

http://dx.doi.org/10.21577/0103-5053.20160329 J. Braz. Chem. Soc., Vol. 28, No. 9, 1665-1672, 2017. Printed in Brazil - ©2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Química 0103 - 5053 \$6.00+0.00

# Spectroscopic Characterization of Charge Transfer Complexes of TCNE with Aromatic Amines - The First Step of Tricyanovinylation Reaction

Natália M. Monezi and Rômulo A. Ando\*

Laboratório de Espectrocopia Molecular, Departamento de Química Fundamental, Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), 05508-000 São Paulo-SP, Brazil

The first step of electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions generally involves the formation of charge transfer complexes. Tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) in the presence of aromatic amines forms  $\pi$ -type complexes that subsequently lead to tricyanovinylation reaction. Although this kind of reaction was investigated in the past, the spectroscopic characterization of the charge transfer complexes is still lacking, since in many cases the first step of the reaction is too fast to allow their characterization by conventional techniques. In this paper, it is shown the UV-Vis and resonance Raman characterization of the charge transfer could be linearly correlated with the electronic absorption energies, as well as to the Raman shifts observed for the characteristic v(C=N) mode of TCNE. In addition, the results were correlated to ionization potentials of amines, and theoretical calculations by density functional theory/time-dependent density functional theory (DFT/TDDFT) methods were performed to support the experimental data.

Keywords: charge transfer, tetracyanoethylene, ionization potential, resonance Raman spectroscopy, DFT

# Introduction

Molecular charge transfer complexes play a key role as intermediate species in a wide variety of organic reactions involving nucleophiles and electrophiles species.<sup>1,2</sup> The typical reaction is the electrophilic aromatic substitution, where the formation of a charge transfer complex comprises the first step of the reaction mechanism.<sup>2</sup> Tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) is a characteristic strong electron acceptor due to the presence of four cyano groups in its structure, which leads to a deficient electron density at ethylenic group<sup>3</sup> increasing its susceptibility to be attacked by nucleophilic species in vinylic substitution reactions.<sup>4,5</sup> When aromatic amines acting as nucleophilic species interact with TCNE, the electrophilic aromatic substitution reaction called tricyanovinylation takes place.6 The tricyanovinylation reaction was investigated extensively in the past and the reaction mechanism consists essentially of three steps: the formation of a  $\pi$  charge transfer complex, followed by the formation of a  $\sigma$ -complex (Wheland complex) and the final product.<sup>7-10</sup> In the presence of secondary or tertiary aromatic amines the substitution of the tricyanovinyl group occurs at *para* position of phenyl ring, whereas in the case of primary aromatic amines at the nitrogen atom.<sup>11</sup> In other words, the different position of the tricyanovinyl group substitution in aromatic amine molecule depends whether the amine is primary or secondary/tertiary and which geometric configuration is favored in the charge transfer complex formation in solution. Therefore, it is important to have molecular structure information from this first step of the mechanism of the tricyanovinylation reaction, and the use of proper spectroscopic techniques can help in the understanding of this model charge transfer initiated reaction.

Charge transfer complexes generally show characteristic low energy allowed electronic transitions at visible region, resulting from the electronic excitation from HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) of electron donor species to LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) of electron acceptor species.<sup>12</sup> The energy associated to such transition is related to the magnitude of the charge transfer between the species. Therefore, the analysis of the UV-Vis spectra considering both the molar absorptivity coefficient,  $\varepsilon$ , and the excitation energy can provide important information regarding the charge transfer process. In molecular complexes, generally the higher

<sup>\*</sup>e-mail: raando@iq.usp.br

the  $\varepsilon$  and lower the transition energy, higher is the charge transfer interaction in both ground and excited states.<sup>13</sup> In this context, the use of resonance Raman spectroscopy is extremely convenient, since the vibrational modes associated to the charge transfer transition will result in selectively enhanced bands in the Raman spectra, particularly when the laser excitation energy is similar to the respective electronic transition.<sup>14</sup>

Considering the application of vibrational spectroscopy in the study of TCNE and its compounds, a vast literature is available. A complete review illustrating the huge chemical versatility of TCNE and the application of vibrational spectroscopy in the characterization of its different compounds was published by Miller.15 The use of infrared and Raman spectroscopies is widespread in the study of TCNE since the force constant associated to the C≡N bond is significantly sensitive to any variation of charge on TCNE.<sup>15</sup> As the C=N  $\pi^*$  antibonding orbitals are populated, the wavenumbers associated to the stretching modes of C≡N decrease accordingly. In particular, considering the TCNE interaction with electron donor species, more specifically with methyl-substituted benzenes, the degree of the charge transfer can be linear correlated to the decrease of the band assigned to the asymmetric  $b_{2\mu} v(CN)$  stretching mode, as demonstrated by Kubiak and co-workers<sup>16</sup> using infrared spectroscopy.

As mentioned before, the interaction of TCNE with substituted aromatic amines leads to the formation of a tricyanovinylation product. The tricyanovinylation is a bimolecular reaction whose polarity of the medium affects drastically the reaction rate.17 In a recent paper we showed the role played by the solvent in the tricyanovinylation reaction between TCNE and N-methyl-diphenylamine in acetonitrile and dichloromethane.<sup>18</sup> In the case of polar solvents, e.g. acetonitrile, the formation of the charge transfer complex is followed by the rapid formation of an intermediate zwitterionic species, which is promptly transformed in the tricyanovinylation product. In the case of dichloromethane, if the reactants are at 1:1 molar proportion, the consumption of the charge transfer complex is slower and the zwitterionic species is stabilized allowing its spectroscopic characterization by conventional techniques.<sup>18</sup> The fact that in particular conditions the charge transfer complexes formed between TCNE and a family of aromatic amines are stabilized in solution for at least few minutes or hours, prompted us to characterize them by UV-Vis and resonance Raman spectroscopies.

Therefore, our main objective in the present paper is to show the vibrational characterization of such complexes and the correlation of the amine properties to the observed charge transfer transition energies and wavenumbers of the

characteristic vibrational modes. The complexes between electron donor species and TCNE are considered model compounds, and the interest in the complexes of TCNE and methyl substituted benzenes has been renewed in the context of the reliability of computational methodologies in describing the charge transfer interaction.<sup>19-22</sup> To the best of our knowledge, no work shows the experimental vibrational characterization of the complexes formed between TCNE and the family of methyl-substituted anilines studied here. The aromatic amines used in this work were aniline (ANI), N-methylaniline (NMA), N,N-dimethylaniline (DMA) and 4,N,N-trimethylaniline (TMA). Such series was chosen since the basicity is gradually increased as the number of methyl groups increases, so it is expected that their association with TCNE leads to the formation of molecular complexes with gradual lower transition energies,<sup>19</sup> depending on the donor ionization potentials.<sup>16</sup> In order to support the experimental data, theoretical calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) were performed to obtain the complexes geometries, vibrational spectra, electronic transition energies and atomic charges.

## Experimental

Aromatic amines, aniline, ANI, (99.5%), N-methylaniline, NMA, (99.5%), N,N-dimethylaniline, DMA (99.5%), 4,N,N-trimethylaniline, TMA (98%), tetracyanoethylene, TCNE (98%) and dichloromethane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (99.9% Chromasolv®) were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich. The aromatic amines were distilled under low pressure prior to use and TCNE was used without further purification. The solutions of aromatic amines and TCNE were prepared in dichloromethane at 1:1 molar proportion with concentration at  $3.0 \times 10^2$  mol L<sup>1</sup> for the UV-Vis-NIR (near infrared) measurements, and at higher concentration (0.3 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) for the Raman measurements. The UV-Vis-NIR spectra were obtained in a Shimadzu UV PC 3101 spectrophotometer using a 1 mm optical path quartz cuvette. The Raman spectra were obtained in a Renishaw InVia Reflex equipped with a CCD detector thermoelectrically cooled and coupled to a Leica DM2500 microscope. The laser excitations at  $\lambda_0 = 532$ , 633 and 785 nm from Renishaw were used. Due to the reaction between TCNE and aromatic amines, the UV-Vis and Raman spectra were acquired immediately after the mixture of reactants in triplicate.

#### Theoretical methods

The ground state geometries of TCNE, aromatic amines and charge transfer complexes were optimized using DFT calculations using the exchange-correlation energy pure functional B97D to consider the dispersion effect<sup>23</sup> and the 6-311++G(3df,3pd) as atomic basis set. The theoretical absorption UV-Vis-NIR spectra were calculated using the TDDFT protocol<sup>24</sup> and in order to account for solvent effects, the SMD (solvation model based on density) model was used considering dichloromethane.<sup>25</sup> The calculated UV-Vis spectra were plotted using a Gaussian shape fitting function implemented in GaussView5 software, which considers the vertical energy and the oscillator strength associated to each transition. The calculated Raman spectra were obtained also at B97D/6-311++G(3df,3pd) level and to account for BSSE (basis set superposition error) the counterpoise correction was applied. To plot the Raman spectra no scaling factor was used and a bandwidth of 5 cm<sup>-1</sup> was considered. The potential energy distribution analysis to obtain detailed vibrational assignments was performed using the VEDA 4 program.<sup>26</sup> In order to account for the degree of charge transfer between the donor species and TCNE the atomic charges were computed using natural population analysis (NPA) from natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis.<sup>27</sup> All the calculations were performed with the aid of the Gaussian 09 software.28

# **Results and Discussion**

Figure 1a shows the experimental absorption spectra (UV-Vis-NIR) of the charge transfer complexes formed between TCNE and aniline (ANI), N-methylaniline (NMA), N,N-dimethylaniline (DMA), and 4,N,N-trimethylaniline, (TMA) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution. It is clearly observed a well behaved trend of the charge transfer electronic transition energies as the number of methyl groups in the amine increases. The complex TCNE-ANI absorbs at 587 nm, while the TCNE-TMA, with three methyl groups, at 707 nm, i.e., as the basicity of the electron donor increases (pK<sub>b</sub> values ANI 9.4, NMA 9.2, DMA 8.9 and TMA 8.4), the charge transfer transition energy decreases. Figure 1b shows the respective theoretical absorption spectra obtained for the complexes in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (SMD) at B97D/6-311++g(3df,3pd) level using the TDDFT protocol. Although there is a discrepancy in the transition energies, the exact same trend was observed regarding the number of methyl groups in the donor species. The complexes geometries, as well as the frontier orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) are shown in Figures S1 and S2, respectively, in Supplementary Information. It is worth mentioning that the same calculations were performed using the B3LYP hybrid functional, but the calculated transition energy values were all underestimated by ca. 100 nm. It is known that the B3LYP functional usually overestimates the charge transfer

interaction in molecular complexes,<sup>29</sup> especially when the interaction between species is weak or moderate as is the case of the complexes studied in this work. Therefore, the B97D functional was chosen, since in contrast to B3LYP, it accounts for a dispersion interaction term,<sup>30</sup> and in fact showed a better agreement with the experimental results.



Figure 1. (a) Experimental UV-Vis-NIR spectra of the charge transfer complexes formed between TCNE and aromatic amines in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at concentration of  $3.0 \times 10^{-2}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>; (b) the respective theoretical electronic spectra obtained by TDDFT calculations at B97D/6-311++G(3df,3dp) level considering  $CH_2Cl_2$  as solvent (SMD). \*Abs and  $\varepsilon$  were normalized for comparison purposes.

The trend observed for the transition energies of the complexes formed between TCNE and substituted anilines is very similar to the well behaved trend observed for the complexes of TCNE with methyl substituted benzenes and TCNE. Chan and Liao<sup>31</sup> showed in a systematic study for 13 substituted benzenes with increasing number of methyl groups, that the maximum absorption values range from 385 nm for TCNE-benzene complex to 535 nm for TCNE-hexamethylbenzene in CCl<sub>4</sub> solution. In addition, the authors showed a linear correlation between the ionization potential of the donor species and the electronic

transition energies of the charge transfer complexes investigated.<sup>31</sup> In fact, in Figure 2a, it can be observed a linear correlation between the transition energies (in cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the experimental ionization potentials,<sup>32</sup> where the relation is hv (cm<sup>-1</sup>) = 0.45IP – 11105 (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.9993).



**Figure 2.** (a) Transition energies in  $cm^{-1}$  of charge transfer complexes TCNE-aromatic amines in function of amine ionization potentials; (b) comparison between the experimental and calculated charge transfer transition energy values (the dashed line represents an ideal correlation).

Figure 2b shows the comparison of the experimental values observed for the charge transfer transition bands and the respective calculated values obtained by TDDFT. It can be observed that the slope of the calculated curve is greater than the ideal correlation. In order to determine the main source of such discrepancy a more systematic theoretical investigation is needed comprising different computational methodologies and solvation models, which is out of the scope of the present work.

As mentioned before, the vibrational spectroscopy is a powerful tool to characterize compounds containing the TCNE molecule, since it has a relatively simple structure and high symmetry, which result in very characteristic vibrational modes, especially the stretching modes associated to C=N and C=C bonds.<sup>15</sup> As the molecule belongs to the D<sub>2b</sub> point group, the most prominent Raman active modes are from  $A_{\sigma}$  symmetry species, i.e., the  $v(C \equiv N)$ and (C=C) symmetric stretching modes.<sup>33</sup> Figure 3a shows the Raman spectra of the complexes formed between TCNE and ANI, NMA, DMA, and TMA in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution. In order to maximize the resonance conditions, the spectra were obtained using the available excitation laser more similar in energy as possible to the maximum electronic absorption of each complex, according to the UV-Vis spectra. Figure 3b shows the respective calculated Raman spectra obtained at B97D/6-311++g(3df,3pd) level. Table 1 shows the experimental and calculated values of the characteristic bands, as well as the approximate vibrational assignments, and the potential energy distribution (PED) to show the contribution of the more relevant internal coordinates in the normal mode.

The resonance Raman spectra of the charge transfer complexes investigated show similar features. However, there are subtle changes in both wavenumbers and relative intensities. The most characteristic vibrational band is the symmetric stretching mode,  $v(C \equiv N)$  in the 2220 cm<sup>-1</sup> region. Figure S3 (Supplementary Information) shows the experimental Raman spectrum of TCNE in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution, where the  $v(C \equiv N)$  band appears at 2230 cm<sup>-1</sup>. As expected, there is a gradual decrease of the wavenumber of the  $v(C \equiv N)$  with the increasing number of methyl groups in the aromatic amines. In ANI-TCNE it appears at 2228 cm<sup>-1</sup>, in NMA-TCNE at 2223 cm<sup>-1</sup>, in DMA-TCNE at 2221 cm<sup>-1</sup> and in TMA-TCNE at 2019 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The calculated Raman spectra showed a very good agreement considering the  $v(C \equiv N)$  stretching mode, where the same trend with the increasing number of methyl groups was observed. The calculated values range from 2238 to 2231 cm<sup>-1</sup> in ANI-TCNE and TMA-TCNE, respectively. Figure S3 (Supplementary Information) also shows the calculated Raman spectrum for TCNE in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (SMD).

Another important vibrational mode is the v(C=C) stretching of ethylenic group at ca. 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup>, that also responds to the degree of charge transfer. In fact, in ANI-TCNE complex it is observed at 1554 cm<sup>-1</sup>, in NMA-TCNE at 1548 cm<sup>-1</sup> and in DMA-TCNE and TMA-TCNE at 1545 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In the calculated Raman spectra, such mode gives origin to intense bands at ca. 1455 cm<sup>-1</sup>, i.e., the vibrational frequency is underestimated by ca. 100 cm<sup>-1</sup> in comparison to the experimental values. Although there is a discrepancy between the wavenumbers, it can be noted the calculated values reproduced the same trend observed in the experimental spectra, i.e., in the calculated ANI-TCNE spectrum the v(C=C) was



Figure 3. (a) Resonance Raman spectra of the charge transfer complexes formed between TCNE and aromatic amines in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at concentration of 0.3 mol L<sup>-1</sup> obtained at excitation lines indicated in figure; (b) the respective theoretical Raman spectra calculated by DFT at B97D/6-311++G(3df,3dp) level.

Table 1. Experimental and calculated Raman wavenumbers (cm<sup>-1</sup>), the vibrational assignments and the respective potential energy distribution for charge transfer complexes formed between TCNE and aromatic amines

	Experimental	Calculated	Assignments <sup>a</sup>	PED <sup>b</sup> / %	
ANI-TCNE	997 1176 1274 1413* 1554 1601 2228	1011 1161 1284 1468 1588 2238	$ \begin{array}{c} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_{9a} \\ \nu(C-N) + \delta NH \\ \nu(C-N) * product \\ \nu(C=C) \\ \varphi_{8a} \\ \nu(C\equiv N) \end{array} $	vCC(51) δCH(76) vCN(55) vCC(69) vCC(38) vCN(88)	
NMA-TCNE	991 1180 1259 1428 1518 1548 1603 2223	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{c} vCC(53) + vCN(10) \\ vCC(10) + \delta HCC(10) + \delta CCC(25) \\ vCN(25) + \delta HNC(10) + \delta CCC(12) \\ vCC(14) + vCN(17) + \delta HCC(32) \\ \delta HNC(14\%) + \delta HCC(23) + \delta HCH(12) \\ vCC(71) \\ vCC(39) \\ vCN(88) \end{array}$	
DMA-TCNE	991 1133 1194 1440 1515 1545 1607 2221	1023 1171 1203 1332 1439 1454 1588 2232	$ \begin{array}{c} \phi_1 \\ \delta CH_3 \\ \phi_{9a} \\ v(C-N) + \delta CH_3 \\ v(C=C) + \delta CH_3 \\ v(C=C) \\ \phi_{8a} \\ v(C\equiv N) \end{array} $	$vCC(58) vCC(10) + vCN(12) + \delta HCC(11) + \delta HCN(14) + \tau HCNC(12) \delta HCC(65) vCN(21) vCC(14) + \delta HCH(38) vCC(43) + \delta HCH(12) vCC(42) vCC(42) vCN(77) $	
TMA-TCNE	1133 1194 1441 1514 1545 1609 2219	1179 1185 1364 1441 1455 1600 2231	$\begin{array}{c} \delta CH_{3} \\ \phi_{9_{a}} \\ v(C-N) + \delta CH_{3} \\ v(C=C) + \delta CH_{3} \\ v(C=C) \\ \phi_{8_{a}} \\ v(C\equiv N) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} vCC(13) + \delta HCC(13) \\ \delta HCC(10) + \tau HCNC(44) \\ vCN(40) \\ vCC(16) + \delta HCH(37) \\ vCC(42) + \delta HCH(25) \\ vCC(39) \\ vCN(88) \end{array} $	

<sup>a</sup>Varsanyi notation,  $\phi$  (ring modes), v (stretching) and  $\delta$  (deformation); <sup>b</sup>potential energy distribution obtained using VEDA 4 program.<sup>26</sup>

observed at 1468 cm<sup>-1</sup>, in NMA-TCNE at 1459 cm<sup>-1</sup> and in DMA-TCNE and TMA-TCNE at 1455 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In the case of the amines substituted with methyl groups, i.e., NMA, DMA and TMA, the v(C=C) mode couples to  $\delta$ (CH<sub>3</sub>) deformation (Table 1) giving origin to a lower frequency component at ca. 1515 cm<sup>-1</sup> clearly seen in the experimental Raman spectra (Figure 3a). As expected, the wavenumber of such band decreases and its relative intensity increases as the number of methyl groups increases. In the calculated Raman spectra, this mode appears with significant intensity only in the spectra of DMA-TCNE and TMA-TCNE at 1439 and 1441 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

The intense band at 1413 cm<sup>-1</sup> (marked with an asterisk, Figure 3a) appears in the spectrum of ANI-TCNE complex and is assigned to the v(C–N) of the tricyanovinylation product (*N*-tricyanovinylaniline).<sup>34</sup> It can be confirmed by the comparison of the Raman spectra of the ANI-TCNE charge transfer complex and the *N*-tricyanovinylaniline product shown in Figure S4 (Supplementary Information). In the case of aniline, even at 1ANI:1TCNE proportion in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution, the reaction is faster in comparison to the other amines, causing the appearance of the product spectral features in the charge transfer spectrum. As shown in Figure S4, the product bands do not interfere in the analysis of the ANI-TCNE charge transfer Raman spectrum.

The characteristic aromatic amine bands appear at ca. 1600, 1440, 1270, 1180, 1130 and 990 cm<sup>-1</sup>, whose approximate vibrational assignments are listed in Table 1, as well as the corresponding calculated values. Figure S5 (Supplementary Information) shows the experimental and theoretical spectra of the pure and isolated amines, respectively, and Table S1 (Supplementary Information) shows the wavenumbers and vibrational assignments.

Finally, in order to check if the wavenumbers of the Raman active symmetric stretching mode,  $v(C\equiv N)$ , observed for the complexes formed between TCNE and aromatic amines, also has a linear correlation with the ionization potentials (IP) of the donor species, as in the case of the experimental electronic transition energies (Figure 2a), the experimental values of  $v_s(C\equiv N)$  were plotted in function of the IP of the amines, as shown in Figure 4. The linear correlation gives  $v(cm^{-1}) = 11.3IP + 2140$ (R<sup>2</sup>=0.9899), showing how the Raman spectroscopy can be used to estimate the ionization potential of a donor species interacting with TCNE.

In the work of Kubiak and co-workers,<sup>16</sup> the authors also showed a linear correlation of the infrared active asymmetric stretching,  $v(C\equiv N)$ , with the ionization potentials of the methyl substituted benzenes. Moreover, the authors showed a linear correlation of the infrared wavenumber of  $v(C\equiv N)$  and the degree of the charge



**Figure 4.** Wavenumber of  $\nu$ (C=N) of charge transfer complexes between TCNE and aromatic amines in function of the ionization potentials.

transferred from the donor species to TCNE, based on the  $v(C \equiv N)$  values observed for the three possible species of TCNE, neutral (TCNE<sup>0</sup>), radical anion ([TCNE] $\bullet$ <sup>-1</sup>) and dianionic ([TCNE]<sup>-2</sup>).<sup>16</sup> Unfortunately, we could not find a reliable value for the Raman active  $v(C \equiv N)$  mode for the [TCNE]<sup>-2</sup> dianionic species, so using a similar approach of the paper of Kubiak and co-workers,16 and considering only the values of TCNE<sup>0</sup> (2235 cm<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>15</sup> and [TCNE]•<sup>-1</sup> (2194 cm<sup>-1</sup>),<sup>15,35</sup> we determined the following linear relationship v(C=N) / cm<sup>-1</sup> = -41Z + 2235, where Z is the estimated charge transferred to TCNE from experimental v(C=N) Raman values. Table 2 shows the estimated values of Z for the charge transfer complexes investigated. In order to make a comparison, the amount of charge transferred to TCNE was obtained from the DFT calculations performing a natural population analysis (NPA) from natural bonding orbital (NBO),<sup>27</sup> whose values are also shown in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Experimental  $v(C\equiv N)$  Raman wavenumbers (cm<sup>-1</sup>) for the complexes of TCNE with aromatic amines, the amount of charge transferred ( $Z_{Raman}$ ) estimated from the  $v(C\equiv N)$  values and the calculated charge transferred from natural population analysis ( $Z_{NPA}$ )

	$\nu(C\equiv N) / cm^{-1}$	$Z_{Raman}$	Z <sub>NPA</sub> <sup>a</sup>
ANI-TCNE	2228	-0.17	-0.26
NMA-TCNE	2223	-0.29	-0.32
DMA-TCNE	2221	-0.34	-0.33
TMA-TCNE	2219	-0.39	-0.35

<sup>a</sup>The degree of the charge transfer  $Z_{NPA}$  was obtained from the difference in the atomic charges of the isolated TCNE and its complexes with amines. TCNE: Tetracyanoethylene; ANI: aniline; NMA: *N*-methylaniline; DMA: *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline; TMA: 4,*N*,*N*-trimethylaniline.

Although in ANI-TCNE there is a discrepancy, it can be observed a fair agreement between the charge transfer values estimated from the Raman spectra, and the calculated values from NPA for the complexes. Considering that in the case of the complex of TCNE with hexamethylbenzene the estimated charge transfer is in the order of -0.10,<sup>16</sup> the values obtained in this work for the complexes of TCNE with aromatic amines are reasonable, since they are better donor species than aromatic hydrocarbons.

# Conclusions

The charge transfer complexes formed by TCNE and methyl substituted anilines were characterized by UV-Vis, resonance Raman and DFT calculations. The UV-Vis spectra showed a linear correlation of the charge transfer transition energies and the ionization potential of the amines depending on the number of methyl groups. The resonance Raman characterization for the complexes investigated was shown for the first time, and it was possible since in particular conditions the charge transfer complexes could be stabilized for at least few minutes or hours. Moreover, the resonance Raman condition allowed the characterization of the complexes without the interference of other eventual species in solution. As in the case of the charge transfer transition energies, the symmetric stretching  $v(C \equiv N)$ mode, also showed a linear dependence of the observed wavenumber with the ionization potential of amines. Using a simple relation of the Raman v(C=N) values observed for the neutral and anionic TCNE species the charge transfer values were estimated and are within the values expected for amines. Although few discrepancies were noticed, the theoretical DFT calculations for the UV-Vis and Raman spectra, and also for the estimated charge transfer, reproduced well the trends observed in the experimental data. As the charge transfer complexes formed by TCNE are considered model compounds, and particularly in the case of aromatic amines is the first step of the tricyanovinylation reaction, the results presented herein can contribute in the understanding of the charge transfer process and how it related to the mechanism of electrophilic aromatic substitutions.

## Supplementary Information

Supplementary information contains the DFT optimized structures of the complexes; the HOMO-LUMO contour orbitals; experimental and theoretical Raman spectra of tetracyanoethylene; experimental Raman spectrum of the product of reaction between TCNE and aniline; experimental and theoretical Raman spectra of aromatic amines, as well as the vibrational assignments. This material is available free of charge at http://jbcs. sbq.org.br as PDF file.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP 2012/13119-3). R. A. A. thanks the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico for the research fellowship (CNPq 306690/2015-2). N. M. M. thanks the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior for the fellowship (CAPES 132249/2012-0).

# References

- 1. Kochi, J. K.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1988, 27, 1227.
- 2. Rosokha, S. V.; Kochi, J. K.; J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1727.
- 3. Dhar, D. N.; Chem. Rev. 1967, 67, 611.
- 4. Rappoport, Z.; J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 4498.
- Ohashi, M.; Suwa, S.; Osawa, Y.; Tsujimoto, K.; J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1979, 2219.
- 6. Farrell, P. G.; Newton, J.; J. Chem. Soc. B 1970, 1630.
- 7. Rappoport, Z.; Horowitz, A.; J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1348.
- Henderson, R; Sheppard, W. A.; J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 858.
- 9. Farrell, P. G.; Wojtowsk, R. K.; J. Chem. Soc. C 1970, 1390.
- Nogami, T.; Hasegawa, Y.; Shirota, Y.; Mikawa, H.; *Bull. Chem.* Soc. Jpn. 1975, 48, 3048.
- 11. Rappopor, Z; Shohamy, E.; Isr. J. Chem. 1968, 6, 865.
- 12. Mulliken, R. S.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 811.
- 13. Dewar, M. J.; Lepley, A. R.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 4560.
- Clark, R. J. H.; Dines, T. J.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1986, 25, 131.
- 15. Miller, J. S.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2508.
- Stires, J. C.; McLaurin, E. J.; Kubiak, C. P.; *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 3532.
- 17. Isaacs, N. S.; J. Chem. Soc. B 1966, 1053.
- Monezi, N. M. A.; Ando, R. A.; J. Raman Spectrosc. 2017, 48, 758.
- Liao, M. S.; Lu, Y.; Parker, V. D.; Scheiner, S.; J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 8939.
- Kysel, O.; Budzak, S.; Mach, P.; Medved, M.; *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 2010, *110*, 1712.
- Silverstein, D. W.; Jensen, L.; J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2010, 6, 2845.
- 22. Kuchenbecker, D.; Jansen, G.; ChemPhysChem 2012, 13, 2769.
- 23. Grimme, S.; J. Comput. Chem. 2006, 27, 1787.
- Stratmann, R. E.; Scuseria, G. E.; Frisch, M. J.; J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 8218.
- Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G.; J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 6378.
- 26. Jamroz, M. H.; Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 2013, 114, 220.
- 27. Glendening, E. D.; Landis, C. R.; Weinhold, F.; Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 1.

- 28. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery Jr., J. A.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M. J.; Heyd, J.; Brothers, E. N.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A. P.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J.; Gaussian 09 ed.; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2009.
- Dreuw, A.; Head-Gordon, M.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4007.
- Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H.; J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 19.
- 31. Chan, R. K.; Liao, S. C.; Can. J. Chem. 1970, 48, 299.
- CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics; 90<sup>th</sup> ed.; Lide, D. R., ed.; Taylor and Francis: Boca Raton, FL, 2009.
- Miller, F. A.; Sala, O.; Devlin, J. P.; Overend, J.; Lippert, E.; Lunder, W.; Moser, J.; Varchim, J.; Spectrochim. Acta 1964, 20, 1233.
- 34. Farrell, P. G.; Ngo, P.; J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1973, 974.
- Jeanmaire, D. L.; Suchanski, M. R.; Vanduyne, R. P.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1699.

Submitted: October 21, 2016 Published online: December 21, 2016