IMPACT OF CONVERTING AREAS CULTIVATED WITH SUGARCANE TO EUCALYPT PLANTATIONS ON SOIL QUALITY IN NORTHEASTERN BRAZIL
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ABSTRACT – Reforestation is considered an effective method to improve soil quality and drain atmospheric CO₂ by sequestering carbon, in both soil and vegetation. In this regard, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of converting areas cultivated with sugarcane to eucalypt plantations (Eucalyptus spp.) on soil quality and carbon sequestration in a Latossolo (Ferralsol) in the Atlantic Forest region of the Alagoas state, Brazil, through multivariate analysis. The systems under evaluation consisted of four areas: one area cultivated with sugarcane for approximately 20 years, taken as the reference area of this study, and the other three adjacent areas cultivated with eucalypt at 1 (E1), 3 (E3) and 6 (E6) years of age, previously cultivated with sugarcane. Physical (bulk density - BD, Mean weight-diameter - MWD, geometric mean diameter - GMD and aggregate stability index - ASI), chemical (soil organic carbon - SOC, total nitrogen - TN, labile carbon - LC and recalcitrant carbon - RC) and biological (Microbial biomass carbon - MBC, soil carbon respiration - C-CO₂ and metabolic quotient - qCO₂) properties of soil were evaluated. Data were collected, standardized and submitted to exploratory analysis with principal components. The results show that SOC, LC, TN, GMD and ASI increased, while BD showed a reduction in E3 and E6 systems. The conversion of sugarcane cultivation with burning of straw and manual harvest into eucalypt plantations was efficient at promoting SOC sequestration and improving physical, chemical and biological properties of soil.

Keywords: Carbon sequestration; Land-use; Principal component analysis.

IMPACTO DA CONVERSÃO DE ÁREAS CULTIVADAS COM CANA-DE-AÇÚCAR EM PLANTAÇÕES DE EUCALIPTO NA QUALIDADE DO SOLO NO NORDESTE DO BRASIL

RESUMO – O refl orestamento é considerado um método eficaz para melhorar a qualidade do solo e drenar o CO₂ atmosférico por meio do sequestro de carbono, tanto na vegetação quanto no solo. Nesse sentido, o objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar os efeitos da conversão do cultivo de cana-de-açucar em plantações de eucalipto (Eucalyptus spp.) sobre a qualidade do solo e sequestro de carbono em um Latossolo na região da Mata Atlântica do estado de Alagoas, Brasil, por meio de análise multivariada. Os sistemas avaliados consistiram em quatro áreas, sendo uma cultivada com cana-de-açucar, por aproximadamente 20 anos, tomada como área de referência do estudo, e três áreas adjacentes, cultivadas com floresta de eucalipto por 1 (E1), 3 (E3) e 6 (E6) anos, anteriormente eram cultivadas com cana-de-açucar. Foram avaliadas as propriedades físicas (densidade do solo - DS, diâmetro médio ponderado - DMP, diâmetro médio geométrico - DMG e índice de estabilidade de agregados - IEA), químicas (carbono orgânico do solo - COS, nitrogênio total - NT, carbono labível - LC e carbono recalcitrante - CR) e biológicas (carbono da biomassa microbiana - CBM, carbono respirado do solo - C-CO₂ e quociente metabólico - qCO₂) do solo. Os dados foram padronizados e submetidos à Análise de Componentes.
Principais. Os resultados mostram que COS, CL, NT, DMG, DMP e IEA aumentaram, enquanto DS apresentou redução nos sistemas E3 e E6. A conversão do cultivo de cana-de-açúcar com queima da palhada e colheita manual para plantações de eucaliptos foi eficiente em promover o sequestro de COS e melhorar as propriedades físicas, químicas e biológicas do solo.

Palavras-Chave: Sequestro de carbono; Uso da terra; Análise de componentes principais.

1. INTRODUCTION

Demand for forest products mirrors the world exponential population growth (Guedes et al., 2018). Thus, in the face of global limitations of native forest reserves, planted forests have gradually expanded in several regions worldwide. Species of the genus *Eucalyptus* spp. are the most cultivated, occupying an area of more than 19 million hectares worldwide (Cavalli et al., 2020). In Brazil, eucalypt plantation (*Eucalyptus* spp.) occupies an area of approximately 6 million hectares (Souza et al., 2020).

In the northeast of Brazil, state of Alagoas, areas cultivated with sugarcane (main economic activity) have been losing ground to eucalypt plantation (Medeiros et al., 2018), and around 14 thousands hectares are cultivated with this crop. This change is due to several factors, including the depreciation of ethanol (17%) and sugar (27%) prices, competing with south-central region (CONAB, 2016), and, mostly, due to forestry growth, which is responsible for an annual value of US$ 7 billion in Brazil (IBA, 2017).

Historically, eucalypt plantations provide charcoal, firewood, wood, cellulose and biomass for energy generation (Korchagin et al., 2019). Furthermore, these forests contribute to the environmental conservation, since they improve soil quality, release lower levels of greenhouse gases (GHG) and are natural carbon sinks (Zhang et al., 2019; Souza et al., 2020). Eucalypt trees deposit organic residue on soil, which contributes to an increase in soil organic matter (SOM) and, consequently, in soil organic carbon (SOC) content over time (Vicente et al., 2019). However, SOC content in eucalypt areas depend on a several factors such as type, layer and mineralogy of soil, climate conditions, growing time, management practices, among others (Hernández et al., 2016; Korchagin et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Cavalli et al., 2020).

In this regard, Guedes et al. (2016) observed in Mozambique that eucalypt plantations (*Eucalyptus* spp.) altered nutrient quantity, pH and physical properties of soil in comparison to a native forest. Likewise, Vicente et al. (2019) state that soil macroaggregates (2000-250 μm) prevail over microaggregates (250-53 μm) in eucalypt areas (*Eucalyptus* spp.) in the southeast region of Brazil. On the other hand, Gama-Rodrigues et al. (2008), in the same region of Brazil, did not find significant differences for microbial biomass carbon and metabolic quotient in eucalypt area (*Eucalyptus* spp.) and native forest.

In general, eucalypt reforestation improves physical, chemical and biological properties of soil (Guedes et al., 2016; Medeiros et al., 2018; Korchagin et al., 2019; Vicente et al., 2019; Cavalli et al., 2020; Souza et al., 2020), but literature review is limited when it comes to the effects found in the transition from sugarcane cultivation to eucalypt plantations. Moreover, in general, the assessment of soil quality requires the use of a large number of variables, which in most cases makes research unfeasible due to financial, logistical or operational reasons (Hair et al., 2009). Thus, the use of multivariate analysis can facilitate this process. This technique has been used to improve the understanding of variations in soil quality properties promoted by changes in land-use or management (Govaerts et al., 2007; Hair et al., 2009). Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of converting areas cultivated with sugarcane to eucalypt plantations (*Eucalyptus* spp.) on soil quality and carbon sequestration in a Latossolo (Ferralsol) in the Atlantic Forest region of the Alagoas state, Brazil, through multivariate analysis.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study area and soil sampling

The study was conducted on a rural property in the Forest Zone of the district of Atalaia, state of
Impact of converting areas cultivated with... Alagoas, Brazil. The municipality is located in the Eastern Mesoregion of the state (09º26'56.6" S and 36º00'30.8" W). According to Köppen (1918), the climate in the region is tropical warm (As'), with a mean annual temperature of 27 °C and autumn/winter rainfall between 1,000 and 1,500 mm, well-distributed throughout the year. The soil in the study area was classified as a Latossolo with a clayey texture (EMBRAPA, 2012), Ferralsol according to the classification of IUSS Working Group WRB-FAO (2015).

The study areas were selected taking into consideration the homogeneity of the soil characteristics, the relief and their relative proximity. The systems evaluated consisted of four areas: an area of 5.0 ha, cultivated with sugarcane (SC) for approximately 20 years, always under a conventional system of soil tillage, with straw burning and manual harvesting (considered the original condition of the soil as reference) and three adjacent areas, measuring 2.5, 3.0 and 1.5 ha, cultivated with eucalypt forest (Eucalyptus spp.) at one (E1), three (E3) and six (E6) years of age, respectively. The areas of eucalypt had previously been cultivated with sugarcane under a conventional system for about 20 years. Preparation of the areas of eucalypt was conventional, with liming incorporated by harrowing and deep subsoiling (80-100 cm), followed by basal phosphate fertilization; and then, the eucalypt seedlings (Clones 224 and 1407) were transplanted into holes spaced 3.5 x 2.5 m apart.

Soil samples were collected in June and July of 2015 by opening five 90-cm-deep soil pits in each area. The soil pits were opened randomly between the rows and considered as replicates, giving a total of 120 samples. Disturbed soil samples and undisturbed soil samples were collected between the planting rows at depths of 0-10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-40, 40-60 and 60-80 cm.

2.2 Soil analysis

Bulk density (BD) was determined using the volumetric ring method (EMBRAPA, 1997). Soil samples were air-dried and sieved with a 2-mm mesh to remove stones and root fragments before analysis. The SOC content was determined by the wet oxidation method (Yeomans and Bremner, 1988). For each soil layer, we calculated the SOC stock by multiplying the concentration of C (g g⁻¹) by BD (kg cm⁻³) and layer thickness (cm) (Sisti et al., 2004). To calculate the total SOC stock, the equivalent soil mass (ESM) approach was adopted to depths of 0-30, 0-60 and 0-80 cm, following the procedure described by Sisti et al. (2004). The soil under sugarcane was used as a reference for the ESM approach.

Total nitrogen (TN) was quantified by sulfuric acid digestion (Tedesco et al., 1995). Labile carbon (LB) and recalcitrant carbon (RC) were obtained through different concentrations of H₂SO₄, as according to the methodology by Chan et al. (2001). Microbial biomass carbon (MBC) was determined at depths of 0-10, 10-20 and 20-30 cm using the irradiation and extraction method (Islam and Weil, 1998; Ferreira et al., 1999; Mendonça and Matos, 2005). It was quantified by wet oxidation (Yeomans and Bremner, 1998) without an external heating source and a conversion factor (Kc) used to convert carbon flux to MBC of 0.33 (Sparling and West, 1998).

To quantify soil carbon respiration (C-CO₂), a laboratory test was carried out with soil from the depths of 0-10, 10-20 and 20-30 cm, considering CO₂ evolution, which is produced by soil microbes, captured in 0.5 N NaOH solution (Anderson, 1982). For this purpose, 50 g of soil were put in glass pots and aerobically preincubated for 7 days, in order to reestablish the microbial activity of soil. Soil moisture was kept at 80% field capacity. After the period of preincubation of samples, the pots received 20 mL of 0.5 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution and were hermetically closed to capture CO₂ and were only opened in case of NaOH change. Metabolic quotient (qCO₂) was obtained through the division of C-CO₂ released per day by MBC (mg CO₂ mg⁻¹ MBC day⁻¹) at depths of 0-10, 10-20 and 20-30 cm.

In order to evaluate the distribution of water-stable aggregates in soils, the method proposed by EMBRAPA (1997) was adopted, which uses the following diameter classes: 4.76-2.0 mm, 2.0-1.0 mm, 1.0-0.50 mm, 0.50-0.25 mm and <0.25mm. Data of dry mass were used to calculate weighted mean diameter (MWD), geometric mean diameter (GMD) and aggregate stability index (ASI), according to methodology proposed by Ibiapina et al. (2014).

2.3 Statistical analysis

The treatments corresponded to the four land-use systems (SC, E1, E3 and E6), and the results were
submitted to Bartlett’s test for homogeneity and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality. Data were standardized ($X^\prime = 0.0$ and $s^2 = 1.0$) and submitted to principal component analysis (PCA), calculating linear combinations of original variables obtained through eigenvalue higher than the unit ($\lambda > 1.0$) in correlation matrix, which could explain a percentage higher than 10% of total variance (Govaerts et al., 2007).

Only the variables with a correlation quotient higher than 0.70 were kept in the composition of each principal component (PC) (Hair et al., 2009). Variables that were not associated with PCs ($r < 0.70$) were removed from standardized database and a new analysis was applied. Afterwards, each principal component was submitted to multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) by Hotelling’s $T^2$ test. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistica software (STATSOFT, 2004).

In relation to SOC stock, the mean values considering the layers 0-30, 0-60 and 0-80 cm of the land-use systems were compared by Tukey’s test ($p < 0.05$).

Table 1 – Physical, chemical and biological parameters of soil in different layers in areas under sugarcane and eucalypt cultivation in the Atlantic Forest region of the state of Alagoas, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land-use systems</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>BD</th>
<th>SOC</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>LC</th>
<th>RC</th>
<th>MBC</th>
<th>qCO$_2$</th>
<th>C-CO$_2$</th>
<th>MWD</th>
<th>GMD</th>
<th>ASI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>82.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>78.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>88.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>86.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>82.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>88.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>87.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>88.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>85.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>90.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>92.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>85.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>85.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>87.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>90.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>88.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>91.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>87.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>89.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>91.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-80 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>91.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>87.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>87.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>84.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC – sugarcane monoculture area, E1 – area cultivated eucalypt for 1 year, E3 – area cultivated eucalypt for 3 years, E6 – area cultivated eucalypt for 6 years. BD – Bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$), SOC – Soil organic carbon (dag kg$^{-1}$), TN – Total nitrogen (dag kg$^{-1}$), LC – Labile C (dag kg$^{-1}$), RC – Recalcitrant C (dag kg$^{-1}$), MBC – Microbial biomass carbon (mg), qCO$_2$ – Metabolic quotient (mg CO$_2$ mg$^{-1}$ MBC day$^{-1}$), C-CO$_2$ – soil carbon respiration (mg CO$_2$ g soil$^{-1}$), MWD – Mean weighted-diameter (mm), GMD – Geometric mean diameter (mm), ASI – Aggregate stability index (%). SC – área de monocultura com cana-de-açúcar; E1 – área cultivada com eucalipto por 1 ano, E3 – área cultivada com eucalipto por 3 anos, E6 – área cultivada com eucalipto por 6 anos. BD – densidade do solo (g cm$^{-3}$); SOC – Carbono orgânico do solo (dag kg$^{-1}$); TN – Nitrógeno total (dag kg$^{-1}$); LC – C lábil (dag kg$^{-1}$); RC – C recalcitrante (dag kg$^{-1}$); MBC – carbono da biomassa microbiana (mg); qCO$_2$ – quociente metabólico (mg CO$_2$ mg$^{-1}$ MBC day$^{-1}$); C-CO$_2$ – respiração de carbono do solo (mg CO$_2$ g soil$^{-1}$); MWD – Diâmetro médio ponderado (mm); GMD – Diâmetro médio geométrico (mm); ASI – Índice de estabilidade agregado (%).
Table 2 – Eigenvalues, percentage of total explained variance and probability of Hotelling test in multivariate analysis of variance at different depths of soil in areas under sugarcane and eucalypt cultivation in the Atlantic Forest region of the state of Alagoas, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layers (cm)</th>
<th>PC_1</th>
<th>PC_2</th>
<th>PC_3</th>
<th>PC_4</th>
<th>PC_5</th>
<th>PC_6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Impact of converting areas cultivated with... **

3. RESULTS

Mean values of the physical, chemical and biological parameters of soil in different layers and soil management systems evaluated are shown in Table 1. The results show that soil quality improved after the conversion of sugarcane into eucalypt cultivation in a long-term period, especially the mean values of SOC content with 20.83% and 23.55%; and TN with 16.25% and 47.08% in E3 and E6 systems, respectively. Moreover, BD showed a reduction of 7.14% and 10.63% in E3 and E6 systems, respectively.

According to the principal component analysis, our dataset was reduced to two dimensions represented by the two first principal components (PC_1 and PC_2),

Table 3 – Correlation quotient between original variables and principal components (PC) in different soil layers in areas under sugarcane and eucalypt cultivation in the Atlantic Forest region of the state of Alagoas, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Components (PC)</th>
<th>Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BD SOC TN LC RC MBC qCO_2 C-CO_2 MWD GMD ASI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_1</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_2</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_2</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_1</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_1</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_2</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-80 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_1</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC_2</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1 – Two-dimensional projection of systems evaluated and physical-chemical variables of soil in different layers in areas under sugarcane and eucalypt cultivation in the Atlantic Forest region of the state of Alagoas, Brazil.

Figura 1 – Projeção bidimensional de sistemas avaliados e variáveis físico-químicas do solo em diferentes camadas em áreas sob cultivos de cana-de-açúcar e eucalipto na região da Mata Atlântica do estado de Alagoas, Brasil.
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with eigenvalues higher than one ($\lambda > 1.00$) for all soil layers. The PCs explained 87.22% to 93.81% of total variance. The first principal component (PC$_1$) was responsible for 63.95% to 28.51% of the variance in the soil layers of 0-10 cm and 60-80 cm, respectively, while the second component (PC$_2$) was responsible for 29.86% to 56.39% of variance considering the same soil layers, respectively. Hotelling test shows that for the PC$_1$ there was a significant difference ($p < 0.01$) between land-use systems in all layers, whereas for the PC$_2$, the differences were observed only up to 30 cm (Table 2).

The results of multivariate analysis of variance for the correlation between original variables and PC showed that factors (r) varied between $> |0.70| (\text{PC}_1)$ in 0-10 cm layer and $> |0.95| (\text{PC}_2)$ in 60-80 cm layers, indicating that all variables had a strong correlation (Table 3).

In general terms, the results show that PC$_1$ was formed by increasing SOC, LC, TN, GMD, MWD and ASI contents and reducing MBC and BD in different soil layers of E3 and E6 systems. That behavior occurred differently in SC and E1 systems. PC$_2$ was formed by the highest values of BD, qCO$_2$ and C-CO$_2$ in E1 and SC systems, whereas E3 and E6 systems had the highest values of ASI, MWD and LC (Figure 1).

With regard specifically to SOC stocks, our results showed (Figure 2) that cultivation with eucalypt with three and six years was already sufficient to promote a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in SOC stocks, when compared to the sugarcane system. The area E3 showed increases of 22.8%, 14.2% and 16.4%, in layers 0-30, 0-60 and 0-80 cm, respectively; while the area E6, increases in SOC stocks were equal to 21.6%, 21.4% and 14.8%, respectively, in comparison to sugarcane system.

4. DISCUSSION

According to PCA results (Table 3), up to 30-40 cm layers, the first component (PC$_1$) was formed by a process of increasing SOC, TN and soil aggregates (MWD, GMD and ASI) in areas of eucalypt (E3 and E6). These results show that changes in SOC content after forest establishment often vary due to the time of land-use, land-use background, soil type and texture.
For example, Vicente et al. (2016) observed SOC reductions in 0-100 cm layer after conversion of pasture (176 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) into eucalypt (Eucalyptus spp.) with 3 (148 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) and 5 years (160 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) of implementation in Brazil. Lima et al. (2006) observed an increase of 49.75% in SOC stocks in 0-20 cm layer after the conversion of degraded pasture into eucalypt (Eucalyptus spp.) in Brazil. In our case, the results indicate that the conversion of areas conventionally cultivated with sugarcane to eucalypt represents a management alternative with the potential to accumulate SOC, varying between 14.21% and 22.83%, contributing to mitigate GHG emissions (Figure 2).

This behavior is extremely relevant for soil quality recovery, which was lost after 20 years of sugarcane cultivation, since the increase in SOC (Table 1 and Figure 2) positively influences the physical, chemical and biological properties of soil (Cook et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019).

Increases in SOC and TN (Table 1) found in the present study are positively correlated (≥ 0.70) with increments in labile carbon (LC) and recalcitrant carbon (RC), which probably contributed to the improvement in the stability of soil aggregates, which is confirmed by the high values found for MWD, GMD and ASI (Table 1). However, the opposite was observed in eucalypt area E1 and SC. Thus, these results rectify the information stated by Cook et al. (2016), when they affirm that SOC increases in tropical agricultural areas are important, since plant residues are source of nutrients and increase cation exchange capacity, soil aggregation and infiltration of water, reducing surface runoff and improving water holding capacity and microorganism activity.

Regarding PC\(_2\) formation up to 30-40 cm layers, it represents an average of 25.40% of total experimental variance (Table 2) and it was characterized by the increase of MBC, C-CO\(_2\) and qCO\(_2\) in E1 and SC areas, which can be indicative of an unbalanced environment, probably related to microbial activity, as well as the highest levels of BD and low aggregate stability of soil.

In deeper soil layers (40-60 and 60-80 cm), PC\(_1\) (an average of 59.50% of total experimental variance) is formed by the increase of BD, MWD, GMD and ASI in E1 and SC areas, whereas PC\(_1\) (with an average of 20.70% of total experimental variance) is characterized by the increase of chemical properties of soil (SOC, TN, LC and RC) in E3 and E6 areas (Table 2 and Figure 1). These results converge with those found by Gatto et al. (2010) and Santos et al. (2013), who also observed an improvement of soil quality in areas cultivated with eucalypt (Eucalyptus spp.). According to Cook et al. (2016), in general, the increase of SOC and the improvement of soil structure in planted forests are common (due to high production of biomass above- and belowground), continuous contribution of organic recalcitrant residues and a deeper root system.

The BD reduction and the increase of soil aggregates (MWD, GMD and ASI) found in E3 and E6 areas (Table 1) show that, despite the previous cultivation with sugarcane, over 3 years without intense anthropic actions, such as plowing and traffic of machinery, as well as organic residues input on soil surface due to the growth and development of trees (Barreto et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019), are enough to improve physical quality of soil and, consequently, other properties, resulting in recovery of its structure (Rodrigues et al., 2013; Ibiapina et al., 2014).

These results are extremely important to the environment because eucalypt areas that show high aggregate stability are benefited, resulting in the recovery of the physical properties of soil, such as porosity, high infiltration, and water retention, being resistant to soil erosion, which contributes to physical and chemical protection of SOM (Barreto et al., 2014; Ibiapina et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019). Our results are in accordance with those reported by Vicente et al. (2019), since they found larger microaggregates (2000-250 μm) in eucalypt (Eucalyptus spp.) areas (3 and 5 years of cultivation) in comparison to pasture and native forest areas, in Brazil.

During the recovery of soil properties, a substantial increase occurred in the physical, chemical and biological parameters in eucalypt cultivated areas (E3 and E6), which is justified by several factors, for example, the continuous input of plant residues to the surface layer, the microclimate formed by the trees, which reduces direct contact of the solar rays, and the impact of rain on soil (Ibiapina et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019). Furthermore, the quality of organic residues also contributes to this behavior, since woody residues, such as litter, have higher concentration of
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phenols, cellulose and lignin; their decomposition supplies more nutrients to soil and to microorganisms (Barreto et al., 2014; Cook et al., 2016).

These factors result in maintenance of uniform soil temperature and moisture overtime, which promotes a better development of root system and microorganism activity as well as a reduction of SOM mineralization in eucalypt forests (Rodrigues et al., 2013; Ibiapina et al., 2014). In this context, our results show that a correct management in eucalypt cultivation reduces BD and promotes a recovery of SOC, TN and biological parameters of soil, pointing to the great potential of planted forests in the sequestration of SOC (Table 1 and Figure 2).

The results of SOC stocks showed that the conversion from sugarcane cultivation to eucalypt plantations substantially increases (p < 0.05) SOC (Figure 2), both in the surface layer (0-30 cm) and in the deeper layers (0-60 and 0-80 cm). The differences between SOC stocks become clear already from the third year of cultivation (E3) and increases with eucalypt stand age (E6). Our results are similar to those found in other studies. For instance, Guedes et al. (2018) found the greatest carbon stocks of plant biomass (202.5 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) and soil (138.8 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) in eucalypt (Eucalyptus spp.) when compared to Pinus forest (162.1 and 135.2 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) and native forest (17.9 and 87.3 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) in 0-50 cm layer, in Mozambique. Similarly, Ibiapina et al. (2014) observed increases in SOC stocks in 0-30 cm layer, in eucalypt areas (Eucalyptus spp.) with 2 (49.9 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) and 4 years (68.3 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) in comparison to the area traditionally cultivated with soybean (25.7 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) and native forests (45.8 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)). In this context, according to Zhang et al. (2019), considering the growth of trees in planted forests, carbon in both biomass and soil can be rapidly recovered or even exceed that of native forests.

On the other hand, higher results of BD and lower aggregation of soil that were found in the first layers of SC and E1 areas (Table 1) are related to destabilization of soil structure. In SC, this effect can be attributed to the traditional management of plowing of soil and burning of straw, which resulted in the reduction of organic residues during the cultivation of this area (Rossetti et al., 2014). These results are in accordance with those reported by Ibiapina et al. (2014), who found lower values of soil aggregates (MWD, GMD and ASI) in the area conventionally cultivated with soybean in comparison to eucalypt and native forest areas. In E1, these behaviors are due to the management practice adopted during the previous period cultivated with SC. Moreover, for planting eucalypt seeds, a deep plowing was performed in the soil, which exposed SOM stored in deep layers to microbial actions. Another fact to be highlighted is related to young trees (1 year), in which organic residues are reduced when compared to older trees (Barreto et al., 2014; Cook et al., 2016). In agricultural areas that have fragile aggregates in soil, especially in the superficial layer, there is a probability that they disappear and disperse due to the strong impact of rain (Medeiros et al., 2018).

Regarding the best results of eucalypt plantations (E3 and E6), only up to 30-40 cm layers (Table 1), can be attributed to the short period of cultivation in these areas, in which organic residues (leaves, branches and barks of trees) and the highest fine root density were not enough to increase physical, chemical and biological properties in the deeper layers of soil (Barreto et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019).

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

Our results show that the conversion of sugarcane cultivation with burning of straw and manual harvest into eucalypt plantations in Atlantic Forest region of Brazil was efficient at promoting SOC sequestration and improving physical, chemical and biological attributes of soil. Principal components analysis (PCA) highlighted the differences between sugarcane and eucalypt cultivation in the surface layers of soil (up to 40 cm). Furthermore, it was clear that the eucalypt area with 6 years (E6) had the best results when compared to other land-use systems, indicating the role of time for improving soil attributes. Finally, the replacement of sugarcane cultivation with burning with eucalypt plantations presents itself as a management alternative capable of promoting the sequestration of atmospheric CO\(_2\), contributing to mitigate global warming, and also a possibility of diversifying land-use, using, for example, hillside areas where sugarcane productivity is lower and harvesting is more difficult. However, more studies are necessary in order to evaluate the impacts of the conversion of sugarcane cultivation to eucalypt...
plantations in a long-term, to confirm whether these improvements remain over time.
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