INTRODUCTION

Xylitol is a polyol that has attracted the attention of researchers due to its unique properties that allow its use in several industrial sectors. Besides presenting anticariogenic properties, xylitol is used as a low-calorie sweetener and can be used by diabetics because it does not pass through the cycle of insulin in the human body. This molecule is widely distributed in nature but its low concentration in plants makes its extraction uneconomical. A commercial xylitol production process occurs by chemical catalysis of xylitol from plant biomass. The solution of xylitol is hydrogenated using nickel as a catalyst and xylitol is recovered by chromatographic fractionation using an ion exchange resin. This is a costly process due to the need for successive purification steps of the initial solution of xylitol and also the final xylitol product. Thus, the microbial conversion of xylitol to xylitol is a good alternative to the conventional commercial method.

This production can be achieved without the need for the initial purification of D-xylose. However, in order to make the process economically viable at the industrial scale, the conversion must provide a high yield and rate of production and the environmental conditions must be adjusted to the yeast’s metabolic needs. In submerged fermentation, agitation is important for several reasons: to obtain a good homogenization preventing settling of the cells; to provide greater surface contact with the medium; and to ensure the transfer of oxygen from the gas phase (air) into the liquid phase (medium) where it will be consumed by microorganisms in respiration and other biochemical reactions.

In fermentation kinetics without aeration, oxygen is transferred from the space above the liquid by agitation that continuously breaks the liquid surface and increase the oxygen transfer. Without agitation, the access to oxygen by microorganisms is limited. It is important to remember that several types of reactions require oxygen as a reagent, such as sterol biosynthesis on yeast membranes. The production of lipids does not occur in the absence of oxygen, which affects the strength and stability of the plasma membrane. Moreover, the production of xylitol from yeast pentoses is closely related to the amount of oxygen present in the medium.

Xylitol can be produced in fermentation processes from xylose as long as there is a microorganism capable of fermenting this carbon source. However, only a few yeasts are described in the literature as fermenting xylose, and producing xylitol, such as: Debaryomyces Hansenii, Candida parapsilosis, Pichia capsulata, Pachysolen tannophilus, D. hansenii, C. guilliermondii, Candida tenuis. Among these, the high performance yielded by the strain Candida guilliermondii, one of the most studied yeasts for the production of xylitol which can achieve a yield (Y p/s) up to 0.90 g g⁻¹, with productivity (Qp) of 0.50 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹. Figure 1 illustrates carbon metabolism from xylose to ethanol by yeast.

Until now, the xylitol metabolism by the yeast Starmerella meliponinorum FRP.09 is not known. This strain was previously isolated and selected because of its ethanol and xylitol production capacity, but in order to evaluate its performance in xylose metabolism the culture conditions need to be established. To this end, the effects of agitation and aeration on the production of xylitol from xylose in a semisynthetic medium were evaluated. Agitation at 150 and 200 rpm without forced aeration was evaluated and compared to fermentations in static and agitation systems (100, 150 and 200 rpm) with oxygen flows from compressed air (0.1, 0.25 and 0.5 vvm).

EXPERIMENTAL

Microorganisms

S. meliponinorum FRP.09 was obtained from the Culture Collection of the Laboratory of Biochemistry and Applied Microbiology, São Paulo State University, Brazil. The yeast had previously been isolated from flowers collected in urban areas in São José do Rio Preto, SP, and it was selected for its xylose fermentation capacity.

Culture medium for yeast growth

YPED medium: 2% peptone, 1% yeast extract, 2% dextrose,
pH adjusted to 4.0. This medium was used for growing yeast *S. meliponinorum* FRP.09.

**Culture medium for fermentation**

Semi-synthetic medium (g L⁻¹): 0.5 of MgSO₄; 1 of KH₂PO₄; 0.1 of NaCl; 0.1 of CaCl₂; 2.0 of (NH₄)₂SO₄; 10 of yeast extract and trace elements. Compounds in mg L⁻¹: 0.5 of H₃BO₃; 0.04 of CuSO₄; 0.1 of FeCl₃; 0.4 of Na₂MoO₄; 0.2 of ZnSO₄; 0.4 of MnSO₄; adjusted to pH 4. Xylose were included, separately, in the basic formulation, as the carbon source, at a concentration of 60 g L⁻¹.

**Inoculum standardization for fermentation flask and bioreactor**

The standardization was done using the number of viable cells per milliliter in a Neubauer counting chamber using methylene blue and sodium citrate dye. The concentration of inoculum was established at approximately 1.0 x 10⁸ cells mL⁻¹.

**The influence of agitation and aeration in xylose consumption kinetics and production of xylitol/ethanol by *S. meliponinorum* FRP.09**

To assess the effects of agitation on xylose consumption kinetics, growth, cell viability and production of xylitol/ethanol by *S. meliponinorum* FRP.09, the yeasts were pre-cultivated in a YEPD culture in 125 mL Erlenmeyer flasks, at 28 °C (the temperature and pH were previously established as optimal for this strain – data not shown) and agitation of 150 rpm for 24 to 48 hours. Aliquots were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 15 minutes. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was used as inoculum to give a final cell concentration of 1.0 x 10⁹ cell mL⁻¹.

**Fermentation in flasks (13.7% culture volume)**

Erlenmeyer flasks of 125 mL were sealed with fermentation valve. Sodium metabisulfite solution at 1 mg mL⁻¹ was added to allow the release of CO₂ and prevent the entrance of O₂. The flasks containing 15 mL of the semi-synthetic medium described above, with initial pH 4.0, were inoculated with sufficient pre-culture supernatant to give a final cell concentration of 1.0 x 10⁹ cells mL⁻¹. The flasks were incubated without any agitation, and also at 150 and 200 rpm (no airflow). Samples were taken after 96 hours and the fermentation parameters evaluated were: xylose consumption; cell growth and viability, and also ethanol and xylitol production.

**Fermentation in a bioreactor**

The bioreactor (Cellingen 310 New Brunswick) has capacity for 4 liters and is equipped with temperature, agitation, aeration and pH controller. It was adjusted to 28 °C and pH 4.0.
medium oxygenation was controlled by agitation and airflow: 0.1 vvm/100 rpm, 0.2 vvm/150 rpm, 0.5 vvm/200 rpm.

The inoculum was previously prepared in 2 liter flask containing 1 liter of YEPD culture medium (2% xylose) from a 250 mL suspension of cells that had been pre-cultured for 24 hours. The culture was incubated at 28 °C for 48 hours at 200 rpm (the previously established ideal rotation). After this period, aliquots were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 15 minutes, the supernatant was discarded and the cells were resuspended in 200 mL of semisynthetic culture medium. This cell suspension was inoculated into the bioreactor fermentation vat containing 1.9 L of culture medium to reach a final volume of 2.1 L. The fermentation proceeded for 96 hours and aliquots were removed every 24 hours for evaluation of growth, cell viability, and ethanol and xylitol production.

**Cell biomass and viability**

The concentration of cell biomass was determined using a spectrophotometer at 600 nm from a calibration curve showing the optical density of the dry weight cell concentration in g L⁻¹. The determination cell viability was determined using the vital staining with methylene blue method described by Lee et al. A solution was prepared containing a sample and methylene blue (1:1). This solution was homogenized and after 10 minutes, the colorless cells were counted in a Neubauer counting chamber.

**Ethanol quantification**

The ethanol was quantified using a gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID Clarus 480). The analysis was carried out in an Elite-Wax capillary column (polyethylene glycol 0.25 mm x 30 m x 0.15 mm) with a split mode (1:20) using nitrogen as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. The injection and detection temperatures were the same at 250 °C. A volume of 6 mL of sample was packaged in penicillin tubes sealed with a rubber septum and kept at 40 °C for 10 minutes. 200 µL of the vapor phase was collected using a gas-tight syringe and injected into the chromatograph. Quantitation was determined using the calibration curve from 0.02 to 4% of aqueous ethanol.

**Quantification of xylose and xylitol**

The quantification of xylose and xylitol was carried out by High Performance Anion-Exchange Chromatography with Pulsed Amperometric Detection (HPAEC-PAD). All samples were centrifuged at 10,000 x g, filtered through a 0.22-micron Whatman membrane, diluted and placed into 5 mL vials. 10 µL was injected into the HPAEC-PAD system (Dionex ICS SP 5000) equipped with a CarboPac PA1 column. An isocratic run was performed with 500 mmol L⁻¹ of NaOH at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹ and 25 °C. The eluents were prepared using ultrapure deionized water (18 MΩ cm) and degasified using an ultrasonic bath. Data were collected and analyzed using Chromleon software, version 6.8 (Dionex ICS 5000 SP).

**Process efficiency**

**Ethanol and xylitol yield (YP/s):** The conversion factor, which expresses the mass of ethanol produced per unit mass of xylose consumed, in grams, was calculated by equation 1:

\[
\frac{YP}{s} = \frac{P}{\Delta s} = \frac{P}{Si - Sf}
\]

**Ethanol and xylitol volumetric productivity (QP):** The volumetric ethanol productivity was expressed as the amount of ethanol produced (g L⁻¹) per hour, and it was calculated according to the equation 2:

\[
QP = \frac{P}{T}
\]

**Conversion factor of xylose in cell mass (Yx/s):** This parameter expresses the cell mass (g, cel) produced by consumed xylose mass (g), and was calculated according to equation 3:

\[
\frac{Yx}{s} = \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta s} = \frac{Xf - Xi}{Si - Sf}
\]

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of variance was used to determine the significance of differences between conditions analyzed. The analysis was evaluated using Tukey’s test at the 5% level of significance. All statistical analyses were performed using the SISVAR software, UFLA. The graphics were obtained by OriginPro 8.5.1 software from the University of Oxford.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Erlenmeyer flask fermentation**

Among the evaluated conditions, it was observed that agitation at 200 rpm promoted cell growth of S. meliponinorum FRP.09 achieving 1.9 x 10⁸ cells in 96 hours, while in the static fermentation it showed no growth (Figure 2a). Absence or low concentration of oxygen dissolved in the medium can result in a stress of the microorganism contributing to a reduction in the cell viability. The static experiment also resulted in the settling of cells, making access to the nutrients difficult. Under agitation, the xylose consumption rate was much higher but there was a wider range of carbon source to produce ethanol and xylitol, reducing the growth yield. The yeast had significantly different xylose consumption rates in the...
three different sets of conditions evaluated, achieving the highest consumption rate of 67% at 200 rpm. There was no statistical difference in the xylitol and ethanol produced in the agitations at 150 and 200 rpm. None of the agitation levels significantly influenced the production of xylitol. The increased agitation contributed to a better consumption of xylose with a respective increase in biomass production (Figure 2 c, a).

The agitation at 150 rpm favored the xylitol yield (Y p/s), providing a fermentation efficiency (η) of 63% of the theoretical yield (Table 1). There was no statistical difference in volumetric productivity (Qp) of ethanol under the three conditions evaluated. The volumetric productivity (Qp) of xylitol (Table) was slower when the fermentation was conducted without agitation, which may primarily be due to the low number of viable cells (Figure 2b).

Kalhorinia et al.14 evaluated the production of ethanol by the yeast *Candida intermedia* MTCC 1404 in static conditions and agitation at 100-250 rpm finding the best ethanol yield (0.36 g g⁻¹) with agitation at 150 rpm at 96 hours. Cheng et al.15 evaluated ethanol and xylitol production by the yeast *Candida tropicalis* W103 in hydrolyzed acid corn cob under two sets of conditions: 100 rpm with 200 mL of medium in 500 mL flasks and 160 rpm with 100 mL of medium in flasks same volume. Under the first set of conditions, there was an output of 7 g L⁻¹ of xylitol and 25.3 g L⁻¹ of ethanol. It was found that increasing the speed and decreasing the volume of medium in the flask increased the production of xylitol (17.1 g L⁻¹) and decreased the ethanol (9 g L⁻¹).

### Fermentation in a bioreactor

In the experiments performed with *S. meliponinorum* FRP.09 in Erlenmeyer flasks, different values of the xylose consumption and cell growth were observed for different levels of agitation. So, the bioreactor experiments were performed in order to evaluate the xylose consumption, xylitol and ethanol production, cell growth and cell viability under controlled conditions of aeration of 0.1/100; 0.25/150; 0.5/200 vvm / rpm. The results for these parameters are shown in Figure 3. Figure 4 shows the results of xylitol yield (Y p/s) and growth (Y x/s), volumetric productivity (Qp) and conversion efficiency (η).

Different airflows and agitation influenced the xylose consumption rate and the amount of xylitol produced. The results indicate that the more oxygen available in the medium, the higher the consumption of xylose and production of xylitol by *S. meliponinorum* FRP.09. An increase of 98% in xylose consumption was observed when the airflow and speed was increased from 0.1 vvm/100 rpm to 0.25 vvm/150 rpm, and there was an increase of 123% in consumption when they were increased from 0.25 vvm/150 rpm to 0.5 vvm/200 rpm (Figure 3).

Oxygen is required for the transportation of some disaccharides16 and is also used in the production of sterols, unsaturated fatty acids and nicotinic acids, which are required for certain functions such as sugar transport through a membrane.17 Skoog and Hahn-Hägerdal18 in a study of the oxygen requirement in the transport of xylose into the cell, noted that the uptake rate under aerobic conditions was higher when compared to cultures in anaerobic conditions, indicating that oxygen induces or activates the transport system.

In the same way as the experiments in flasks, different levels of agitation in experiments using the bioreactor had no effect on the

### Figure 2.

a) Quantification of number of cells, b) cell viability; and c) consumption and production by *S. Meliponinorum* FRP.09 in static and agitated (150 and 200 rpm) flask fermentation Media of three repetitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Fermentation parameters of ethanol and xylitol by <em>S. meliponinorum</em> FRP.09 in static and agitated (150 and 200 rpm) flask fermentation: ethanol and xylitol yield; volumetric productivity of ethanol and xylitol; Fermentation efficiency of ethanol and xylitol (η)</th>
</tr>
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Influence of agitation and aeration on xylitol production by the yeast Starmerella meliponinorum

Cell viability of S. meliponinorum FRP.09 yeast, that maintained a viability between 97 and 99% after 96 hours.

The significant increase in xylose consumption, with the increase of air flow, resulted in a higher yield growth (0.2 g g⁻¹) in the lower flow (0.1 vvm/100 rpm), without significantly increasing the number of cells.

In the experiments in the bioreactor, the increased airflow and rotation increased the xylitol production with no ethanol formation by S. meliponinorum FRP.09 (Figure 4). This indicates that a smaller volume of air (0.1 vvm / 100 rpm) is sufficient to inhibit ethanol production by this strain. However, different organisms respond differently to oxygen. Ethanol production was assessed by Pichia

Figure 3. Metabolic responses to bioreactor fermentation for 96 hours with the yeast S. meliponinorum FRP.09 in culture medium with 70 g L⁻¹ xylose, pH 4.0, 28 °C, with an initial inoculum of 1.0 x 10⁸ cell mL⁻¹. (a) ● = xylose consumption 0.12 vvm, ▲ = xylose consumption 0.25 vvm, △ = xylose production 0.5 vvm, ○ = xylitol production 0.12 vvm, □ = xylitol production 0.25 vvm, △ = xylitol production 0.5 vvm; b) ● = number of cells 0.12 vvm, ▲ = number of cells 0.25 vvm, △ = number of cells 0.5 vvm, ○ = cell viability 0.12 vvm, □ = cell viability 0.25 vvm, △ = cell viability 0.5 vvm. Media of three repetitions

Figure 4. Xylitol yield (Y p/s) and growth (Y x/s), xylitol volumetric productivity (Qp) and conversion efficiency (η) in bioreactor fermentation using semisynthetic medium with a concentration of 70 g L⁻¹ xylose, for 96 hours, at a controlled temperature of 28 °C and a pH of 4.0.
stipitis\textsuperscript{19} in an agitated tank bioreactor using a semisynthetic medium containing xylose (90.0 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) as a carbon source. Experimental tests were conducted to evaluate the influence of aeration (0.25 to 0.75 vvm) and agitation (150 to 250 rpm) in ethanol production. The results showed that increased agitation and decreased aeration favored the production of ethanol, which was maximal (26.7 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) using 250 rpm and 0.25 vvm. Under these conditions, the ethanol yield and productivity, and process efficiency was 0.32 g g\textsuperscript{-1}, 0.32 g L\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1} and 63\%, respectively. Veras \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{1} making a comparative assessment of the four-native xylose-consuming yeasts showed that the \textit{S. stipitis} and \textit{S. passalidarum} strains presented the highest potential for ethanol production from xylose. Both yeast strains showed similar ethanol yields near theoretical under oxygen-limited condition. Besides, \textit{S. passalidarum} presented the highest xylose consumption and ethanol production under anaerobic conditions. The highest performing yeasts, i.e. with higher xylose consumption rate and higher concentration of ethanol, during fermentation anaerobic xylose showed higher ratio of NADH/NADPH XR activity. Authors also found higher values of xyritol (15.4 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) for the yeasts \textit{Candida tenuis} under conditions of limited oxygenation, while in aerobic conditions they observed a reduction (8.0 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) in the production values.

In this study, the yeast \textit{S. meliponinorum} FRP.09 achieved the best xylitol yield of 0.6 g g\textsuperscript{-1}, when the fermentation was conducted with an air flow/rotation speed of 0.5 vvm/200 rpm, resulting in a conversion efficiency of 63\% of the theoretical yield (Figure 4). The best volumetric productivity was also achieved under these conditions, reaching 0.24 g L\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}. The best results in performance, productivity and efficiency found in a bioreactor did not differ from the best results achieved in the experiments done in flasks at 150 rpm.

Depending on the availability of oxygen, xylose can be directed to the production of xylitol, biomass growth, or respiration. To obtain a high yield of xylitol, the flow of xylose to xylulose must be controlled depending on the availability of oxygen, xylose can be directed to the production of xylitol, biomass growth, or respiration. To obtain a high yield of xylitol, the flow of xylose to xylulose must be controlled by providing sufficient oxygen for the regeneration of NADPH, and maintenance of the cells. Low levels of oxygen favor the production of xylitol, decrease the NAD+/NADH ratio, favor the reaction catalyzed by xylitol dehydrogenase and also favor the accumulation of xylitol by changing the equilibrium constant.\textsuperscript{20} Complete aeration is also detrimental to the production of xylitol as proved in earlier studies.\textsuperscript{21}

Baz, Shetaia and Elkhoului\textsuperscript{20} evaluated the effects of aeration and rotation in the production of xylitol from D-xylose by the yeast \textit{Candida tropicalis} (AY 2007), using a semisynthetic medium. They observed that xylitol production (36 g L\textsuperscript{-1} in 59 h) was favored by oxygen-limited conditions, using an air flow of 0.3 vvm and rotation of 200 rpm. When the conditions were an air flow of 1.5 vvm and rotation of 500 rpm, the yeast production reached only 30.9 g L\textsuperscript{-1} of xylitol after 66 h, but the yield and conversion efficiency were the same (Y p/s = 0.70 g l\textsuperscript{-1} and η = 77\%) for both sets of conditions.

\textbf{CONCLUSIONS}

From the results, it can be concluded that the \textit{S. meliponinorum} FRP.09 shows potential for the production of xylitol, reaching a yield of 0.6 g of xylitol per g of xylose consumed. This yield results in a conversion efficiency of 63\% of the theoretical yield. This strain has its viability reduced in anaerobic conditions and stops the production of ethanol while increasing the production of xylitol when the concentration of oxygen and agitation are increased.
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