Mechanical and Magnetocaloric Properties the Composite Based on PMMA and Gd-Ge-Si as Reinforcement
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This research work investigates a new type of polymer-based magnetocaloric composite. Using PMMA as polymeric matrix and the magnetocaloric material Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ as reinforcement, no influence of the presence of polymer on the magnetic properties of Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ was observed. Three types of composites with different PMMA content were fabricated by mixing the components and curing the composite. The composites were evaluated by their mechanical, physicochemical and morphological properties. The proposed PMMA composite MC10 presented the smallest elastic modulus but highest Vickers hardness (6.61 ± 0.08 GPa and 22.10 ± 1.29 HV, respectively). The composites showed a satisfactory magnetocaloric effect (MCE) “peak” of -7 J/kgK. With the results, this composite can be a potential candidate for applications as Active Magnetic Regenerator in magnetic heat pumps.
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1. Introduction

After the discovery of the giant magnetocaloric effect (GMCE) in the Gd$_{5}$Ge$_{2}$Si$_{1}$ alloy by Pecharsky and Gschneidner in 1–2, interest and research about magnetic heat pumps increased significantly, resulting in the development of novel magnetocaloric materials and prototypes 3–6. Gd$_{5}$Ge$_{2}$Si$_{1}$ is a first-order magnetocaloric material, which does not show the GMCE before heat treatment is performed 1,2. In later studies, Pires et al. 3, Franco et al. 4, Grego et al. 5 observed that a slightly different stoichiometry, Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ as-cast compound shows GMCE without heat-treatment. One of the main advantages of materials based on the magnetocaloric effect (MCE) is its potential application in solid-state magnetic cooling, since most refrigeration technology relies on the conventional gas compression refrigerant system due to their environmental friendly aspect and higher conversion efficiency aside the lack of efficiency and use of air-pollutant gases 7–9. Systems based on the MCE are expected to replace the traditional gas compression refrigerant system due to their environmental friendly aspect and higher conversion efficiency aside the possibility of small and large scale applications 10–12.

For the Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ as-cast compound to be applied as highly efficient active magnetic regenerator (AMR) 13–14, it is necessary to manufacture this compound in a given geometry, such as plates, pins, microchannels or spherical particles, which should guarantee good heat transfer properties associated with low viscous losses 15,16. However, Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ mechanical properties are not adequate to allow conventional manufacturing process. For instance, this alloy is very brittle and fragile, and previous works reported that conventional manufacturing processes such as powder metallurgy could reduce its GMCE 17. This way, manufacturing this alloy as a composite material may be a promising alternative to finally use Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$, as AMRs, as well as other promising first-order material (which also present the GMCE) 18.

The use of magnetocaloric-based composites has been already proposed in the literature. Some of them use structural composites 19–20, while others betake epoxy resins to give structural integrity to the material 21–22. Lanzarini et al. 23, Lazouzi et al. 24, Chen et al. 25, Liu et al. 26 presented the prepare of a La-Fe-Si/Cu composite and its mechanical and MCE behavior at hot pressing tests. So far, the works published by Pecharsky and Gschneidner 27, Pecharsky et al. 28, Imamura et al. 29 and Zhang et al. 30 have reported magnetocaloric and mechanical properties for different types of composites.

The present paper reports and discusses the mechanical and magnetic properties of a magnetocaloric composite, which was processed with a polymer matrix based on poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ magnetocaloric
alloy as reinforcement. The experimentally characterized mechanical properties are the elastic modulus and Vickers hardness. Magnetization as function of temperature are also presented. Finally, the isothermal entropy variation for the composite, which is a characterization of the MCE, is presented and compared with the as-cast alloy.

2. Experimental

2.1. Magnetocaloric reinforcement Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$

Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ samples were prepared by arc melting furnace under argon atmosphere (99.99 wt%, Linde) following the procedure described by Pecharsky and Gschneidner$^{29}$, Pecharsky et al.$^{30}$, Imamura et al.$^{31}$using an adapted setup mainly consisted by a vacuum pump (E2M18, Edwards), a stainless steel cylindrical fusion chamber, a tungsten electrode with diameter of 4 mm, a hollowed copper crucible, a power supply (DALEXWERK, Niepenberg& Co), manometers from Zürich and Edwards and three stainless steel storage chambers for store raw and sample materials under an argon atmosphere. To guarantee the homogeneity, each sample was re-melted at least twice. The basic constituents have the purities of 99.90 wt% for Gd, 99.99 wt% for Ge and 99.95 wt% for Si. A total of 21 bulk samples, with approximately 5 g each, manually milled and mixed to obtain a homogeneous base-powder, and then the particles ranging from 38 to 45 µm were sieved and selected.

2.2. Magnetocaloric composite: Acrylic Resin/ Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$

The composite samples were manufactured initially mixing the Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and dibenzoyl peroxide (DBP) powder using a mortar and pestle, in the proportions of PMMA of 5, 7 and 10 wt%, as in Table 1. Concentrations lower than 5 wt% make composites too brittle and fragile, not being used in the study. Using an aluminum mold, the mixture was shaped in discs of 25 mm diameter and 1 mm thickness. The methyl methacrylate (MMA) with redox system of initiator dimethylparatoluoluidine (DMPT) was added until the powder mixture was completely wet. The mixture was left to cure with low pressure applied. All the samples were manufactured in duplicate, and two control samples were made without Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ reinforcement (pure PMMA).

2.3. Experimental characterization

The samples were characterized by Attenuated Total Reflectance with Fourier Transform Infrared – ATR-FTIR (Nicolet iS10 model, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Madison, WI, USA) equipped with SMART-ITR-ATR ZnSe crystal, with a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$, 64 scans and frequencies between 2000 and 650 cm$^{-1}$. The MMA sample was analyzed using NaCl plates for FTIR (SMART OMNI-TRANSMISSION) under the same parameters.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using a STA6000 (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA), under nitrogen gas atmosphere (20 mL/min) with a heating rate of 10 ºC/min from 50 to 900 ºC.

The samples were also examined via Scanning Electron Microscopy – SEM (Quanta 250, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). To preserve the structure of the polymer matrix, the samples were fractured after cooled with liquid nitrogen.

2.4. Mechanical properties

Surface microhardness of magnetocaloric composites was measured using a Vickers diamond indenter (HVS-5, Weiýi, Shandong, China), applying a load of 300 g and dwelling time of 30 s. The elastic modulus of magnetocaloric composites was determined using Poisson’s ratio by impulse excitation of vibration technique (ASTM E1876-09)$^{33}$.

2.5. Magnetic measurements

Magnetic measurements of the Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder were performed using a commercial superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) from Quantum Design$^{26,31}$. Magnetization curves (M vs. T) were measured with a fixed magnetic field of 100 Oe, increasing the temperature at the rate of 2 K/min, from 220 K to 350 K. From a numeric differentiation of the magnetization data, we calculated the temperatures of the first- and second-order transitions by a local minimum at dM/dT vs. T curves. The isothermal magnetization curves (M vs. H) were measured at different isotherms ranging from 200 K to 350 K with steps of 2 K. The applied magnetic fields ranged from 0 to 20 kOe with steps of 2 kOe. From the isothermal magnetization data, we calculated the isothermal entropy variation ($\Delta S_T$), which is a parameter that represents the magnetocaloric effect, by numeric approximation.

Table 1. Composition, mass and dimension of composite samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Gd$<em>{5.09}$Ge$</em>{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ (wt%)</th>
<th>PMMA (wt%)</th>
<th>Mass (g)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC5_1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.925</td>
<td>24.88</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC5_2</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.847</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC7_1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.910</td>
<td>24.97</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC7_2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.862</td>
<td>24.92</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC10_1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.686</td>
<td>24.98</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC10_2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.677</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MC = Magnetocaloric composite. The numbers after MC show composition whereas the numbers 1 and 2 are the duplicates.
\[ \Delta S_f(T)_{\text{MH}} = \frac{1}{2\Delta T} \left( \delta M_1 \delta H_1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n} \delta M_k \delta H_k + \delta M_n \delta H_n \right) \]  

As already discussed in the literature, the magnetocaloric composites were characterized following the same experimental procedure and by using the same device.

2.6. Statistical analysis

To investigate the statistical influence of the Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ as-cast compound in the mechanical properties of the composites, the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of one factor was used, followed by Tukey's test evaluated under significance level of 5%.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. FTIR

The FTIR spectra of the acrylic resin components (MMA and PMMA), control and the Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ as-cast compound dispersed in acrylic resin, in different amounts, are shown in Figure 1. The band at 1730 cm$^{-1}$ is associated with C=O stretching characteristic of acyl group derived from acrylic acid and can be observed in all spectra. The C=C stretching was detected at 1650 cm$^{-1}$ in the spectra MMA from acrylic acid. The disappearance of the band (C=C bond) in the magnetocaloric composite samples indicated the complete polymerization of the acrylic resin, showing that the incorporation Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ as-cast compound does not affect the cure process of the acrylic resin. Also, the bands 840 and 747 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the deformation vibrations of O-C-O of PMMA and stretching vibration of PMMA chains, respectively. It's already known that resin containing filler disturbs the monomeric conversion of the composites, thus affecting some mechanical and optical properties.

3.2. Thermogravimetric analysis

To investigate the thermal stability of the magnetocaloric composite, as well the extent of interaction between Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ as-cast compound and PMMA, the thermal degradations of different samples MC (5, 7 and 10), the control sample and Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder are presented in Figure 2. The weight loss for PMMA (control sample) due to release of absorbed water starts at 30ºC, continuing up to 145 ºC. The polymer degradation starts at 180°C. The breakage of the main polymer chain is verified above 300°C, and is completed a slightly below 400°C, with the highest degradation rate found around 370°C. These values are in agreement with the observed in the literature.

In the thermogravimetric curve for the magnetocaloric composites, it is possible to notice that MC5 and MC7 samples had similar compositions, since they presented 84.8% and 85.4% of residue, respectively. These results indicated that both samples had a percentage of resin close to 15% w/w, while MC10 presented about 18% of resin. The increasing in weight at 400°C for the magnetocaloric composites, as well as the Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder, is due to the formation of germanium nitride which is a result of the continuous nitrogen flow during the analyzes as presented in literature for nitride formation in other materials. According to the TGA, the incorporation of a dispersed phase in the PMMA matrix improved the thermal stability of the polymer.

3.3. Scanning electron microscopy

The SEM images in Figure 3 showed the metallic particles (filler) with shapes and sizes different amongst the composites. The powder appears well dispersed inside the composites, especially for the sample MC10. Furthermore, the adhesion of the matrix to the powder reinforcement is better at larger concentrations of polymer. Besides, it can be seen that the filler and matrix had good interactions with themselves as they presented no gaps between the powder and the polymer. Even though, the smallest amount of PMMA in the samples MC5 and MC7 consequently lowered the contact area of the powder with the matrix, which can inducedifferent results on mechanical properties such as hardness and elastic modulus as it will be discussed later. The irregular surface observed in the SEM images is due to the intergranular fracture of the composite.

3.4. Vickers hardness

Figure 4 shows the results of the Vickers hardness test. According to the analysis of variance, there was no statistical

---

**Figure 1.** FTIR spectra of MMA, PMMA, Control, MC5, MC7 and MC10.

**Figure 2.** Thermogravimetric curve of Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder, MC5, MC7, MC10 and Control.
difference between samples MC5 and MC7, which presented 16.66 and 16.59 HV, respectively, while MC10 presented a value of 22.10 HV. The hardness increase with reduction of reinforcement on the composites seems counterintuitive since the magnetocaloric powder is harder than the polymer matrix. However, this phenomenon can be explained by the better adhesion of the powder to the polymeric phase, as lower polymer concentration leads to aggregation of the powdered particles. Thus, composites with high filler concentrations present this synergetic effect increasing the hardness value for these specific compositions. The values of microhardness observed for the control sample, 12.54 HV, is lower than the found in the literature due to the longer time of indentation.

3.5. Elastic modulus

Figure 5 shows the results of elastic modulus by impulse excitation of vibration. Again, according to ANOVA, there was no statistical differences between samples MC5 and MC7, which presented values of 7.29 and 7.13 GPa, respectively, while the MC10 and control samples showed statistically difference from other compositions, exhibiting lower values of 6.61 and 4.01 GPa, respectively. The measured elastic modulus of the control sample is close to that found in the literature. On particle-reinforced composites, the dispersed phase restrains the movement of the matrix. This way, the reduction in the elastic modulus observed with the increase of polymer concentration is according to the expected and found in the literature.

3.6. Magnetic measurements and Magnetocaloric effect

Magnetization as function of temperature ($M$ vs. $T$) of the Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder and the composite samples are displayed in Figure 6. Measurements confirmed the presence of first- and second-order transitions, which were not affected by the presence of polymer. The transition temperatures were not affected as well, remaining around 264 K for the first-order transition and 301 K for the second-order transition.

Figure 7 compares the isothermal entropy change (or MCE) as a function of the temperature for the Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder.
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Figure 6. $M$ vs. $T$ measurements of Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder, MC5, MC7 and MC10. The insets on the graphs are the derivatives of the curves.

Figure 7. Isothermal variation of entropy ($\Delta S_T$) at a magnetic field variation from 0 to 20 kOe for Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder, MC5, MC7 and MC10.

Figure 8. Effective PMMA mass fraction influence on the isothermal variation entropy [$\Delta S_T$/PMMA(g)] for various compositions.

and the composites, under a magnetic field variation of 2 T. The Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ powder presented a “peak” MCE of -8 J/kgK, which is close to the values reported in the literature$^{20,29-31}$, for the same field variation. All three composites presented virtually the same curve of $\Delta S_T$ vs. $T$, because, as presented in the TGA results, all the samples have almost the same PMMA concentration (15 – 18%). The “peak” was slightly lower than the as-cast compound, around -7 J/kgK. The small reduction on the MCE can be attributed to the presence of the polymer. However, the composites still present a satisfactory MCE.

Figure 8 shows the variation of isothermal entropy as a function of the effective polymer mass present in each prepared composite. The polymer masses used in this graph are measured by the TGA technique (Figure 2). As expected, the entropy variation is smaller the greater the amount of PMMA mass mixed with the metallic powder. Even so, when comparing the maximum values (around $T = 275$ K) of this variation of relative entropy for the samples with MC5 and MC10, it is observed that the decrease in the intensity of the effect is relatively small (about 20%), whereas one has twice the amount of polymer by mass as the other.

4. Conclusion

The composite studied uses PMMA as matrix and the magnetocaloric material Gd$_{5.09}$Ge$_{2.03}$Si$_{1.88}$ as particle reinforcement. TGA analysis showed that the manufactured samples had between 15% and 18% of polymer, different from the proposed, indicating no interpretations based on concentration. However, SEM images showed good homogeneity of the composites, especially for MC10, which presented the better distribution of the powder in the PMMA matrix.

In terms of mechanical properties, the analysis of elastic modulus and Vickers hardness, MC5, MC7 and MC10 presented, respectively, $7.29 \pm 0.09$ GPa and $16.66 \pm 0.73$ HV, and
7.13 ± 0.02 GPa and 16.59 ± 0.72 HV, and 6.61 ± 0.08 GPa and 22.10 ± 1.29 HV. The higher hardness values observed for MC10 can be attributed to the lower presence of void spaces. Finally, the $\Delta S$, calculated for the composites showed a small reduction of the MCE in comparison with the as-cast powder, without variation on the temperature transition of the magnetocaloric material. The results indicate that the PMMA based composite of this work is a promising route for first-order magnetocaloric materials to be applied as active magnetic regenerator, where mechanical stability and high MCE are fundamental properties towards the development of highly efficient magnetocaloric heat pumps.
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