given solvent depends on its chemical characteristics, including polarity. Most solvents used to extract bioactive compounds from cephalopods are aqueous solutions of ethanol, methanol, acetone, and hexane; for example, ethanolic extracts of squid (Loligo duvauceli) ink exhibited higher antioxidant activity than hexane extracts (Fatimah & Rabeta, 2017). In contrast, antimutagenic activity assessed in octopus (Paraoctopus limaculatus) tentacle extracts was higher in hexane than in methanol and acetone extracts (Cruz-Ramírez et al., 2015). Until now, pigmented extracts
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with antioxidants were obtained from squid skin using acidified ethanol (Aubourg et al., 2016) and acidified methanol (Chan-Higuera et al., 2019a, c). Moreover, the main ommochromes identified in squid skin pigmented extracts are xanthommatin and dihydroxanthommatin (Chan-Higuera et al., 2019c).

Little information is available on alternative sources of these compounds. The present research includes the first attempt to determine the potential use of octopus skin as a source of antioxidant compounds by comparing the chemical structure and in vitro antioxidant activity of acidified methanol (T1) and acidified ethanol (T2) pigments extracted from the skin of Octopus vulgaris (OVS) and Dosidicus gigas (DGS).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Chemical and reagents

All reagents and solvents used in this research were of good analytical grade and were purchased from J.T. Baker (Mexico, Mexico), Sigma Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany), Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), and ABATEC (Jalisco, Mexico).

2.2 Sample preparation

Ten octopuses (O. vulgaris) and ten squid (D. gigas) were purchased from local fishers on the coast of Hermosillo, Sonora, Mexico (28°49'/22" N; 111°56'/27" W). Specimens were stored in an iced bed system and transported to the Seafood Laboratory. The length and weight of octopus specimens ranged from 45 to 50 cm and 2.5 to 3.0 kg, respectively, whereas squid ranged from 100 to 150 cm and 4 to 6 kg, respectively. The skin was collected manually from octopus heads (about 15 cm) and squid mantles (about 50 cm). The skin was cut into small pieces and freeze dried (LABCONCO Freeze Dry, Kansas City, MO, USA). One hundred milligram portions of freeze-dried skin were placed in polyethylene bags and stored at ~20 ± 2 °C until use.

2.3 Pigment extraction

Acidified methanol (99:1 methanol:HCl, T1) and acidified ethanol (99:1 ethanol:HCl, T2) were used to extract pigment compounds from freeze-dried skin samples. The samples were extracted according to Chan-Higuera et al. (2019a). Briefly, 100 mg of sample was mixed with a solvent in a 1:20 (w:v) ratio, sonicated for 5 min (IKA-UltraTurrax T-25, Germany), and then centrifuged (Biofuge Stratos, Thermo Scientific, Germany) at 10,000 × g for 15 min. This process was repeated three times. The pigment extracts were concentrated by removing the solvent using a rotary evaporator (R-100, Büchi, Switzerland); subsequently, samples without solvent were incubated at room temperature for 1-3 days under vacuum until dry. The dried samples were stored at ~20 °C until use. The dried yield was calculated gravimetrically using the weight of the skin sample as a reference. The final treatments were: OVS-T1 (octopus skin pigments extracted with methanol-HCl), OVS-T2 (octopus skin pigments extracted with ethanol-HCl), DGS-T1 (squid skin pigments extracted with methanol-HCl), and DGS-T2 (squid skin pigments extracted with ethanol-HCl).

2.4 Physico–chemical analysis

The protein content was determined in dried pigment extracts solubilised in methanol and using the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976). A bovine serum solution (1 mg/mL) was employed as standard.

The nature of the methanolic and ethanolic extracts was determined by a solubility test using different solvents (Kiyomoto et al., 1969), using 5 mL of the following solvents: acetone, ethyl ether, chloroform, 77% aqueous sulphuric acid, and methanol-2% HCl. In each solvent, 5 mg of dried pigment extract was dissolved and stirred for 5 min at 24 °C (Van den Branden & Decleir, 1976).

The UV–Visible spectra of octopus and squid pigment extracts were obtained using a Varian Cary 5 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Cary 50 UV-VIS, Agilent Technologies, Ciudad de México, Mexico) over the wavelength range from 200 to 600 nm. The blank solution used was methanol (Chan-Higuera et al., 2019a).

Nuclear magnetic resonance of the proton (¹H-NMR) spectra of the dried pigment extracts was obtained in a Bruker Avance 400 NMR spectrometer (Billericia, MA, USA). Samples (1 mg) were dissolved in a mixture of deuterium chloride (DCl) and deuterium oxide (D₂O). Typical parameters for spectrum acquisition were: 2 s (delay), 4.56 s (acquisition time), 51 s (pulse), 30 (flip angle), 64 (number of scans), 3.35 kHz (spectral). The pigments were analysed additionally by two-dimensional (2D) correlated homonuclear spectroscopy (COSY). COSY spectra were acquired with 1.5 s (delay), 4 (scans/increment), 3.97 kHz, and 1024 × 256 data points. The data were analysed using the software program MESTREC (MesrReNova v9.0.1-13254, Mestrelab Research S.L., Spain).

2.5 Antioxidant activity

The in vitro antioxidant activity of the dried samples was determined by four antioxidant assays, namely, the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (Brand-Williams et al., 1995), 2,2’-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) (Re et al., 1999), ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) (Benzie & Strain, 1999), and the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) (Prior et al., 2003) assays.

The DPPH assay was carried out by dissolving 20 μL samples (2.5, 1.3, 0.75, 0.38, and 0.19 mg/mL) in 200 μL DPPH solution (1.25 mg/50 mL methanol). The samples were incubated at 25 °C for 30 min, and then the absorbance was read at 517 nm using a UV spectrophotometer (Multiskan GO, Thermo Scientific). The scavenging activity percentage inhibition of the samples was calculated from Abs₅₁₇ nm (% inhibition/mg sample).

For the ABTS assay, the stock solution of ABTS radical consisted of 7 mmol of ABTS in 5 mL water and 0.14 mmol potassium persulfate, kept in the dark at room temperature (16 h). The working solution was freshly prepared (1 mL ABTS stock solution:80 mL ethanol) to obtain an Abs734nm value of 0.70 on the day of analysis. An aliquot of 270 μL of freshly prepared working solution of ABTS++ was mixed with 20 μL of each sample (2.4, 1.2, 0.6, 0.3, and 0.1 mg/mL) and left in the dark at room temperature for 30 min before its absorbance was
recorded at 734 nm using a UV spectrophotometer (MultiSkian GO, Thermo Scientific). The percentage inhibition of the samples was calculated from the Abs$_{734nm}$ (% inhibition/mg sample).

The results for DPPH and ABTS radicals were expressed as the concentration of the sample required to inhibit 50% of DPPH or ABTS radicals (IC$_{50}$). The IC$_{50}$ was determined using an inhibition curve constructed from percentage inhibition values obtained for different concentrations of pigment extracts.

The FRAP assay was performed using a mixture of 10 mM 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ) in 40 mM HCl, 25 mM acetic acid-sodium acetate buffer (pH 3.4), and 2.5 mL of 20 mM FeCl$_3$.H$_2$O as the working FRAP solution. An aliquot of 20 μL of the samples (1 mg/mL) was mixed with 280 μL FRAP working solution and incubated at 25 °C in the dark for 30 min before its absorbance was recorded at 562 nm using a UV spectrophotometer (Multiskian GO, Thermo Scientific). Trolox was used as the standard and the results were expressed as TE μmol/g sample.

The ORAC assay was determined by followed the fluorescence fall of fluorescein over 60 min at 37 °C in the presence of 2,20-Azobis (2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH) as a precursor for reactive oxygen substances. Briefly 0.1 mL of each extract (0.5 mg/mL) was mixed with 1.7 mL of 75 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.3), 0.1 mL of 0.36M AAPH and 0.1 mL of 0.048 mM fluorescein. The loss of fluorescence was recorded at 485 nm (excitation) and 520 nm (emission) in a spectrophotometer Cary Eclipse (Agilent Technologies, Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico). Trolox was employed as the standard, and the results were expressed as μmol TE per g sample.

2.6 Statistical analysis

The statistical design included the employment of replicates to minimise the variation occurring between samples (Fay & Gerow, 2013). Descriptive statistics were used to analyse spectroscopic data (UV-VIS and $^1$H-NMR). A wholly randomised, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical design was carried out for the protein content, solubility test, and antioxidant activity, using the organism (octopus and squid skin) and extraction system (methanol-HCl and ethanol-HCl) as factors. The experimental design and statistical analysis were carried out using JMP software (SAS, Cary, NC, USA). Differences between means were compared using Tukey’s test ($p \leq 0.05$).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Physico-chemical analysis

The extraction of octopus and squid pigments was carried out using two different solvents, acidified methanol and acidified ethanol, with the highest yield obtained in both organisms when methanol-HCl was used as the extraction solvent. The order of the yield after drying was OVS-T1 > DGS-T1 > OVS-T2 > DGS-T2. Similarly, Cruz-Ramirez et al. (2015) reported that methanol was one of the most effective organic solvents for the extraction of compounds from an octopus.

The yield values obtained in this study (OVS-T1, 206 mg/100 g; OVS-T2, 104 mg/100 g; DGS-T1, 111 mg/100 g; DGS-T2, 72 mg/100 g) were lower than those detected previously in D. gigas skin (Chan-Higuera et al., 2019a). The differences in pigment recovery could be attributed to intrinsic variations within species, stocks, and strains, among others factors, which can account for differences in the chemical compound content in cephalopods (Ozyurt et al., 2006; Zumholz et al., 2006). The differences detected among species may be attributed to the specific living conditions and behaviours of each organism. Octopus are highly sedentary, whereas squid are highly active, which may affect the chemical composition of their tissues (Morales et al., 2000).

The protein content, physical characteristics and solubility of the obtained extracts were also analysed. The negligible levels of protein and reddish colour suggests that some types of ommochrome compounds are constituents of the cephalopod skin pigments obtained (Deravi et al., 2014). Moreover, all extracts were insoluble in acetone, ethyl ether, or chloroform, but soluble in 77% H$_2$SO$_4$; therefore, the presence of carotenoids and melanins was ruled out. In contrast, the high solubility in 2% methanol-2% HCl led to the assumption that the main compounds present in the obtained pigmented extracts may pertain to the same ommochrome group (Van den Branden & Decler, 1976).

To confirm the presence of ommochromes in extracts of octopus and squid skin, their absorbance spectra were obtained using UV-Visible spectrophotometry (Figure 1). The UV-Visible spectra of OVS and DGS extracts were similar; all showed two peaks, one at 266 nm (major peak) and another at 518 nm (wide band 428-630 nm). The spectra matched the ommatin group of ommochrome pigments (Sawada et al., 2000). The wide bands (360-650 nm) are attributed to a combination of different ommochrome compounds (Liu et al., 2012; Francikowski et al., 2019). The main absorbance peaks detected in ommochromes can shift; however, the most common shifts reported for xanthommatin and dihydroxanthommatin are observed in the UV, near UV, and 430-520 nm ranges (Riou & Christides, 2010; Figon & Casas, 2013). A wholly randomised, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical design was carried out for the protein content, solubility test, and antioxidant activity, using the organism (octopus and squid skin) and extraction system (methanol-HCl and ethanol-HCl) as factors. The experimental design and statistical analysis were carried out using JMP software (SAS, Cary, NC, USA). Differences between means were compared using Tukey’s test ($p \leq 0.05$).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Physico-chemical analysis

The extraction of octopus and squid pigments was carried out using two different solvents, acidified methanol and acidified ethanol, with the highest yield obtained in both organisms when methanol-HCl was used as the extraction solvent. The order of the yield after drying was OVS-T1 > DGS-T1 > OVS-T2 > DGS-T2. Similarly, Cruz-Ramirez et al. (2015) reported that methanol was one of the most effective organic solvents for the extraction of compounds from an octopus.

The yield values obtained in this study (OVS-T1, 206 mg/100 g; OVS-T2, 104 mg/100 g; DGS-T1, 111 mg/100 g; DGS-T2, 72 mg/100 g) were lower than those detected previously in D. gigas skin (Chan-Higuera et al., 2019a). The differences in pigment recovery could be attributed to intrinsic variations within species, stocks, and strains, among others factors, which can account for differences in the chemical compound content in cephalopods (Ozyurt et al., 2006; Zumholz et al., 2006). The differences detected among species may be attributed to the specific living conditions and behaviours of each organism. Octopus are highly sedentary, whereas squid are highly active, which may affect the chemical composition of their tissues (Morales et al., 2000).

The protein content, physical characteristics and solubility of the obtained extracts were also analysed. The negligible levels of protein and reddish colour suggests that some types of ommochrome compounds are constituents of the cephalopod skin pigments obtained (Deravi et al., 2014). Moreover, all extracts were insoluble in acetone, ethyl ether, or chloroform, but soluble in 77% H$_2$SO$_4$; therefore, the presence of carotenoids and melanins was ruled out. In contrast, the high solubility in 2% methanol-2% HCl led to the assumption that the main compounds present in the obtained pigmented extracts may pertain to the same ommochrome group (Van den Branden & Decler, 1976).

To confirm the presence of ommochromes in extracts of octopus and squid skin, their absorbance spectra were obtained using UV-Visible spectrophotometry (Figure 1). The UV-Visible spectra of OVS and DGS extracts were similar; all showed two peaks, one at 266 nm (major peak) and another at 518 nm (wide band 428-630 nm). The spectra matched the ommatin group of ommochrome pigments (Sawada et al., 2000). The wide bands (360-650 nm) are attributed to a combination of different ommochrome compounds (Liu et al., 2012; Francikowski et al., 2019). The main absorbance peaks detected in ommochromes can shift; however, the most common shifts reported for xanthommatin and dihydroxanthommatin are observed in the UV, near UV, and 430-520 nm ranges (Riou & Christides, 2010; Figon & Casas, 2013).
The absorbance reported for an ommochrome precursor, kynurenine, is 248-363 nm (Romero & Martínez, 2015). Therefore, the spectra obtained suggest the presence of ommochrome compounds, mainly xanthommatin, dihydroxanthommatin, and kynurenine, in the extracted pigments.

\(^1\)H-NMR spectroscopy analysis was employed to provide information regarding the chemical composition and conformation of the obtained pigments (Figure 2). In a recent study, using \(^1\)H-NMR spectra Chan-Higuera et al. (2019c) revealed the presence of xanthommatin (11-(3-amino-3-carboxypropanoyl)-1-hydroxy-5-oxo-5H-pyrido[3,2 a]phenoxazine-3-carboxylic acid) and its derivatives (hydro- and dihydroxanthommatin) in antioxidant pigments from the squid skin. These pigments are considered to be tryptophan-derived metabolites that come from the kynurenine pathway (Daniels & Reed, 2012). Although the typical \(^1\)H-NMR can aid in the elucidation of the structure, the 2D-NMR spectroscopic COSY method can be used to obtain even more detailed structural information of any molecule (Englert et al., 1990).

The \(^1\)H-NMR spectra of the four pigmented extracts (Figure 2) were compared with previous works and spectral databases. The signals corresponding to the functional groups in the phenoxazine core zone (spectra portions between δ 9.0 and 6.5 ppm) were of interest because these groups are the basic structure of ommochromes. The signals detected for each pigment were similar; however, the intensity of some peaks varied. The portions of spectra between δ 9.0 and 6.5 ppm are associated with aromatic protons and amino group protons. The chemical shifts from δ 5.0 to 3.0 ppm indicate aromatic amine groups. Signals observed at δ 3.0-2.0 ppm indicate protons bound to carbonyl and nitrogen compounds. The last section (δ 2.0-0.5 ppm) indicates aliphatic compounds. Resonances that are significant in identification are shown in Figure 3.

The COSY spectrum (Figure 3) supports the presence of a phenoxazine core in the pigment extracts. Most notably, the spectrum reveals an 11-(3-amino-3-carboxypropanoyl)-1-hydroxy-5-oxo-5H-pyrido[3,2 a]phenoxazine-3-carboxylic acid (cross-peaks D, F, G, H, I). The spectrum also reveals the presence of 11-(3-azaniumyl-3-carboxylatopropanoyl)-1,5-
methanol-HCl (T1) was used, which suggests that this solvent has more affinity for aromatic protons and amino group protons. The latter observation cannot be used for absolute quantification of the compounds but generates relative estimations about the structural composition of the pigments.

3.2 In vitro antioxidant activity of the skin pigments extracts

Among the antioxidant analysis commonly used for evaluating the potential antioxidant activity in compounds extracted from foods or biological systems are the following: DPPH and ABTS radical assays, FRAP, and ORAC that evaluate the radical chain-breaking ability of antioxidant by measure the inhibition of reactive oxygen species-induced oxidation. Consequently, in this study, these tools were applied to assess the potential antioxidant activity of ommochrome pigments obtained from octopus and squid skin and using acidified methanol and acidified ethanol as the extraction solvent (Table 1).

The DPPH activity of the methanol and ethanol extracts of OVS and DGS was dose dependent. An examination of
Table 1. Antioxidant activity of Octopus vulgaris and Dosidicus gigas skin pigments extracted with two solvents (methanol-HCl, T1 and ethanol-HCl, T2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determination</th>
<th>O. vulgaris</th>
<th>D. gigas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC₅₀ DPPH (mg/mL)</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC₅₀ ABTS (mg/mL)</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAP (TE μmol/g)</td>
<td>304 ± 32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>124 ± 13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORAC (TE μmol/g)</td>
<td>420 ± 46&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>240 ± 8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent the mean ± standard deviation of four replicates. Capital letters indicate differences due to the effect of the source of extraction (p ≤ 0.05). Lowercase letters denote differences due to a treatment effect (p ≤ 0.05).

The Table 1 reveals that the IC₅₀ antioxidant measured by this method, ranged from 0.48 to 1.74 mg/mL, indicating that all extracts can scavenge the radical to a certain extent. Octopus vulgaris methanolic pigments extracts had the lower IC₅₀ value (0.48 mg/mL), indicating that OVS-T1 possess greater DPPH radical scavenging capacity than other extracts (p ≤ 0.05).

In the ABTS assay the reduction of the ABTS•* radical by antioxidantants in the ommochromes pigments extracts generated an IC₅₀ from 0.52 to 1.11 mg/mL. The lowest IC₅₀ value was observed for Octopus vulgaris methanolic extracts (0.52 mg/mL) and highest for Dosidicus gigas ethanolic pigments extracts (1.11 mg/mL) (p ≤ 0.05).

It is to be noted that the values of DPPH and ABTS trend was dependent of the solvent employed. The IC₅₀ for both cephalopods (O. vulgaris and D. gigas) was statically similar (p > 0.05) by DPPH and ABTS assay when methanol-HCl (T1) was used as solvent, but with ethanol-HCl (T2) the IC₅₀ was lower (p ≤ 0.05) in ABTS. These differences can be related to the fact that DPPH has more affinity if the compounds are dissolved in methanol (Sharma & Bhat, 2009). The antioxidant capacity of some natural compounds determined by the IC₅₀ of DPPH and ABTS assays in shrimp by-product extracts ranges from 1.43 to 7.94 mg/mL (Kim et al., 2014), and in D. gigas ommochrome extracts from 2.6 to 10.2 mg/mL (Chan-Higuera et al., 2019c). The DPPH and ABTS assays indicated that the obtained pigments extract operates through hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) and an electron transfer mechanism that reduce oxidant compounds (SET) reactions.

Similarly, the use of methanol-HCl or ethanol-HCl affected the extraction of compounds with FRAP and ORAC capacity. The highest FRAP and ORAC values were observed in methanolic pigments extracts (Table 1). It is noted that higher values imply higher antioxidant activity. Regarding the cephalopod species evaluated, the methanolic extracts from O. vulgaris showed higher antioxidant activity than D. gigas (p ≤ 0.05). However, D. gigas ethanolic pigments extracts showed highest values than O. vulgaris (p ≤ 0.05).

The SET capacity of Loligo formosana melanin (Vate & Benjakul, 2013), olive mill waste waters (Jimenez-Alvarez et al., 2008) and methanolic extract from guava fruit (Thaipong et al., 2006) assessed by ORAC were 178 μmol TE/g, 1723 μmol TE/g, and 26.1 μmol TE/g, respectively.

Therefore, the antioxidant activity of the pigmented extracts was considered adequate, particularly that of methanolic extracts. These results are supported by the work of Cruz-Ramirez et al. (2015), who reported that methanol is one of the most effective organic solvents for extracting bioactive compounds from octopus (Paraoctopus limaculatus). Although more studies are necessary, the high antioxidant potential of extracts obtained using methanol-HCl could be explained by the high presence of aromatic protons and amino group protons in these extracts.

The extraction efficiency of bioactive compounds depends on, among other factors, the extraction solvent (Ngo et al., 2017). Whether an antioxidant compound is soluble in a given solvent depends on its chemical characteristics, including polarity. Methanol is efficient and widely used to obtain antioxidant extracts because it has a higher dielectric constant and polarity than ethanol (Mohsen-Nia et al., 2010), making it the most effective solvent in the extraction of antioxidant properties from seaweeds (El-Din & El-Alway, 2016). However, methanol has a higher toxicity than ethanol. Thus, the next phase of our research work was comparing the toxic effect of the extraction solvents in the bioactive methanolic and ethanolic pigments extracts.

The methodology used to prepare extracts from food and natural sources is the critical factor affecting the antioxidant activity of such extracts. It may induce the extension of the electronic delocalization of the extracted molecules (Mohsen-Nia et al., 2010). Given the fact that the antioxidant capacity of the main pigments reported in squid skin (ommatins) is associated with primary and secondary amino groups present in the aromatic rings (Chan-Higuera et al., 2019c), these conditions imply that the molecules obtained with T1 may be more reduced ommochromes, and have better antioxidant capacity, than those obtained with T2. Moreover, although more studies are needed, the differences detected in kynurenine and aromatic amino acid groups suggest that pigments with the highest proportion of aromatic groups and the smallest proportion of kynurenine could be related to higher antioxidant activity detected in OVS-T1 treatment.

4 Conclusions

The present study confirmed that the main compounds extracted from octopus and squid skin pigments are ommatins, specifically xanthommatin and dihydroxanthommatin, in addition to kynurenine. The extraction solvent exerted an essential
impact on the extraction of antioxidant compounds from the samples. Acidified methanol was most efficient than acidified ethanol to extract oxidant compounds which can effectively scavenge various reactive oxygen species/free radicals under in vitro conditions. Pigments from octopus exhibited remarkable antioxidant activity. The most significant antioxidant activity was found in octopus skin pigments, likely due to the presence of amino-aromatic compounds. As a consequence, further research must address the identification of the active compounds, as well as to establish the capacity of the obtained extracts to delay lipid oxidation in food like pâtés, patties, deep-fried products, among other, becoming the new focus for the ongoing studies in our research group.
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