EDITOR'S COMMENT

Volume 39 | number 5 | September . October, 2013 | INT BRAZ J UR

doi: 10.1590/S1677-5538.IBJU.2013.05.01

Penile Cancer: the importance of Prevention

Although rare in developed countries (0.2 cases cases/100 000 men) (1), penile cancer (PC) is common in underdeveloped countries. In Brazil, Paraguai, Angola and India, the incidence of PC varies from 2.3 to 8.3 cases/100 000 men) (2,3). In Brazil annually around 1000 penile amputations are reported. In some Brazilian regions, prevalence of PC is similar to prostate cancer, affecting young males (3.53% < 26 years; 3.88% 27 - 35 years, 12% 36- 45 years and 18.7% 46 - 55 years old) (4).

In a strict epidemiological and bureaucratic point of view, the health authorities of those countries don't consider PC a public health problem in view of several other more prevalent diseases.

Apart from epidemiological and statistical data, we disagree and believe that PC prevention is imperious in these nations. When dealing with the humanitarian and clinical aspects of PC, we conclude that the medical and scientific community such as the urological society and government agencies must pay attention to this disease:

- PC when diagnosed and treated precociously is highly curable and with low toxicity. When treated in more advanced phases, its treatment is costly and with bad outcome (3).
- Primary lesion treatment, even conservative, involves some degree of genital mutilation, with sensitivity alterations and even total loss of the ability for sexual activity. Amputations may also compromise self-esteem and corporal image, difficult or avoid orthostatic micturition, result in loss of masculinity (in a society centered in the phallus) and may also result in urethral strictures.
- There are very few studies about the delayed sequelae of inguinal iliac lymphadenectomies: lymphoceles and repeated erysipela. Very few studies are available regarding treatment of lower limb chronic lymphedema. Usually this condition prevents labor and sports activities. There is no definite cure for genital edema.

Is there a possible model of cancer prevention?

In the scientific literature, there are no well successful specific programs of PC prevention reported and probably in a short or medium period of time they will not be available. But some aspects must be considered: PC is one of the solid tumors more related to human exposure to socio-environmental and behavior factors (3). Most of risk factors of PC (poverty, bad hygiene, phimosis, tobacco addiction, HPV infection and sexual promiscuity, among others) can be modified. Some interventions in life style and environment can reduce or almost eradicate this disease: tobacco avoidance must be strongly advocated (tobacco users have 2-4 more risk of PC) (4-9), being the intervention of preventive medicine lato sensu with better results. Improvement of socio-economic conditions and basic sanitation worldwide are empirically associated with lower rates of PC, as in Denmark, where PC incidence drastically decreased after the great wars independently of circumcision not common in that country (10). Campaigns increasing the awareness of genital hygiene must be target to the high risk population as did the Brazilian Society of Urology.

Human papilloma virus (HPV) infection is observed in 35-82% of PC patients. Although not a definitive causal agent, as in cervix and anal canal tumors (3,11) serotypes 16 and 18 may contribute to some cases. The reduction of infection through safe sex, less promiscuity and use of condoms may lower PC incidence. HPV vaccine and impact on PC incidence is controversial (11). There are no reported studies; in a hypothetical study in United Kingdom, after a wide vaccination coverage, it was estimated

EDITOR'S COMMENT

Volume 39 | number 5 | September . October, 2013 | INT BRAZ J UROL



that individual protection against PC would be 50% and the lowering of the total number of projected cases in 2050 would only be of 5% (12). When the vaccination is universal to all girls (61.5%) as occurs is Australia, there is a reduction of genital warts in heterosexual males (13), but the impact of PC reduction was not studied. Lack of scientific data and the high cost of the vaccine (bi or quadrivalent) and the need of a wide population vaccination make impractical the vaccination in countries with higher incidence of PC. In the future, if these countries (most of which don't comply with the minimal vaccination program suggested by the World Health Organization) can reach conditions to total HPV vaccination, probably it will not be necessary eradicate PC anymore.

Awareness against promiscuity reduces sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), an associated high risk factor of PC (not causal). The reinforcement for safe sex (condoms) and the reduction of promiscuous partners might reduce the incidence of PC (7-9). Kinky sexual acts, like sex with animals (8,9) were recently described as risk factors for PC and must be discouraged.

Phimosis and circumcision: phimosis (associated with the subjacent smegma and difficult hygiene of glans) is a known risk factor for PC (3,4,7,14-16). Among Jewish men submitted to circumcision at birth, the incidence of PC is minimal (17). Neonatal circumcision reduces 5 to 7 times the occurrence of PC (16). Adolescent and adult circumcision doesn't have this protective effect. However, in a recent meta-analysis, childhood circumcision (≤ 18 years) is correlated to lower incidence of invasive PC. But this study only observed that the circumcision in benefic only to those with phimosis and not for the other children (18). Another possible benefit of childhood circumcision is the occurrence of lower grade PC (isolated evidence (4), requires confirmation). After circumcision of adult male subsaarian Africans it was observed reduction of several STDs (19,20) but the reduction of PC incidence was not studied.

In view of all these aspects, one could advocate universal neonatal circumcision for all risk populations, an easy task, since most deliveries occur is hospitals. However, there are several controversies: neonatal circumcision may present complications (21) (must be performed by trained specialists); universal indication has been discussed by Pediatric societies and North American Pediatric Society and US Task Force (22). Some believe that "health" preputial skin must be preserved in individuals unable to decide against circumcision. There are some organizations against circumcision (www.mothersagainstcirc.org/www.intactamerica.org/).

CONCLUSIONS

In the next decades, PC prevention will be based in politics and sanitarian measures depending on economic aspects, and the physicians will not always influence them. Our role is to sensitize health, sanitization and governmental authorities.

In the future, PC prevention will efficiently reduce its incidence or almost eradicate the disease. Precocious diagnosis will provide less invasive treatments. At present, high risk populations should not wait any longer and the urological community must address them with campaigns of awareness and enlightening, as the one promoted by the Brazilian Urological Society (http://www.sbu.org.br/?campanha-penis).

For these high risk populations in particular, circumcision performed by trained professionals must be offered and may be benefic, after discussion of risks and benefits with the patients, parents and relatives, and after the signature of a free consent form.

EDITOR'S COMMENT

Volume 39 | number 5 | September . October, 2013 | INT BRAZ J U



REFERENCES

- Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Maldonado JL, Pow-sang J, Giuliano AR: Incidence trends in primary malignant penile cancer. Urol Oncol. 2007; 25: 361-7. Erratum in: Urol Oncol. 2008; 26: 112. Guiliano, Anna R [corrected to Giuliano, Anna R].
- Velazquez EF, Cubilla AL: Penile squamous cell carcinoma: anatomic, pathologic and viral studies in Paraguay (1993-2007). Anal Quant Cytol Histol. 2007; 29: 185-98.
- 3. Guimarães GC, Rocha RM, Zequi SC, Cunha IW, Soares FA: Penile cancer: epidemiology and treatment. Curr Oncol Rep. 2011: 13: 231-9.
- Favorito LA, Nardi AC, Ronalsa M, Zequi SC, Sampaio FJ, Glina S: Epidemiologic study on penile cancer in Brazil. Int Braz J Urol. 2008; 34: 587-91; discussion 591-3.
- Hellberg D, Valentin J, Eklund T, Nilsson S: Penile cancer: is there an epidemiological role for smoking and sexual behaviour? Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1987; 295: 1306-8.
- Harish K, Ravi R: The role of tobacco in penile carcinoma. Br J Urol. 1995; 75: 375-7.
- Maden C, Sherman KJ, Beckmann AM, Hislop TG, Teh CZ, Ashley RL, et al.: History of circumcision, medical conditions, and sexual activity and risk of penile cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1993; 85: 19-24.
- Zequi SC, Guimaraes GC, Fonseca FP: Sex with animals (SWA): characteristics and possible associa- tions with penile cancer and sexually transmitted dis-ease. actual results. A multicentric Brazilian case- control study. J Urol. 2010; 183(4 Supplement): e8.
- Zequi S de C, Guimarães GC, da Fonseca FP, Ferreira U, de Matheus WE, Reis LO, et al.: Sex with animals (SWA): behavioral characteristics and possible association with penile cancer. A multicenter study. J Sex Med. 2012; 9: 1860-7.
- Frisch M, Friis S, Kjaer SK, Melbye M: Falling incidence of penis cancer in an uncircumcised population (Denmark 1943-90).BMJ. 1995: 311: 1471.
- Shabbir M, Barod R, Hegarty PK, Minhas S: Primary prevention and vaccination for penile cancer. Ther Adv Urol. 2013;
 161-9.
- Hegarty PK, Kayes O, Freeman A, Christopher N, Ralph DJ, Minhas S: A prospective study of 100 cases of penile cancer managed according to European Association of Urology guidelines. BJU Int. 2006; 98: 526-31.

- Donovan B, Franklin N, Guy R, Grulich AE, Regan DG, Ali H, et al.: Quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccination and trends in genital warts in Australia: analysis of national sentinel surveillance data. Lancet Infect Dis. 2011; 11: 39-44.
- Tsen HF, Morgenstern H, Mack T, Peters RK: Risk factors for penile cancer: results of a population-based case-control study in Los Angeles County (United States). Cancer Causes Control. 2001; 12: 267-77.
- Daling JR, Madeleine MM, Johnson LG, Schwartz SM, Shera KA, Wurscher MA, et al.: Penile cancer: importance of circumcision, human papillomavirus and smoking in in situ and invasive disease. Int J Cancer. 2005; 116: 606-16.
- Schoen EJ, Oehrli M, Colby Cd, Machin G: The highly protective effect of newborn circumcision against invasive penile cancer. Pediatrics. 2000; 105: e36.
- Girgis AS, Bergman H, Rosenthal H, Solomon L.: Unusual penile malignancies in circumcised Jewish men. J Urol. 1973; 110: 696-702.
- Larke NL, Thomas SL, dos Santos Silva I, Weiss HA: Male circumcision and penile cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Causes Control. 2011; 22: 1097-110.
- Bailey RC, Moses S, Parker CB, Agot K, Maclean I, Krieger JN, et al.:Male circumcision for HIV prevention in young men in Kisumu, Kenya: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2007; 369: 643-56.
- Tobian AA, Serwadda D, Quinn TC, Kigozi G, Gravitt PE, Laeyendecker O, et al.: Male circumcision for the prevention of HSV-2 and HPV infections and syphilis. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360: 1298-309.
- 21. Thorup J, Thorup SC, Ifaoui IB.:Complication rate after circumcision in a paediatric surgical setting should not be neglected. Dan Med J. 2013; 60: A4681.
- American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on Circumcision. Male circumcision. Pediatrics. 2012: 130: e756-85.

Stênio de Cássio Zeoui, MD, PhD

Doctor in Oncology Fundação Antônio Prudente