

Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures

www.lajss.org

Dynamical stability and parametrical vibrations of the laminated plates with complex shape

Abstract

The problem of nonlinear vibrations and stability analysis for the symmetric laminated plates with complex shape, loaded by static or periodic load in-plane is considered. In general case research of stability and parametric vibrations is connected with many mathematical difficulties. For this reason we propose approach based on application of R-functions theory and variational methods (RFM). The developed method takes into account pre-buckle stress state of the plate. The proposed approach is demonstrated on testing problems and applied to laminated plates with cutouts. The effects of geometrical parameters, load, boundary conditions on stability regions and nonlinear vibrations are investigated.

Keywords

Lidiya Kurpa, Olga Mazur, Victoria Tkachenko

Lidiya Kurpa

The Kharkov State Technical University, Department of Applied Mathematics, UKRAINE; Kurpa@kpi.kharkov.ua Olga Mazur The Kharkov State Technical University, Department of Applied Mathematics, UKRAINE; OlgaMazur@mail.ru Victoria Tkachenko The Kharkov State Technical University, Department of Applied Mathematics, UKRAINE; victoria_tkachenko@mail.ruUniversity of Tehran, College of Engineering Engineering Optimization Research Group, Tehran, Iran

1 Corresponding Author; Email: eorg@ut.ac.ir; Tel.: +98-2161112178, Fax: +98-2161112177

1 INTRODUCTION

Laminated composite plates are frequently used in various engineering applications in the aviation, aerospace, marine, mechanical and others industries. The use of composite materials requires complex analytical and numerical methods in order to predict accurately their response to external loading. A recent review of current developments in non-linear vibration analysis and dynamic stability of the laminated plates and shells has been presented in references [6,9,11,13,14], ets. From presented review it follows that buckling problems with non-uniform pre-buckle stress state are of special interest. There are few works in which vibration, buckling and parametric instability behavior of a laminated plate with internal cutouts were studied [10,12]ets. It should be noted that the finite element method (FEM) remains the only way for dealing with complex structures and the most versatile.

In this work we propose alternative to FEM approach based on using variational methods and R-functions theory (RFM). The developed method takes into account pre-buckle stress-state and allows investigating the laminated plates of an arbitrary form, in particular case with internal free, simply supported and clamped cutouts. Formerly this approach was developed in references [2,3] for isotropic and orthotropic plates. The aim of this paper is extending the theoretical model of this method in order to carry out the nonlinear analysis of laminated plates with an arbitrary shape and different boundary conditions. The dynamic instability and buckling characteristics of the laminated plates with cutouts are discussed.

2 PROBLEM FORMULATION

Geometrically nonlinear vibration of simmetrically laminated composite plates subjected to inplane compressive periodic edge loading $p = p_0 + p_t \cos \theta t$ is studied. It is assumed that the delamination of the layers is absent. The mathematical formulation of the problem is made in the framework of the classical laminated plate theory. Neglecting rotatory inertia the equation of equilibrium [1] may be written as:

$$N_{11,x} + N_{12,y} = 0, (1)$$

$$N_{12,x} + N_{22,y} = 0, (2)$$

$$M_{11,xx} + 2M_{12,xy} + M_{22,yy} + N_{11}w_{,xx} + 2N_{12}w_{,xy} + N_{22}w_{,yy} = mw_{,tt},$$
(3)

where $\,N_{11},N_{22},N_{12}\,$ and $\,-M_{11},M_{22},M_{12}\,$ are stress resultants:

$$\vec{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{11} \\ N_{22} \\ N_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{16} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{26} \\ C_{16} & C_{26} & C_{66} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{22} \\ \varepsilon_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} \\ M_{22} \\ M_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\ D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\ D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -w_{,xx} \\ -w_{,yy} \\ -2w_{,xy} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Deformations $\vec{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_{11}, \varepsilon_{22}, \varepsilon_{12}^{T}$ are expressed as [1]

$$\varepsilon_{11} = u_{,x} + \frac{1}{2}w_{,x}^2, \qquad \varepsilon_{22} = v_{,y} + \frac{1}{2}w_{,y}^2, \quad \varepsilon_{12}^L = v_{,x} + u_{,y} + w_{,x}w_{,y},$$

where u,v,w are displacements of the plate in directions Ox, Oy and Oz respectively. For convenience we introduce the following notation

$$\vec{\varepsilon} = \vec{\varepsilon}^{\ L} + \vec{\varepsilon}^{\ N}$$
, $\vec{N} = \vec{N}^{\ L} + N^{\ N}$,

where

$$\vec{\varepsilon}^{\ L} = \varepsilon_{11}^{\ L}, \varepsilon_{22}^{\ L}, \varepsilon_{12}^{\ L} \stackrel{T}{,} \vec{\varepsilon}^{\ N} = \varepsilon_{11}^{\ N}, \varepsilon_{22}^{\ N}, \varepsilon_{12}^{\ N} \stackrel{T}{,}$$

$$\varepsilon_{11}^{\ L} = u_{,x}, \varepsilon_{22}^{\ L} = v_{,y}, \varepsilon_{12}^{\ L} = v_{,x} + u_{,y}, \ \varepsilon_{11}^{\ N} = \frac{1}{2} \ w_{,x}^{\ 2}, \varepsilon_{22}^{\ N} = \frac{1}{2} \ w_{,y}^{\ 2}, \varepsilon_{12}^{\ N} = w_{,x}w_{,y}$$

Then stress resultants $\vec{N}^L = N_{11}^L, N_{22}^L, N_{12}^L$ and $\vec{N}^N = N_{11}^N, N_{22}^N, N_{12}^N$ may be presented as follows

$$ec{N}^{(L)} = C \varepsilon^{(L)}, \quad ec{N}^{(N)} = C \varepsilon^{(N)}$$

The components C_{ij}, D_{ij} ij = 11, 22, 12, 16, 26, 66 of the stiffness matrices C and D are defined as[1]:

$$C_{ij}, D_{ij} = \sum_{s=1}^{N} \int_{h_s}^{h_{s+1}} B_{ij}^{s} \quad 1, z^2 \ dz,$$

where $B_{ij}^{(s)}$ are mechanical characteristics of the s-layer.

The system of equations (1)-(3) is supplemented by corresponding boundary conditions.

3. METHOD OF SOLUTION

The proposed method is based on solving a number of auxiliary problems.

1. Solving problem about pre-buckle stress state of the laminated plate. In order to determine the pre-buckle stress state of the plate let us consider the following system

$$N_{11,x}^{L} + N_{12,y}^{L} = 0,$$

$$N_{12,x}^{L} + N_{22,y}^{L} = 0,$$
(4)

supplemented on the loaded part of the border $\partial \Omega_1$ by the following boundary conditions

$$N_n^{(L)} \ u_1, v_1 = -1, \quad T_n^{(L)} \ u_1, v_1 = 0, \quad x, y \in \partial\Omega_1$$
(5)

Operators $N_n^{\ L}, T_n^{\ L}$ in (5) is defined by formulas

$$N_n^{\ L} = N_{11}^{\ L} l^2 + N_{22}^{\ L} m^2 + 2N_{12}^{\ L} lm, T_n^{\ L} = N_{12}^{\ L} l^2 - m^2 + N_{11}^{\ L} - N_{22}^{\ L} lm, T_{12}^{\ L} m + N_{12}^{\ L} lm + N_{11}^{\ L} - N_{22}^{\ L} lm + N_{11}^{\ L} - N_{22}^{\ L} lm + N_{11}^{\ L} lm + N_{11}$$

where $l = \cos(\vec{n}, Ox), m = \cos(\vec{n}, Oy), n$ is normal vector to border of the domain. Boundary conditions on the remain part depend on way of fixing.

Solution $u_1 x, y, v_1 x, y$ of this system (4)-(5) may be found by RFM (R-functions method). Variational formulation of the problem (4)-(5) is reduced to finding minimum of the functional

$$I(u_1, v_1) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega} (N_{11}^{(L)} \varepsilon_{11} + N_{22}^{(L)} \varepsilon_{22} + N_{12}^{(L)} \varepsilon_{12}) d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega_1} N_n^{(L)} u_1 l + v_1 m \ d\Omega_1$$
(6)

We seek the minimum of the functional (6) on the set of basis functions constructed by R-functions theory [7,8].

Forces $\vec{N}^{0} = N_{11}^{0}, N_{22}^{0}, N_{12}^{0}^{T}$ are defined after finding solutions u₁ and v₁, $\vec{N}^{(0)} u_{1}, v_{1} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \vec{\varepsilon^{(0)}} u_{1}, v_{1}$,

where

$$\overrightarrow{\varepsilon^{(0)}} \ u_1, v_1 \ = (\varepsilon^{(0)}_{11}, \varepsilon^{(0)}_{22}, \varepsilon^{(0)}_{12})^T, \ \varepsilon^{(0)}_{11} = u_{1,x}, \ \varepsilon^{(L)}_{22} = v_{1,y}, \ \varepsilon^{(L)}_{12} = u_{1,y} + v_{1,x}$$

2. Finding buckling load.

Let us find the critical load provided that compressive load is varied proportionally to some parameter $\lambda\,$, that is, from the following equation

$$L_{33}w = \lambda \cdot Nl_3 \quad u, v, w \quad . \tag{7}$$

The critical value of the parameter λ is found by energy approach. Let us write the appropriate functional:

$$\begin{split} I(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega} \left[(M_{11}\chi_{11} + M_{22}\chi_{22} + M_{12}\chi_{12}) + \lambda (N_{11}^{\ 0} \ (w_{,x})^2 + \\ &+ N_{22}^{\ 0} \ (w_{,y})^2 + N_{12}^{\ 0} \ w_{,x}w_{,y}) \right] dxdy \;. \end{split}$$

As before, minimization of the functional is performed on the set of basis functions, constructed using the RFM. As a result of the Ritz's method, this problem is reduced to the eigenvalue problem.

3. Solution linear vibration problem of the laminated plate subjected to in-plane compressive static edge loading p_0 . Above mentioned problem is solved by RFM too. The corresponding functional is

Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 10(2013) 175 - 188

$$I = U - V, \tag{8}$$

where U is total potential energy taking into account the influence of forces in the median plane:

$$\begin{split} U(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega} \left[M_{11} \chi_{11} + M_{22} \chi_{22} + M_{12} \chi_{12} + \right. \\ &+ p_0 \left[N_{11}^{-L} (u_1, v_1) (w_{,x})^2 + N_{22}^{-L} (u_1, v_1) (w_{,y})^2 + N_{12}^{-L} (u_1, v_1) w_{,x} w_{,y} \right] d\Omega, \\ &\text{ and } V \text{ is kinetic energy:} \\ &V &= \frac{m_1 \Omega_L^{-2}}{2} \iint_{\Omega} w^2 d\Omega \,. \end{split}$$

Let us denote eigenfunction corresponding to the first mode of free linear vibrations of loaded plate by w_1 , Ω_L is relative natural frequency.

4. Solutions of the auxiliary problem like elasticity problem. Let us consider the following system of the equations:

$$N_{11,x}^{L} + N_{12,y}^{L} = -Nl_{1} w_{1} ,$$

$$N_{12,x}^{L} + N_{22,y}^{L} = -Nl_{2} w_{1}$$
(9)

The right side of the system (9) has the following kind

$$Nl_1 w_1 = N_{11,x}^N + N_{12,y}^N, Nl_2 w_1 = N_{12,x}^N + N_{22,y}^N$$

This system is supplemented by the boundary conditions:

$$N_n^{(L)} = -N_n^{(N)} \quad w_1 \quad , \quad T_n^{(L)} = -T_n^{(N)} \quad w_1 \quad , \tag{10}$$

where

$$N_n^{\ N} = N_{11}^{\ N} \ l^2 + N_{22}^{\ N} \ m^2 + 2N_{12}^{\ N} \ lm \ , \\ T_n^{\ N} = N_{12}^{\ N} \ l^2 - m^2 \ + \ N_{11}^{\ N} \ - N_{22}^{\ N} \ lm \ .$$

The solution of system (9)-(10) is found by RFM. Denote this solution by $u_2 \ x, y \ , v_2 \ x, y$.

Variational formulation of the problem is reduced to finding minimum of the following functional

$$\begin{split} I(u_2,v_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega} \left(N_{11}^{\ L} \, \varepsilon_{11} + N_{22}^{\ L} \, \varepsilon_{22} + N_{12}^{\ L} \, \varepsilon_{12} - 2(Nl_1(w_1)u_2 + Nl_2(w_1)v_2)) d\Omega + \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} N_n^{(N)}(w_1)(u_2l + v_2m) + T_n^{(N)}(w_1)(-u_2m + v_2l) d\Omega_1 \, . \end{split}$$

5. Solution of non-linear vibration problem. Let us represent unknown functions u, v, w in the following way

$$w \ x, y, t = y \ t \ \cdot w_1 \ x, y \ ,$$

$$u \ x, y, t = pu_1 \ x, y \ + y^2 \ t \ \cdot u_2 \ x, y \ , \ v \ x, y, t = pv_1 \ x, y \ + y^2 \ t \ \cdot v_2 \ x, y \ .$$
 (11)

Substituting expressions (11) into equation (1)-(3), and using the Bubnov-Galerkin method, we receive ordinary differential equation:

• in case of static load

$$y''(t) + \Omega_L^2 \ y(t) + \beta \cdot y^3(t) = 0,$$
(12)

• in case of periodic load

$$y''(t) + \Omega_L^2 \ (1 - 2k \cdot \cos(\theta t))y(t) + \beta \cdot y^3(t) = 0.$$
(13)

Here k and β is defined by the following expressions:

$$k = \frac{p_t}{2p_{kr}}, \ \beta = -\frac{\iint\limits_{\Omega} \ N_{11}(u_2, v_2, w_1) \cdot w_{1,xx} + 2N_{12}(u_2, v_2, w_1) \cdot w_{1,xy} + N_{22}(u_2, v_2, w_1) \cdot w_{1,yy} \ d\Omega}{m_1 \Omega_L^2 \left\| w_1 \right\|^2}$$

To solve the equation (12) let us present unknown function y(t) in the form $y(t) = A \cos \omega_N t$. Using the Bubnov-Galerkin method, we receive the dependence between amplitude A and frequency ratio $\nu = \frac{\omega_N}{\Omega_L}$:

$$\nu = \sqrt{1 + \frac{3}{4}\beta A^2}$$

To identify areas dynamic instability, instead of (13), we use the equation Mathieu:

$$y_t'' t + \Omega_L^2 \quad 1 - 2k \cdot \cos \theta t \quad y \quad t = 0.$$

Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 10(2013) 175 - 188

The main area of dynamic instability (near $\theta = 2\Omega_L$) is limited by values θ_1 and θ_2 [4]:

$$\theta_1 = 2\Omega_L \sqrt{1-k}\,, \ \ \theta_2 = 2\Omega_L \sqrt{1+k}\,.$$

For the analysis of nonlinear vibrations after the loss of stability we use nonlinear equation (13). Dependence between the frequency ratio $\theta / 2\Omega_L$ and amplitude A are determined as follows [4]:

$$A = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3\beta}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta^2}{4\Omega_L^2} - 1 \pm k}.$$

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To illustrate our approach let us consider symmetrically laminated plate with central cutout (Fig. 1). In this case it is needed to determine the pre-buckle stress state of the laminated plate. Sup-

pose the plate is loaded longitudinally along the edges parallel to axis OY ($x = \pm \frac{a}{2}$).



Figure 1 Form of plate with cutout.

Boundary conditions of two types are considered:

1. The plate is assumed simply supported on the outer border, but cutout is free (SS-F):

$$\begin{split} w &= 0, \ M_x = 0, \ N_x = -p, \ N_{xy} = 0, \ x, y \in \partial\Omega_1, \ \partial\Omega_1 : x = \pm \frac{a}{2}; \\ w &= 0, M_y = 0, \ u = 0, \ N_y = 0, \ x, y \in \partial\Omega_2, \ \partial\Omega_2 : y = \pm \frac{b}{2}; \\ M_n &= 0, \ Q_n = 0, \ N_n = 0, \ T_n = 0, \ x, y \in \partial\Omega_3, \ \partial\Omega_3 = \partial\Omega \setminus \ \partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial\Omega_2 \ . \end{split}$$
(14)

2. The plate is simply supported on the all border (SS-SS):

$$w = 0, \ M_x = 0, \ N_x = -p, \ N_{xy} = 0, \ x, y \in \partial\Omega_1, \ \partial\Omega_1 : x = \pm \frac{a}{2};$$

$$w = 0, \ M_n = 0, \ N_n = 0, \ T_n = 0, \ x, y \in \partial\Omega_4, \ \partial\Omega_4 = \partial\Omega \setminus \partial\Omega_1.$$
(15)

Numerical results are obtained for material with the following relations for the elasticity coefficients: E11=141.0 Gpa, E22=9.23 Gpa, G12=G13=5.95 Gpa, G23=2.96 Gpa, ν 12= 0.313. The structures of solution [7,8] for above mentioned boundary value problems (4)-(5), (7), (8), (9)-(10) are taken in the form:

1. boundary conditions SS-F (14):

$$w_1=\omega_0\cdot P_0; u_i=\omega_2\cdot P_i, \ v_i=P_{i+2}, \ i=1,2\,;$$

2. boundary conditions SS-SS (15):

$$w_1=\omega\cdot P_0\,; u_i=P_i\,,\ v_i=P_{i+2},\ i=1,2\,,$$

where P_0, P_j $j = \overline{1,4}$ are indefinite components of the structure presented as an expansion in a series of some complete system (power polynomials, trigonometric polynomials, splines etc.), $\omega x, y = 0, \omega_0 x, y = 0, \omega_2 x, y = 0$ are equations of the boundary domain $\partial\Omega$ and its parts $\partial\Omega_0 = \partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial\Omega_2$ and $\partial\Omega_2$. For constructions of functions $\omega x, y, \omega_0 x, y, \omega_2 x, y$ theory of the R-functions is used:

$$\omega \ x, y \ = \ f_1 \wedge_0 f_2 \ \wedge_0 \ f_3 \vee_0 f_4 \ , \ \omega_0 \ x, y \ = f_1 \wedge_0 f_2 \,, \ \omega_2 \ x, y \ = f_2 \tag{16}$$

where \wedge_0, \vee_0 are R-operations [7,8] represented below

$$x \wedge_0 y = x + y - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \,, \; x \vee_0 y = x + y + \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \,.$$

Functions f_i , i = 1..4 in (16) are determined as

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{a} \left(\left(\frac{a}{2} \right)^2 - x^2 \right) \ge 0 \,, \ f_2 = \frac{1}{b} \left(\left(\frac{b}{2} \right)^2 - y^2 \right) \ge 0 \,, \ f_3 = \frac{1}{c} \left(x^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) \ge 0 \,, \ f_4 = \frac{1}{c} \left(y^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) \ge 0 \,, \ f_4 = \frac{1}{c} \left(y^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) \ge 0 \,, \ f_5 = \frac{1}{c} \left(y^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \,, \ f_6 = \frac{1}{c} \left(y^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \,, \ f_6 = \frac{1}{c} \left(y^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \,, \ f_6 = \frac{1}{c} \left(y^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \,, \ f_6 = \frac{1}{c} \left(y^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \,, \ f_6 = \frac{1}{c} \left(y^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \,, \ f_6 = \frac{1}{c} \left(y^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \,, \ f_6 = \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)^2 \,,$$

To validate the proposed approach we investigate cross-ply four layers laminated plate with free cutout, boundary conditions (14) and for a=b=0.5m, h=0.005m. This problem had been solved by Dash S. and others in [5]. The accuracy and the efficiency of the present method are established through comparison of non-dimensional buckling load $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{N_x b^2}{E_y h^3}$ with [5], fig. 2.



Figure 2 Non-dimensional buckling load $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{N_x b^2}{E_2 h^3}$ with different ratios of cutout.

Further calculations are carried out for geometrical parameters a/b=2, h=0.005m and boundary conditions (15). The influence of cutout size on non-dimensional frequencies $\Lambda = \Omega_L a^2 \sqrt{\rho / E_2 h^2}$ for various values of static component of load p_0 is presented in the table 1.

Table 1. Effect of cutout size on natural frequency $\Lambda = \Omega_L a^2 \sqrt{\rho \; / \; E_2 h^2}$

c / b	$0^0 / 90^0 / 0^0$			$0^0 \ / \ 90^0 \ / \ 90^0 \ / \ 0^0$			0^0 / 90^0 / 0^0 / 90^0 / 0^0		
	$p_0 \neq p_{kr}$								
	0	0.25	0.75	0	0.25	0.75	0	0.25	0.75
0.1	19.197	16.894	10.051	19.290	16.886	9.923	19.038	16.605	9.733
0.2	22.937	19.979	11.684	22.533	19.602	11.453	22.082	19.194	11.185
0.25	24.237	21.169	12.535	23.825	20.842	12.556	23.354	20.388	12.080
0.4	32.835	29.564	20.527	31.874	28.546	19.504	30.879	27.447	17.993

Effect of parameter of cutout size (c / b = 0.1, 0.2, 0.25, 0.4) on instability regions $(\bar{\theta}_1 = 2\lambda\sqrt{1-k}, \bar{\theta}_2 = 2\lambda\sqrt{1+k})$ are studied for $p_0 / p_{kr} = 0.25, 0.75$, fig. 3. Obtained results demonstrate the same behavior in both of the cases: for a larger value of c / a the loss of instability occurs at lager values of excitation frequency ϑ and response zones occupy greater area. It should also be noted that instability zones for $p_0 / p_{kr} = 0.25$ correspond to greater value of excitation frequency ϑ .



Figure 3. Instability zones for different size of cutout (SS-SS, p_0 / p_{kr} =0.25,0.75). Instability analysis is fulfilled for 3,4,5 layers plates. (fig.4). Results are obtained for p_0 / p_{kr} =0.25 and c / b = 0.2,0.4. More significantly the number of layers effects on instability domains for plates with large cutouts.



Figure 4. Instability zones for different number of layers (SS-SS, p_0 / p_{kr} =0.25, c / b = 0.2,0.4).

Nonlinear parametric vibrations are investigated for 3-layers plate. Effect of load parameter p_t on response curves is shown on fig.5. Calculations are performed for c / b = 0.1, 0.2, $p_0 / p_{kr} = 0.25$. Decreasing of parameter p_t leads to a convergence of curves.



Figure 5. Response curve for different values of parameter p_t (SS-SS, $p_0 / p_{kr} = 0.25$, c / b = 0.1, 0.2).



Figure 6. Response curve for different size of cutout (SS-SS, $p_0 / p_{kr} = 0.25, 0.75$).

The effect of size of cutout on the response curves is analyzed for $p_0 / p_{kr} = 0.25, 0.75, p_t / p_{kr} = 0.25$. The extension of cutout leads to increase of the vibration amplitude. Change of the static component of the load p_0 affects the slope of response curves.

5 CONCLUSIONS

An effective method to investigate dynamical stability and nonlinear vibrations of symmetrically laminated plates with a complex form is developed. The proposed method is based on the original meshless discretization procedure in the time and variational methods combined with R-functions theory. Due to application of the developed approach the initial nonlinear problem is reduced to sequence of auxiliary linear problems and nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODEs) with respect to time. The present approach has advantage of being suitable for considering of different types of the boundary conditions in domains of arbitrary shape. The proposed method has been applied to study dynamical stability and nonlinear vibrations of simply supported rectangular plate with both free and simply supported central square cutout. The numerical results for buckling loads, instability regions and amplitude-frequency response curves are obtained for various size of cutout, number of layers, parameters of load. Note that due to increase of cutout size of simply supported plate, instability regions tend to shift to higher excitation frequency with extension of instability regions, showing destabilizing effect of cutout on behavior of plate. The effect of layers number on instability zones is not significant for plates with small cutout, but increase of cutout leads to essential influence of layers number. The amplitude-frequency response curves change the slope and location according to variation of values of the load components.

References

1. S. A. Ambartsumyan Theory Of Anisotropic Plates: Strength, Stability, & Vibrations. // Taylor & Francis; 2 edition (1991). P.360.

2. Awrejcewicz J. Research of stability and nonlinear vibration of plates by R-Functions Method / J. Awrejcewicz, L. Kurpa, O. Mazur // Modeling Simulation and control of Nonlinear Engineering Dynamical Systems. – UK, Springer, 2009. – P.179-189.

3. Awrejcewicz J., Kurpa L., Mazur O. On the parametric vibrations and meshless discretization of orthotropic plates with complex shape. International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation. 2010, V. 11, Is. 5, P. 371–386.

4. Bolotin V.V. The dynamic stability of elastic systems, // Holden-Day, 1964 - P. 451.

5. Dash S. Stability of laminated composite curved panels with cutout using finite element method / Dash S., Asha, A. V., Sahu, S K. Proceedings of the International Conference on Theoretical, Applied Computational and Experimental Mechanics (ICTACEM 2004), December 28-31, 2004 IIT, Kharagpur.

6. Datta P. K. and Biswas S. Research Advances on Tension Buckling Behavior of Aerospace Structures: A Review. International Journal of Aeronautical and Space Sciences, vol. 12, issue 1, P. 1-15.

7. Rvachev V.L. (1982). The R-Functions theory and its Some Application, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1982. (in Russian),

8. Kurpa L.V., R-functions Method for Solving Linear Problems of Bending and Vibrations of Shallow Shells. Kharkiv NTU Press, Kharkiv, 2009, in Russian.

9. Qatu M. S., Sullivan R. W., Wang W. Recent research advances on the dynamic analysis of composite shells: 2000–2009. Composite Structures, Volume 93, Issue 1, December 2010, P. 14-31.

10. Sahu S. K., Datta P. K. Dynamic Stability of Laminated Composite Curved Panels with Cutouts. Journal of Engineering Mechanics, Vol. 129, No. 11, November 2003, pp. 1245-1253.

11. Sahu S. K. Research Advances in the dynamic stability behavior of plates and shells: 1987-2005 — Part1: conservative system / S. K. Sahu P. K. Datta // Applied mechanics reviews. – 2007. – 60. – P.65-75.

12. Sahu S. K., Datta P. K. Dynamic stability of curved panels with cutouts. Journal of Sound and Vibration. V. 251, Issue 4, 2002, P. 683–696

13. Simitses G. J. Instability of dynamically loaded structures / G. J. Simitses // Applied mechanics reviews. – 1987. – P. 1403–1408.

14. Zhang Y.X., Yang C.H. Recent developments in finite element analysis for laminated composite plates. Composite Structures, Vol. 88, No. 1. (March 2009), P. 147-157.