Congenital Heart Disease Revealing Familial 22q11 Deletion Syndrome
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Abstract

Congenital heart defects are the most common birth defects and the leading cause of mortality in the first year of life. It is well known that the 22q11 deletion syndrome (22q11DS) is the most common microdeletion syndrome in humans and that congenital heart diseases (CHDs) are one of the most common phenotypic manifestations. However, it should be noted that the 22q11 deletion was also found in a significant number of patients with isolated CHD. The 22q11DS phenotype may include cardiovascular anomalies, palatal abnormalities, nasal voice, immune deficiency, endocrine dysfunctions, a varying degree of cognitive deficits and intellectual disabilities, velopharyngeal insufficiency, and characteristic craniofacial dysmorphism. This condition affects about 1 in 4,000 live births, making 22q11DS the most common microdeletion syndrome in humans. Here we describe the cases of three children who were referred to the clinical hospital center with the diagnosis of CHD, but with no direct signs of 22q11DS. Investigation of familial data led us to suspect that the mothers could be carriers of 22q11DS. The multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) testing confirmed that the patients and mothers exhibited 3 Mb 22q11 deletions, which justified the clinical signs in the mothers and the CHD in children. In the presence of a few characteristics that are common of a spectrum of some known syndromes, a familial examination can provide clues to a definitive diagnosis, as well as to the prevention of diseases and genetic counseling of these patients.

Introduction

Congenital heart defects (CHDs) are the most common group of birth defects in humans that arise during cardiac embryogenesis and differ in morphology, physiology, and clinical outcome. They occur in about 1% of all live births irrespective of ethnic backgrounds, socioeconomic conditions, and geographic barriers.1 The causes of CHDs are multiple, and typically categorized in genetic and nongenetic factors. Nongenetic factors include teratogenic exposures during pregnancy and epigenetic alterations. Identifiable genetic etiologies are reported to be as high as 40% in syndromic CHD, including single gene disorders, chromosomal anomalies, and copy number variations (CNV).2

The 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS; Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man #602054) is the most frequent microdeletion syndrome, and is one of the most common genetic causes of CHD, responsible for 1.5% to 5% of all CHD at birth.3 This genetic disorder affects pharyngeal and neurobehavioral development, and causes congenital heart defects, velopharyngeal insufficiency, hypoparathyroidism, thymic aplasia or hypoplasia, craniofacial dysmorphism, learning difficulties, and psychiatric disorders.1 Combination of these signs differ from patient to patient, resulting in a large number of phenotypes ranging from normal to severely handicapped individuals.2 The frequency of clinical signs was previously reported by our group in a study involving 179 patients with 22q11DS confirmed by genetic tests (Table 1). Usually, 15–20% of the CHDs are conotruncal heart defects (CTDs). CTDs are more commonly associated with 22q11DS and comprise
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Table 1 - Frequency of clinical features in patients with 22q11.2DS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal ears</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic alae nasi</td>
<td>29.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long face</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High nasal bridge</td>
<td>19.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad nasal root/nose</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro/retrognathia</td>
<td>47.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epicanthic folds</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malar flattening</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long digits</td>
<td>68.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow palpebral fissures</td>
<td>73.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic face</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech delay</td>
<td>76.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning disabilities</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral disturbances</td>
<td>72.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal abnormality</td>
<td>66.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart disease</td>
<td>64.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular septal defect</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial septal defect</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetralogy of Fallot</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary atresia/stenosis</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent truncus arteriosus</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Structural malformations that are similar to ventricular outflow tract defects. These include the Tetralogy of Fallot (TOF), pulmonary atresia with ventricular septal defect (PA-VSD), a double outlet right ventricle, transposition of the great arteries, persistent truncus arteriosus, and an interrupted aortic arch. Low-copy repeats (LCRs) of 22q11 have been suggested to mediate a non-allelic homologous recombination, resulting in 22q rearrangement. An unequal crossover between the LCRs usually results in a 3 meiobase (Mb) deletion in one copy of chromosome 22, and a reciprocal and similarly sized duplication in the other one. A microscopic deletion at 22q11 occurs in approximately 1 out every 4,000 live births. Different deletion genotypes have been delineated to this condition: a predominant 3-Mb deletion accounting for 90% of the cases, a 1.5–2-Mb deletion in 8%, and atypical smaller deletions in 2%. Phenotypic variability has been attributed to the presence or absence of genes in the breaking points, and CNVs depending on the size of the deletion. Familial cases of 22q11DS present a higher frequency of uncommon 1.5–2 Mb deletions; patients with this deletion have symptoms that are indistinguishable from those seen in patients with larger deletions, indicating that this region may be fundamental to the phenotype. Here we describe the cases of three children who were referred to our hospital with diagnoses of heart disease and no signs of 22q11DS, which turned out to be familial cases of 22q11DS.

Clinical reports

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Federal University of Goiás in Goiania, Brazil. Written informed consent were obtained from all family members included in the study.

Proband 1 was a 16-month-old girl born via cesarean section at term. The parents were nonconsanguineous, including a 23-year-old gravida 1, para 1 (G1P1) mother and a 27-year-old father. Her birth weight was 3,280 g (25–50th percentile), length was 46 cm (3rd percentile), and occipital frontal head circumference (OFC) was 35 cm (> 50th percentile). A clinical examination at the age of 16 months showed a round face, narrow forehead, TOF, and normal development. Clinical examination of the mother revealed a long face, mid-face hypoplasia, retrognathia, slender hands and digits, long toes, hypernasal speech, a speech delay, and a learning disability. The clinical examination of her grandmother showed epicanthic folds, retrognathia, normal development, and a surgically corrected cleft palate. Further information from the family also revealed an individual who died at birth due to heart disease (Figure 1A1). Pictures of this family were not allowed for publication.

Proband 2 was a 19-month-old boy who was born via cesarean section at term. The parents were nonconsanguineous, including a 26-year-old G1P1 mother and a 29-year-old father. His birth weight was 3,360 g (25–50th percentile), length was 47 cm (3rd percentile), and OFC was 35 cm (> 50th percentile). Clinical examination at 19 months of age showed interventricular communication and normal development, with no further clinical features. Clinical examination of his mother revealed a long face, small mouth, dysmorphic...
ears, and learning disability; clinical examination of his father was normal.

Proband 3 was a 2-year-old boy who was born via cesarean section at term. The parents were nonconsanguineous, including a 31-year-old G1P1 mother and a 30-year-old father. His birth weight was 3,120 g (25–50th percentile), length was 46 cm (3rd percentile), and OFC was 36 cm (> 50th percentile). His clinical examination at 25 months of age showed pulmonary atresia with interatrial communication and a short stature. Clinical examination of his mother showed upslanting palpebral fissures, a prominent nose, and speech delay. Clinical examination of the father was normal.

**Methods**

Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) was performed on DNA from peripheral blood
lymphocytes, extracted using the Puregene Blood Core Kit C (Qiagen Sciences Inc., Germantown, MD, USA). The SALSA MLPA probemix P250 DiGeorge syndrome test kit (MRC-Holland BV, Amsterdam, Netherlands) was used to determine the copy number changes in the 22q11.2 region. The MLPA was performed following the manufacturer’s instructions, and all runs included DNA from three normal controls to calibrate the unknown samples. The reaction products were detected using an ABI-3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA). To size the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products and obtain the peak areas, we used the GeneMarker Software (Applied Biosystems Inc.). These data were exported into the GeneMarker software (Softgenetics LLC, State College, PA, USA) or Coffalyser.Net software (MRC-Holland BV) for analysis.

**Results**

The MLPA results of the proband 1’s family showed a deletion of 3 Mb extending from LCR22-A to LCR22-D in the patient, mother, and grandmother. The MLPA results of the probands 2 and 3’s families showed a deletion of 3 Mb extending from LCR22-A to LCR22-D in the patient and mother. Figure 1 shows the family pedigrees of probands 1, 2, and 3, as well as the MLPA results of these families.

**Discussion**

CHDs often occur in association with other malformations and as a feature of well-defined genetic syndromes. Frequently, heart defect is one of the first signs of a genetic disorder that may result in an important syndrome. Heart defect is one of the first malformations and as a feature of well-defined genetic syndromes.

The role of the 22q11 region genes in nonsyndromic CHDs is unclear. A mutational analysis of the TBX1 gene, which maps to the 22q11 chromosomal region commonly deleted in patients with DiGeorge/velocardiofacial syndrome, failed to detect the pathogenic mutations in nonsyndromic individuals with the specific conotruncal defect subtypes commonly found in del22q11.2. Although the three families has been investigated to fertility, it is possible that there is a preference for maternal inheritance in familial cases, as pointed out by several authors. In the present familial reports, we observed that the affected parent was the mother. Devriendt et al. reported that the affected parent of all index patients was also the mother. They concluded that this was compatible with the previous hypothesis that this preference for maternal inheritance in familial cases could be due to either decreased fertility or decreased reproductive success in the affected males, with respect to the affected females. However, Matsuoka et al. suggested that there was no relationship between fertility and del22q11.2.

In the present study, based on the clinical features of the probands, we would not suspect 22q11DS, because the main clinical characteristic was CHD, which was the reason why these children were referred to our clinic. The presence of some of the characteristics of a 22q11 deletion, as observed in the mother, to only a CHD, as observed in the child.

Molecular analysis of the affected parent was the mother. Devriendt et al. reported that the affected parent of all index patients was also the mother. They concluded that this was compatible with the previous hypothesis that this preference for maternal inheritance in familial cases could be due to either decreased fertility or decreased reproductive success in the affected males, with respect to the affected females. However, Matsuoka et al. suggested that there was no relationship between fertility and del22q11.2. Although the three families has been investigated to fertility, it is possible that there is a preference for maternal inheritance in familial cases, as pointed out by several authors.

In the present familial reports, we observed that the affected parent was the mother. Devriendt et al. reported that the affected parent of all index patients was also the mother. They concluded that this was compatible with the previous hypothesis that this preference for maternal inheritance in familial cases could be due to either decreased fertility or decreased reproductive success in the affected males, with respect to the affected females. However, Matsuoka et al. suggested that there was no relationship between fertility and del22q11.2. Although the three families has been investigated to fertility, it is possible that there is a preference for maternal inheritance in familial cases, as pointed out by several authors.
this intrafamilial phenotype variability may be related to an unknown molecular mechanism or stochastic factors cannot be denied.19

Even though the 22q11DS is considered a relatively common chromosomal abnormality, it is still an underdiagnosed condition in the general population, even more so in developing countries and diverse populations, and in many cases the 22q11DS is secondary to a CHD.20

In many countries, this condition has not been systematically recognized by pediatricians, neonatologists and cardiologists, particularly in the first year of life.21

The prevalence of 22q11.2DS in patients with CHD has been estimated in attempt to establish a screening for this condition in CHD patients, with or without other features of the syndrome.2,13 In the present study, we investigated three probands that presented CHDs and we identified some of the clinical signs in the mothers, which led us to confirm the presence of 22q11 familial deletions.

The 22q11DS is a constitutional disease with a broad spectrum of phenotypes. Thus, if a child is initially evaluated for CHD, it is probable that other clinical signs will appear throughout the course of the disease. Therefore, the early diagnosis of the syndrome allows a better prognosis and treatment of these patients. It is of note, however, that the presence of microdeletion does not imply the occurrence of postoperative complications.22

In the presence of a few or even unique features, as in CHD, within the spectrum of some known syndromes, a familial examination could provide definitive clues for a diagnosis, as well as to prevention and genetic counseling of patients.
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