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Standardization of endometriosis surgery – the coloproctologist’s vision
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To demonstrate the standardization of deep endometriosis surgery with intestinal involvement.

Methods: Prospective study evaluating 74 women undergoing standardized surgery for deep intestinal endometriosis. Divided into two groups, according to the findings of three-dimensional anorectal ultrasound, Group I with lesions affecting perirectal fat and Group II with lesions affecting at least the muscular layer of the rectum.

Results: There was no statistically significant difference between the groups in relation to the size of the focus and the distance of the lesion to the puborectalis muscle (p>0.05). The type of surgery performed was laparoscopy without lesions in one patient (1.35%), disk resection in 13 patients (17.56%), shaving in 45 patients (60.81%), and rectosigmoidectomy in 15 patients (20.27%). The complications were bleeding from the drain with conservative treatment in three patients (4.05%), fistula in two patients submitted to the shaving method (2.70%), and three patients (4.05%) with lower anterior resection syndrome (LARS), with improvement from conservative treatment. Lesions in other organs were also observed during videolaparoscopy.

Conclusion: Surgical standardization is important to guide the general/colorectal surgeon in the effective approach of intestinal endometriosis.
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Introduction

Endometriosis is a benign gynecological condition characterized by the formation of ectopic endometrial tissue\(^1\) with a consequent chronic inflammatory reaction; it affects 4%–17% of women of reproductive age. Studies report that between 15% and 30% of women with endometriosis present deep infiltrative disease,\(^2\) whose management can often be difficult and challenging.

Deep endometriosis is defined as endometriotic implants that penetrate >5 mm beneath the peritoneal surface.\(^3\) These implants are commonly found in the uterosacral ligaments, rectovaginal septum, or intestinal wall; they are often responsible for the patient’s symptoms, which include dyspareunia, dysmenorrhea, pain during defecation, or rectal bleeding during menstruation.\(^4\) Rectal or rectosigmoid junction involvement indicates a severe form of deep infiltrating endometriosis that affects 5.3%–12% of women with endometriosis.\(^4\)

Surgery may be the only appropriate treatment.\(^5\) Complete resection of all symptomatic lesions has been shown to be a definitive treatment of deep endometriosis.\(^6\)\(^7\) Treatment aims to improve the quality of life of the patient and reduce the rates of recurrence of the disease, as well as improve fertility, a situation usually confused with the persistence of injuries after incomplete surgical procedures.\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^10\)

Objective

To present the standardization of the surgical procedure for deep endometriosis with intestinal involvement performed by a colorectal surgery team.

Patients and methods

This was a prospective, observational, cross-sectional study evaluating 180 female patients with pelvic endometriosis and suspected intestinal involvement who were referred from the gynecology outpatient clinic to the Department of Coloproctology from April 2010 to August 2012. Of these, 74 patients participated in all stages and were included in the study, as their medical records were complete and included surgery details, bleeding data, and complete description of the materials. The evaluation consisted of a thorough physical examination (rectal and vaginal examination). Initially, all patients underwent three-dimensional anorectal ultrasound (3D-US, performed by a single examiner [DMRL]) and colonoscopy to evaluate the intestinal mucosa and exclude concomitant diseases. In 3D-US, the images of the endometriotic foci are characterized as heterogeneous hypoechoic areas with a larger diameter outside the rectal wall, i.e., infiltrating the intestinal wall layers from the inside out: perirectal, serosa, muscularis, submucosa, and mucosa (Fig. 1). This is illustrated in Fig. 1, which depicts an endometriotic lesion affecting all rectal wall layers (axial section, two groups). Group I (GI) consisted of 38 women with a mean age of 33.85 years, ranging from 21 to 47 years, with lesions affecting the perirectal fat. Of these, 13 had undergone prior surgery for endometriosis. Group II (GII) consisted of 36 women, with a mean age of 34.67 years, ranging from 26 to 48 years, whose images suggested endometriotic foci affecting at least the muscularis layer of the rectum. Of these, 17 had undergone prior surgery for endometriosis.

After this initial stage, in which 3D-US was used to assess the localization of the endometriosis focus in relation to the

Padronização da cirurgia da endometriose – visão do coloproctologista

RESUMO

Objetivo: Demonstrarn a padronização da cirurgia de endometriose profunda com acometi-mento intestinal.

Métodos: Estudo prospectivo que avaliou 74 mulheres submetidas à cirurgia padronizada para endometriose profunda intestinal. Divididas em dois grupos, segundo os achados da ultrassonografia anorretal tridimensional, o Grupo I com lesões acometendo a gordura perir- retal e o Grupo II com lesões acometendo, pelo menos, a camada muscular própria do reto.

Resultados: Não houve diferença estatisticamente significativa entre os grupos em relação ao tamanho do foco e à distância da lesão ao músculo puborrenal (p > 0.05). O tipo de cirurgia realizada foi laparoscopia sem achados da lesão em um paciente (1,35%), ressecção em disco em 13 pacientes (17,56%), Shaving em 45 pacientes (60,81%) e retossigmoidectomia em 15 pacientes (20,27%). As complicações encontradas foram sangramento pelo dreno com tratamento conservador em 3 pacientes (4,05%), fístula em 2 pacientes submetidas ao método de shaving (2,70%), 3 pacientes (4,05%) com Síndrome da Ressecção Anterior do Reto (LARS), com melhora ao tratamento conservador. Lesões em outros órgãos também foram observadas durante a videolaparoscopia.

Conclusão: A padronização cirúrgica é importante para orientar o cirurgião geral/colorretal na abordagem eficaz na endometriose intestinal.

© 2019 Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. em nome de Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
stratum of the affected rectum, its distance from the puborectalis, and adjacent structures affected, patients were referred to a videolaparoscopic surgical procedure (VLP), which was performed by a multidisciplinary team. The first surgical stage was performed by two gynecologists (NC and DG) and the second surgical stage, by two colorectal surgeons (UES and GK). Intestinal preparation was performed in all women 24 h before the surgery with phosho-soda solution. All patients were operated under general anesthesia, with preoperative prophylactic antibiotic treatment and antithrombotic prophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin.

For all patients, the colorectal surgical strategy was based on the results presented by 3D-US and intraoperative surgical findings in accordance with the following protocol:

1. Correct positioning of the patient in the surgical procedure, with legs in abduction and in the Trendelenburg position (Fig. 2): the positioning of the patient during surgery is extremely important, since it allows improving the field for visualization of the structures at each surgical stage and decreases trauma to the abdominal organs.
2. Placement of the fourth trochar and complete surgical materials (Fig. 3): one 5.0 mm trochar positioned in the left iliac fossa and another one in the right paraumbilical region; one 10.0 mm trochar in the right iliac fossa and another one in the umbilical scar. The fourth trochar is important, as it allows a more advanced dissection of the characteristic blocks observed in endometriosis.
3. Inventory of abdominal cavity through an assessment of the peritoneal organs in endometriosis\(^{11}\); the small and large intestines were assessed, as well as the other intraperitoneal organs. Through this method, it is possible to evaluate all the organs, including the possibility of finding obstructive lesions not previously seen on imaging tests.
4. Routine use of the uterine and rectal manipulator: It acts as another trochar for presentation of the surgical field, mainly for visualizing the pelvic block. The rectal manipulator is used to guide the surgeon during release of the block, preventing rectal puncture or laceration, as well as decreasing the trauma in the organs involved during the procedure.
5. Isolation and ligation of the ureter: in cases of block in the ovarian fossa, it is important that it is completely released and resected using the ureter as a guide, thus preventing accidents.
6. Special care in the manipulation of gynecological organs, including presence of a gynecologist in the intraoperative period: these patients are women of childbearing age who often still have reproductive desire. The gynecological organs must be manipulated so as to avoid trauma and consequent infertility.
7. Preservation of the superior rectal artery: this is important, considering that the vast majority of the lesions are located in the middle rectum and, depending on the treatment chosen and the degree of infiltration, it is necessary to resect this segment. Low anterior recession syndrome (LARS) was described in 2012 and consists of the following symptoms: fecal urgency, episodes of incontinence, increased evacuation frequency, bloating, and fecal fragmentation. This syndrome greatly harms the patients’ quality of life, especially considering the young population affected. This technique aims to reduce the onset of this syndrome.

8. Proper handling of the stapler for resecting the various types of rectosigmoid infiltration: endometriosis lesions usually are anterior and there is no need to resect the total circumference of the rectum. Proper handling of the circular stapler allows simple or even double resection of the anterior wall of the rectum only.

9. Possibility of vaginal access for intraoperative palpation, manipulation, opening, and removal of surgical pieces (NOTES): it decreases the number of abdominal incisions, for better patient recovery, and reduces surgical trauma.

Before surgery, all women gave their informed consent to a planned procedure, including bowel resection, colostomy, or ileostomy and possible laparotomy conversion, if necessary. The project was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Hospital Gênesis/CEDIMED under protocol No. 07 and all patients signed the informed consent term.

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0. Data evaluations included descriptive statistical methods (mean and standard error). Student’s t-test (non-paired) was applied to the numerical values assessed between the groups: age, size of the endometriotic focus, and distance from this focus to the puborectalis muscle. Differences were considered significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

The mean age of the patients was 33.85 (21–48) years. All nodes were located in the anterior quadrant of the rectum. No statistically significant difference was observed between the groups regarding the size of the focus (Fig. 4) or in relation to the distance of the lesion to the puborectalis muscle (Fig. 5; Student's t-test; \( p > 0.05 \)). The mean time of surgery by the colorectal surgery team was 100 min.

In GI, the mean endometrial foci size was 1.97 cm, with a standard deviation of 0.70 and a standard error of 0.11. The mean distance of the lesion to the puborectalis muscle was 4.45 cm, with a standard deviation of 0.98 and a standard error of 0.15. The images from GI were characterized by the presence of heterogeneous irregular hypoechogenic masses with invasion of at least the muscular layer of the rectum. The mean GI foci size was 2.34 cm, with a standard deviation of 0.82 and a standard error of 0.13. The mean distance from the lesion to the puborectalis muscle was 4.31 cm, with a standard deviation of 0.82 and a standard error of 0.13.

The colorectal surgical procedures performed were shaving, disk resection, or rectosigmoidectomy. The surgeon made their decision based on the diameter and depth affected by the foci evidenced in 3D-US.

In addition to the gynecological organs involved and the rectum, during videolaparoscopy lesions were found in the appendix (\( n = 15 \)), bladder (\( n = 10 \)), sigmoid (\( n = 4 \)), mesentery (\( n = 4 \)), ileum (\( n = 5 \)), cecum (\( n = 3 \)), ureter (\( n = 4 \)), and diaphragm (\( n = 1 \)).

In three patients, due to ureter/bladder involvement, it was necessary to call a third surgical team (urological surgery). The colorectal surgical procedures were decided by the surgeon, based on videolaparoscopic findings and correlated with the 3D-US findings. Laparoscopy presented no lesion findings in one patient (1.35%); disk resection was performed in 13 patients (17.56%); shaving, in 45 patients (60.81%); and rectosigmoidectomy, in 15 patients (20.27%).

The use of a Penrose drain was chosen in 15% of the patients when considering both groups, with an average withdrawal time of five days. No cases required conversion to laparotomy or ostomy.

The following complications were observed: bleeding from the drain in three patients (4.05%; 3/74), treated conservatively; and fistula in two patients submitted to the shaving method (2.70%; 2/74), treated through videolaparoscopy with cavity lavage with simple raffia, drainage, and antibiotic therapy. Three patients (4.05%; 3/74) presented LARS syndrome, with improvement with clinical treatment and associated
biofeedback. The mean length of hospital stay was two days (1–5 days).

Discussion

Intestinal endometriosis is an increasingly frequent reality in clinical coloproctology practice. It predominantly affects young women, and has very peculiar characteristics, making its treatment challenging; it requires new knowledge for diagnosis and surgery, and is one of the few diseases that improves from a certain age onwards. The main goal of treatment is to maintain quality of life and reproductive desire.

Deep infiltrative endometriosis of the rectum is characterized by affecting at least the muscle layer of the rectal wall. It is a chronic disease that compromises the quality of life of women by causing progressive pelvic pain, dyspareunia, and digestive symptoms such as diarrhea, constipation, tenesmus, dyschezia, and painful defecation, among other symptoms. However, most cases are asymptomatic from the gastrointestinal standpoint. Therefore, an intestinal assessment should be performed on all patients with deep pelvic disease.

Anorectal ultrasonography is a technique with good sensitivity and specificity for the visualization of rectal infiltration in patients with deep pelvic endometriosis. It should be used to define the best surgical approach. The treatment of intestinal endometriosis is not yet precisely established. Some groups of general surgeons/coloproctologists are on a learning curve regarding the best conduct in each case. For a long time, the management was similar to the surgical treatment of rectal cancer or even diverticulitis disease. Redwine et al. reported the vaginal removal of a rectovaginal nodule and Abrão et al. reported a case of vaginal removal of the rectosigmoid segment, with stapled anastomosis. However, after many reports of immediate or late functional complications, the surgical approach to intestinal endometriosis is tending toward more economical resections. The objective of this new approach is to improve the quality of life of patients regarding pain, fertility, and decrease of LARS syndrome.

In cases of deep infiltrative endometriosis, surgery should be recommended, as it presents a significant improvement in all parameters of pelvic pain and quality of life. Laparoscopy was shown to be a viable approach in this type of procedure, and the complication rates are related to the surgeon’s experience.

Several surgical approaches are generally adopted in the treatment of deep endometriosis of the rectum: nodule excision without opening the lumen of the rectum (shaving), removal of the nodule along with the surrounding rectal wall (disc excision), or colorectal resection of the affected segment (classic rectosigmoidectomy). However, there is no consensus in the literature about the indications for each procedure.

This study presented similar results in terms of surgical time and complications in the immediate trans- and post-operative period. The standardization of intestinal endometriosis surgery aims to guide and train general/colorectal surgeons on the main surgical stages.

Conclusion

The correct understanding of endometriosis affecting young women and their quality of life is critical for surgeons who aiming to treat this challenging condition. Surgical standardization is important to guide the general/colorectal surgeon in the effective approach in intestinal endometriosis, aiming to reduce risks and improve the quality of life of these patients.
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