


Erectile dysfunction: drug treatment

Participants:

Giuliano Aita¹
 Carlos Teodósio da Ros¹
 Fernando Lorenzini¹
 Eduardo Berna Bertero¹
 Carlos Eurico Cairol¹
 Francisco Tustum³
 Wanderley Marques Bernardo²

Created on: March 23, 2015

1. Sociedade Brasileira de Urologia, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil
2. Coordenador do Programa Diretrizes da Associação Médica Brasileira, São Paulo, SP, Brasil
3. Programa Diretrizes da Associação Médica Brasileira, São Paulo, SP, Brasil

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1806-9282.65.9.1133>

The Guidelines Project, an initiative of the Brazilian Medical Association, aims to combine information from the medical field in order to standardize producers to assist the reasoning and decision-making of doctors.

The information provided through this project must be assessed and criticized by the physician responsible for the conduct that will be adopted, depending on the conditions and the clinical status of each patient.

Erectile dysfunction is the recurrent and persistent inability of having and/or maintain a sufficient penile erection for satisfactory sexual intercourse. It is considered a disease that impairs psychosocial health and quality of life.

By means of the PICO methodology, each clinical question was structured, using the following descriptors: (P) Patients with erectile dysfunction, (I) Injectable treatment associated with PDE5i, penile revascularization, use of a penile implant, (O) Adverse events/ International index of erectile function. We performed a systematic review of the literature for each clinical question, with no time restriction, in the MEDLINE database, using 59 papers to answer all the questions. The details about the methodology and the results are set out in Appendix I.

INTRODUCTION

Erectile dysfunction is the recurrent and persistent inability of having and/or maintaining a suf-

ficient penile erection for satisfactory sexual intercourse¹(D). It is a prevalent disease that compromises the psychosocial health and quality of life²⁻⁴(B).

Its causes are disorders of vascular, neurogenic, structural, hormonal, or psychogenic nature, or induced by drugs or by trauma⁵(D).

The assistant physician must identify and treat the reversible causes, such as the psychogenic, associated with hormone deficiencies and those arising from the use of drugs. In the absence of a response, the treatment should be discussed with the patient. The involvement of the partner is always interesting since it promotes dialog and improves the chances of success and satisfaction with the treatment. Often times, the treatment of the male problem may not be enough to restore a satisfying sex life for the couple. The choice of treatment or the option of non-intervention should be shared with the patient or, preferably, with the couple, taking into account individual aspects. Preference should be given, initially, to oral pharmacotherapy⁵(D).

RESULTS

1. What are the oral drugs most currently used for the treatment of erectile dysfunction?

The phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (PDE5i) now constitute the most widely used oral therapy and act by promoting the relaxation of the muscle cells of the cavernous tissue, a necessary condition for obtaining an erection⁶(A).

The most commonly used are:

- Sildenafil
- Tadalafil
- Vardenafil
- Lodenafil

2. What is the absolute contraindication for the use of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (PDE5i)?

The absolute contraindications of PDE5i are hypersensitivity to the components of the drug and concomitant use with nitrates⁷(A).

3. What is the average duration of action of the main PDE-5 inhibiting drugs?

- Onset of action⁸(D):
- Sildenafil: 30-60 min
- Tadalafil: 15-45 min
- Vardenafil: 15-30 min
- Lodenafil: 40 minutes

Duration of action:

- Sildenafil: 4-6h, up to 12h
- Tadalafil: 24-36h
- Vardenafil: 4-6h
- Lodenafil: 6h

4. Can PDE-5 inhibitors be used in patients who use drugs to control blood pressure or in users of alpha-blockers?

The use of PDE5i concomitantly with alpha-blockers or anti-hypertensive drugs can accentuate the hypotensive effect, without, however, contraindication of the simultaneous use of such drug classes⁹⁻¹³(A). A study¹³(A) demonstrated that the pressure variation after the use of anti-hypertensive medication with sildenafil was small, -3.6 mmHg in systolic pressure, while the placebo with anti-hypertensive had a variation of -0.8 mmHg.

5. What are the precautions that should be used for the employment of PDE-5 inhibitors in patients with liver failure, kidney failure, and in users of antiretroviral drugs?

Liver failure: In patients with liver cirrhosis (class A and B of Child-Pugh), the *clearance* of PDE5i is reduced, resulting in an increase in the drug's plasma

levels. The pharmacokinetics of sildenafil in patients with Child-Pugh class C liver failure was not studied¹⁴(B).

Kidney failure: In volunteers with severe kidney failure (creatinine clearance ≤ 30 mL/min), the PDE5i clearance is reduced, leading to an increase in the serum levels of the drug¹⁵(A).

The concomitant administration of PDE5i and ritonavir or saquinavir (antiretroviral drugs), which is also a potent inhibitor of the P450 cytochrome, results in an increase in the plasma concentration of PDE5i. Sildenafil does not have any effect on the pharmacokinetics of ritonavir¹⁵(A).

6. What are the possible causes when there is an inadequate response to the treatment of erectile dysfunction with PDE-5 inhibitors?

Comorbidities: Some comorbidities, such as diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, can induce endothelial dysfunction, which is a risk factor for erectile dysfunction¹⁶(B).

Inappropriate use: Use of suboptimal doses, use with a full stomach and sexual intercourse outside the time of action of the drug may contribute to the ineffectiveness of the medication¹⁷⁻¹⁹(B). A study¹⁷(B) demonstrated that of 100 consecutive patients nonresponders to PDE5i, 56 used the drug in a suboptimal way, of which 45 used a dose below the recommended.

Incorrect diagnosis: Hypogonadism, hyperprolactinemia, and disorders of sensitivity may be causes of erectile dysfunction²⁰⁻²²(B). Of the patients with hypogonadism and associated erectile dysfunction without an initial response to PDE5i, 72% will respond to treatment with testosterone replacement²²(B).

Lack of sexual stimulation: Without sexual stimulation, PDE5i is ineffective since this drug only acts upon stimulus²³(A).

Psychological disorders: Anxiety disorders or other psychological issues may interfere in sexual function²⁴(A).

7. Can the use of long-acting PDE-5 inhibitors be associated with short-acting PDE-5 inhibitors for the treatment of severe erectile dysfunction?

In patients with failed PDE5i monotherapy and severe erectile dysfunction, it is possible to try the joint use of short and long-acting PDE5i. There is no increase in the incidence of side effects with this combination²⁵(A).

8. Is there clinical evidence for the use of phytotherapies or vitamin supplements in the treatment of erectile dysfunction?

Some elements of traditional medicine can be employed in the treatment of erectile dysfunction but without scientific proof.

Yohimbine: a meta-analysis with clinical trials showed an improvement of erectile dysfunction, compared with placebo (odds ratio: 3.85; IC 95%: 6.67-2.22). Adverse reactions were infrequent and transient²⁶(A).

Red ginseng: a meta-analysis with randomized clinical trials showed an improvement of erectile dysfunction, compared with placebo (odds ratio of 2.40; IC 95%: 1.65-3.51). However, the assessment of the quality of the studies was low on average²⁷(A).

Tribulus Terrestris: a randomized, double-blind, clinical trial showed no effects on the international index of erectile function (IIEF-5)²⁸(A).

Ginkgo Biloba: shows improvement of erectile dysfunction, mainly for erectile dysfunction induced by antidepressants^{29,21}(A).

ERECTILE DYSFUNCTION: INJECTABLE TREATMENT

9. In which clinical situations are penile injections (intracavernous pharmacotherapy) indicated for the treatment of erectile dysfunction?

The use of intracavernous injections can be indicated in patients with failure or contraindications to PDE5i therapy or even if there is personal preference⁸(D). The success rate of intracavernous therapy is high. It is effective in getting an erection suitable for penetration in 60-90% of men with erectile dysfunction, depending on the agent used³⁰(D). It requires no nerve integrity and, therefore, may be an alternative for men with spinal cord injury or post-radical prostatectomy. Despite its invasive nature, previous studies showed that the level of satisfaction could be greater with intracavernous therapy when compared with the PDE5i in men who used both methods. Even though it is considered a second-line therapy, intracavernous pharmacotherapy remains essential as part of the diagnostic arsenal of the vascular causes of erectile dysfunction and can play an important role in rehabilitation after radical prostatectomy³¹(B).

10. What are the main local and/or systemic complications associated with penile injections?

The most frequent complications are local, while systemic complications are infrequent and generally mild³²⁻³⁵(C):

Local complications:

- bleeding/bruising at the injection site;
- penile pain;
- fibrosis of the corpus cavernosum;
- penile tortuosity;
- priapism.

Systemic complications:

- arterial hypotension.

11. Should the risks and benefits of the injectable treatment be discussed with the patient?

Yes. If the patient does not understand the procedure and its implications, there is a risk of treatment interruption³⁶(B).

12. Should an initial test of the injectable treatment be conducted at the clinic?

The test has little diagnostic value regarding the vascular status of the penis. If indicated, a Doppler study can offer further information³⁷(C). However, the practical instruction on the use of this therapeutic alternative in a clinic setting enables titration of dosage and may reduce the occurrence of complications related to the therapy.

13. That drugs, doses, or doses should be indicated to the injectable treatment?

Alprostadil (prostaglandin E1) can be used as monotherapy or in combination with other medications (phentolamine and papaverine)³⁸(B).

14. What is the rate of treatment abandonment for penile injections and its reasons?

The discontinuity occurs in approximately half of patients and, in more than 50% of these, it occurs in the first two months. The main causes of treatment abandonment are the desire for definitive treatment, low response (due to the progression of vascular disease), fear of needles, or complications^{36,39-41}(B).

15. What is the contraindication to the use of intracavernous pharmacotherapy?

The contraindications to intracavernous pharmacotherapy are predispositions to priapism, such as sickle cell anemia, hypersensitivity to agents, coagulopathies, and penile fibrosis⁸(D).

16. How often can/should the injectable treatment be carried out?

The injections may be repeated up to three times a week, with an interval of 24 hours between each injection⁴²(C).

17. Can the injectable treatment be carried out in association with the oral treatment for erectile dysfunction?

Yes. In patients who do not respond to the inject-

able treatment, a combination of injectable pharmacotherapy and PDE5i may be employed⁴³(A).

18. When should the injectable treatment be suspended? What is the alternative in its failure?

Penile fibrosis may suggest disease the onset of Peyronie's disease. In these cases, suspend the use of injectable therapy and consider the use of a penile implant⁸(D).

SURGICAL TREATMENT OF ERECTILE DYSFUNCTION

19. Is there currently any indication for coronary venous ligation for venous-occlusive dysfunction?

The venous ligation for the treatment of erectile dysfunction due to venous insufficiency is not an alternative for the treatment of erectile dysfunction because it presents very low long-term effectiveness (31% in 45 months) with a risk of complications such as hematoma, local pain, and temporary penile parasthesia⁴⁴(C).

20. What is the ideal candidate for the penile revascularization surgery?

Young patients without risk factors for erectile dysfunction, which have arterial deficit due to trauma⁴⁵(D).

21. What are the results obtained from penile revascularization surgery in the literature over the past 20 years?

Young men (under 30 years) have a higher success rate in the long term (*odds ratio*, 3.7; 95% *confidence interval*, 2.2 to 6.4; $P = .001$). The overall success rate in five years is around 64-67%⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸(A)⁴⁹(C).

22. What is the main complication of penile revascularization surgery?

Penile hypervascularization⁴⁹(C).

23. What are currently the main indications for penile implants?

Patients with failure to oral or injectable pharmacological therapy who opt for a definitive solution⁸(D).

24. What are the preoperative cares that must be adopted to prevent infection?

All care measures regarding the procedure aseptic technique must be adopted. Antibiotic pro-

phylaxis against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria should be used. The use of antibiotic-impregnated implants can also assist in the reduction of infectious complications⁵⁰⁻⁵³(A). A pre-operative routine with mandatory requirements for the penile implant can significantly reduce the rate of infection. Among important measures, are the requirement of negative preoperative urine culture; washing and genital brushing with chlorhexidine 2% two days before surgery; prophylaxis started one hour before the incision; attention to the levels of glycated hemoglobin in diabetic patients (see item 25); brushing of the hands of the surgical team for 5 minutes; genital sanitation for 10 minutes, preferably with chlorhexidine; use of topical antibiotics to irrigate the corpus cavernosum; surgical synthesis in multiple layers, giving preference to absorbable and monofilament threads; minimize the flow of people in the surgical room after incision until the bandaging⁵⁴(B).

25. Is there any glycated Hb threshold that constitutes a contraindication to penile implant in the diabetic population?

In a prospective study with multivariate analysis, the glycated hemoglobin value of 8.5% suggests a high risk of infectious complications in penile implants⁵⁵(A).

26. What are the pros and cons of a malleable implant (semi-rigid)?

Advantages: low risk of chronic pain, easy to use, surgical implantation technically easier than for inflatable implants⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹(C).

Disadvantages: the penis remains upright at all times. Its orientation can be modified depending on the need (to urinate, adjust clothes, sexual intercourse)⁶⁰(C).

27. What are the pros and cons of an inflatable implant (2 and 3 pieces)?

Advantages: they are softer than the semi-rigid ones, better cosmetic appearance (more "natural" look)⁶¹(B).

Disadvantages: possibility of malfunction, requiring surgical reintervention in some situations⁶¹(B).

28. What are currently the main complications of penile implants and their treatments?

Infection and mechanical failure. The treatment

generally demands the removal of the implant and, in the case of infectious complications, systemic antibiotic therapy^{51(B)}.

SYNTHESIS OF EVIDENCE

Erectile dysfunction is a prevalent condition with several etiologies. The treatment of patients with erectile dysfunction should focus initially on diagnosing reversible causes of erectile dysfunction. This includes a multidisciplinary approach. Cardiovascular risk factors should be investigated and properly treated. As a therapeutic option, the phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors are the most commonly used drug. In the failure of oral therapy, intracavernous injection with prostaglandin and/or papaverine and/or phentolamine can be used, although with high rates of discontinuity. As a definite alternative, a penile implant can be used.

Restorative therapies have aroused increasing interest in various areas of medicine, and erectile dysfunction is one of them. Among them, the use of low-intensity extracorporeal shock wave therapy (Li-ESWT), therapy with platelet-rich plasma, and the use of stem cells.

Studies in animals have shown that Li-ESWT improves the hemodynamic profile and mitigates the pathological changes related to diabetes in the penis. A few other studies in humans show improvement in erectile function and in response to inhibitors of the phosphodiesterase type 5 enzyme (PDE5i). Thus, it might represent an attractive and innovative alternative, if it is effectively able to interfere in the symptoms or in the natural history of erectile dysfunction. The mechanism of such an action still requires further investigation, but it is probably due to the improvement of endothelial dysfunction and damage caused to peripheral nerves. This technique promotes the formation of new blood vessels, which induce intracavernous neovascularization and the improvement of endothelial function⁶²⁻⁶⁴.

However, there are no results from multicenter, placebo-controlled studies with long follow-up to confirm this therapeutic alternative as truly effective and safe.

There is no scientific evidence that endorses the use of platelet-rich plasma or stem cells as an alternative therapy for men with erectile dysfunction^{65,66}.

APPENDIX I

The evidence used was retrieved by the following steps: elaboration of the clinical question, structuring of the question, search for evidence, presentation of results, and recommendations.

Clinical Questions

- What are the oral drugs most currently used for the treatment of erectile dysfunction?
- What is the absolute contraindication for the use of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (PDE5i)?
- What is the average duration of action of the main PDE-5 inhibiting drugs?
- Can PDE-5 inhibitors be used in patients who use drugs to control blood pressure or in users of alpha-blockers?
- What are the precautions that should be used for the employment of PDE-5 inhibitors in patients with liver failure, kidney failure, and in users of antiretroviral drugs?
- What are the possible causes when there is an inadequate response to the treatment of erectile dysfunction with PDE-5 inhibitors?
- Can the use of long-acting PDE-5 inhibitors be associated with short-acting PDE-5 inhibitors for the treatment of severe erectile dysfunction?
- Is there clinical evidence for the use of phytotherapies or vitamin supplements in the treatment of erectile dysfunction?
- In which clinical situations are penile injections (intracavernous pharmacotherapy) indicated for the treatment of erectile dysfunction?
- What are the main local and/or systemic complications associated with penile injections?
- Should the risks and benefits of the injectable treatment be discussed with the patient?
- Should an initial test of the injectable treatment be conducted at the clinic?
- That drugs, drug,s or doses should be indicated to the injectable treatment?
- What is the rate of treatment abandonment for penile injections and its reasons?
- What is the contraindication to the use of intracavernous pharmacotherapy?
- How often can/should the injectable treatment be carried out?
- Can the injectable treatment be carried out in association with the oral treatment for erectile dysfunction?

- When should the injectable treatment be suspended? What is the alternative in its failure?
- Is there currently any indication for coronary venous ligation for venous-occlusive dysfunction?
- What is the ideal candidate for the penile revascularization surgery?
- What are the results obtained from penile revascularization surgery in the literature over the past 20 years?
- What is the main complication of penile revascularization surgery?
- What are currently the main indications for penile implants?
- What are the preoperative cares that must be adopted to prevent infection?
- Is there any glycated Hb threshold that constitutes a contraindication to penile implant in the diabetic population?
- What are the pros and cons of a malleable implant (semi-rigid)?
- What are the pros and cons of an inflatable implant (2 and 3 pieces)?
- What are currently the main complications of penile implants and their treatments?

Structured clinical question

The PICO approach was structured according to the clinical question.

Below, the description of the specific structures.

PICO for Question 4:

P - Patients with erectile dysfunction
I - Use of PDE5i associated with anti-hypertensive drug
C - Does not apply
O - Adverse events

PICO for Question 5:

P - Patients with erectile dysfunction and liver failure/liver failure/use of antiretroviral drugs
I - Use of PDE5i
C - Does not apply
O - Adverse events/plasma concentration of PDE5i

PICO for Question 8:

P - Patients with erectile dysfunction
I - Udenafil, Mirodenafil Tadalafil, Vardenafil, Lodenafil, Avanafil
C - Sildenafil
O - Effectiveness of the treatment

PICO for Question 15:

P - Patients with erectile dysfunction
I - Penile injections
C - Does not apply
O - Therapy interruption

PICO for Question 18:

P - Patients with erectile dysfunction
I - Injectable treatment associated with PDE5i
C - Sildenafil
O - Adverse events/International index of erectile function

PICO for Question 22:

P - Patients with erectile dysfunction
I - Penile revascularization
C - Does not apply
O - Adverse events/International index of erectile function

PICO for Question 29:

P - Patients with erectile dysfunction
I - Penile implant
C - Does not apply
O - Adverse events

Search strategy

The scientific database searched was Medline via PubMed. A manual search was conducted on reviews in references (narrative or systematic) and on the selected papers.

Strategy described according to the clinical question:

Question 4: Date of last search: 22/03/2019

Search: (“erectile dysfunction”[MeSH Terms] OR (“erectile”[All Fields] AND “dysfunction”[All Fields]) OR “erectile dysfunction”[All Fields]) AND (“phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors”[Pharmacological Action] OR “phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors”[MeSH Terms] OR “phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors”[All Fields]) AND (“antihypertensive agents”[Pharmacological Action] OR “antihypertensive agents”[MeSH Terms] OR (“anti-hypertensive”[All Fields] AND “agents”[All Fields]) OR “antihypertensive agents”[All Fields]) AND (“hypertension”[MeSH Terms] OR “hypertension”[All Fields]) NOT (“hypertension, pulmonary”[MeSH Terms] OR (“hypertension”[All Fields] AND “pulmonary”[All Fields]) OR “pulmonary hypertension”[All Fields] OR (“hypertension”[All Fields] AND “pulmonary”[All Fields]) OR “hypertension, pulmonary”[All Fields])

Question 5: Date of last search: 22/03/2019

Search: (“phosphodiesterase inhibitors”[Pharmacological Action] OR “phosphodiesterase inhibitors”[MeSH Terms] OR (“phosphodiesterase”[All Fields] AND “inhibitors”[All Fields]) OR “phosphodiesterase inhibitors”[All Fields]) AND (“kidney diseases/metabolism”[Mesh Terms] OR “liver/metabolism”[Mesh Terms] OR “liver diseases/metabolism”[Mesh Terms] OR (“thiazoles”[MeSH Terms] OR “thiazoles”[All Fields]))

Question 8: Date of last search: 22/03/2019

Search: (“phosphodiesterase inhibitors”[Pharmacological Action] OR “phosphodiesterase inhibitors”[MeSH Terms] OR (“phosphodiesterase”[All Fields] AND “inhibitors”[All Fields]) OR “phosphodiesterase inhibitors”[All Fields]) AND (“treatment outcome”[MeSH Terms] OR (“treatment”[All Fields] AND “outcome”[All Fields]) OR “treatment outcome”[All Fields]) AND ((Clinical Trial[ptyp] OR Review[ptyp]) AND “humans”[MeSH Terms])

Question 15: Date of last search: 22/03/2019

Search: “erectile dysfunction”[MeSH Terms] OR (“erectile”[All Fields] AND “dysfunction”[All Fields]) OR “erectile dysfunction”[All Fields] OR (“dysfunction”[All Fields] AND “erectile”[All Fields]) OR “dysfunction, erectile”[All Fields] AND (“alprostadil”[MeSH Terms] OR “alprostadil”[All Fields]) AND (“treatment outcome”[MeSH Terms] OR (“treatment”[All Fields] AND “outcome”[All Fields]) OR “treatment outcome”[All Fields])

Question 18: Date of last search: 22/03/2019

Search: (“erectile dysfunction”[MeSH Terms] OR (“erectile”[All Fields] AND “dysfunction”[All Fields]) OR “erectile dysfunction”[All Fields] OR (“dysfunction”[All Fields] AND “erectile”[All Fields]) OR “dysfunction, erectile”[All Fields]) AND (“alprostadil”[MeSH Terms] OR “alprostadil”[All Fields]) AND (“phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors”[Pharmacological Action] OR “phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors”[MeSH Terms] OR “phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors”[All Fields])

Question 22: Date of last search: 22/03/2019

Search: (“treatment outcome”[MeSH Terms] OR (“treatment”[All Fields] AND “outcome”[All Fields]) OR “treatment outcome”[All Fields] OR (“treatment”[All Fields] AND “outcomes”[All Fields]) OR

“treatment outcomes”[All Fields]) AND “Vascular Surgical Procedures”[Mesh]) AND “Erectile Dysfunction/surgery”[Mesh] AND (“1999/01/01”[PDAT] : “2019/12/31”[PDAT])

Question 29: Date of last search: 22/03/2019

Search: (“erectile dysfunction”[MeSH Terms] OR (“erectile”[All Fields] AND “dysfunction”[All Fields]) OR “erectile dysfunction”[All Fields] OR (“dysfunction”[All Fields] AND “erectile”[All Fields]) OR “dysfunction, erectile”[All Fields]) AND (“prosthesis implantation”[MeSH Terms] OR (“prosthesis”[All Fields] AND “implantation”[All Fields]) OR “prosthesis implantation”[All Fields]) AND (“postoperative complications”[MeSH Terms] OR (“postoperative”[All Fields] AND “complications”[All Fields]) OR “postoperative complications”[All Fields] OR (“complications”[All Fields] AND “postoperative”[All Fields]) OR “complications, postoperative”[All Fields])

Eligibility criteria

The selection of the studies and the evaluation of the titles and abstracts obtained from the search strategy in the database consulted were independently and blindly conducted by two researchers with expertise in the development of systematic reviews, in total accordance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria established and described in the PICO. The studies with potential relevance were separated, according to the studies design.

We included in our evaluation systematic reviews with meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials, and before and after studies, considering the best evidence available to answer the clinical questions. Narrative reviews were considered for full reading with the purpose of retrieving references which may have had been during the initial search strategy.

We included studies available without restriction to the language.

Only studies with texts available in its entirety were considered for critical evaluation.

Results

Question 4 - 48 papers

Question 5 - 2,587 papers

Question 8 - 2,581 papers

Question 15 - 162 papers

Question 18 - 184 papers

Question 22 - 24 papers

Question 29 - 264 papers

The level of scientific evidence was classified by type of study, according to Oxford⁶⁷(Table 1).

TABLE 1. GRADES FOR RECOMMENDATION AND LEVELS OF EVIDENCE

A: Experimental or observational studies of higher consistency.
B: Experimental or observational studies of lower consistency.
C: Uncontrolled case/study reports.
D: Opinion deprived of critical evaluation, based on consensus, physiological studies or animal models.

The selected evidence was defined as a randomized controlled clinical trial (RCT) and submitted to an appropriate critical evaluation checklist (Table 2). The critical evaluation of RCTs allows to classify them according to the Jadad score⁶⁸, considering Jadad trials < three (3) as inconsistent (grade B) and those with score ≥ three (3), consistent (grade A), and according to the Grade⁷⁰ score (strong or moderate evidence).

When the evidence selected was defined as a comparative study (observational cohorts, or non-randomized clinical trial), it was subjected to an adequate critical assessment checklist (Table 3), allowing for the classification of the study according to the Newcastle Ottawa Scale⁶⁹, which considered consistent cohort studies with scores ≥ 6, and inconsistent < 6.

TABLE 2. PROCESS FOR CRITICAL EVALUATION OF RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

Study data Reference, study design, Jadad, level of evidence	Sample size calculation Estimated differences, power, significance level, the total number of patients
Patient selection Inclusion and exclusion criteria	Patients Recruited, randomized, prognostic differences
Randomization Description and blinded allocation	Patient follow-up Time, losses, migration
Treatment protocol Intervention, control, and blinding	Analysis Intention to treat, analyzed intervention and control
Outcomes considered Primary, secondary, measurement instrument for the outcome of interest	Results Benefits or harmful effects in absolute data, benefits or harmful effects on average

TABLE 3. PROCESS FOR CRITICAL EVALUATION OF COHORT STUDIES

Representativeness of the exposed and selection of the non-exposed (Max. 2 points)	Exposure definition (Max. 1 point)	Demonstration that the outcome of interest was not present at the beginning of the study (Max. 1 point)	Comparability on the basis of the design or the analysis (Max. 2 points)	Outcome assessment (Max. 1 point)	Adequate follow-up time (Max. 2 points)	Scores and level of evidence
--	------------------------------------	---	--	-----------------------------------	---	------------------------------

Method of extraction and result analysis

For results with available evidence, the population, intervention, outcomes, presence or absence of benefits and/or harmful effects, and controversy will be specifically defined whenever possible.

The results will be presented preferably in absolute data, absolute risk, the number needed to treat (NNT) or number needed to harm (NNH) and, eventually, in mean and standard deviation values (Table 4)

TABLE 4. SPREADSHEET USED FOR DESCRIBING AND PRESENTING THE RESULTS OF EACH STUDY

Evidence included
Study design
Selected population
Follow-up time
Outcomes considered
Expression of results: percentage, risk, odds, hazard ratio, mean

Application of evidence - Recommendation

The recommendations will be elaborated by the authors of the review, with the initial characteristic of synthesis of evidence, subject to validation by all authors who participated in creating the Guideline.

The global synthesis will be based on the evidence described. Its strength will be estimated (Oxford⁶⁷/Grade⁷⁰) as 1b and 1c (grade A) or strong, and as 2a, 2b and 2c (grade B) or moderate weak, or very weak.

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest related to this review that can be declared by any of the authors.

Final declaration

The Guidelines Project, an initiative of the Brazilian Medical Association in partnership with the Specialty Societies, aims to reconcile medical information in order to standardize approaches that can aid the physician's reasoning and decision-making process. The information contained in this project must be submitted to the evaluation and criticism of the physician, responsible for the conduct to be followed, given the reality and clinical condition of each patient.

REFERENCES

1. NIH Consensus Conference. Impotence. NIH Consensus Development Panel on Impotence. *JAMA*. 1993 Jul 7;270(1):83-90.
2. Feldman HA, Goldstein I, Hatzichristou DG, Krane RJ, McKinlay JB. Impotence and its medical and psychosocial correlates: results of the Massachusetts Male Aging Study. *J Urol*. 1994 Jan;151(1):54-61.
3. Fisher WA, Eardley I, McCabe M, Sand M. Erectile dysfunction (ED) is a shared sexual concern of couples I: couple conceptions of ED. *J Sex Med*. 2009 Oct;6(10):2746-60.
4. Salonia A, Castagna G, Saccà A, Ferreri M, Capitanio U, Castiglione F, Rocchini L, Briganti A, Rigatti P, Montorsi F. Is erectile dysfunction a reliable proxy of general male health status? The case for the International Index of Erectile Function-Erectile Function domain. *J Sex Med*. 2012 Oct;9(10):2708-15.
5. Gratzke C, Angulo J, Chitale K, Dai YT, Kim NN, Paick JS, Simonsen U, Uckert S, Wespes E, Andersson KE, Lue TF, Stief CG. Anatomy, physiology, and pathophysiology of erectile dysfunction. *J Sex Med*. 2010 Jan;7(1 Pt 2):445-75.
6. Chen L, Staubli SE, Schneider MP, Kessels AG, Ivic S, Bachmann LM, Kessler TM. Phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors for the treatment of erectile dysfunction: a trade-off network meta-analysis. *Eur Urol*. 2015 Oct;68(4):674-80.
7. Goldstein I, Lue TF, Padma-Nathan H, Rosen RC, Steers WD, Wicker PA. Oral sildenafil in the treatment of erectile dysfunction. Sildenafil Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1998 May 14;338(20):1397-404. Erratum in: *N Engl J Med* 1998 Jul 2;339(1):59.
8. Chen L, Staubli SE, Schneider MP, Kessels AG, Ivic S, Bachmann LM, Kessler TM. Phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors for the treatment of erectile dysfunction: a trade-off network meta-analysis. *Eur Urol*. 2015 Oct;68(4):674-80.
9. Giuliano F, Jackson G, Montorsi F, Martin-Morales A, Raillard P. Safety of sildenafil citrate: review of 67 double-blind placebo-controlled trials and the postmarketing safety database. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2010 Jan;64(2):240-55.
10. Keating GM, Scott LJ. Vardenafil: a review of its use in erectile dysfunction. *Drugs*. 2003;63(23):2673-703.
11. Chung E, Broc GB. A state of art review on vardenafil in men with erectile dysfunction and associated underlying diseases. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2011 Jun;12(8):1341-1348.
12. Sanford M. Vardenafil orodispersible tablet. *Drugs*. 2012 Jan 1;72(1):87-98.
13. Zusman RM, Prisant LM, Brown MJ. Effect of sildenafil citrate on blood pressure and heart rate in men with erectile dysfunction taking concomitant antihypertensive medication. Sildenafil Study Group. *J Hypertens*. 2000 Dec;18(12):1865-9.
14. Muirhead GJ, Wilner K, Colburn W, Haug-Pihale G, Rouviex B. The effects of age and renal and hepatic impairment on the pharmacokinetics of sildenafil. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2002;53 Suppl 1:21S-30S.
15. Muirhead GJ, Wulff MB, Fielding A, Kleinermans D, Buss N. Pharmacokinetic interactions between sildenafil and saquinavir/ritonavir. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2000 Aug;50(2):99-107.
16. Vlachopoulos C, Rokkas K, Ioakeimidis N, Aggeli C, Michaelides A, Rousakis G, Fassoulakis C, Askitis A, Stefanadis C. Prevalence of asymptomatic coronary artery disease in men with vasculogenic erectile dysfunction: a prospective angiographic study. *Eur Urol*. 2005 Dec;48(6):996-1002; discussion 1002-3.
17. Hatzichristou D, Moysidis K, Apostolidis A, Bekos A, Tzortzis V, Hatzimouratidis K, Ioannidis E. Sildenafil failures may be due to inadequate patient instructions and follow-up: a study on 100 non-responders. *Eur Urol*. 2005 Apr;47(4):518-22; discussion 522-3.
18. Hatzimouratidis K, Moysidis K, Bekos A, Tsimitsou Z, Ioannidis E, Hatzichristou D. Treatment strategy for "non-responders" to tadalafil and vardenafil: a real-life study. *Eur Urol*. 2006 Jul;50(1):126-32; discussion 132-3.
19. Rutchik SD, Baudiere M, Wade M, Sullivan G, Rayford W, Goodman J. Practice patterns in the diagnosis and treatment of erectile dysfunction among Family practice physicians. *Urology*. 2001 Jan;57(1):146-50.
20. Guay AT, Perez JB, Jacobson J, Newton RA. Efficacy and safety of sildenafil citrate for treatment of erectile dysfunction in a population with associated organic risk factors. *J Androl*. 2001;22:793-797.
21. Koulikov D, Fridmans A, Chertin B, Shenfeld O, Farkas A, Spitz IM. Is sildenafil citrate associated with an amelioration of the symptomatology of androgen decline in the aging male? *J Urol*. 2007;177:2267-2271.
22. Hwang TI, Chen HE, Tsai TF, Lin YC. Combined use of androgen and sildenafil for hypogonadal patients unresponsive to sildenafil alone. *Int J Impot Res*. 2006;18:400-404.
23. Boolell M, Allen MJ, Ballard SA, Gepi-Attee S, Muirhead GJ, Naylor AM, Osterloh IH, Gingell C. Sildenafil: an orally active type 5 cyclic GMP-specific phosphodiesterase inhibitor for the treatment of penile erectile dysfunction. *Int J Impot Res*. 1996 Jun;8(2):47-52.
24. Allen MS, Walter EE. Erectile Dysfunction: An Umbrella Review of Meta-Analyses of Risk-Factors, Treatment, and Prevalence Outcomes. *J Sex Med*. 2019 Mar 1. pii: S1743-6095(19)30354-6.
25. Cui H, Liu B, Song Z, Fang J, Deng Y, Zhang S, Wang H, Wang Z. Efficacy and safety of long-term tadalafil 5 mg once daily combined with sildenafil 50 mg as needed at the early stage of treatment for patients with erectile dysfunction. *Andrologia*. 2015 Feb;47(1):20-4.
26. Ernst E, Pittler MH. Yohimbine for erectile dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Urol* 1998;159:433-6.
27. Jang DJ, Lee MS, Shin BC, Lee YC, Ernst E. Red ginseng for treating erectile dysfunction: a systematic review. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2008 Oct;66(4):444-50.
28. Santos CA Jr, Reis LO, Destro-Saade R, et al. Tribulus terrestris versus placebo in the treatment of erectile dysfunction: A prospective, randomized, double blind study. *Actas Urol Esp* 2014;38:244-8.
29. Sohn M, Sikora R. Ginkgo biloba Extract in the Therapy of Erectile Dysfunction. *Journal of Sex Education and Therapy*. 1991. 17:1, 53-61.
30. Mulhall JP. Intracavernosal injection therapy: a practical guide. *Tech Urol* 1997; 3: 129-34)
31. Mulhall JP, Simmons J. Assessment of comparative treatment satisfaction with sildenafil citrate and penile injection therapy in patients responding to both. *BJU Int*. 2007 Dec;100(6):1313-6)
32. Linet OI, Ogrinc FG. Efficacy and safety of intracavernosal alprostadil in men with erectile dysfunction. The Alprostadil Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 334: 873-7
33. Tal R, Mulhall JP. Intracavernosal injections and fibrosis: myth or reality? *BJU Int* 2008; 102: 525-6
34. The long-term safety of alprostadil (prostaglandin-E1) in patients with erectile dysfunction. The European Alprostadil Study Group. *Br J Urol*. 1998 Oct;82(4):538-43.
35. Delongchamps NB, Legrand G, Zerbib M, Peyromaure M. Unstable angina following intracavernous injection of alprostadil: a case study. *BMJ Case Rep*. 2009
36. Gupta R, Kirschen J, Barrow RC 2nd, Eid JF. Predictors of success and risk factors for attrition in the use of intracavernous injection. *J Urol*. 1997 May;157(5):1681-6.
37. Hatzichristou DG, Hatzimouratidis K, Apostolidis A, Ioannidis E, Yannakoyorgos K, Kalinderis A. Hemodynamic characterization of a functional erection. Arterial and corporeal veno-occlusive function in patients with a positive intracavernosal injection test. *Eur Urol*. 1999;36(1):60-7.
38. Fallon B. Intracavernous injection therapy for male erectile dysfunction. Impotence. *Urologic Clinics of North America* 1995; 22: 833.
39. Eardley I, Donatucci C, Corbin J, El-Meliage A, Hatzimouratidis K, McVary K, Munariz R, Lee SW. Pharmacotherapy for erectile dysfunction. *J Sex Med*. 2010 Jan;7(1 Pt 2):524-40.
40. Vardi Y, Sprecher E, Gruenwald I. Logistic regression and survival analysis of 450 impotent patients treated with injection therapy: long-term dropout parameters. *J Urol*. 2000 Feb;163(2):467-70.
41. Sundaram CP, Thomas W, Pryor LE, Sidi AA, Billups K, Pryor JL. Long-term follow-up of patients receiving injection therapy for erectile dysfunction. *Urology*. 1997 Jun;49(6):932-5.
42. Shabsigh R, Padma-Nathan H, Gittleman M, McMurray J, Kaufman J, Goldstein I. Intracavernous alprostadil alfadex (EDEX/VRIDAL) is effective and safe in patients with erectile dysfunction after failing sildenafil (Viagra). *Urology*. 2000 Apr;55(4):477-80.
43. McMahon CG, Samali R, Johnson H. Treatment of intracorporeal injection nonresponse with sildenafil alone or in combination with triple agente intracorporeal injection therapy. *J Urol*. 1999 Dec;162(6):1992-7; discussion 1997-8.
44. Da Ros CT, Teloken C, Antonini CC, Sogari PR, Souto CA. Long term results of penile vein ligation for erectile dysfunction due to cavernovenous disease. *Tech Urol* 2000; 6 (3): 172-4.
45. Dabaja AA, Teloken P, Mulhall JP. A critical analysis of candidacy for penile revascularization. *J Sex Med*. 2014 Sep;11(9):2327-32.
46. Vardi Y, Gruenwald I, Gedalia U, Nassar S, Engel A, Har-Shai Y. Evaluation

- of penile revascularization for erectile dysfunction: a 10-year follow-up. *Int J Impot Res.* 2004 Apr;16(2):181
47. Babaei AR, Safarinejad MR, Kolahi AA. Penile revascularization for erectile dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis of effectiveness and complications. *Urol J.* 2009 Winter;6(1):1-7. Review.
 48. Kawanishi Y, Kimura K, Nakanishi R, Kojima K, Numata A. Penile revascularization surgery for arteriogenic erectile dysfunction: the long-term efficacy rate calculated by survival analysis. *BJU Int.* 2004 Aug;94(3):361-8.
 49. Kayigil O, Okulu E, Aldemir M, Onen E. Penile revascularization in vasculogenic erectile dysfunction (ED): long-term follow-up. *BJU Int.* 2012 Jan;109(1):109-15.
 50. Hellstrom WJ, Montague DK, Moncada I, Carson C, Minhas S, Faria G, Krishnamurti S. Implants, mechanical devices, and vascular surgery for erectile dysfunction. *J Sex Med.* 2010 Jan;7(1 Pt 2):501-23.
 51. Carson CC 3rd, Mulcahy JJ, Harsch MR. Long-term infection outcomes after original antibiotic impregnated inflatable penile prosthesis implants: up to 7.7 years of followup. *J Urol.* 2011 Feb;185(2):614-8.
 52. Darouiche RO, Bella AJ, Boone TB, Brock G, Broderick GA, Burnett AL, Carrion R,
 53. Serefoglu EC, Mandava SH, Gokce A, Chouhan JD, Wilson SK, Hellstrom WJ. Long-term revision rate due to infection in hydrophilic-coated inflatable penile prostheses: 11-year follow-up. *J Sex Med.* 2012 Aug;9(8):2182-6.
 54. Katz BF, Gaunay GS, Barazani Y, Nelson CJ, Moreira DM, Dinenc CZ, Nagler HM, Stember DS. Use of a preoperative checklist reduces risk of penile prosthesis infection. *J Urol.* 2014 Jul;192(1):130-5.
 55. Habous M, Tal R, Tealab A, Soliman T, Nassar M, Mekawi Z, Mahmoud S, Abdelwahab O, Elkhoully M, Kamr H, Remeah A, Binsaleh S, Ralph D, Mulhall J. Defining a glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) level that predicts increased risk of penile implant infection. *BJU Int.* 2018 Feb;121(2):293-300.
 56. Patil AY, Nerli RB, Dixit NS, Hiremath MB. Satisfaction with the semirigid penile prosthesis among couples from a Semiurban Indian population. *J Sci Soc* 2018;45:26-9.
 57. Salonia A, Burnett AL, Graefen M, Hatzimouratidis K, Montorsi F, Mulhall JP, Stief C. Prevention and management of postprostatectomy sexual dysfunctions part 2: recovery and preservation of erectile function, sexual desire, and orgasmic function. *Eur Urol.* 2012 Aug;62(2):273-86.
 58. Martínez-Salamanca JI, Mueller A, Moncada I, Carballido J, Mulhall JP. Penile prosthesis surgery in patients with corporal fibrosis: a state of the art review. *J Sex Med.* 2011 Jul;8(7):1880-9.
 59. Montague DK. Penile prosthesis implantation in the era of medical treatment for erectile dysfunction. *Urol Clin North Am.* 2011 May;38(2):217-25.
 60. Patil AY, Nerli RB, Dixit NS, Hiremath MB. Satisfaction with the semirigid penile prosthesis among couples from a Semiurban Indian population. *J Sci Soc* 2018;45:26-9.
 61. Bozkurt IH, Arslan B, Yonguc T, Kozacioglu Z, Degirmenci T, Gunlusoy B, Minareci S. Patient and partner outcome of inflatable and semi-rigid penile prosthesis in a single institution. *Int Braz J Urol.* 2015 May-Jun;41(3):535-41.
 62. Sokolakis I, Hatzichristodoulou G. Clinical studies on low intensity extracorporeal shockwave therapy for erectile dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Int J Impot Res.* 2019 Jan 21.
 63. Lu Z, Lin G, Reed-Maldonado A, Wang C, Lee YC, Lue TF. Low-intensity. Extracorporeal Shock Wave Treatment Improves Erectile Function: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol.* 2017 Feb;71(2):223-233.
 64. Sokolakis I, Dimitriadis F, Teo P, Hatzichristodoulou G, Hatzichristou D, Giuliano F. The Basic Science Behind Low-Intensity Extracorporeal Shock-wave Therapy for Erectile Dysfunction: A Systematic Scoping Review of Pre-Clinical Studies. *J Sex Med.* 2019 Feb;16(2):168-194.
 65. Epifanova MV, Gvasalia BR, Durashov MA, Artemenko SA. Platelet-Rich Plasma Therapy for Male Sexual Dysfunction: Myth or Reality? *Sex Med Rev.* 2019 Mar 19.pii: S2050-0521(19)30008-3.
 66. Pozzi E, Muneer A, Sangster P, Alnajjar HM, Salonia A, Bettocchi C, Castiglione F, Ralph DJ; Trauma, Reconstructive Urology Working Party of the European Association of Urology (EAU) Young Academic Urologists (YAU). Stem-cell regenerative medicine as applied to the penis. *Curr Opin Urol.* 2019 Apr 16.
 67. Levels of Evidence and Grades of Recommendations - Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine. Disponível em URL: http://cebmrj2.ox.ac.uk/docs/old_levels.htm
 68. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJ, Gavaghan DJ, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials* 1996; 17:1-12.
 69. Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, Robertson J, Peterson J, Welch V, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Disponível em: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp
 70. Goldet G, Howick J. Understanding GRADE: an introduction. *J Evid Based Med* 2013; 6:50-4.

