The Increase of Fracture Toughness with Solution Annealing Temperature in 18Ni Maraging 300 Steel
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Maraging steels are a class of ultra high-strength steels of special importance due to their extremely high mechanical strength and good toughness. In this work, the effects of the solution annealing temperature on the mechanical properties of the maraging 300 steels were evaluated, in order to maximize the toughness without considerable detriment of the mechanical strength. Five solution annealing temperatures were evaluated. The characterization of the mechanical properties was done by tests of Rockwell C hardness, Charpy-V impact toughness, and tensile and fracture toughness in plane strain. The results obtained show that the fracture toughness increases, and the tensile strength decreases with the temperature of the solution annealing. In this way it was possible to find a heat treatment condition in which it was possible to raise about 20% of the toughness with a loss of only 6% in the tensile strength.
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1. Introduction

There are some high technology applications, such as aircraft, rockets, and also a high-speed rotator as an ultracentrifuge for the enrichment of uranium that require optimized alloys, keeping high mechanical strength and fracture toughness at the same time. Maraging steels are a class of ultra-high-strength alloys, essentially Fe-Ni-Mo-Co and low carbon. They exhibit BCC structure, low hardness martensite after solution annealing, and high strength after aging. Solution annealing at 820 °C for 1 h, followed by aging at 480 °C for 3 h is the heat treatment generally applied to achieve the higher mechanical strength of the Maraging steels.

Forging, rolling, flow-forming, and drawing are some of the fabrication processes used to manufacture components of Maraging. The lack of carbon leads to good weldability and therefore makes these materials preferred candidates for additive manufacturing techniques, such as selective laser melting.

Despite the low carbon content (<0.03wt%), the high nickel (18 wt% Ni) in maraging steels ensures that martensite forms at any cooling speed after solution annealing. The low carbon bcc martensitic matrix is hardened during aging. Fine-scale intermetallic compounds, such as the Ni₃(Ti, Mo), precipitates in the matrix. Other phases, like the Fe₇Mo₆μ-phase, were also reported in Maraging steels after a long period of aging. The presence of TiC precipitates, despite the low carbon content, was observed in works published in the literature. This presence is probably due to the non-dissolution of these carbides during the solution annealing process. When increasing the temperature of the solution annealing, the austenite grain size grows slowly up to 950 °C, once the delay of the particle dissolution arrests the transposition of the grain boundaries of the particles. However, solution annealing at temperatures higher than 1000 °C promotes increased mobility of grain boundaries, which leads to austenite grain growth, and may also affect the alloy’s toughness adversely. Furthermore, block-type martensite evolves to lath-type as the temperature of the solution annealing increases. During the aging, dislocations in the lath boundaries will be the preferential orientation and nucleation sites for precipitates. Therefore, the competition between the prior austenite grain size and the martensite morphology may affect the mechanical behaviour of Maraging steels.

This study aims to evaluate the effect of the temperature of the solution annealing of hot rolled samples of Maraging steel on austenite grain size and the martensite morphology, and its consequences for a compromise between fracture toughness and tensile strength. The determination of the critical stress intensity factor (KIC) evaluated the fracture toughness. KIC is a property that is a measure of a material’s resistance to brittle fracture when a crack is present.
2. Materials and Methods

A Maraging steel bar manufactured by Vacuum Induction Melting/Vacuum Arc Refining, hot forged, and solution annealed at 860 °C was sliced and hot-rolled, producing 13 mm thick strips. Table 1 presents the chemical composition.

The strips were solution annealed in an oven for one hour in temperatures of 820, 860, 1000, 1050, and 1100 °C. Samples were cooled in water to avoid carbide formation. The aging process was the same for all solution annealed samples i.e., 480°C for 3 h. They were measured with 0.02 mm resolution pachymeter (Mitutoyo ref. 530-119). The roughness of the samples was measured (Mitutoyo model SJ-210). The curvature radius and notches of the tensile, impact and fracture toughness samples were measured in a Hexagon, Optiv Classic 3020 vm. The tests were performed at ambient temperature. The samples were tested in triplicate. At least three images of each sample were used for an image analysis of the austenite grain sizes, martensite morphologies and fractographies. Analysis of variance (One way ANOVA) was performed to determine the statistical differences between results with p ≤ 0.05.

Thermodynamic simulation (ThermoCalc®, TCF66 database, CALPHAD protocol) allowed calculating the thermodynamic equilibrium for the most stable phases of the system as a function of temperature on a zoom of stable phases as a function of temperature on a given alloy, with a certain accuracy. Fracture surface analysis (Zeiss Stemi 2000C) and image analyzer (Image J®), to verify the pre-crack length and the other requirements given by the standards applied in this test. The data were analyzed with the one-way-ANOVA test (Statistica® - Informer Technologies, Inc.) to compare the mean effect of the tensile properties, hardness, Charpy impact energy and fracture toughness. After that, Fisher’s F test was used to verify the representativeness and validate the results. The results were presented in the form of arithmetic media and standard deviation (SD). The significance level of the ANOVA test was located when p ≤ 0.05.

Table 1. Chemical composition of the 18Ni class 300 Maraging steel (wt%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

Phase simulations are useful to predict the phases of a given alloy, with a certain accuracy. Figure 1 shows a zoom of stable phases as a function of temperature on a Thermocalc simulation for Maraging 300. The vertical line is the end of transformation of martensite in austenite.

Figure 1. The simulation indicates that undissolved TiC persist above 1100 °C in the solution annealed Maraging 300 steel.
After 670°C, the only phases present are austenite and TiC. TiC second phase particles are stable at temperatures up to approximately 1150°C. Carbides occur preferentially in the austenite grain boundaries, anchoring the boundary and hindering grain growth. The XRD analysis detected only martensite (Figure 2). The TiC volumetric fraction predicted by the phase simulation was 0.001 too low to be detected by XRD analysis. The volumetric fraction predicted for austenite was 0.999.

The austenite grain grows sharply from 1000°C (Figure 3). The austenite grain size ranges from 6.4 to 46.0 μm between the temperatures of 820 and 1050°C, but it jumps to 111.8 μm at 1100°C, in agreement with the historical studies of Rack and Maxwell et al. The second phases anchor the austenite boundaries, thus hindering the growth of the austenite grains. It seems that the solution annealing above 1000 °C some carbides disperse into austenite grains, releasing the boundary mobility.

The martensitic hierarchical microstructure is composed of several plates, which grow together with each austenite grain (Figure 4). The martensite plates are more equi-axial up to 860 °C, when they become martensitic blocks, either of the same orientation or blocks separated by high boundary angles, from solution annealing at 1000 °C (Figure 5). The increase in temperature promotes the formation of acicular lathes along the martensite blocks. The lath martensite with high density of dislocation tangles has the same habit plane as the martensitic blocks. Lath martensite is evident in the sample solution annealed at 1100 °C (Figure 6).

![Figure 2. XRD of the Maraging 300 solution annealed at different temperatures.](image)

![Figure 3. Prior austenite grain size as a function of temperature of solution annealing: 820°C (a); 860°C (b); 1000°C (c); 1050°C (d); 1100°C (e). The graph (f) correlates the grain size and the temperature of the solution annealing.](image)
The width of the martensite blocks was measured through images obtained by EBSD analysis (Figure 7). The blocks increase, while lath martensite decreases with the temperature of the solution annealing. This aspect of the martensite transformation agrees with the findings of several studies of other special alloys\textsuperscript{28-34}. An increase of the martensite metastable phase nucleation rate during cooling promotes a decrease in the width of the lath, due to the increased volume of the grains and the greater chemical homogenization of the austenitic grain. This may be important for the mechanical performance of the alloy, especially with respect to its plane strain fracture toughness.

Table 2 gives the mechanical properties as a function of the temperature of the solution annealing. The hardness does not change significantly with an increase in the temperature, except for the samples solution annealed at 1100 °C, where the significant decrease of the hardness can be attributed to the remarkable growth of the austenite grain (Figure 8).

The energy absorbed during the impact tests reaches a statistically significant peak in samples solution annealed at 1000 °C, delimiting the transition that occurs in the impact fracture micro-mechanisms (Figure 9). Even though the TiC volumetric fraction predicted by the phase simulation was too low to be detected by XRD analysis, the fractographic
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Table 2. Mechanical properties of the Maraging 300 steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Yield strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
<th>Necking (%)</th>
<th>Strain hardening coeff.</th>
<th>Charpy (J/cm²)</th>
<th>Hardness (HRC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>2067 ± 31.6</td>
<td>2052 ± 28.8</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.07</td>
<td>13.1 ± 2.92</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.06</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860</td>
<td>1994 ± 75.3</td>
<td>1981 ± 73.75</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.60</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.20</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.05</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1928 ± 65.9</td>
<td>1912 ± 62.4</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.92</td>
<td>17.5 ± 2.50</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03</td>
<td>22.67</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1831 ± 81.8</td>
<td>1808 ± 42.6</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.79</td>
<td>17.8 ± 1.27</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1802 ± 86.0</td>
<td>1776 ± 89.7</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.44</td>
<td>14.7 ± 1.92</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.02</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Blocks of martensite solution annealed at 820 °C (a); 1000 °C (b); 1100 °C (c); Relation between the prior austenite grain size and the martensite blocks (d).

Figure 8. Hardness (HRC) as a function of the temperature of the solution annealing.
mechanism at higher strain rates, which explains the energy decrease for higher temperatures.

Both tensile and yield strength decrease linearly with increasing solution annealing temperature and austenite grain size (Figure 10), which agrees with previous studies\(^{23,35}\). The ductility and strain hardening coefficient, on the other hand, remained statistically constant as a function of temperature\(^{36,37}\). Dimples were the only micro-mechanism found on the tensile fracture surfaces, and their size increases with the temperature of the solution annealing.

The fracture toughness KIC increases with temperature (Figure 11). The austenite grain growth enhances the

---

**Figure 9.** Network of shallow dimples (black arrow) delineating dimples and quasi-cleavage sites (white arrow) in solution at 860°C (a); homogeneously distributed dimples in solution at 1000°C (b); dimples mixed with cleavage facets (white arrow) in solution at 1050°C (c). Energy absorbed in the impact tests as a function of temperature of the solution annealing (d).

**Figure 10.** Fractographies of tensile samples showing dimples in solutions at: 860 °C (a); 1000 °C (b); 1050 °C (c); Tensile properties as a function of the temperature of the solution annealing (d).
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The formation of lath martensite, and consequently gives an advantage to the fracture toughness. The network of fine and shallow dimples occurring due to the undissolved particles at lower temperatures, between 820 °C and 860 °C, such as seen in the impact test fractographies, is repeated here, but now it delineates larger dimples instead of quasi-cleavage. Homogeneously distributed dimples occurring for the samples solution annealed at 1000 °C indicate that the particles have been dissolved. Increasing the temperature to 1050 and 1100 °C expands the dimples, providing higher toughness. Here, the difference for the Charpy test is that for samples solution annealed above 1000 °C there was no cleavage, so the fracture toughness increased.

The low strain rate applied during the tensile and fracture toughness tests allows a sequence of displacements of the lath martensite to the preferential strain systems, which requires some increase of energy consumption. The high strain rate of the impact tests, on the other hand, does not allow the displacement of the lath. This is the reason for the increase in fracture toughness while the impact energy decreases with the evolution of lath martensite. The compromise between fracture toughness and tensile strength is optimal at a temperature of 1000 °C (Figure 12). Solution annealing at 1000 °C and aging at 480 °C is the heat treatment that maximizes fracture toughness with the lowest loss of tensile strength for the studied Maraging 300 alloy, producing a 21% increase in plane strain fracture toughness with a decrease of only 6.83% in the yield strength and 6.77% in the tensile strength, with respect to the commercial heat treatment.

4. Conclusions

The present study aimed to analyse the effect of the temperature of the solution annealing on the prior austenite grain size and the martensite morphology of Maraging 300 steel, and its consequences for the best compromise between fracture toughness and tensile strength. The findings allow the following conclusions:

- Primary austenite grain grows sharply after 1000 °C, when the martensite morphology changes from equiaxial martensite plates in fine prior austenite grains to martensite blocks and lath martensite in coarsened austenite.

Figure 11. Dimples of heterogeneous sizes in solution at 820 °C (a); homogeneously distributed dimples in solution at 1000 °C (b); larger dimples in solution at 1050 °C (c). Fracture toughness as a function of the temperature of the solution annealing (d).

Figure 12. Relation between fracture toughness (\(K_I\)) and tensile strength of the Maraging steel solution annealed at 1000 °C and aged at 480 °C.
- The microstructural transformation drives the impact energy, which reaches its peak at 1000 °C, and drops from then on because of the cleavage mixed with dimple fracture micro-mechanisms. Cleavage is favoured in the fracture preferential systems of the lath martensite subjected to higher strain rates;
- The fracture toughness increases, and the tensile strength decreases with the temperature of the solution annealing as the lath martensite in austenitic coarse grains favours the formation of dimples at lower strain rates;
- Solution annealing at 1000 °C and aging at 480 °C is the heat treatment that maximizes fracture toughness with the lowest tensile strength loss for the studied Maraging 300 alloy, producing a 21% increase in plane strain fracture toughness with a decrease of only 6.83% in the yield strength and 6.77% in the tensile strength, with respect to the commercial heat treatment.
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