STOP-Bang and NoSAS questionnaires as a screening tool for OSA: which one is the best choice?
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SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION: Currently there has been significant growth in the number of patients with suspected obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) referred to sleep clinics. In this sense, screening and stratification methods of the severity of this pathology have become increasingly relevant.

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the performance of the NoSAS and STOP-Bang scores in the screening of OSA in a sleep clinic.

METHODS: Prospectively, for 12 months, all patients referred by primary care physicians to our sleep unit for clinical evaluation and who underwent in-lab polysomnography (PSG), also completed the NoSAS score (Neck circumference, Obesity, Snoring, Age, Sex) and STOP-Bang (Snoring, Tiredness, Observed apnea, Pressure [high blood], BMI, Age, Neck circumference, Gender). A ROC (receiver operating characteristic) analysis was used to find the scores that simultaneously maximize sensitivity and specificity for each diagnosis.

RESULTS: Of the 294 individuals included, 84% had OSA, of which 28.8% were mild, 34.8% moderate, and 36.4% were severe.

USING THE NOSAS SCORE FOR PREDICTING OSA, MODERATE TO SEVERE OSA, AND SEVERE OSA, THE ROC AREA WAS: 0.770 (95% CI: 0.703-0.837), p<0.001, sensitivity of 57.5%, and specificity of 83.0% for a score of 12; 0.746 (95% CI: 0.691-0.802), p<0.001, sensitivity of 68.2% and specificity of 75.4% for a score of 13; 0.686 (95% CI: 0.622-0.749), p<0.001, sensitivity of 71.1% and specificity of 58.3% for a score of 13, respectively.

USING THE STOP-BANG SCORE FOR PREDICTING OSA, MODERATE TO SEVERE OSA, AND SEVERE OSA, THE ROC AREA WAS: 0.862 (95% CI: 0.808-0.916), p<0.001, sensitivity of 68.4% and specificity of 85.1% for a score of 5; 0.813 (95% CI: 0.756-0.861), p<0.001, sensitivity of 77.3% and specificity of 66.1% for a score of 5; 0.787 (95% CI: 0.732-0.841), p<0.001, sensitivity of 70.0% and specificity of 79.9% for a score of 6, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: The ROC area was consistently high for both scores confirming the diagnostic ability of the NoSAS and STOP-Bang questionnaires for all OSA severities. Thus, our results suggest that these questionnaires may be a powerful tool for the screening and stratification of patients in the diagnosis of OSA. Overall, the diagnostic ability of the STOP-Bang was higher than the NoSAS.
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INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSA) is characterized by obstruction of the upper respiratory tract leading to increased respiratory effort with inadequate ventilation. These episodes are essentially accompanied by desaturation, increased activity of the sympathetic nervous system with frequent arousals. It can lead to chronic intermittent hypoxia, hypercapnia, and sleep fragmentation. There is an independent association of OSA with increased morbidity and mortality due to metabolic disorders, neurovascular and cardiovascular disease, and impaired neurocognitive function. Snoring and daytime sleepiness are the main complaints and observed apnea is the most specific symptom. The epidemiological statistics show that 23.4% of females and 49.7% of males are diagnosed with OSA.

Due to the growing number of patients suspected of having OSA being referred to sleep clinics, the screening methods have become increasingly important. The difficulty of diagnosis is related to the availability and accessibility of cardiorespiratory sleep studies. Consequently, a simple and reliable method for screening high-risk groups is needed.

The NoSAS Score test (Appendix A) is a simple and easily employed tool that assesses 5 parameters: Neck circumference, Obesity, Snoring, Age, and Sex and assigns 4 points for a neck circumference of more than 40 cm, 3 points for a body-mass index of 25 kg/m² to less than 30 kg/m², or 5 points for a body-mass index of 30 kg/m² or more, 2 points for snoring, 4 points for being older than 55 years, and 2 points for being male. The score ranges from 0 to 17 and the patient has a high probability of OSA if they score 8 or higher in the NoSAS. This allows the identification of individuals at risk for the disease and rules out others without risk, with a negative predictive value (NPV) of 90% and 98% in two different cohorts. The NoSAS score has been validated in Portugal by standard validation instruments.

The STOP-Bang questionnaire (Appendix B), is a scoring protocol containing eight Yes or No questions (score: 1/0, ranging from a value of 0 to 8), making it a theoretically simple screening method. A score of 3 or more revealed high sensitivity (83.6%) for detecting OSA in a surgical population, but also for identifying moderate and severe OSA (92.9% and 100%, respectively). The STOP-Bang questionnaire has been validated in Portugal by standard translation and validation instruments.

METHODS

Objective

The present study aimed to evaluate the performance of the NoSAS and STOP-Bang scores as screening tools for the diagnosis of OSA in a respiratory and sleep clinic.

Study design

A prospective study, 12 months in duration, beginning in January 2017. We consecutively enrolled all patients with a suspicious sleep disorder, referred by primary care physicians to our sleep unit for clinical evaluation, and who underwent in-lab polysomnography (PSG).

During the clinical consultation, every patient was asked if they accepted to participate in the study, regardless of the reasons for being referred, which included clinical suspicion of a specific sleep disorder or referral for isolated symptoms.

All patients included were required to complete the NoSAS and STOP-Bang questionnaires, with information concerning neck circumference, body mass index (BMI), snoring, age, gender, tiredness, observed apnea, and high blood pressure (blood pressure > 140/90 mmHg or treatment for hypertension).

There was no interference by the research staff in the interpretation or completion of the questionnaires. Patients previously diagnosed with OSA, additional diagnoses obtained throughout the sleep study (like obesity/hypoventilation syndrome, and central sleep apnea), all cases of technical error during data collection, and patients unable to read and/or write were excluded from the study.

Sleep studies, scoring, and diagnosis

At the specialized sleep center, all patients were submitted to a standard PSG, using the patient’s usual bedtime, overnight. A standardized setting made up of surface electrodes was used and included: electroencephalogram, electrooculogram, electrocardiogram, and submental and lower limb EMG. Besides this data, additional information was collected regarding thoracic and abdominal respiratory effort, snoring and body position sensors, pulse oximetry, and oronasal airflow (thermistor and pressure sensor). A certified PSG technician scored the PSG recordings under the supervision of a sleep physician who reviewed the final reports. The sleep physician and technician were both blinded to the study report (clinical information, NoSAS, and STOP-Bang scores).
STOP-Bang questionnaires). The scoring was made manually, following the recommendations of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine. Apnea was defined as a decrease of at least 90% of airflow from baseline, lasting 10 seconds or longer. Hypopneas was defined as a decrease of at least 30% of airflow from baseline, lasting 10 seconds, associated with either arousal or a ≥3% O2 saturation decrease. The mean number of apneas and hypopneas per hours of sleep (apnea-hypopnea index [AHI]) was calculated. Both diagnosis and severity of OSA were classified based on the AHI: >5-15/h - mild, >15-30/h - moderate, and >30/h – severe.

Additional diagnoses obtained throughout the sleep study were defined according to the International Classification of Sleep Disorders - Third Edition. However, our study was exclusively focused on OSA, so all other diagnoses were ignored or excluded.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using the IBM SPSS® statistical program, version 25 (the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences).

The categorical variables were described with frequencies and percentages and the quantitative variables with mean, median, standard deviation, maximum, and minimum. All associations between the presence of OSA and each of the possible risk factors were established using logistic regression, which estimated their odds ratios (ORs). The Wald test was considered significant when its p-value did not exceed 0.05.

To measure the diagnostic ability of the NoSAS and STOP-Bang scores for different AHI cut-offs, we determined the area under the ROC curve (AUC) and the value of the score where both sensitivity and specificity maximized.

RESULTS

Of the 294 patients, 70.7% were male, aged 53.5 ± 12.1 years, with a neck circumference of 41.0 ± 3.6 cm and a BMI of 30.8 ± 5.1 kg/m2. OSA was present in 84.0% of the patients, 28.8% with mild OSA, 34.8% moderate, and 36.4% severe. Descriptive summary statistics and comparison of OSA and non-OSA groups are displayed in Table 1.

Using the NoSAS score for predicting OSA, moderate to severe OSA, and severe OSA, the area under the ROC curve (AUC) was: 0.770 (95% CI: 0.703-0.837), p<0.001, sensitivity of 57.5% and specificity of 83.0% for a score of 12; 0.746 (95% CI: 0.691-0.802), p<0.001, sensitivity of 68.2% and specificity of 75.4% for a score of 13; 0.686 (95% CI: 0.622-0.749), p<0.001, sensitivity of 71.1% and specificity of 58.3% for a score of 13, respectively. Using the STOP-Bang score for predicting OSA, moderate to severe OSA, and severe OSA, the AUC was: 0.862 (95% CI: 0.808-0.916), p<0.001, sensitivity of 68.4% and specificity of 85.1% for a score of 5; 0.813 (95% CI: 0.756-0.861), p<0.001, sensitivity of 77.3% and specificity of 66.1% for a score of 5; 0.787 (95% CI: 0.732-0.841), p<0.001, sensitivity of 70.0% and specificity of 79.9% for a score of 6, respectively. Figure 1 illustrates these results.

DISCUSSION

Due to the high prevalence of undiagnosed OSA and its comorbidities, a quick and reliable screening tool is needed for a prompt prediction of OSA. Since they can be applied and scored easily, as part of routine daily practice, questionnaires can be appropriate tools for that purpose. Moreover, analysis of the questionnaire performance in specific populations can provide clinicians with a set of predictive parameters for different levels of OSA severity, which can
be used as a crucial guide for diagnostic and therapeutic decisions.

In our study, the present results show that both scores can add great value and be a very useful and powerful tool in primary care. Overall, the diagnostic ability of the STOP-Bang was higher than the NoSAS. This could be explained by the fact that the STOP-Bang (and not NoSAS) assesses important parameters, including excessive daytime sleepiness, observed apnea, and high blood pressure. Moreover, excessive daytime sleepiness evaluated by the Epworth’s Score was more prevalent in the OSA group, reflecting that the Epworth Score must be used as a complement.

Numerous questionnaires and other clinical screening tools for OSA have been analyzed previously and some papers are worth being mentioned. A study by Tan et al.¹² evaluated STOP-Bang and compared its performance to the NoSAS score and Berlin questionnaires. They concluded that the performance of all three questionnaires were similar to the AUC values clustered around 0.682–0.748¹². In regard to the validation of a Portuguese version of the STOP-Bang in primary care, by Rebelo-Marques et al.⁸, when using this model for the prediction of OSA, the diagnostic ability of the STOP-Bang was superior to the NoSAS score (the AUC was 0.847). According to Lye et al.¹³, the AUC of the NoSAS score (0.724) was higher than that of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) (0.544). They concluded that overall the NoSAS score performed better than the ESS⁹. A systematic review of screening questionnaires for OSA by Abrishami et al.¹⁴ concluded that the evidence regarding the accuracy of OSA questionnaires is associated with promising but inconsistent results. This inconsistency could be due to studies with heterogeneous designs (population, questionnaire type, and validity). Nevertheless, the STOP-Bang questionnaire is suggested due to its higher methodological quality, accurate results, and easy to use features.

Furthermore, our study has limitations that must be mentioned. The fact that our sample consisted mainly of obese men with an average age of over 50 years may have conditioned our results.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The ROC area was consistently high for both scores, confirming the diagnostic ability of the NoSAS and STOP-Bang questionnaires for all OSA severities. Thus, our results suggest that these questionnaires may be a powerful tool for the screening and stratification of patients in the diagnosis of OSA and can help primary care clinicians decide which patients to further investigate with a nocturnal recording. The Epworth Score must be used as a complement. Overall, the diagnostic ability of the STOP-Bang was higher than the NoSAS. Nevertheless, more studies are needed to evaluate the efficacy of these scores in younger populations, with a predominance of female and non-obese individuals, in cardiovascular disease or minimally symptomatic patients.

**APPENDIX A**

NoSAS score
1: Neck circumference >40 cm - 4 points
2: Obesity
   - BMI 25 kg/m² to <30 kg/m² - 3 points
   - BMI ≥30 kg/m² - 5 points
3: Snoring - 2 points
4: Age >55 years – 4 points
5: Sex: male – 2 points

**APPENDIX B**

STOP-Bang questionnaire
1: Do you SNORE loudly (louder than talking or loud enough to be heard through closed doors)?
2: Do you often feel TIRED, fatigued, or sleepy during daytime?
3: Has anyone OBSERVED you stop breathing during your sleep?
4: Do you have or are you being treated for high blood PRESSURE?
5: BMI more than 35kg/m2?
6: AGE over 50 years old?
7: NECK circumference > 16 inches (40cm)?
8: GENDER: Male?
ANNEXES

FIGURE 1. AREA UNDER THE ROC CURVE (AUC) FOR ALL OSA (AHI: >5/H), MODERATE TO SEVERE OSA (AHI: >15/H) AND SEVERE OSA (AHI: >30/H)

FIGURE 2. PLOT OF PREDICTED PROBABILITIES FOR DIFFERENT OSA SEVERITIES WITH THE CORRESPONDING NOSAS AND STOP-BANG SCORES.
TABLE 1. SUMMARY STATISTICS OF THE PATIENT POPULATION AND COMPARISON OF THE OSA AND NON-OSA GROUPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OSA OR - Yes/No (CI 95%)</th>
<th>OR - Yes/No (CI 95%), Logistic Regression</th>
<th>P *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>55.81±11.43</td>
<td>47.49±13.06</td>
<td>1.062 (1.032; 1.093)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (min, máx)</td>
<td>56 (20, 82)</td>
<td>47 (23, 77)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiredness (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>150 (60.7) 97 (39.3)</td>
<td>22 (46.8) 25 (53.2)</td>
<td>1.757 (0.938; 3.291)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>288 (76.9) 97 (23.1)</td>
<td>31 (64.9) 96 (35.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed apnea (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>179 (72.5) 68 (27.5)</td>
<td>16 (34.0) 31 (66.0)</td>
<td>5.100 (2.623; 9.915)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22 (46.8) 25 (53.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (high blood)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>150 (60.7) 97 (39.3)</td>
<td>14 (29.8) 33 (70.2)</td>
<td>3.645 (1.855; 7.161)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>288 (76.9) 97 (23.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth’s Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>10.97±5.35</td>
<td>9.15±5.19</td>
<td>1.067 (1.004; 1.135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (min, max)</td>
<td>11 (1, 24)</td>
<td>8 (2, 20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum saturation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>78.41±10.63</td>
<td>88.30±4.34</td>
<td>0.731 (0.657; 0.814)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (min, max)</td>
<td>81.0 (0.0, 92.0)</td>
<td>90.0 (74.0, 95.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circ. &gt; 40 cm (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>150 (60.7) 97 (39.3)</td>
<td>9 (19) 38 (80.9)</td>
<td>6.529 (3.023; 14.104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>288 (76.9) 97 (23.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&lt;25</td>
<td>14 (5.7) 102 (41.3)</td>
<td>13 (27.7) 21 (44.6)</td>
<td>1.450 (1.854; 10.973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI 25-30</td>
<td>131 (53.0)</td>
<td>13 (27.7) 21 (44.6)</td>
<td>9.357 (3.634; 24.096)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥ 30</td>
<td>102 (41.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snoring (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>241 (97.6) 6 (2.4)</td>
<td>42 (89.4) 5 (10.6)</td>
<td>4.782 (1.396; 16.380)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>288 (76.9) 97 (23.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>63 (25.5) 184 (74.5)</td>
<td>23 (48.9) 24 (51.1)</td>
<td>1.899 (1.477; 5.305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>288 (76.9) 97 (23.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Teste de Wald; Abbreviations: OR = odds ratio; CI = Confidence Interval; BMI: body mass index; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; NS: not significant.

RESUMO

INTRODUÇÃO: Na atualidade tem-se verificado um crescimento significativo no número de doentes com suspeita de apneia obstrutiva do sono (AOS) referenciados para consulta do sono. Nesse sentido, instrumentos de rastreamento e estratificação da gravidade dessa patologia têm se tornado cada vez mais relevantes.

OBJETIVO: Avaliar e comparar o desempenho da escala NoSAS e Stop-Bang para o rastreamento de AOS.

MÉTODOS: Estudo prospectivo durante 12 meses. Avaliados todos os doentes encaminhados aos cuidados de saúde primários do centro de medicina do sono que completaram o questionário NoSAS (Neck circumference, Obesity, Snoring, Age, Sex) e Stop-Bang (Snoring, Tiredness, Observed apnea, Pressure [high blood], BMI, Age, Neck circumference, Gender) e foram submetidos a polissonografia. Utilizou-se uma análise ROC (receiver operating characteristic) para encontrar as pontuações que maximizam simultaneamente a sensibilidade e especificidade para cada diagnóstico.

RESULTADOS: Incluídos 294 indivíduos, 84% apresentavam AOS, sendo que em 28,8% a OAS era ligeira, 34,8% moderada e 36,4% grave.

USANDO A ESCALA NOSAS PARA PREVISÃO DE AOS, AOS MODERADA A GRAVE E AOS GRAVE, A ÁREA ROC FOI: 0.770 (IC95%: 0.703-0.837), p<0,001, sensibilidade de 57,5% e especificidade de 83,0% para a pontuação 12; 0.746 (IC95%: 0.691-0.802), p<0,001, sensibilidade de 68,2% e especificidade de 75,4% para a pontuação 13; 0.686 (IC95%: 0.622-0.749), p<0,001, sensibilidade de 71,1% e especificidade de 58,3% para a pontuação 14, respectivamente.

USANDO A ESCALA STOP-BANG PARA A PREVISÃO DE AOS, AOS MODERADA A GRAVE E AOS GRAVE, A ÁREA ROC FOI: 0.862 (IC95%: 0.808-0.916), p<0,001, sensibilidade de 68,4% e especificidade de 85,1% para pontuação 5; 0.813 (IC95%: 0.756-0.861), p<0,001, sensibilidade de 77,3% e especificidade de 66,1% para a pontuação 5; 0.787 (IC95%: 0.732-0.841), p<0,001, sensibilidade de 70,0% e especificidade de 79,9% para a pontuação 6, respectivamente.
CONCLUSÕES: A área ROC foi consistentemente alta para as duas escalas, confirmando a capacidade diagnóstica dos questionários NoSAS e Stop-Bang para todos os graus de gravidade de AOS. Assim, os nossos resultados sugerem que esses questionários podem ser um importante instrumento para rastreio e estratificação de doentes no diagnóstico de AOS. Globalmente, a capacidade de diagnóstico do Stop-Bang foi superior à do NoSAS.
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