Office hysteroscopy study in consecutive miscarriage patients

Carlos Augusto Bastos de Souza¹, Carla Schmitz², Vanessa Krebs Genro³, Ana Martins⁴, Camila Scheffel⁴, Maria Lucia Oppermann⁵, João Sabino Cunha Filho⁶

¹Post-Doctorate in Endometriosis and Minimally Invasive Gynecology; Attending Gynecologist, Clinical Hospital, Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

²M.Sc. Student in Human Reproduction; Attending Gynecologist, Pompeia Hospital, Caxias do Sul, RS, Brazil

³Ph.D. in Human Reproduction; Attending Gynecologist, Insemine Clinic, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

⁴Medicine Undergraduate Student, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

⁵Ph.D. in Epidemiology; Professor, UFRGS, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

⁶Post-Doctorate in Human Reproduction; Professor, UFRGS, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

SUMMARY

Objective: To assess the prevalence of uterine anatomical abnormalities found by office diagnostic hysteroscopy in a population of patients experiencing more than two consecutive miscarriages and compare the prevalence of uterine abnormalities between patients with two miscarriages and those with three or more consecutive miscarriages. Methods: A cross-sectional study of 66 patients with two or more consecutive miscarriages diagnosis was conducted. Patients were divided into two groups: Group A (up to two miscarriages, 23 patients), and Group B (3 miscarriages, 43 patients). They underwent an outpatient diagnostic hysteroscopy study, with either congenital or acquired abnormalities of the uterine cavity being identified. **Results:** Uterine changes were found in 22 (33.3%) patients, with 9 cases of congenital changes [arcuate uterus (4 cases), septate uterus (2 cases), and bicornuate uterus (1 case)], and 13 patients with acquired changes [intrauterine adhesions (7 cases), endometrial polyp (4 cases), and uterine leiomyoma (2 cases)]. No significant differences were found between the groups as regarding both acquired and congenital uterine changes. A positive correlation was found between anatomical changes on hysteroscopy and number of miscarriages (r = 0.31; p = 0.02). Conclusion: Patients with more than two miscarriages have a high prevalence of uterine cavity abnormalities diagnosed by hysteroscopy; however there are no differences in prevalence or distribution of these lesions related to the number of recurrent miscarriages.

Keywords: Abortion, habitual; hysteroscopy; uterine diseases; congenital abnormalities.

Study conducted at the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Clinical Hospital, Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

Submitted on: 02/13/2011 **Approved on:** 05/03/2011

Correspondence to:

Carlos Augusto Bastos de Souza R. Ramiro Barcelos, 2350/s 1125 Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil CEP: 90035-003 souza.cab@gmail.com

Conflict of interest: None.

©2011 Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Recurring miscarriages are considered when pregnancy is spontaneously interrupted in three consecutive episodes either previously to 20 weeks of gestational age or before the fetus reaches 500 g in weight^{1,2}. More recently, there has been a tendency to include into this diagnosis those patients with two early spontaneous pregnancy losses, mainly if they occur later than the age of 35 years³. This new approach prevents delays in recognizing the disease in a more critical age group; however, it can contribute to a higher number of studies and invasive procedures ordered in this population, with no benefits necessarily resulting from the case management³⁻⁵. Repeated miscarriages can occur due to a set of factors, such as: genetic, endocrine, and immune diseases, coagulation system disorders or anatomical factors3. Immune changes were more prevalently found in patients with repeated miscarriages, and the frequency of findings was similar when patients with two miscarriages were compared with those with three or more miscarriages4.

Prevalence of congenital or acquired anatomical changes in patients with repeated miscarriages is high, ranging from 6.3% to 67%^{6,7}, depending on the type of the study and the study population. Usually, anatomical assessment in these patients is performed through hysterosalpingography, ultrasonography, hysteroscopy, and laparoscopy, with further studies possibly being used, such as tridimensional ultrasonography, hysterosonography, and magnetic resonance⁸⁻¹⁰. Congenital uterine anomalies are correctly diagnosed by ultrasonography, especially when it is combined with a tridimensional resource; on the other hand, diagnostic hysteroscopy allows the diagnosis of acquired anomalies, in addition to congenital anomalies^{8,11}. Recently, a reduction in hysteroscopy cost associated with reduced optical diameters has allowed hysteroscopy to be performed in an outpatient basis, with no anesthetics use, minimal discomfort and optimal acceptance by patients^{10,12-15}. This study was conducted in order to assess the prevalence of uterine anatomical abnormalities diagnosed by hysteroscopy in a population of patients with more than two consecutive miscarriages. We further looked for a possible difference in prevalence of uterine changes in patients with two miscarriages, compared with those with three or more miscarriages.

METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted from January 2007 to December 2010 and 74 patients of the Department of Gynecology with consecutive miscarriages were assessed. Only patients with consecutive losses were included, and they were classified according to the number of losses. Miscarriage was considered as a spontaneous gestational loss occurred up to 20 weeks or with a fetal weight lower than 500 g^{1,7}. According to the number of miscarriages,

patients were divided into two groups: Group A (two miscarriages, n=23) and Group B (three or more miscarriages, n=43) for purposes of comparison^{1,4}. Patients whose gestational age at the time of the loss was unknown (n=4), patients with a current pregnancy diagnosed (n=1), prior uterine surgery other than curettage or C-section (n=1), patients who refused to participate in the study or patients who did not tolerate the assessment without anesthesia (n=2) were excluded¹⁶. Demographics of the sample, such as age, menarche age, cycle characteristics, obstetric history (parousity, gestational age when prior losses occurred), smoking and alcohol consumption were collected at the time the test was ordered. Table 1 shows the distribution of the sample demographics.

DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURE

The patients underwent a diagnostic hysteroscopy at the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle (days 3-15) and all procedures were performed by skilled gynecologists (CAS, JSCF). The examiner did not know the test indication when it was performed. A K index was calculated among the examiners and no significant difference was found (p = 0.83). In summary, the procedure was performed with 2.6 mm optics with an angle of view of 30° (Karl Storz Endoscopy, Germany). Normal saline was used as a distending medium with a pressure of 20 mmHg to 50 mmHg. Hysteroscopy was performed in an outpatient basis, with neither use of anesthesia nor antibiotic prophylaxis, with cervical grasping by using a Pozzi tenaculum being avoided^{17,18}. In case the patient did not tolerate the procedure, it would be discontinued and rescheduled using procedural sedation and anesthesia, and that patient would be excluded from the study.

CLASSIFICATION OF FINDINGS

Changes found by hysteroscopy were subdivided into congenital or acquired abnormalities. Congenital changes were classified as arcuate uterus, didelphic uterus, bicornuate uterus, unicornuate uterus, and septate uterus. The acquired changes found received the following diagnoses: uterine polyp, leiomyoma, intrauterine adhesions, endometritis, and hyperplasia^{8,17}.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data was analyzed by the software SPSS 13 (United States of America). Continuous data was described as median and interquartile range. Mann-Whitney and chi-squared test or Fischer's exact test were used to compare groups. Spearman's correlation coefficient was used to correlate variables (number of miscarriages and hysteroscopy findings). Categorical variable (hysteroscopy finding) was turned into a quantitative variable so that the correlation could be made. A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. The study was approved by the Research and Postgraduation Group at the Clinical Hospital of Porto Alegre.

Table 1 – Distribution of demographics in the sample (median, interquartile range)

	Two miscarriages (n = 23)	Three or more miscarriages (n = 43)	Total n = 66	р
Age (years)	35 (19.7-35.7)	32.7 (29-35)	34 (31-39)	0.64ª
Menarche age (years)	12.5 (12-16)	11.1 (11-13)	12 (11-13)	0.16^{a}
Race				0.49b
White	17 (74.0)	36 (83.7)	53 (80.3)	
Afrodescendant	3 (13.0)	5 (11.6)	8 (12.1)	
Mixed	3 (13.0)	2 (4.7)	5 (7.6)	
Regular cycles	18 (78.3)	38 (88.4)	56 (84.8)	0.27b
Pregnancies	2 (2.0-3.0)	3 (3.0-4.0)	3 (3-4)	0.0001a
Deliveries	0.5 (0.5-1.0)	0.5 (0.5-1.0)	0.5 (0.5-1)	0.86 a
C-sections	0.1 (0.1-0.5)	0.2 (0.1-0.5)	0.1 (0.1-0.5)	0.17 a
Miscarriage	2 (2.0-2.0)	3 (3.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.0-3.2)	0.0001 a
GA at the miscarriage (weeks)	11 (9.0-12.0)	11 (9.5-13)	11 (9.0-12.0)	0.7a
Weight (kg)	55 (53.5-64.7)	60 (56.5-64.7)	60 (55.0-67.5)	0.43^{a}
Height (m)	1.57 (1.56-1.68)	1.61 (1.57-1.65)	1.58 (1.57-1.65)	0.41 ^a
BMI (kg/m²)	22.6 (20.1-24.2)	23.04 (22.3-23.9)	23.1 (22.3-26.4)	0.83ª
Smoking	18 (78.3)	38 (88.4)	12 (18.2)	0.27 ^b
Alcohol consumption	5 (21.7)	7 (16.3)	2 (3.0)	0.7 b

^a Mann-Whitney; ^b Chi-squared.

RESULTS

Twenty-two (33.3%) patients in the sample were found to have uterine cavity changes, with 9 of them being congenital and 13 acquired anomalies. By evaluating the congenital changes in the uterine cavity, the following diagnoses were found: arcuate uterus (n = 4), bicornuate uterus (n = 3) and septate uterus (n = 2). By considering the acquired anomalies, the most frequent diagnoses were: intrauterine adhesion (n = 7), polyp (n = 4), leiomyoma (n = 2) (Table 2).

When groups with two miscarriage episodes were compared with groups with three or more miscarriages,

the sample characteristics found had a similar distribution between the groups. Regarding hysteroscopy findings, both congenital and acquired changes had no significant differences between the groups (Table 2). Hysteroscopy anomalies in patients in Group A were no different from anomalies in Group B (10 vs. 12, respectively, p = 0.2, chi-squared). When the number of miscarriages was correlated with hysteroscopy findings, a correlation coefficient r = 0.31 (p = 0.02 – Spearman's) was found, the correlation of the number of miscarriages with the number of patients having intrauterine adhesions was r = 0.11 (p = 0.39 – Spearman's).

Table 2 – Distribution of hysteroscopy findings between the groups (median, interquartile range)

	Two miscarriages (n = 23)	Three or more miscarriages (n = 43)	Total (n = 66)	Р
Hysteroscopy				
Normal (reference)	13 (56.6)	31 (72.1)	44 (66.7)	
Congenital changes	4 (17.3)	5 (11.6)	9 (13.6)	0.44 c
Acquired changes	6 (26.1)	7 (16.2)	13 (19.7)	0.32°
Type of hysteroscopy change				
Arcuate uterus	1 (4.3)	3 (7.0)	4 (6.1)	0.9 a
Bicornuate uterus	2 (8.7)	1 (2.3)	3 (4.5)	0.23 a
Septate uterus	1 (4.3)	1 (2.3)	2 (3.0)	0.52ª
Polyp	2 (8.7)	2 (4.7)	4 (6.1)	0.57 a
Leiomyoma	2 (8.7)	0 (0)	2 (3.0)	0.11 a
Intrauterine adhesion	2 (8.7)	5 (11.6)	7 (10.6)	0.9 a

^a Fischer's exact test.

GA, gestational age.

DISCUSSION

In our study, we demonstrated consecutive miscarriages are associated with uterine cavity anomalies, as about one-third of the sample had congenital or acquired changes on hysteroscopy. We further demonstrated changes are equally distributed in patients with two miscarriages compared with those with three or more consecutive miscarriages.

Studies have sought to analyze if the traditional definition for repeated miscarriage, considering three consecutive episodes, should be reviewed; however, findings are still incipient¹⁻⁵. Our study is in accordance with previous studies demonstrating that although there is a high incidence of anatomical changes in the population of patients with repeated miscarriages 1,3,19, there is no difference in incidence of findings regarding patients with two miscarriages compared with those with three or more events^{1,2}. Jaslow et al.⁴, by evaluating a large series of repeated miscarriage cases demonstrated immune changes were similarly distributed, regardless the number of miscarriages. This set of findings indicates the assessment of patients with repeated miscarriages can be reviewed, by trying to identify the patients earlier and in a more particular way.

Over the last years, hysteroscopy has been shown as an excellent diagnostic and therapeutic tool in gynecology^{2,15,20}. We have found a high prevalence of acquired anatomical abnormalities, particularly intrauterine adhesions. This fact is likely associated with these patients having usually undergone uterine emptying procedures. Uterine curettage is known to produce intrauterine adhesions^{20,21}. Although the intrauterine manual vacuum aspiration procedure is increasingly prevalent here in Porto Alegre, a large number of patients still undergo standard uterine curettage procedures²⁰. In our study, a correlation between hysteroscopy anomalies and number of miscarriages was present (r = 0.31); thus, we can assume there is an association between anatomical changes and increased miscarriage incidence. Unfortunately, the correlation is not sustained in cases of intrauterine adhesions (r = 0.11).

Our study has several points to be highlighted. We could show a homogeneous series of repeated miscarriage cases. The data collect was appropriate, controlling the methodology employed to carry out the tests and interexaminer variability. As our practice is a reference center in endoscopy, with studies being performed for various indications, the examiners were unaware of the test indication as it was performed; however, the patient's obstetric and surgical history was informed, preventing the examiner's total blinding.

Despite we were careful about methodology, our study has limitations. Our incidence of repeated miscarriage cases, as well as the hysteroscopy abnormal findings,

is supposedly higher than that found in the general population. Moreover, endoscopy availability likely allowed uterine anomalies which otherwise could go undetected or be diagnosed later to be diagnosed earlier. Another noticeable point in our study was a higher number of patients with more than three miscarriages over the group with only two miscarriages¹⁶. In our sample, we do not have the patients' hysterosalpingography data. This is an easily available, non-invasive, and low-cost study showing a correlation with findings in other tests, such as ultrasonography and hysteroscopy. However, hysterosalpingography has a high false-positive and false-negative rates as a disadvantage, in addition to being a more painful test for most patients^{22,23}.

CONCLUSION

Thus, repeated miscarriage cases have an increased prevalence of acquired and congenital uterine anomalies diagnosed by outpatient diagnostic hysteroscopy. It is shown as an applicable and easily performed test for that population. Changes in the uterine cavity have already been present from two miscarriages; thus, starting earlier the anatomical investigation in repeated miscarriages can be suitable as managing these cases. Prospective studies with a higher number of patients are still required so that changes in management of repeated miscarriages can be defined.

REFERENCES

- Weiss A, Shalev E, Romano S. Hysteroscopy may be justified after two miscarriages. Hum Reprod 2005;20(9):2628-31.
- Dendrinos S, Grigoriou O, Sakkas EG, Makrakis E, Creatsas G. Hysteroscopy in the evaluation of habitual abortions. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care 2008;13(2):198-200.
- Li TC, Makris M, Tomsu M, Tuckerman E, Laird S. Recurrent miscarriage: aetiology, management and prognosis. Hum Reprod Update 2002;8(5):463-81.
- Jaslow CR, Carney JL, Kutteh WH. Diagnostic factors identified in 1020 women with two versus three or more recurrent pregnancy losses. Fertil Steril 2010;93(4):1234-43.
- Stephenson MD. Management of recurrent early pregnancy loss. J Reprod Med 2006;51(4):303-10.
- Stephenson MD. Frequency of factors associated with habitual abortion in 197 couples. Fertil Steril 1996;66(1):24-9.
- 7. Tulppala M, Palosuo T, Ramsay T, Miettinen A, Salonen R, Ylikorkala O. A prospective study of 63 couples with a history of recurrent spontaneous abortion: contributing factors and outcome of subsequent pregnancies. Hum Reprod 1993;8(5):764-70.
- Raga F, Bauset C, Remohi J, Bonilla-Musoles F, Simon C, Pellicer A. Reproductive impact of congenital Mullerian anomalies. Hum Reprod 1997;12(10):2277-81.
- Propst AM, Hill JA. 3rd. Anatomic factors associated with recurrent pregnancy loss. Semin Reprod Med 2000;18(4):341-50.
- El-Mazny A, Abou-Salem N, El-Sherbiny W, Saber W. Outpatient hysteroscopy: a routine investigation before assisted reproductive techniques? Fertil Steril 2011;95(1)272-6.
- Salim R, Regan L, Woelfer B, Backos M, Jurkovic D. A comparative study of the morphology of congenital uterine anomalies in women with and without a history of recurrent first trimester miscarriage. Hum Reprod 2003;18(1):162-6.
- 12. Cooper NA, Smith P, Khan KS, Clark TJ. A systematic review of the effect of the distension medium on pain during outpatient hysteroscopy. Fertil Steril 2011;95(1):264-71.

- Koskas M, Mergui JL, Yazbeck C, Uzan S, Nizard J. Office hysteroscopy for infertility: a series of 557 consecutive cases. Obstet Gynecol Int 2010;2010:168096.
- Lasmar RB, Dias R, Barrozo PR, Oliveira MA, Coutinho Eda S, Rosa DB. Prevalence of hysteroscopic findings and histologic diagnoses in patients with abnormal uterine bleeding. Fertil Steril 2008;89(6):1803-7.
- Yela DA, Ravacci SH, Monteiro IM, Pereira KC, Gabiatti JR. [Comparative study of transvaginal sonography and outpatient hysteroscopy for detection of pathologic endometrial lesions in postmenopausal women]. Rev Assoc Med Bras 2009;55(5):553-6.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol 2008;61(4):344-9.
- 17. Fatemi HM, Kasius JC, Timmermans A, van Disseldorp J, Fauser BC, Devroey P et al. Prevalence of unsuspected uterine cavity abnormalities diagnosed by office hysteroscopy prior to in vitro fertilization. Hum Reprod 2010;25(8):1959-65.

- 18. Kasius JC, Broekmans FJ, Fauser BC, Devroey P, Fatemi HM. Antibiotic prophylaxis for hysteroscopy evaluation of the uterine cavity. Fertil Steril 2011;95(2)792-4.
- Portuondo JA, Camara MM, Echanojauregui AD, Calonge J. Mullerian abnormalities in fertile women and recurrent aborters. J Reprod Med 1986;31(7):616-9.
- Traina EMR, Moron AF, Albuquerque Neto LC, Matheus ED. Acurácia diagnóstica da histerossalpingografia e da ultra-sonografia para avaliação de doenças da cavidade uterina em pacientes com abortamento recorrente. RBGO 2004;26(7):7.
- Salzani A, Yela DA, Gabiatti JR, Bedone AJ, Monteiro IM. Prevalence of uterine synechia after abortion evacuation curettage. São Paulo Med J. 2007;125(5):261-4.
- Siristatidis C, Chrelias C, Salamalekis G, Kassanos D. Office hysteroscopy: current trends and potential applications: a critical review. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2010;282(4):383-8.
- 23. Almeida I, Souza C, Reginatto F, Cunha Filho JS, Facin A, Freitas F et al. [Hysterosonosalpingography and hysterosalpingography in the diagnosis of tubal patency in infertility patients]. Rev Assoc Med Bras 2000;46(4):342-5.