

Urinary Incontinence and Overactive Bladder Symptoms in Women with Breast Cancer Being Treated with Oral Hormone Therapy

Incontinência urinária e sintomas de bexiga hiperativa em mulheres com câncer de mama em tratamento com terapia hormonal oral

Rebeca Stahlschmidt¹ Amanda Canato Ferracini¹⁰ Luana Moreira de Medeiros¹⁰ Cinthia Madeira de Souza¹⁰ Cassia Raquel Teatin Juliato¹⁰ Priscila Gava Mazzola¹⁰

¹Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet 2020;42(11):726–730.

Address for correspondence Cinthia Madeira de Souza, MS, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Rua Tessália Vieira de Camargo, 126, Cidade Universitária "Zeferino Vaz," Campinas, SP, 13083-887, Brazil (e-mail: cinthiacms@gmail.com).

Abstract

Objective The objective of the present study is to observe the frequency and severity of urinary symptoms in women with breast cancer (BC) being treated with oral hormone therapy, associating them to drug adherence.

Methods The participants were interviewed once from June to October 2016. The evaluation of urinary symptoms was performed by two questionnaires: International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire - Short Form (ICIQ-SF) and International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire Overactive Bladder Module (ICIQ-OAB). Adherence was evaluated by the Morisky-Green method. Statistical analysis was performed by the Mann-Whitney test, linear regression, and Spearman correlation. **Results** Fifty-eight women were interviewed: 42 treated with tamoxifen and 16 with aromatase inhibitor. Twenty-seven women (46.5%) presented urinary incontinence symptoms and 15 (25.8%) presented stress urinary incontinence (SUI). Fourteen (24.1%) women had symptoms of overactive bladder (OAB). There was no statistical difference in symptoms between both treatments and duration of treatments. Higher

Keywords

- hormone replacement therapy
- urinary incontinence
- urinary bladder overactive
- medication adherence

medium adherence. **Conclusion** The present study showed a high prevalence of urinary symptoms, such as urinary incontinence and OAB, associated with low/medium adherence and older age in women with BC being treated with oral hormone therapy. Health professionals should be alert to these symptoms since it could influence life quality and adherence to treatment.

scores in the ICIQ-SF questionnaire were associated with low/medium adherence and advanced age. Higher scores in the ICIQ-OAB questionnaire were associated with low/

received April 1, 2020 accepted August 10, 2020 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0040-1718440. ISSN 0100-7203. Copyright © 2020 by Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil



Resumo	 Objetivo O objetivo do presente estudo foi observar a frequência e a gravidade dos sintomas urinários em mulheres com câncer de mama em uso de terapia hormonal oral, associando estes com a adesão ao tratamento. Métodos As pacientes foram entrevistadas uma única vez, entre junho e outubro de 2016. A avaliação dos sintomas urinários foi realizada por dois questionários: International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire - Short Form (ICIQ-SF, na sigla em inglês) e o Questionário Sobre Bexiga Hiperativa (ICIQ-OAB, na sigla em inglês). A adesão foi avaliada pelo método Morisky-Green. A análise estatística foi realizada pelo teste de Mann-Whitney, regressão linear e correlação de Spearman. Resultados Foram entrevistadas 58 mulheres: 42 tratadas com tamoxifeno e 16 com inibidor de aromatase. Vinte e sete mulheres (46,5%) apresentaram sintomas de incontinência urinária (IU) e 15 (25,8%) apresentaram incontinência urinária por estresse (IUS). Quatorze (24,1%) das mulheres tinham sintomas de bexiga hiperativa.
Palavras-chave	Não houve diferença estatística nos sintomas entre os tratamentos e a duração dos
 terapia de reposição hormonal 	tratamentos. Os escores mais elevados no questionário ICIQ-SF estiveram associados à baixa/média adesão e à idade avançada. Os escores mais elevados no questionário da ICIQ-OAB foram associados à baixa/média adesão.
 incontinência 	Conclusão O presente estudo mostrou alta prevalência de sintomas urinários, como
urinária	IU e bexiga hiperativa, associadas à baixa/média adesão e à idade mais avançada em
 bexiga hiperativa 	mulheres com câncer de mama em tratamento com hormonioterapia oral. Os
► adesão ao	profissionais de saúde devem estar atentos a esses sintomas, pois eles podem
tratamento	influenciar a qualidade de vida e a adesão ao tratamento.

Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common and it has the highest mortality rate among women.¹ It can be staged according to tumor size, number of lymph nodes, and whether there is distant metastasis.² The tumor can also be positive for estrogen/progesterone receptors, which directs the treatment to an oral hormone therapy (HT) with tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitor (AI). Tamoxifen binds to the hormone receptor, reducing the effects of estrogen, thus, preventing the cell from multiplying. The other class of oral therapy, AI, prevents the peripheral conversion of androgens in estrogens.³

Oral HT treatment has its advantages, since it consists of one pill a day, orally, being easy and practical to use. However, there are some side effects that may prevent full adherence to the treatment, like arthralgia or genitourinary symptoms. Some of the side effects are related to the decrease in estrogen levels and resemble menopause symptoms. The most common, in both treatments, are hot flushes, but each has its specificities according to the mechanism of action.^{4,5} Among the genitourinary symptoms, there can be lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTSs), characterized by urgency, including overactive bladder (OAB) syndrome, with or without urinary incontinence (UI). There have been some studies that suggested a correlation between the overall decrease in estrogen and the occurrence of these symptoms.^{6–9} The importance of identifying these symptoms and correlating them to the treatment can help the health team to make decisions about management, since the treatment will take at least 5 years, and requires the understanding and adherence of the women to the therapy.¹⁰

The aim of the present study was to determine the frequency and severity of urinary symptoms in women with BC being treated with oral HT, associating them to drug adherence.

Methods

Settings

This was a cross-sectional study, conducted at the HTexclusive dispensing pharmacy of a public university hospital, specialized in women's health at the University of Campinas (UNICAMP, in the Portuguese acronym), Campinas, SP, Brazil, located in the countryside of Brazil. The pharmacist or a technician dispenses monthly the hormone therapy (tamoxifen, anastrozole and megestrol) and an oral chemotherapy (capecitabine and cyclophosphamide) to the patient herself or to another responsible person. Thus, the women were invited to participate in the study when they attended at the dispensing pharmacy after having received their oral HT medication from a pharmacist or a technician. The participants were selected in the study from Monday to Friday, the dispensing pharmacy's time, between June and October 2016. All women signed the informed consent form before being included in the study.

The inclusion criteria were having agreed to participate in the study and having signed the consent form; being > 18 years old, with no age limitation restriction; having started to, or already being treated with tamoxifen or AI during the study period; being followed at the hospital and being available to participate in the interview in person. The exclusion criteria were not being legally capable, and women who have had history of treatment for OAB with medication or history of urinary incontinence (UI) symptoms. Demographic data was collected from medical records, and the questionnaires were applied. The present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee (CAAE: 54977116.0.0000.5404).

Among the genitourinary symptoms evaluated were: (1) urinary incontinence defined as involuntary loss of urine, categorized in yes or no, presented in absolute numbers; (2) stress urinary incontinence (SUI), an urine leak that happens in situations with increased abdominal pressure, such as lifting weight, coughing and sneezing,¹¹ and (3) OAB syndrome, with urinary urgency, with or without UI, and no SUI, according to the International Continence Society (ICS) guideline.^{6,11}

Questionnaires

The International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire (ICIQ) overactive bladder (OAB) and short form (SF) were applied, identified and evaluated the genitourinary symptoms in women with BC. Women who answered positively to question number 3, "how often do you leak urine?" were considered with UI. A higher score indicates more severe UI (range 0-21). Both questionnaires are recommended by the ICS and they were validated in Portuguese.^{12,13} Women did not undergo diagnoses methods for UI and they did not take any medication to treat UI. Medication adherence was assessed by the self-reported Morisky-Green short version adherence questionnaire. It is a fouritem questionnaire with high reliability and validity, which has been particularly useful in chronic conditions. Each item in the questionnaire was responded as 0, Yes and 1, No. The sum of four-item score indicates the level of medication adherence, classified in high, medium or low.¹⁴

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by the Mann-Whitney test, linear regression, with variables transformed to posts, adjusted for duration of treatment. The Spearman correlation coefficient was used for age and duration of treatment. Multiple analysis with stepwise were used to evaluate the correlation of urinary symptoms, type of HT, adherence, age, and duration of treatment (months after starting the hormone therapy). The SAS System for Windows (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) was used to perform these tests. The significance value was 5%.

Results

Fifty-eight women were interviewed: 42 (72%) women were treated with tamoxifen and 16 (28%) women with AI (15 with anastrozole and 1 with exemestane), all of

which have agreed to participate in the study. The average age was 59 ± 12 years old in the tamoxifen group and 56 ± 11 years old in the AI group. Most women were Caucasian and had > 5 years of formal schooling. Eighty-

Table 1 Demographic data divided by hormone therapy

Characteristics Tamoxifen (n = 42) Aromatase inhibitor (n = 16) Age (years old) ($\chi \pm$ SD) 59 ± 12 56 ± 11 Ethnicity n(%) Caucasian 33 (78%) 15 (94%) Noncaucasian 9 (22%) 1 (6%) Schooling(years) n (%) \leq 8 22 (52%) 8 (50%) \geq 9 20 (48%) 8 (50%) Marital status n (%) With a partner 24 (57%) 4 (25%) Without a partner 18 (43%) 12 (75%) Smoking n (%) Yes/Ex-smoker 4 (10%) 2 (12%) No 38 (90%) 14 (88%) Physical activity (\geq 2x weekly) n (%) Yes 16 (38%) 8 (50%) No 26 (62%) 8 (50%) Histologic type n (%) Ductal invasive 27 (64%) 9 (56%) Others Others 15 (36%) 7 (44%) Previous treatment n (%)* Radiotherapy 29 (69%) 12 (75%) Chemotherapy 32 (76%) 11 61%) Stage 0-II 31 (74%) 8 (50%) II (14%) Neoadjuv	5 .		.,
Ethnicity n(%)Caucasian33 (78%)15 (94%)Noncaucasian9 (22%)1 (6%)Schooling(years) n (%) \leq 822 (52%)8 (50%) \geq 920 (48%)8 (50%)Marital status n (%) \vee \vee With a partner24 (57%)4 (25%)Without a partner18 (43%)12 (75%)Smoking n (%) \vee \vee Yes/Ex-smoker4 (10%)2 (12%)No38 (90%)14 (88%)Physical activity (\geq 2x weekly) n (%) \vee Yes16 (38%)8 (50%)No26 (62%)8 (50%)Histologic type n (%) \vee Ductal invasive27 (64%)9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)* \mathbb{P} Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Stage -11 31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment 25 ± 15 17 ± 25 (months) (χ month \pm SD) 26 (62%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Characteristics		inhibitor
Caucasian33 (78%)15 (94%)Noncaucasian9 (22%)1 (6%)Schooling(years) n (%) \leq 822 (52%)8 (50%) \geq 920 (48%)8 (50%)Marital status n (%) \vee 4 (25%)With a partner24 (57%)4 (25%)Without a partner18 (43%)12 (75%)Smoking n (%) \vee 2 (12%)No38 (90%)14 (88%)Physical activity (\geq 2x weekly) n (%) \vee 2 (12%)No26 (62%)8 (50%)No26 (62%)8 (50%)Histologic type n (%) \vee 9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)* \mathbb{R} 8 (50%)Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Stage -11 31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD)17 (40%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Age (years old) ($\chi\pm$ SD)	59 ± 12	56 ± 11
Noncaucasian9 (22%)1 (6%)Schooling(years) n (%) \leq 822 (52%)8 (50%) \geq 920 (48%)8 (50%)Marital status n (%) $=$ 24 (57%)4 (25%)With a partner24 (57%)4 (25%)Without a partner18 (43%)12 (75%)Smoking n (%)2 (12%)NoYes/Ex-smoker4 (10%)2 (12%)No38 (90%)14 (88%)Physical activity22 $(\geq$ 2x weekly) n (%) $=$ 16 (38%)8 (50%)No26 (62%)8 (50%)No26 (62%)9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)* $=$ Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Stage $=$ 0-II31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD) $=$ 17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Hupertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Ethnicity n(%)		
Schooling(years) n (%) ≤ 8 22 (52%) 8 (50%) ≥ 9 20 (48%) 8 (50%) Marital status n (%) With a partner 24 (57%) 4 (25%) Without a partner 18 (43%) 12 (75%) Smoking n (%) Yes/Ex-smoker 4 (10%) 2 (12%) No 38 (90%) 14 (88%) Physical activity (≥ 2x weekly) n (%) Yes 16 (38%) 8 (50%) No 26 (62%) 8 (50%) No 26 (62%) 8 (50%) Histologic type n (%) Puctal invasive 27 (64%) 9 (56%) Others 15 (36%) 7 (44%) Previous treatment n (%)* Radiotherapy 29 (69%) 12 (75%) Chemotherapy 32 (76%) 11 61%) Stage 0-II 31 (74%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) Type of treatment Adjuvant 40 (95%) 13 (81%) Neoadjuvant 2 (5%) 3 (19%) Duration of treatment (months) (χ month ± SD) High adherence 17 (40%) 9 (56%) Comorbidities n(%) 26 (62%) 9 (56%) Hypertension 15 (36%) 2 (12%) Diabetes 6 (14%) 1 (6%) Dyslipidemia 3 (7%) 2 (12%)	Caucasian	33 (78%)	15 (94%)
≤ 8 22 (52%) 8 (50%) ≥ 9 20 (48%) 8 (50%) Marital status n (%) With a partner 24 (57%) 4 (25%) Without a partner 18 (43%) 12 (75%) Smoking n (%) Yes/Ex-smoker 4 (10%) 2 (12%) No 38 (90%) 14 (88%) Physical activity (≥ 2x weekly) n (%) Yes 16 (38%) 8 (50%) No 26 (62%) 8 (50%) Histologic type n (%) Ductal invasive 27 (64%) 9 (56%) Others 15 (36%) 7 (44%) Previous treatment n (%)* Radiotherapy 29 (69%) 12 (75%) Chemotherapy 32 (76%) 11 61%) Stage 0-II 31 (74%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) Type of treatment Adjuvant 40 (95%) 13 (81%) Neoadjuvant 2 (5%) 3 (19%) Duration of treatment Adjuvant 40 (95%) 13 (81%) Neoadjuvant 2 (5%) 3 (19%) Duration of treatment (Month ± SD) High adherence 17 (40%) 9 (56%) Comorbidities n(%) 26 (62%) 9 (56%) Hypertension 15 (36%) 2 (12%) Diabetes 6 (14%) 1 (6%) Dyslipidemia 3 (7%) 2 (12%)	Noncaucasian	9 (22%)	1 (6%)
≥ 920 (48%)8 (50%)Marital status n (%)	Schooling(years) n (%)		
Marital status n (%)Vith a partner24 (57%)4 (25%)Without a partner18 (43%)12 (75%)Smoking n (%)Yes/Ex-smoker4 (10%)2 (12%)No38 (90%)14 (88%)Physical activity ($\geq 2x$ weekly) n (%)Yes16 (38%)8 (50%)Yes16 (38%)8 (50%)No26 (62%)8 (50%)Histologic type n (%)Uuctal invasive27 (64%)9 (56%)Outers15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)*Xadiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)11 61%)StageUuration of treatment31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)3 (19%)StageJi (74%)3 (81%)StageO-II31 (74%)8 (50%)13 (81%)StageJi (74%)9 (56%)Type of treatment2 (5%)3 (19%)Ji (81%)StageJi (26%)StageIII-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)13 (81%)StageStageJi (74%)9 (56%)Up of treatment2 (5%)3 (19%)StageStageStageStageUp of treatment2 (5%)3 (19%)StageStageStageStageUp of treatment2 (5%)3 (19%)StageStageStageUp of treatment2 (5%)3 (19%)StageStageStageHigh adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)StageStageStageHigh adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Stage <td>≤ 8</td> <td>22 (52%)</td> <td>8 (50%)</td>	≤ 8	22 (52%)	8 (50%)
With a partner24 (57%)4 (25%)Without a partner18 (43%)12 (75%)Smoking n (%) 2 (12%)Yes/Ex-smoker4 (10%)2 (12%)No38 (90%)14 (88%)Physical activity 2 x weekly) n (%) 2 (62%)8 (50%)Yes16 (38%)8 (50%)NoNo26 (62%)8 (50%)Histologic type n (%) 2 (64%)9 (56%)Outral invasive27 (64%)9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)* 2 2 Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage -11 31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)III-IV2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment2 5 \pm 1517 \pm 25(months) $(x month \pm SD)$ 2 $(26 (62%))$ 9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	\geq 9	20 (48%)	8 (50%)
Without a partner18 (43%)12 (75%)Smoking n (%) 2 (12%)No38 (90%)14 (88%)Physical activity 2 (12%)($\geq 2x$ weekly) n (%) 38 (90%)14 (88%)Physical activity 2 (62%) 8 (50%)No26 (62%) 8 (50%)No26 (62%) 9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)* 29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage -11 31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)Type of treatment 20 (55%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment 25 ± 15 17 ± 25 (months) $(\chi month \pm SD)$ -11 15 (36%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Marital status n (%)		
Smoking n (%) Yes/Ex-smoker 4 (10%) 2 (12%) No 38 (90%) 14 (88%) Physical activity (≥ 2x weekly) n (%) Yes 16 (38%) 8 (50%) No 26 (62%) 8 (50%) Histologic type n (%) Ductal invasive 27 (64%) 9 (56%) Others 15 (36%) 7 (44%) Previous treatment n (%)* Radiotherapy 29 (69%) 12 (75%) Chemotherapy 32 (76%) 11 61%) Stage 0-II 31 (74%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) Type of treatment Adjuvant 40 (95%) 13 (81%) Neoadjuvant 2 (5%) 3 (19%) Duration of treatment Adjuvant 40 (95%) 13 (81%) Neoadjuvant 2 (5%) 3 (19%) Duration of treatment Meioadjuvant 2 (5%) 9 (56%) (χ month ± SD) High adherence 17 (40%) 9 (56%) Comorbidities n(%) 26 (62%) 9 (56%) Hypertension 15 (36%) 2 (12%) Diabetes 6 (14%) 1 (6%) Dyslipidemia 3 (7%) 2 (12%)	With a partner	24 (57%)	4 (25%)
Yes/Ex-smoker4 (10%)2 (12%)No38 (90%)14 (88%)Physical activity ($\geq 2x$ weekly) n (%)7Yes16 (38%)8 (50%)No26 (62%)8 (50%)No26 (62%)8 (50%)Histologic type n (%)9 (56%)Ductal invasive27 (64%)9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)*Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage711 (26%)8 (50%)III-IV31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)3 (19%)Cheadjuvant2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD)17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Without a partner	18 (43%)	12 (75%)
No38 (90%)14 (88%)Physical activity (≥ 2x weekly) n (%)7Yes16 (38%)8 (50%)No26 (62%)8 (50%)Histologic type n (%)9 (56%)Ductal invasive27 (64%)9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)*Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage0-II31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)Type of treatment20 (55%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment25 ± 1517 ± 25(months) (χ month ± SD)17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Smoking n (%)		
Physical activity (\geq 2x weekly) n (%) Yes 16 (38%) 8 (50%) No 26 (62%) 8 (50%) Histologic type n (%) 26 (62%) 9 (56%) Ductal invasive 27 (64%) 9 (56%) Others 15 (36%) 7 (44%) Previous treatment n (%)* Radiotherapy 29 (69%) 12 (75%) Chemotherapy 29 (69%) 12 (75%) 11 61%) Stage 0-II 31 (74%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) Previation of treatment 20 (55%) 3 (19%) Neoadjuvant 20 (55%) 13 (81%) Neoadjuvant 20 (55%) 3 (19%) Duration of treatment 25 ± 15 17 ± 25 (months) 10 9 (56%) (χ month ± SD) 26 (62%) 9 (56%) High adherence 17 (40%) 9 (56%) Hypertension 15 (36%) 2 (12%) Diabetes 6 (14%) 1 (6%) Dyslipidemia 3 (7%) 2 (12%) </td <td>Yes/Ex-smoker</td> <td>4 (10%)</td> <td>2 (12%)</td>	Yes/Ex-smoker	4 (10%)	2 (12%)
(≥ 2x weekly) n (%) Yes 16 (38%) 8 (50%) No 26 (62%) 8 (50%) Histologic type n (%) Ductal invasive 27 (64%) 9 (56%) Others 15 (36%) 7 (44%) Previous treatment n (%)* Radiotherapy 29 (69%) 12 (75%) Chemotherapy 32 (76%) 11 61%) Stage 0-II 31 (74%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) Type of treatment Adjuvant 40 (95%) 13 (81%) Neoadjuvant 2 (5%) 3 (19%) Duration of treatment Migh adherence 17 (40%) 9 (56%) Comorbidities n(%) 26 (62%) 9 (56%) Hypertension 15 (36%) 2 (12%) Diabetes 6 (14%) 1 (6%) Dyslipidemia 3 (7%) 2 (12%)	No	38 (90%)	14 (88%)
No26 (62%)8 (50%)Histologic type n (%) 27 (64%)9 (56%)Ductal invasive27 (64%)9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)*Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage 0 -II31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)Type of treatment40 (95%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant20 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD)17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)			
Histologic type n (%)27 (64%)9 (56%)Ductal invasive27 (64%)9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)*Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage 0 -II31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)Type of treatment40 (95%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD)17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Yes	16 (38%)	8 (50%)
Ductal invasive27 (64%)9 (56%)Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)*29 (69%)12 (75%)Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage -11 31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)3 (19%)Neoadjuvant40 (95%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (χ month \pm SD)17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	No	26 (62%)	8 (50%)
Others15 (36%)7 (44%)Previous treatment n (%)*Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Radiotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage 0 -II31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)Type of treatment40 (95%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant20 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD)17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Histologic type n (%)		
Previous treatment n (%)* 29 (69%) 12 (75%) Radiotherapy 29 (69%) 12 (75%) Chemotherapy 32 (76%) 11 61%) Stage - - 0-II 31 (74%) 8 (50%) III-IV 11 (26%) 8 (50%) Type of treatment 40 (95%) 13 (81%) Neoadjuvant 20 (5%) 3 (19%) Duration of treatment 25 ± 15 17 ± 25 (months) - - (χ month ± SD) - - High adherence 17 (40%) 9 (56%) Comorbidities n(%) 26 (62%) 9 (56%) Hypertension 15 (36%) 2 (12%) Diabetes 6 (14%) 1 (6%) Dyslipidemia 3 (7%) 2 (12%)	Ductal invasive	27 (64%)	9 (56%)
Radiotherapy29 (69%)12 (75%)Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage11 (1%)8 (50%)III-IV31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)Type of treatment40 (95%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant20 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD)17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Others	15 (36%)	7 (44%)
Chemotherapy32 (76%)11 61%)Stage 0 -II31 (74%)8 (50%) 0 -II31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)Type of treatment40 (95%)13 (81%)Adjuvant40 (95%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD)17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Comorbidities n(%)26 (62%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Previous treatment n (%)*		
Stage0-II $31 (74\%)$ $8 (50\%)$ III-IV $11 (26\%)$ $8 (50\%)$ Type of treatment $40 (95\%)$ $13 (81\%)$ Adjuvant $40 (95\%)$ $13 (81\%)$ Neoadjuvant $2 (5\%)$ $3 (19\%)$ Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD) 17 ± 25 High adherence $17 (40\%)$ $9 (56\%)$ Comorbidities n(%) $26 (62\%)$ $9 (56\%)$ Hypertension $15 (36\%)$ $2 (12\%)$ Diabetes $6 (14\%)$ $1 (6\%)$ Dyslipidemia $3 (7\%)$ $2 (12\%)$	Radiotherapy	29 (69%)	12 (75%)
0-II31 (74%)8 (50%)III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)Type of treatment40 (95%)13 (81%)Adjuvant40 (95%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD)25 \pm 1517 \pm 25High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Comorbidities n(%)26 (62%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Chemotherapy	32 (76%)	11 61%)
III-IV11 (26%)8 (50%)Type of treatment40 (95%)13 (81%)Adjuvant40 (95%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD) 25 ± 15 17 ± 25 High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Comorbidities n(%)26 (62%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Stage		
Type of treatmentAdjuvant40 (95%)13 (81%)Neoadjuvant2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment 25 ± 15 17 ± 25 (months) $(\chi \mod \pm 5D)$ 17 (40%)9 (56%)High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Comorbidities n(%)26 (62%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	0-11	31 (74%)	8 (50%)
Adjuvant 40 (95%) 13 (81%) Neoadjuvant 2 (5%) 3 (19%) Duration of treatment 25 ± 15 17 ± 25 (months) (x month ± SD) 17 (40%) 9 (56%) High adherence 17 (40%) 9 (56%) Comorbidities n(%) 26 (62%) 9 (56%) Hypertension 15 (36%) 2 (12%) Diabetes 6 (14%) 1 (6%) Dyslipidemia 3 (7%) 2 (12%)	III-IV	11 (26%)	8 (50%)
Neoadjuvant2 (5%)3 (19%)Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD) 25 ± 15 17 ± 25 High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Comorbidities n(%)26 (62%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Type of treatment		
Duration of treatment (months) (χ month \pm SD) 25 ± 15 17 ± 25 High adherence17 (40%)9 (56%)Comorbidities n(%)26 (62%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Adjuvant	40 (95%)	13 (81%)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Neoadjuvant	2 (5%)	3 (19%)
Comorbidities n(%)26 (62%)9 (56%)Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	(months)	25 ± 15	17 ± 25
Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	High adherence	17 (40%)	9 (56%)
Hypertension15 (36%)2 (12%)Diabetes6 (14%)1 (6%)Dyslipidemia3 (7%)2 (12%)	Comorbidities n(%)	26 (62%)	9 (56%)
Dyslipidemia 3 (7%) 2 (12%)	Hypertension	15 (36%)	
Dyslipidemia 3 (7%) 2 (12%)			
	Dyslipidemia	x	
	Hypothyroidism	4 (9%)	1 (6%)

Abbreviations: $\chi\pm$ SD - average \pm standard deviation; HT, hormone therapy.

*In previous treatment, were included cases of women who underwent another therapy before beginning the HT.

 Table 2
 Symptoms and questionnaires divided by type of hormone therapy

Symptoms n (%)	Tamoxifen (n = 42)	Aromatase inhibitor (n = 16)	p-value
ICIQ-SF (±SD)	$\textbf{4.6} \pm \textbf{6.1}$	$\textbf{3.4} \pm \textbf{5.2}$	0.7534
SUI [n (%)]	11 (26%)	4 (25%)	
UI [n (%)]	20 (47%)	7 (44%)	
ICIQ-OAB (\pm SD)	11.0 ± 14.9	$\textbf{6.7} \pm \textbf{7.6}$	0.9149
OAB [n (%)]	10 (24%)	4 (25%)	

Abbreviations: \pm SD, average \pm standard deviation; ICIQ-OAB, International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire Overactive Bladder Module; ICIQ-SF, International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire - Short Form; OAB, overactive bladder; SUI, stress urinary incontinence; UI, urinary incontinence.

nine percent (n = 52) of patients did not smoke. The duration of treatment varied between groups and inside each group, with women both in the first and final months of treatment. High adherence was higher in the AI group. At the initial diagnosis, most diagnosed women had ductal invasive BC (36; 62%), classified as stage 0-II (39; 67%) and had hormone therapy (HT) as an adjuvant treatment (53; 91%) (> Table 1). Twenty-seven women (46.5%) presented UI symptoms, 15 women (25.8%) had SUI symptoms with involuntary loss "when I cough or sneeze" and 14 (24.1%) women had symptoms of OAB (characterized by answering "urge to urinate and have to run to the bathroom"). There were no associations with the presence of OAB and duration of treatment (p = 0.6608). The ICIQ-SF scores in BC women using HT were between 11 and 17 from a maximum of 21, and the ICIQ-OAB scores were between 19 and 55 from a maximum of 56. When we consider a type of HT, 47% using tamoxifen and 44% using AI mentioned UI. According to the ICIQ-SF and ICIQ-OAB scores, there were no differences in the severity of SUI and OAB in the 2 groups (p = 0.7534 and 0.9149) (**Table 2**). The multiple analysis showed that women with higher scores in the ICIQ-SF questionnaire had low/medium adherence (odds ratio [OR] = 4.263; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.275–14.254, p = 0.0186) and were older (OR = 1.055; 95% CI: 1.000–1.112, *p* = 0.0491). No significant associations were found among type of HT (OR = 1.133, 95% CI: 0.347-3.706, *p* = 0.8360) nor duration of treatments (OR = 1.010; 95% CI: 0.982–1.040, p = 0.4846) with both ICIQ-SF and ICIQ-OAB scores, adjusted for age. **- Table 2** shows the symptoms percentages and questionnaires averages for each type of HT.

Discussion

The present study found a high prevalence on the sample of urinary symptoms in BC women using both HT treatments (tamoxifen and AI) and showed that high scores in urinary questionnaires were associated to incomplete adherence to HT, suggesting an association between severity of urinary symptoms and less adherence to treatment. Also, women from different ethnicities were considered across the place of study (Brazil), as it is a country of great miscegenation.

The present study found many women with urinary incontinence symptoms, with more than half having SUI. There was also a considerable number of women with OAB symptoms, higher than in a study with same geographic characteristics and age (average age 52.5 years old) that found 23.6% of women with UI, 6.4% with SUI and 7.8% with urinary urgency.⁷ However, these numbers vary a lot considering the age groups.^{15,16} The present study also found high scores of SUI and OAB, indicating the severity of symptoms in this population. Estrogen is the one female hormone that influences the voiding function of the bladder. Animal and epidemiologic studies related estrogen deficiency and OAB symptoms, because OAB could be explained as a storage symptom disorder.⁹ As the purpose of oral HT is to decrease estrogen levels and its effect on BC tumor cells, it induces hypoestrogenism and therefore worsens the urinary symptoms.^{7,8}

These urinary symptoms were associated with older age and incomplete adherence. The symptoms can be affecting the way women see their treatment and how compliant they are. It was not correlated to time of treatment, indicating that these symptoms can appear at any moment, and not only if the woman is being treated for a long time. A large Taiwanese population study compared women from 18 to 40 years old with BC being treated with HT with a control group without cancer, and observed that the women being treated had higher incidence of OAB symptoms in the 1st 3 years of treatment than the control group, indicating the role of estrogen in voiding function.⁹ The present study compared two oral HTs, and observed the symptoms, with no difference between them, and in all times of treatment, with no difference as well.

Limited information about UI symptoms comparing the most used oral HTs increases the importance of the present research. The present study also aimed to collect some information on this topic at a reference women's health hospital. In this context, the present study reinforces the importance of a correct evaluation of the therapy side effects and the adherence of the patient.

The limitations of the present study include a difference between number of interviewed women in each group. The number of women being treated with tamoxifen on this study is three times bigger than that being treated with AI. So it is more probable to interview women in treatment with tamoxifen than with AI. Also, the interviews could only be performed during the time the researcher was at the distribution pharmacy and with the woman being treated, and not with other people that retrieved the medication with the prescription. Some demographic data was retrieved from medical records, and not from the interviewer. Another limitation is that the study design, being a cross-sectional study, prevents proving causality between urinary symptoms and HT. Future prospective studies are necessary to better establish this association. Pain and other side effects of the studied drugs are not considered in the present study. As with most BC studies and treatment, further studies with a control group, stratified by women without HT with a large sample size are necessary. Other studies considering all side effects of these drugs must be performed.

Conclusion

The present study showed a high prevalence of urinary symptoms, including SUI and OAB, in women with BC being treated with HT. The severity of urinary symptoms was associated with incomplete adherence and age in women without difference between the two HTs. Health professionals should be alert to these symptoms, since they could be an influence in quality of life and compliance to this treatment.

Contributors

All of the authors participated in the concept and design of the present study; analysis and interpretation of data; drafting or revising of the manuscript, and they have approved the manuscript as submitted. All authors are responsible for the reported research.

Funding

The present study was supported by the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES, in the Portuguese acronym) [grant number: 130466/2016-6].

Conflict of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

Acknowledgments

To the team of hormone therapy pharmacy at CAISM and to the research group in Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Care of UNICAMP.

References

- 1 Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, et al. GLOBOCAN 2012: estimated cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide in 2012 v1.0 [Internet]. Lyon: IARC; 2013 [cited 2019 Jun 19]. Available from: https://publications.iarc.fr/Databases/larc-Cancerbases/GLOBOCAN-2012-Estimated-Cancer-Incidence-Mortality-And-Prevalence-Worldwide-In-2012-V1.0-2012
- 2 União Internacional Contra o Câncer. Manual de oncologia clínica da UICC. 8a ed. São Paulo: Fundação Oncocentro de São Paulo; 2006
- 3 Burstein HJ, Temin S, Anderson H, Buchholz TA, Davidson NA, Gelmon KE, et al. Adjuvant endocrine therapy for women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer: american society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline focused update. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(21):2255–2269. Doi: 10.1200/JCO.2013.54.2258
- 4 Osborne CK. Tamoxifen in the treatment of breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(22):1609–1618. Doi: 10.1056/NEJM199811263392207

- ⁵ Cuzick J, Sestak I, Forbes JF, Dowsett M, Knox J, Cawthorn S, et al; IBIS-II investigators. Anastrozole for prevention of breast cancer in high-risk postmenopausal women (IBIS-II): an international, double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2014; 383(9922):1041–1048. Doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62292-8
- 6 Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, Griffiths D, Rosier P, Ulmsten U, et al; Standardisation Sub-committee of the International Continence Society. The standardisation of terminology of lower urinary tract function: report from the Standardisation Sub-committee of the International Continence Society. Neurourol Urodyn. 2002;21 (02):167–178. Doi: 10.1002/nau.10052
- 7 Juliato CR, Baccaro LF, Pedro AO, Costa-Paiva L, Lui-Filho J, Pinto-Neto AM. Subjective urinary urgency in middle age women: A population-based study. Maturitas. 2016;85:82–87. Doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2015.12.009
- 8 Baumgart J, Nilsson K, Stavreus-Evers A, Kask K, Villman K, Lindman H, et al. Urogenital disorders in women with adjuvant endocrine therapy after early breast cancer. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011;204(01):26.e1–26.e7. Doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2010.08.035
- 9 Cheng CL, Li JR, Lin CH, de Groat WC. Positive association of female overactive bladder symptoms and estrogen deprivation: A nationwide population-based cohort study in Taiwan. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95(28):e4107. Doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000004107
- 10 Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG). Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet. 2005;365(9472):1687–1717. Doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66544-0
- 11 Haylen BT, Maher CF, Barber MD, et al. An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA) / International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic organ prolapse (POP). Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2016;27 (02):165–194. Doi: 10.1007/s00192-015-2932-1
- 12 Pereira SB, Thiel RdoR, Riccetto C, Silva JM, Pereira LC, Herrmann V, Palma P. [Validation of the International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire Overactive Bladder (ICIQ-OAB) for Portuguese]. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet. 2010;32(06):273–278. Doi: 10.1590/S0100-72032010000600004
- 13 Tamanini JTN, Dambros M, D'Ancona CAL, Palma PCR, Rodrigues Netto N Jr. [Validation of the "International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire–Short Form" (ICIQ-SF) for Portuguese]. Rev Saude Publica. 2004;38(03):438–444. Doi: 10.1590/ S0034-89102004000300015
- 14 Morisky DE, Green LW, Levine DM. Concurrent and predictive validity of a self-reported measure of medication adherence. Med Care. 1986;24(01):67–74. Doi: 10.1097/00005650-198601000-00007
- 15 Reigota RB, Pedro AO, de Souza Santos Machado V, Costa-Paiva L, Pinto-Neto AM. Prevalence of urinary incontinence and its association with multimorbidity in women aged 50 years or older: A population-based study. Neurourol Urodyn. 2016;35(01):62–68. Doi: 10.1002/nau.22679
- 16 Soler R, Gomes CM, Averbeck MA, Koyama M. The prevalence of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) in Brazil: Results from the epidemiology of LUTS (Brazil LUTS) study. Neurourol Urodyn. 2018;37(04):1356–1364. Doi: 10.1002/nau.23446