Mucociliary Clearance of Different Respiratory Conditions: A Clinical Study
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Abstract

Introduction  Mucociliary clearance (MCC) is the first line of defense of the pulmonary system. Mucociliary clearance impairment may lead to increased risk of respiratory infections, lung injury, pulmonary repair problems, chronic dysfunctions and progression of respiratory diseases.

Objective  To characterize the MCC of active and passive smokers and individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and compare the MCC behaviors between men and women of different age groups.

Methods  Patients with COPD (current smokers and ex-smokers) and apparently healthy individuals (current smokers, passive smokers and nonsmokers) were evaluated. All of the subjects underwent lung function and MCC evaluation (saccharin transport test [STT]). Smokers (with or without COPD) were questioned about the smoking history.

Results  A total of 418 individuals aged 16 to 82 years old, of both genders, were evaluated. The STT values of active and passive smokers were statistically higher than those of the control group (p < 0.01). Men of the control group had lower values of STT than active smokers (9.7 ± 7.1 and 15.4 ± 10.1 minute, respectively, p < 0.01). In addition, higher MCC velocity was observed in women that are current smokers (11.7 ± 6.8 minute) compared with men (15.4 ± 10.1 minute) in this group (p = 0.01). Among the younger age groups (< 50 years old), only passive smokers presented higher STT in relation to the control group.

Conclusion  Passive and active smoking are factors that influence negatively the MCC, and passive smokers may present losses of this mechanism at a younger age. Additionally, male smokers present worse MCC than male nonsmokers.
Introduction

The human airway is lined by a ciliated cylindrical pseudostratified epithelium and a layer of mucus that is produced by submucosal glands and goblet cells scattered over the epithelium.1 This integrated system of cilia and mucus characterizes the mucociliary clearance (MCC), the first line of defense of the pulmonary system.

Mucociliary clearance captures harmful particles, pathogens and toxins in the mucus layer, and removes them from the airways by the ciliary beat. Thus, disorders that affect mucus quantity, quality and/or ciliary beat may lead to impaired MCC and ultimately to obstruction and inflammation of small airways, increased risk of respiratory infections, lung injuries, lung repair problems, chronic dysfunctions and progression of respiratory diseases.2

Age and gender are factors directly related to MCC.3–5 Studies show an inverse relationship between MCC and age, which may predispose the elderly to respiratory infections.4–8 Regarding gender, some studies report that women have a better MCC than men, perhaps due to anatomical differences in which women have shorter bronchi.7,9–11 Yet, some conditions may change the frequency of ciliary beating in MCC, such as exposure to tobacco smoke12 and environmental pollution,4 as well as respiratory tract disorders such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).13 The thousands of toxic substances contained in cigarette smoke directly affect the ciliogenesis process in the maturation and differentiation stage.14 They also induce apoptosis, causing death of respiratory ciliated cells earlier than expected,15 and stimulate mechanically the axonemes, increasing the frequency of the ciliary beat.16 In specific conditions such as in the presence of COPD, the frequency of the ciliary beat may be impaired.13

An intact nasosinus system is important to promote quality of life and prevent respiratory diseases.17 A normal MCC can represent integrity in the ciliary beat, ideal conditions of bronchial secretion and reflects on the perfect interaction between these two components.1,18 However, it is still unclear in the literature which clearance rate characterizes healthy subjects, smokers, passive smokers and patients with chronic conditions such as COPD. The present study aimed to characterize the MCC of active and passive smokers, individuals with COPD (smokers and nonsmokers) and to compare the values with a reference sample of healthy volunteers. In addition, the influence of age and gender on MCC was evaluated.

Methods

Study Design

In the present study, participants of both genders and > 16 years old were included if they presented any of the following conditions: 1) patients with COPD and current smokers participating in a pulmonary rehabilitation program, 2) patients with COPD and ex-smokers participating in a pulmonary rehabilitation program, 3) current smokers participating in a smoking cessation program, 4) passive smokers (family members of the current smokers) and 5) nonsmoking volunteers. In addition, they must have one of the following characteristics: 1) spirometry alteration post bronchodilator (FEV1/FVC < 0.70) with current smoking history or; 2) spirometry alteration post bronchodilator (FEV1/FVC < 0.70) with previous smoking history or; 3) conventional cigarette smoker > 5 years or; 4) living with smokers for > 1 year or; 5) have never smoked or lived with smokers.

Subjects were excluded if they presented a history of nasal trauma or surgery, deviated nasal septum, upper airway inflammatory process (self-reported) or did not understand/cooperate with the procedures and methods of the study.

The participants were submitted to an evaluation process including: questionnaire to obtain personal data, pulmonary function test and nasal MCC assessment. Current smokers and current smokers with COPD were asked about their smoking history and, lastly, current and passive smokers were evaluated about the carbon monoxide levels in the expired air (monoximetry). All of the evaluations were performed in the morning, to avoid the influence of the circadian rhythm on MCC.

The data presented in the present study originates from other cohorts, all of which were approved by the institutional review board. (#18/2011; #07152212.0.0000.5402; and #00849812.0.0000.5402).

Carbon Monoxide in Exhaled air (COex) – Monoximetry

The carbon monoxide in exhaled air (COex) levels were assessed in current and passive smokers to confirm their abstinence/exposure to cigarette smoking in the 12 hours prior to the testing.19

To conduct this evaluation, subjects were instructed to inhale deeply and remain in apnea for 15 seconds, and then perform a complete and slow expiration on the mouthpiece of the monoximeter (Micro Medical Ltda., Rochester, Kent, United Kingdom). The device measures the carbon monoxide in exhaled air in parts per million.

Pulmonary Function Test – Spirometry

Spirometry was performed to assess the pulmonary function of the subjects. The test was conducted using a portable spirometer Spirobank G (Medical International Research USA, Inc.-Waukesha, Wisconsin/USA) following the criteria to pulmonary function tests established by the Brazilian Society of Pneumology and Tisiology.20 Interpretation of data followed the guidelines of the American Thoracic Society and of the European Respiratory Society.21 Finally, results (post bronchodilator) were compared with reference values specific for the Brazilian population.22

Nasal Mucociliary Clearance – Saccharin Transport Test (STT)

The MCC was assessed in ambient temperature between 22 and 27°C and air humidity between 50 and 60%. The participants remained seated with their heads slightly extended to ~ 10°. The test was started by introducing ~ 250 µg of granulated saccharin using a plastic straw, under the visual control of the evaluator, to ~ 2 cm inside the right nostril. The time from the introduction of saccharin until the first
perception of a sweet taste in the mouth was recorded.\textsuperscript{23} Subjects were instructed to do not use medications such as anesthetics, barbiturate anesthetics, tranquilizers and antidepressants, and to avoid drinking alcoholic beverages and caffeine-based substances within a minimum of 12 hours before the STT measurement.\textsuperscript{4}

Data Analysis
The data analysis was conducted using the statistical software GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Data normality was tested by the Shapiro-Wilk test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the Kruskal-Wallis test was used for the comparisons between the five groups as well as to compare COex values between current smokers and passive smokers (three groups). Post-hoc tests were done using either the Bonferroni or Dunn tests. The Student t-test or Mann-Whitney test was done to compare the smoking history (pack-years) and the STT values between men and women. Pearson or Spearman tests were conducted to investigate correlations. The chi-squared test was used to analyze categorical data. The significance level adopted in the present study was 5%.

Results
A total of 418 subjects were evaluated and grouped according to the smoking habit, passive exposure to cigarette smoke and diagnosis of COPD. Also, to better investigate factors that could influence MCC, the individuals were regrouped according to gender and age ($\leq$ 50 and $>50$ years old).

- Table 1 shows the characterization of the sample. It is possible to observe that individuals with COPD, regardless of smoking habit, were older and presented worse lungs compared with individuals without disease.

- Fig. 1 depicts the values of STT among the five groups. Although the control group presented lower values of STT, statistically significant differences were only found between control (10.55 ± 7.33 minutes) and current (13.41 ± 8.67 minutes) and passive smokers (13.72 ± 5.87 minutes) ($p < 0.01$ for both).

- Fig. 2 describes the comparisons of STT between groups, according to gender. Statistical difference was only observed among men ($p < 0.01$) between control (9.72 ± 7.14 minutes) and current smokers (15.45 ± 10.15 minutes) groups. In addition, better MCC was observed in women current smokers (11.69 ± 6.77 minutes) compared with men in this group (15.45 ± 10.15 minutes) ($p = 0.01$). There were no significant differences in MCC between genders in the remaining groups.

Regarding the comparison of STT between younger and older participants, differences were observed only in younger participants between the control and passive smokers groups (−Fig. 3).

No significant correlations were found between STT and spirometric variables (FVC % of prediction, FEV$_1$% of prediction and FEV$_1$/FVC %). Yet, among current smokers, it was not possible to find any relation between smoking history (age at which started smoking, cigarettes per day, years of smoking or pack/years) and STT ($p > 0.05$).

Discussion
The results of the present study show that current and passive smokers present higher STT values compared with the control group. These results confirm previous evidence of studies including smaller samples that MCC efficiency is compromised in these individuals.\textsuperscript{24,25}

The optimal functioning of the respiratory defense mechanism is dependent on the integrity, number and beating of cilia, and adequate biological properties of mucus.\textsuperscript{26} However, cigarette smoke (more specifically the constituent substances - phenol, formaldehyde, acrolein and potassium cyanide) has already been described as an important harmful agent for these two components (cilia and mucus) as they are capable of causing cytological and functional modifications, resulting in the damage of the MCC, with stasis of secretion and predisposition for respiratory infections.\textsuperscript{27} This theory is strengthened by findings from previous studies,\textsuperscript{28,29} in which active and passive smokers have worse STT values (and therefore greater susceptibility to respiratory infections), and may be a consequence of the deficit in the MCC of those subjects exposed to cigarette smoking.

Longer STT was found in the group of COPD smokers. A possible explanation for this may lie on the characteristics of the cilia epithelium. Hessel et al\textsuperscript{30} described that the length of the cilia epithelium in both large and small airways of non-smokers is bigger than the length in healthy smokers and even bigger than the length in individuals with COPD. Although the values were visually discrepant, no statistical difference was observed, possibly due to the low sample size of this group. In line with this, Ito et al observed that chronic pulmonary patients, when smokers, present higher STT compared with ex-smokers.\textsuperscript{31}

Some studies\textsuperscript{32,33} suggest that there is impairment in the MCC of patients with COPD, regardless of the use of cigarettes, mainly attributing this impairment to the decline of the ciliary beating. However, in the present study, similar transportability was observed between subjects with COPD and other groups.

In the comparative analyzes among the younger participants ($\leq 50$ years old), only passive smokers presented higher STT than the control group. Intriguingly, this finding leads us to assume that individuals passively exposed to cigarette smoke present impairments in MCC even before active smokers. However, this result should be interpreted with caution, since the time of exposure to cigarette smoke among the passive smokers of the present study is unknown.

In the investigation of a population of young smokers (18 to 35 years old), Nicola et al\textsuperscript{12} observed that they presented lower STT when compared with nonsmokers of the same age. However, abstinence was not requested before the MCC evaluation, suggesting that this response could be associated with the protection mechanism, with acceleration of ciliary beating frequency to expel the toxic agents of cigarette smoke, demonstrating integrity of this mechanism in young smokers, as in the present study. Among the older participants ($>50$ years old), a statistical difference ($p = 0.04$) between the groups in the STT values was observed.
Table 1  Anthropometric data, lung function, saccharine transport test, and pack/years of the groups evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n = 418)</th>
<th>Control (n = 128)</th>
<th>Current smokers (n = 175)</th>
<th>Passive smokers (n = 30)</th>
<th>COPD current smokers (n = 11)</th>
<th>COPD ex-smokers (n = 74)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>220/198</td>
<td>52/76</td>
<td>80/95</td>
<td>9/2</td>
<td>9/2</td>
<td>48/26</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>48 [38–59]</td>
<td>43 [36–48]</td>
<td>47 [36–55]</td>
<td>42.5 [35.75–50]</td>
<td>59 [50–64]</td>
<td>67 [60.75–73.25]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01c.d.g.h.i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>72.15 [61.15–82.8]</td>
<td>73.05 [60.4–84]</td>
<td>73 [62–83.2]</td>
<td>76.35 [65.75–94.25]</td>
<td>69.50 [64.6–72.7]</td>
<td>67.55 [59.18–78.83]</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (% predict)</td>
<td>94.85 ± 17.88</td>
<td>103.1 ± 13.45</td>
<td>97.51 ± 12.88</td>
<td>95.71 ± 16.4</td>
<td>90.33 ± 29.27</td>
<td>74.58 ± 18.29</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01a.d.g.i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV¹ (% predict)</td>
<td>88.86 ± 23.01</td>
<td>101.4 ± 12.37</td>
<td>96.41 ± 12.3</td>
<td>93.41 ± 14.9</td>
<td>67.96 ± 24.02</td>
<td>50.52 ± 15.92</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01a,b,c,d,f,g,h,i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV¹/FVC (%)</td>
<td>80.6 [72.9–83.93]</td>
<td>82.15 [78.5–85]</td>
<td>82.20 [79.2–84.1]</td>
<td>81.65 [76.73–85.23]</td>
<td>61.1 [55.3–67.2]</td>
<td>52.60 [43.98–61.35]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01c.d,f,g,h,i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COex (ppm)‡</td>
<td>7.64 ± 5.52</td>
<td>8.33 ± 5.34</td>
<td>2.5 ± 3.02</td>
<td>10.55 ± 5.83</td>
<td>10.55 ± 5.83</td>
<td>10.55 ± 5.83</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01e,h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: COex, carbon monoxide in exhaled air; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; F, female; FEV¹, forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity; M, male; ppm, parts per million; STT, saccharin transport test.

a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j: statistical differences detected in the post-hoc (a: Control versus Current smokers, b: Control versus Passive smokers, c: Control versus COPD smokers; d: Control versus COPD ex-smokers, e: Current smokers versus passive smokers, f: Current smokers versus COPD current smokers, g: Current smokers versus COPD ex-smokers, h: Passive smokers versus COPD current smokers, i: Passive smokers versus COPD ex-smokers).

† Statistical difference detected in the comparison between current smokers and COPD current smokers groups.

‡ For this variable the sample n was 186 (contemplating the groups of current smokers).

§ For this variable the sample n was 216 (contemplating the groups of current and passive smokers).

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median [25–75] in parametric and non-parametric variables, respectively.
Between-group differences, however, were not shown, likely due to the skewed distribution of data.

Some studies indicate that there is an inverse relation between MCC and age. However, no correlation of age and STT was observed with the general sample of the present study ($r = 0.09$ and $p = 0.06$). Regarding the differences between men and women, the literature presents many divergences, even in healthy and nonsmokers individuals. Proença de Oliveira-Maul et al. performed STT in 79 nonsmokers and did not find significant differences between men and women. Other authors observed better MCC in women, also healthy and nonsmokers. However, there are few studies investigating the influence of gender on MCC in other populations. Uzeloto et al. investigated smokers and did not observe differences in the values of STT between men and women. In this study, women smokers had more efficient transport than men smokers. Yet, a comparison of the MCC by gender between the groups was done, making it possible to observe similar STT among women. However, among men, smokers showed slower MCC than the control group. These findings demonstrate a loss of this mechanism previously in men smokers.

A larger STT in the COPD smokers group (mean of 15 minutes) was observed. However, statistical differences were not observed, likely due to the low sample size of this group. The lack of quantification of passive cigarette exposure in the passive smoking group is also a limiting factor in the present study. Another limitation identified was the method used to evaluate MCC. The STT presents some disadvantages compared with rhinocintigraphy, which presents more accurate results since it calculates the exact rate of MCC by the velocity of radioactive material that is inserted into the nasal cavity and measured by a gamma camera. Furthermore, STT results are dependent on the gustatory sensation of the evaluated individual. Although rhinocintigraphy is a reliable and easily reproducible method, it is expensive and exposes the subject to a dose of radiation.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, passive and active smoking are factors that negatively influence MCC. Passive young smokers may present impairments of this mechanism. Additionally, male smokers present worse MCC than male nonsmokers.
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