Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura, 41(6):e-561erratum

https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-29452019561erratum

Erratum of article:

El-Gioushy, Sherif Fathy Eid El-Sayed, Kareem, Abdul, & Baiea, Mohamed Hemdan Mohamed. (2019). Pre-isolation, isolation and regeneration protoplasts from leaf mesophyll of in vivo Malus domestica 'Anna' cv.. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*, *41*(4), e-561 https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-29452019561

In the page 1, Corresponding author:

where it reads:

gioushy_ah@yahoo.com

should read:

herif.elgioushy@fagr.bu.edu.eg

In the page 1,

Author Mohamed Hemdan Mohamed Baiea

ORCID Author Is

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5223-865X

In the page 4.

where it reads:

Impact of protoplast: Different protoplast densities (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5 10⁵ /ml) were tried to affirm the reasonable protoplast density enhanced the best protoplast development.

should read:

Impact of protoplast density: Different protoplast densities (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5 10⁵ /ml) were tried to affirm the reasonable protoplast density enhanced the best protoplast development.

In the page 5,

where it reads:

Effect of protoplast source and enzyme mixture: The protoplast yield was increased when mix between in vitro source and protein blend 244 EM1 (1.5% cellulase + 0.5% pectianase + 1.5% Macrozyme) treatment was used as compared 245 and the other combination treatment in vivo source and a similar enzyme (Table 1). Nonetheless, EM3 (1% cellulase + 1% pectianase 248 + 1% macerozyme) possessed the second rank in improving protoplast yield at the mean time pursued by EM2 (1% cellulase + 0.5% pectianase + 1% macerozyme) as appeared in (Table 1) EM6 (1% cellulase + 1% pectinase) was the slightest protoplast yield.

should read:

Effect of enzyme mixture:

The protoplast yield was increased when mix between $In\ vivo$ source and enzyme mixture EM1 (1.5% cellulase + 0.5% pectianase + 1.5% Macrozyme) treatment was used as compared to the other combinations treatments (Table 1). Nonetheless, EM3 (1% cellulase + 1% pectianase 248 + 1% macerozyme) possessed the second rank in improving protoplast yield at the mean time pursued by EM2 (1% cellulase + 0.5% pectianase + 1% macerozyme) as appeared in (Table 1) EM6 (1% cellulase + 1% pectinase) was the slightest protoplast yield.