According to the literature, although the prevalence of heart disease in pregnancy varies considerably among countries, cardiovascular disease is responsible for 1% to 4% of complicated pregnancies in industrialized countries. This pattern is related to cardiovascular risk factors, such as smoking, diabetes, obesity, and hypertension, especially in low- and middle-income countries, as well as late motherhood and the presence of congenital heart disease, mainly in high-income countries.1,2

Improved diagnostic methods and therapeutic alternatives have encouraged maternity by promoting safer pregnancies. However, heart disease is still the leading non-obstetric cause of maternal mortality worldwide. Although the rate of maternal mortality has decreased in Brazil over the last three decades, it continues to be higher than estimated for this millennium.3

As noticed throughout the world, the percentage of congenital heart disease during pregnancy has risen in Brazil over the past 50 years due to improved surgical and late postoperative treatment that allowed an increased number of children with congenital heart disease to reach childbearing age. The well-accepted World Health Organization classification of the risk stratification model for pregnancy in patients with congenital heart disease considers this condition as risk III, meaning medical advice against pregnancy.4

Pregnancy leads to intrinsic hormonal stimulation in the organism and physiological changes of the cardiovascular system, which are necessary for adequate pregnancy development. The consequent hemodynamic overload may reveal previous heart diseases or aggravate the functional state of underlying heart disease. Thus, it may increase the risk of adverse maternal cardiac, obstetric, fetal, and neonatal outcomes.5

The quality of healthcare provided to women during prenatal care reflects the population's living conditions, and a country's maternal mortality rate is one of the most sensitive indicators.3 The coexistence of cardiac disease and pregnancy presents challenges across the spectrum of prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and health service delivery that are unique for both mother and baby.5

In this context, the proposal of Avila et al.6, encompassing the development of a multicenter Brazilian registry of pregnant women with heart disease (REBECGA Registry) with the aim of contributing to planning preventive measures, fundraising for improvement of prenatal care for high-risk pregnancies, and reducing immediate and late maternal mortality due to heart disease is beyond interesting; it is necessary. Avila et al.6 intend to study the causes of immediate and late maternal mortality, ascertaining the prevalence of heart disease in the country’s macroregions. To achieve this purpose, they will conduct an observational study, encompassing retrospective (data from medical records between 2017 and 2020) and prospective (data from face-to-face consultations between 2020 and 2026) analysis of clinical and obstetric evolution of pregnant women with heart disease, with one year of follow-up after delivery.
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The project will be conducted in public and private hospitals with compatible infrastructure, a responsible researcher, and approval by the respective Ethics and Research Committees.

An Investigation Committee, formed by members from the Department of Women’s Cardiology of the Brazilian Society of Cardiology, will select the participating centers and coordinate the platform of the data record. The responsible researcher from each center will select patients according to the defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, manage incomplete data, insert data in the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) platform, and participate in the Committee’s meetings to contribute to study progress.

In addition to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the variables to be analyzed, outcomes, prospective sample size, and statistical analysis have been well defined in the proposal. Furthermore, data quality management and assurance have also been described. Thus, the proposal seems to be well outlined, presenting few specific limitations, which the authors have already mentioned. It is worth noting that the project has been approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of Hospital of the Clinics, Faculty of Medicine, University of São Paulo, which is the coordinating center of the study.

A similar study was conducted by Roos-Hesselink et al. They observed that patients with cardiomyopathy and pulmonary arterial hypertension are high risk, while relatively good outcomes are expected for women with congenital heart disease. They stated that pregnancy should only be discouraged to women with very high risks. They also quoted some pre-pregnancy predictors for mortality and/or heart failure, such as NYHA class > II, systemic ventricular ejection fraction < 40%, signs of heart failure, and the use of anticoagulants. Roos-Hesselink et al. concluded that, after 2010, maternal mortality and/or heart failure rates decreased, particularly in emerging countries, despite the increased number of high-risk patients, highlighting the importance of further studies to assess the optimal management of these patients.

Brazil is a continent-sized country. The literature discusses that continental dimensions, heterogeneity, and wide local diversity have to be considered to define public policy and healthcare, making the proposal by Avila et al. even more remarkable, given that they intend to analyze the macroregions of the country.
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