



Original article

# Frequencies of polymorphisms of the Rh, Kell, Kidd, Duffy and Diego systems of Santa Catarina, Southern Brazil



Daiane Cobianchi Costa<sup>a</sup>, Alessandra Arruda Schinaider<sup>a</sup>, Thais Mattos Santos<sup>a</sup>,  
Everaldo José Schörner<sup>b</sup>, Daniel Simon<sup>c</sup>, Sharbel Weidner Maluf<sup>a</sup>,  
Ana Carolina Rabello de Moraes<sup>a</sup>, Maria Claudia Silva Silva<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Hemocentro de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Canoas, RS, Brazil

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 25 October 2015

Accepted 11 April 2016

Available online 3 May 2016

### Keywords:

Genotype

Blood group

Blood donors

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Red blood cell genes are highly polymorphic with the distribution of alleles varying between different populations and ethnic groups. The objective of this study was to investigate gene polymorphisms of blood groups in the state of Santa Catarina, Southern Brazil.

**Methods:** Three hundred and seventy-three unrelated blood donors and 31 transfusion-dependent patients were evaluated to investigate polymorphisms of the Rh, Kell, Duffy, Kidd, and Diego blood group systems in a population from the state of Santa Catarina. The subjects, from seven regions that comprise the blood-banking network of the state, were assessed between August 2011 and March 2014. The genotypes of the Rh, Kell, Duffy, Kidd, and Diego systems were determined using the restriction fragment length polymorphism-polymerase chain reaction and allele-specific polymerase chain reaction techniques.

**Results:** The genotype frequencies in this study were significantly different when populations from different regions of Santa Catarina were compared. Furthermore, there were also significant differences in the genetic frequencies compared to other Brazilian states. The genotype frequencies of the Kell and Kidd blood groups are similar to European populations from Naples, Italy and Zurich, Switzerland.

**Conclusion:** This article reports for the first time the frequency of polymorphisms of blood group systems in blood donors from Santa Catarina, Southern Brazil.

© 2016 Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

\* Corresponding author at: Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Pós-Graduação em Farmácia, Campus Trindade, 88040-900 Florianópolis, SC, Brazil.

E-mail address: [maria.claudia.silva@ufsc.br](mailto:maria.claudia.silva@ufsc.br) (M.C.S. Silva).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjhh.2016.04.005>

1516-8484/© 2016 Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

According to the International Society of Blood Transfusion (ISBT), there are about 340 antigens, 308 of which are clustered into 36 blood group systems.<sup>1,2</sup> For genotyping purposes, the causative polymorphism of red cell antigens are studied at the molecular level.<sup>3</sup> Knowing the molecular basis of these genes is important to develop molecular biology methods, identify new mutations, understand polymorphisms, and discover new alleles and new systems.<sup>4,5</sup>

Red blood cell (RBC) genes are highly polymorphic. Typing of RBC polymorphisms at the DNA level is important in transfusion medicine to create an inventory of donor units suitable for patients with rare phenotypes and to select appropriate blood units for multi-transfused patients.<sup>6,7</sup> Furthermore, it is useful to identify donors for the preparation of reagents in RBC panels used to detect or identify antibodies.<sup>8</sup> Additionally, knowing the RBC polymorphisms of repeat blood donors can contribute to the understanding of the distribution of these polymorphisms in a population.

Molecular methods are applied in some countries to identify blood groups.<sup>8–13</sup> Furthermore, there are well-established blood group genotyping protocols validated for the Brazilian population (e.g. Paraná State in Southern Brazil).<sup>14–17</sup> However, due to the ethnic diversity in the country, blood group polymorphisms should be investigated in the different regions of Brazil. For instance, no study has been published to date on the population of the state of Santa Catarina, Southern Brazil. Hence, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the frequencies of polymorphisms of clinically important blood group systems [Rh, Kell (KEL), Duffy (FY), Kidd (JK), and Diego (Di)] in a population from Santa Catarina.

## Methods

### Donor samples

Three hundred and seventy-three blood donors were randomly selected from the Hemocentro de Santa Catarina (HEMOSC) between August 2011 and March 2014. All subjects provided written consent before participating in this study. This study was carried out in accordance with the standards recommended by the Ethics Committee on Human Research of the Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC).

The population studied was selected from seven different regions of the state of Santa Catarina and was a representative sample of the state's blood-banking network.

### Patient samples

Thirty-one samples from the pool of transfusion-dependent patients were analyzed. The patients were split into sickle cell disease (SCD –  $n=11$ ), myelodysplastic syndrome ( $n=5$ ), leukemia ( $n=5$ ),  $\beta$ -thalassemia major ( $n=3$ ), and anemia ( $n=7$ ). All patients had received at least three transfusions in the previous three months. Every patient provided written consent.

## Molecular typing

### Genomic DNA extraction

To obtain the DNA, 5 mL of peripheral blood were collected in tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and centrifuged (2500 rpm for 10 min) to obtain the Buffy coat. The DNA was extracted using QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen®, Chatsworth, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions.

### RHD, RHCE, Kell, Duffy, Kidd, and Diego genotyping

Primers and amplification conditions have been described previously.<sup>18,19</sup> RHCE<sup>\*C/c</sup> and RHD genotyping was performed by multiplex assay,<sup>20</sup> and the RHD<sup>\*pseudogene</sup> (RHD<sup>\* $\psi$</sup> , RHD<sup>\*04N.01</sup>) was detected using allele-specific polymerase chain reaction.<sup>21</sup> RHCE<sup>\*E/e</sup>, KEL<sup>\*01.1/KEL\*02</sup>, FY<sup>\*01/FY\*02</sup>, FY<sup>\*02N.01</sup> (GATA-1 mutation), JK<sup>\*01/JK\*02</sup> (SLC14A1 gene), and DI<sup>\*01/DI\*02</sup> genotyping was performed using the restriction fragment length polymorphism-polymerase chain reaction (RFLP-PCR) technique according to protocols previously described in the literature<sup>22–24</sup> with some modifications. The PCR used 200 ng of DNA, 50 pmol of each primer, 2 nmol of each dNTP, 1.0U of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen Life Technologies®, Grand Island, NY, USA), and buffer to make up a final volume of 50  $\mu$ L. The amplification cycles were performed in a Mastercycler® Personal Thermal Cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and consisted of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min and 35 cycles of 20 s at 94 °C, 20 s at 62 °C, and 20 s at 72 °C, followed by an extension step of 10 min at 72 °C. The products obtained RHCE<sup>\*E/e</sup>, KEL<sup>\*01.1/KEL\*02</sup>, FY<sup>\*01/FY\*02</sup>, FY<sup>\*02N.01</sup> (GATA-1 mutation), JK<sup>\*01/JK\*02</sup> (SLC14A1 gene), and DI<sup>\*01/DI\*02</sup> PCR were digested overnight with their respective restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs Beverly, MA, USA): Bsm I, Ban I, Sty I, Mnl I, and Msp I, in final volumes of 20  $\mu$ L, using 10  $\mu$ L of PCR product and 10  $\mu$ L of the enzyme/buffer mixture, following the manufacturer's instructions. Analyses of mutations in the GATA-1 gene and in the other alleles [RHCE<sup>\*E/e</sup>, KEL<sup>\*01.1/KEL\*02</sup>, FY<sup>\*01/FY\*02</sup>, JK<sup>\*01/JK\*02</sup> (SLC14A1 gene), and DI<sup>\*01/DI\*02</sup>] were performed after electrophoresis in 10% polyacrylamide gel stained with GelRed<sup>TM</sup> (Biotium Inc., Hayward, CA, USA).

### Statistical analysis

Genotypic frequencies observed were compared with the frequencies expected by the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium using the chi-square test. A comparison of genotypic and allelic frequencies was achieved by the chi-square test with Yate's correction using the Openepi software or, where appropriate, Fisher's exact test with a  $2 \times 2$  contingency table using the Simple Interactive Statistical Analysis (SISA) software.<sup>24</sup> A  $p$ -value  $< 0.05$  was considered significant.

## Results

Three hundred and seventy-three unrelated healthy O blood group donors from the seven different regions that make up

the blood-banking network in the state of Santa Catarina were selected for this study. Of all donors, 105 (28.2%) were from Florianópolis, 47 (12.6%) were from Blumenau, 55 (14.7%) were from Lages, 36 (9.7%) were from Chapecó, 58 (15.5%) were from Criciúma, 33 (8.8%) were from Joaçaba, and 39 (10.5%) were from Joinville.

The clinically important genotype and allele frequencies of the RH, KEL, FY, JK, and Di blood group systems of the population are shown in Table 1. The population was found to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for all the analyzed genes.

Table 2 shows the analysis of the genotype and allele frequencies of blood donors from the seven regions of Santa Catarina.

The frequencies were compared with other Brazilian and European populations (Tables 3 and 4, respectively).

Statistical differences were observed on comparing these results with other Brazilian populations. The genotypes RHCE\*Ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, FY\*01/FY\*01, JK\*01/JK\*01, and JK\*02/02 were more prevalent in the population from the state of Santa Catarina compared to the state of São Paulo. In addition, the RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*cc, RHCE\*ee, FY\*01/FY\*02, FY\*01/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02/FY\*02, FY\*02/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02.N01/FY\*02.N01, and JK\*01/JK\*02 genotypes were more common in the population from the state of São Paulo.

When the data were compared with the state of Bahia, only the frequencies of the KEL and Di systems did not differ and a difference was observed only for the FY system in respect to the state of Paraná.

When the data were compared with European populations, only the RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*EE, RHCE\*ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*01.1, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, KEL\*02/KEL\*02 JK\*01/JK\*01, and DI\*01/DI\*01 genotypes did not differ statistically (Table 4).

A significant difference was also observed among the regions of Santa Catarina as is shown in Table 2.

## Discussion

Knowing RBC polymorphisms in a population is important and has been of interest mainly in the fields of transfusion medicine and anthropology. Several studies have been conducted with the aim of knowing the genotype frequencies in various populations from different countries, including Brazil.

The state of Santa Catarina is located in the southern region of Brazil and, according to the Brazilian census department (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística - IBGE), the state has 6,634,254 inhabitants, about 3.3% of the Brazilian population.<sup>25</sup> According to Manta et al.,<sup>26</sup> the population of the state of Santa Catarina is predominantly of European origin (79.7%), with a contribution of Africans (11.4%) and Amerindians (8.9%).

Statistical differences were observed on comparing the population from Santa Catarina with populations from other states in Brazil (Table 3). Santa Catarina and Paraná<sup>17</sup> had similar results for the Rh, KEL, JK, and Di blood group systems with differences only for the FY system, which shows that these two populations are genetically similar probably due to a similar miscegenation pattern. However, the difference observed for the FY system shows that, despite this similarity, they may have greater influences by different ethnic groups.

Differences were also observed between the genotype frequencies of Santa Catarina and São Paulo<sup>15,27</sup> (Table 3). These differences can be explained by the high population density in

**Table 1 – Genotype and allele frequencies for Rh, Kell, Duffy, Kidd and Diego systems observed in a population of 373 voluntary blood donors and 31 patients from southern Brazil.**

System	Genotype	Frequency n (%)		Allele	Frequency	
		373 Donors	31 Patients		Donors	Patients
Rh	RHCE*C/C	60 (16.1)	9 (29.0)	RHCE*C	0.37	0.55
	RHCE*C/c	159 (42.6)	16 (51.6)	RHCE*c	0.63	0.45
	RHCE*c/c	154 (41.3)	6 (19.4)			
	RHCE*E/E	10 (2.7)	1 (3.2)	RHCE*E	0.15	0.13
	RHCE*E/e	95 (25.5)	6 (19.24)	RHCE*e	0.85	0.87
	RHCE*e/e	268 (71.8)	24 (77.4)			
Kell	KEL*01.1/KEL*01.1	0 (0)	1 (3.0)	KEL*01.1	0.03	0.03
	KEL*01.1/KEL*02	23 (6.0)	2 (6.0)	KEL*02	0.97	0.97
	KEL*02/KEL*02	350 (94.0)	28 (90.0)			
Duffy	FY*01/FY*01	176 (47.2)	11 (35.5)	FY*01	0.41	0.35
	FY*01/FY*02	57 (15.3)	3 (9.7)	FY*02	0.54	0.57
	FY*01/FY*02N.01	12 (3.2)	5 (16.1)	FY*02N.01	0.05	0.08
	FY*02/FY*02	107 (28.7)	12 (38.7)			
	FY*02/FY*02N.01	14 (3.8)	0 (0)			
	FY*02N.01/FY*02N.01	7 (1.8)	0 (0)			
Kidd	JK*01/JK*01	110 (29.5)	10 (32.0)	JK*01	0.54	0.61
	JK*01/JK*02	176 (47.2)	18 (58.0)	JK*02	0.46	0.39
	JK*02/JK*02	87 (23.3)	3 (10.0)			
Diego	DI*01/DI*01	0 (0)	0 (0)	DI*01	0.03	0.06
	DI*01/DI*02	21 (5.6)	4 (12.9)	DI*02	0.97	0.94
	DI*02/DI*02	352 (94.4)	27 (87.1)			

**Table 2 – Genotype frequencies and alleles for the Rh, Kell, Duffy, Kidd and Diego systems in voluntary blood donors from seven regions of the state of Santa Catarina.**

Genotype	FLN n = 105	BLU n = 47	LGS n = 55	CPO n = 36	CRI n = 58	JOA n = 33	JOI n = 39
<b>Rh System</b>							
RHCE*CC	0.14 (15)	0.15 (7)	0.16 (9)	0.19 (7)	0.10 (6)	0.24 (8)	0.21 (8)
RHCE*Cc	0.49 (50)	0.36 (17)	0.42 (23)	0.50 (18)	0.33 (19)	0.43 (14)	0.46 (18)
RHCE*cc	0.38 (40)	0.49 (23)	0.42 (23)	0.31 (11)	0.57 (33)	0.33 (11)	0.33 (13)
RHCE*C	0.39	0.33	0.33	0.44	0.27	0.45	0.44
RHCE*c	0.61	0.67	0.64	0.56	0.73	0.55	0.56
RHCE*EE	0 (0)	0.02 (1)	0.04 (2)	0.06 (2)	0.09 (5)	0.06 (2)	0 (0)
RHCE*Ee	0.26 (27)	0.30 (14)	0.29 (16)	0.28 (10)	0.24 (14)	0.82 (27)	0.25 (10)
RHCE*ee	0.74 (78)	0.68 (32)	0.67 (37)	0.67 (24)	0.67 (39)	0.12 (4)	0.74 (29)
RHCE*E	0.13	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.21	0.47	0.13
RHCE*e	0.87	0.83	0.82	0.81	0.79	0.53	0.87
<b>Kell System</b>							
KEL*01.1/KEL*01.1	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
KEL*01.1/KEL*02	0.08 (8)	0.09 (4)	0.13 (7)	0.03 (1)	0.02 (1)	0.03 (1)	0.03 (1)
KEL*02/KEL*02	0.92 (97)	0.91 (43)	0.87 (48)	0.97 (35)	0.98 (57)	0.97 (32)	0.97 (38)
KEL*01.1	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
KEL*02	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
<b>Duffy System</b>							
FY*01/FY*01	0.11 (12)	0.15 (7)	0.11 (6)	0.22 (8)	0.16 (9)	0.16 (5)	0.23 (9)
FY*01/FY*02	0.50 (52)	0.47 (22)	0.45 (25)	0.50 (18)	0.47 (27)	0.39 (13)	0.49 (19)
FY*01/FY*02.N01	0.00 (0)	0.04 (2)	0.07 (4)	0.03 (1)	0.07 (4)	0.03 (1)	0.00 (0)
FY*02/FY*02	0.32 (34)	0.30 (14)	0.31 (17)	0.19 (7)	0.26 (15)	0.33 (11)	0.26 (10)
FY*02/FY*02.N01	0.06 (6)	0.02 (1)	0.04 (2)	0.06 (2)	0.03 (2)	0.03 (1)	0.00 (0)
FY*02.N01/FY*02.N01	0.01(1)	0.02 (1)	0.02 (1)	0.00 (0)	0.01 (1)	0.06 (2)	0.02 (1)
FY*01	0.36	0.40	0.37	0.49	0.42	0.36	0.47
FY*02	0.60	0.54	0.55	0.47	0.51	0.55	0.50
FY*02.N01	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.03
<b>Kidd System</b>							
JK*01/JK*01	0.26 (27)	0.49 (22)	0.31 (17)	0.19 (7)	0.31 (18)	0.30 (10)	0.23 (9)
JK*01/JK*02	0.51 (54)	0.33 (16)	0.49 (27)	0.61 (22)	0.38 (22)	0.45 (15)	0.51 (20)
JK*02/JK*02	0.23 (24)	0.18 (9)	0.20 (11)	0.20 (7)	0.31 (18)	0.24 (8)	0.26 (10)
JK*01	0.51	0.64	0.55	0.50	0.50	0.53	0.49
JK*02	0.49	0.36	0.45	0.50	0.50	0.47	0.51
<b>Diego System</b>							
DI*01/DI*01	0.00 (0)	0.00 (0)	0.00 (0)	0.00 (0)	0.00 (0)	0.00 (0)	0.00 (0)
DI*01/DI*02	0.06 (6)	0.09 (4)	0.05 (3)	0.11 (4)	0.02 (1)	0.03 (1)	0.05 (2)
DI*02/DI*02	0.94 (99)	0.91 (43)	0.95 (52)	0.89 (32)	0.98 (57)	0.97 (32)	0.95 (37)
DI*01	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.03
DI*02	0.97	0.96	0.97	0.94	0.99	0.98	0.97

FLN: Florianópolis; BLU: Blumenau; LGS: Lages; CPO: Chapecó; CRI: Criciúma; JOA: Joaçaba; JOI: Joinville.  
 Figures shown as n (%); \*p-value < 0.05.

the state of São Paulo, Southeastern Brazil, and the continuous immigration from the country's northeastern region. A study by Manta et al.<sup>26</sup> showed that the genetic composition of the state of São Paulo comprises Europeans (61.9%), Blacks (25.5%), and Amerindians (11.6%). This percentage of Blacks may explain the statistically significant difference observed between the studies for the FY\*01/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02/FY\*02.N01, and FY\*02.N01/FY\*02.N01 genotypes. The FY\*02.N01 allele is rare in Caucasian and Asian populations and is more common in Africans.<sup>28-30</sup>

The genotype frequencies in Santa Catarina were also different to the population of Bahia. This analysis aimed to show the difficulty in finding compatibility for sickle cell patients. Although it was expected that sickle cell patients in this study

(35.5% of the sample) would have greater compatibility with the Brazilian Northeast, frequencies differed for the RHCE\*CC, RHCE\*cc, FY\*01/FY\*01, FY\*02/FY\*02, FY\*02/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02.N01/FY\*02.N01, JK\*A/JK\*A, DI\*01/DI\*02, and DI\*02/DI\*02 genotypes.

Further comparisons were performed to analyze the similarity between the genotype frequencies found in this study and frequencies in European populations.<sup>20,31,32</sup> In general, the genotype frequencies of Santa Catarina were different however, some populations (Naples, Italy and Zurich, Switzerland) were similar in respect to the KEL and JK systems. The difference observed for the Di system might be explained by the Amerindian influence in the Brazilian population.<sup>24</sup> According to a genetic study published by Manta et al.,<sup>26</sup>

**Table 3 – Genotype and allele frequencies of Santa Catarina compared with other states of Brazil.**

Genotype	SC n = 373	PR n = 400	SP-POP1 n = 948	SP-POP2 n = 250	SP-POP3 n = 308	BA n = 196
<b>Rh System</b>						
RHCE*CC	0.16 (60)	0.18 (72)	0.17 (161)	0.09 (22)	0.18 (57)	0.10 (20)*
RHCE*Cc	0.43 (159)	0.42 (172)	0.49 (465)*	0.33 (82)	0.40 (123)	0.40 (78)*
RHCE*cc	0.41 (154)	0.40 (160)	0.34 (322)	0.58 (146)*	0.42 (128)	0.50 (98)*
RHCE*C	0.37	0.38	0.42	0.25	0.39	0.30
RHCE*c	0.63	0.61	0.58	0.75	0.61	0.70
RHCE*EE	0.03 (10)	0.02 (8)	0.02 (19)	0.02 (6)	0.02 (7)	0.02 (4)*
RHCE*Ee	0.25 (95)	0.26 (104)	0.26 (246)	0.10 (25)*	0.20 (61)*	0.19 (37)*
RHCE*ee	0.72 (268)	0.72 (288)	0.72 (683)	0.88 (219)*	0.78 (240)*	0.79 (155)*
RHCE*E	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.07	0.12	0.11
RHCE*e	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.93	0.88	0.89
<b>Kell System</b>						
KEL*01.1/KEL*01.1	0 (0)	0.01 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
KEL*01.1/KEL*02	0.06 (23)	0.04 (20)	0.05 (47)	0.09 (23)	0.03 (7)*	0.04 (7)
KEL*02/KEL*02	0.94 (350)	0.95 (379)	0.95 (901)	0.91 (227)	0.97 (301)	0.96 (189)
KEL*01.1	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.02
KEL*02	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.95	0.99	0.98
<b>Duffy System</b>						
FY*01/FY*01	0.47 (176)	0.12 (50)*	0.12 (114)*	0.14 (34)*	0.12 (36)*	0.05 (9)*
FY*01/FY*02	0.15 (57)	0.40 (157)*	0.45 (426)*	0.27 (68)*	0.34 (105)*	0.22 (43)*
FY*01/FY*02.N01	0.03 (12)	0.08 (35)*	0.03 (29)*	0.11 (28)*	0.08 (25)*	0.18 (36)
FY*02/FY*02	0.29 (107)	0.26 (105)	0.38 (362)*	0.33 (82)	0.27 (83)	0.10 (19)*
FY*02/FY*02.N01	0.04 (14)	0.10 (43)*	0.84 (8)*	0.01 (3)*	0.12 (36)*	0.22 (43)*
FY*02.N01/FY*02.N01	0.02 (7)	0.02 (10)	0.01 (9)	0.14 (35)*	0.08 (23)*	0.23 (46)*
FY*01	0.41	0.36	0.36	0.44	0.42	0.20
FY*02	0.54	0.51	0.61	0.25	0.31	0.40
FY*02.N01	0.05	0.12	0.03	0.31	0.27	0.39
<b>Kidd System</b>						
JK*01/JK*01	0.29 (110)	0.27 (109)	0.28 (265)	0.22 (54)*	0.34 (105)	0.38 (74)*
JK*01/JK*02	0.47 (176)	0.48 (192)	0.52 (493)	0.64 (161)*	0.46 (143)	0.48 (94)
JK*02/JK*02	0.24 (87)	0.25 (99)	0.20 (190)	0.14 (35)*	0.20 (60)	0.14 (28)*
JK*01	0.54	0.51	0.54	0.54	0.57	0.62
JK*02	0.46	0.48	0.46	0.46	0.43	0.38
<b>Diego System</b>						
DI*01/DI*01	0 (0)	–	0 (0)	–	0 (1)	0 (0)
DI*01/DI*02	0.05 (21)	–	0.04 (38)	–	0.04 (10)	0.03 (6)
DI*02/DI*02	0.94 (352)	–	0.96 (910)	–	0.96 (297)	0.97 (190)
DI*01	0.03	–	0.02	–	0.01	0.02
DI*02	0.97	–	0.98	–	0.99	0.98

SC: population from state of Santa Catarina, Southern Brazil (reference).

PR: Mixed Brazilian population from state of Parana, Southern Brazil.<sup>25</sup>

SP (POP1, POP2 and POP3): Mixed population from the state of São Paulo, southeastern Brazil<sup>14,17</sup> and unpublished data.

BA: Mixed population from state of Bahia, northeastern Brazil – unpublished data.

Figures shown as n (%) \*p-value < 0.05.

the state of Santa Catarina has 8.9% of Amerindians in its genetic composition. The Di(a) antigen is scarcely found in Caucasians or Blacks (1.0%), but it has been found in some Native North and South American Indian groups (more than 30%) and Asians (6–15%).<sup>33,34</sup>

Statistical differences were also observed among the seven regions of the state of Santa Catarina (Table 3), which may be explained by ethnic influences. Santa Catarina was colonized predominantly by Europeans; each region is influenced by different ethnic groups. According to data from the IBGE, the population of Florianópolis has high proportions of Portuguese, German, Italian, Polish, Swedish, Austrian, and

Spanish descendants whereas the populations of Blumenau, Joaçaba, and Lages have more Italian and German descendants. Moreover, the population of Chapecó is made up more of Italian, German, Polish, and Amerindian descendants and the population of Criciúma has a greater influence of Italians, Germans, Poles, Portuguese, and Blacks.<sup>35</sup>

The data of studies that determine genotype frequencies are useful in transfusion medicine to create a database of genotyped donors to facilitate the selection of adequate blood components for patients (in particular sickle cell disease patients), to discover new alleles, and to find donors with rare phenotypes.

**Table 4 – Genotype and allele frequencies of southern Brazil compared with European populations.**

Genotype	SC 373	Austria <sup>36</sup> n = 200	Naples <sup>31</sup> n = 225	Zurich <sup>32</sup> n = 4000
<b>Rh System</b>				
RHCE*CC	0.16 (60)	–	0.31 (69)*	–
RHCE*Cc	0.43 (159)	–	0.48 (109)	–
RHCE*cc	0.41 (154)	–	0.21 (47)*	–
RHCE*C	0.37	–	0.44	–
RHCE*c	0.63	–	0.56	–
RHCE*EE	0.03 (10)	–	0.03 (7)	–
RHCE*Ee	0.25 (95)	–	0.18 (42)*	–
RHCE*ee	0.72 (268)	–	0.79 (176)	–
RHCE*E	0.15	–	0.12	–
RHCE*e	0.85	–	0.88	–
<b>Kell System</b>				
KEL*01.1/KEL*01.1	0 (0)	0 (1)	0 (0)	0 (9)
KEL*01.1/KEL*02	0.06 (23)	0.08 (17)	0.03 (7)	0.05 (196)
KEL*02/KEL*02	0.94 (350)	0.91 (182)	0.97 (218)	0.95 (3795)
KEL*01.1	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03
KEL*02	0.97	0.95	0.98	0.97
<b>Duffy System</b>				
FY*01/FY*01	0.47 (176)	0.20 (40)*	0.18 (40)*	0.18 (703)*
FY*01/FY*02	0.15 (57)	0.36 (72)*	0.43 (98)*	0.47 (1862)*
FY*01/FY*02.N01	0.03 (12)	0 (0)	0.02 (5)	0.01 (52)*
FY*02/FY*02	0.29 (107)	0.44 (88)*	0.36 (82)*	0.32 (1311)
FY*02/FY*02.N01	0.04 (14)	0 (0)*	0 (0)*	0.01 (71)*
FY*02.N01/FY*02.N01	0.02 (7)	0 (0)	0 (0)*	0 (1)*
FY*01	0.41	0.38	0.41	0.42
FY*02	0.54	0.62	0.58	0.56
FY*02.N01	0.05	0	0.01	0.02
<b>Kidd System</b>				
JK*01/JK*01	0.29 (110)	0.24 (47)	0.24 (55)	0.26 (1053)
JK*01/JK*02	0.47 (176)	0.48 (97)*	0.52 (116)	0.50 (2001)
JK*02/JK*02	0.24 (87)	0.28 (56)*	0.24 (54)	0.24 (946)
JK*01	0.54	0.48	0.50	0.51
JK*02	0.46	0.52	0.50	0.49
<b>Diego System</b>				
DI*01/DI*01	0 (0)	–	0 (0)	–
DI*01/DI*02	0.05 (21)	–	0 (0)*	–
DI*02/DI*02	0.94 (352)	–	1.0 (225)*	–
DI*01	0.03	–	0	–
DI*02	0.97	–	1.00	–

Figures shown as n (%).

## Conclusion

The genotype frequencies of the Rh, KEL, FY, JK, and Di systems in the population of the state of Santa Catarina were significantly different from those in other Brazilian states. Moreover, the genotype frequencies differed among the populations of the different regions of the state of Santa Catarina, showing that, even within the state, the population is heterogeneous. Furthermore, the population of the state of Santa Catarina has genotype frequencies similar to those of populations from Naples, Italy and Zurich, Switzerland for the KEL and JK systems.

## Funding

This research was supported by a scholarship for Costa, DC from Capes – Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal

Nível Superior. This study was done with no other financial support.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Maria Luiza Bazzo and the Santa Catarina Blood Bank for technical support.

## REFERENCES

1. Storry JR, Castilho L, Daniels G, Flegel WA, Garratty G, Haas M, et al. International Society of Blood Transfusion Working

- Party on red cell immunogenetics and blood group terminology: Cancun report (2012). *Vox Sang.* 2014;107(1):90-6.
2. Castilho L, Pellegrino J Jr, Reid ME. *Fundamentos de Imuno-hematologia.* Atheneu. 2015.
  3. Jungbauer C. Blood group molecular genotyping. *ISBT Science Series.* 2011;6(2):399-403.
  4. Yip SP. Sequence variation at the human ABO locus. *Ann Hum Genet.* 2002;66(Pt1):1-27.
  5. Daniels G. The molecular genetics of blood group polymorphism. *Hum Genet.* 2009;126(6):729-42.
  6. Daniels G, Castilho L, Flegel WA, Fletcher A, Garratty G, Levene C, et al. International society of blood transfusion committee on terminology for red cell surface antigens: Macao report. *Vox Sang.* 2009;96(2):153-6.
  7. Smart E, Armstrong B. Blood group systems. *ISBT Science Series.* 2008;3(2):68-92.
  8. Reid ME, Yasdanbakhsh K. Molecular insights into blood and implications for blood transfusions. *Curr Opin Hematol.* 1998;5(2):93-102.
  9. Reid M, Rios M. Applications of molecular genotyping to immunohematology. *Br J Biomed Sci.* 1999;56(2):145-52.
  10. Denomme G, Rios M, Reid ME. *Molecular protocols in transfusion medicine.* San Diego (CA): Academic Press; 2000.
  11. Reid ME, Rios M, Yazdanbakhsh K. Applications of molecular biology techniques to transfusion medicine. *Semin Hematol.* 2000;37(2):166-76.
  12. Beiboer SH, Wieringa-Jelsma T, Maaskant-van wijk PA, van der Schoot CE, van Zwieten R, Roos D, et al. Rapide genotyping of blood group antigens by multiplex polymerase chain reaction and DNA microarray hybridization. *Transfusion.* 2005;45(5):667-79.
  13. Hashmi G, Shariff T, Seul M, Vissavajhala P, Hue-Roye K, Charles-Pierre D, et al. A flexible array format for large-scale, rapid blood group DNA typing. *Transfusion.* 2005;45(5):680-8.
  14. Novaretti MC, Ruiz AS, Dorlhiac-Llacer PE, Chamone DA. Application of real-time PCR and melting curve analysis in rapid Diego blood group genotyping. *Immunohematology.* 2010;26(2):66-70.
  15. Ribeiro KR, Guarnieri MH, Costa DC, Costa FF, Pellegrino J Jr, Castilho L. DNA array analysis for red blood cell antigens facilitates the transfusion support with antigen-matched blood in patients with sickle cell disease. *Vox Sang.* 2009;97(2):147-52.
  16. da Costa DC, Pellegrino J Jr, Guelsin GA, Ribeiro KA, Gilli SC, Castilho L. Molecular matching of red blood cells is superior to serological matching in sickle cell disease patients. *Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter.* 2013;35(1):35-8.
  17. Guelsin GA, Sell AM, Castilho L, Masaki VL, de Melo FC, Hashimoto MN, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of Rh, Kell, Duffy and Kidd systems in a population from the State of Parana, southern Brazil. *Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter.* 2010;33(1):21-5.
  18. Castilho L, Rios M, Bianco C, Pellegrino J Jr, Albaerto FL, Saad ST, et al. DNA-based typing for the management of multiply-transfused sickle cell disease patients. *Transfusion.* 2002;42(2):232-8.
  19. Castilho L, Rios M, Pellegrino J Jr, Saad ST, Costa FF. Blood group genotyping facilitates transfusion of beta-thalassemia patients. *J Clin Lab Anal.* 2002;16(5):216-20.
  20. Maaskant-Van Wijk PA, Faas BH, de Ruijter JA, Overbeeke MA, Von dem Borne AE, van Rhenen DJ. Genotyping of RHD by multiplex polymerase chain reaction analysis of six RHD-specific exons. *Transfusion.* 1998;38(11-12):1015-21.
  21. Singleton BK, Green CA, Avent ND, Martin PG, Smart E, Daka A, et al. The presence of on RHD pseudogene containing a 37 base pair duplication and a nonsense mutation in Africans with the Rh-neative blood group phenotype. *Blood.* 2000;95(1):12-8.
  22. Reid ME. Transfusion in the age of molecular diagnostics. *Hematol Am Soc Hematol Educ Program.* 2009;(1):171-7.
  23. Yazdanbakhsh K, Rios M, Storry JR, Kosower N, Parasol N, Chaudhuri A, et al. Molecular mechanisms that lead to reduced expression of Duffy antigens. *Transfusion.* 2000;40(3):310-20.
  24. Baleotti W Jr, Rios M, Reid M, Fabron A Jr, Pellegrino J Jr, Saad ST, et al. A novel DLA allele without the band 3-memphis mutation in Amazonian Indians. *Vox Sang.* 2003;84(4):326-30.
  25. Svejgaard A, Jersild C, Nielsen S, Bodmer WF. HL-A antigens and disease. Statistical and genetical considerations. *Tissue Antigens.* 1974;4(2):95-105.
  26. Manta FS, Pereira R, Vianna R, de Araújo AR, Gitaí DL, da Silva DA, et al. Revisiting the genetic ancestry of brazilians using autosomal AIM-Indels. *PloS One.* 2013;8(9):e75145.
  27. Pellegrino J Jr, Castilho L, Rios M, De Souza CA. Blood group genotyping in a population of highly diverse ancestry. *J Clin Lab Anal.* 2001;15(1):8-13.
  28. Tournamille C, Colin Y, Cartron JP, Le Van Kim C. Disruption of a GATA motif in the Duffy gene promoter abolishes erythroid gene expression in Duffy-negative individuals. *Nat Genet.* 1995;10(2):224-8.
  29. Iwamoto S, Li J, Sugimoto N, Okuda H, Kajii E. Characterization of the Duffy gene promoter. Evidence for tissue-specific abolishment of expression in Fy(a-b-) of black individuals. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1996;222(3):852-9.
  30. Parasol N, Reid ME, Rios M, Castilho L, Harari I, Kosower NS. A novel mutation in the coding sequence of the FY\*B allele of the Duffy chemokine receptor gene is associated with an altered erythrocyte phenotype. *Blood.* 1998;92(7):2237-43.
  31. Belsito A, Costa D, Fiorito C, De Iorio G, Casamassimi A, Perrotta S, et al. Erythrocyte genotyping for transfusion-dependent patients at the Azienda Universitaria Policlinico di Naples. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2015;52(1):72-7.
  32. Meyer S, Vollmert C, Trost N, Brönnimann C, Gottschalk J, Buser A, et al. High-throughput Kell, Kidd, and Duffy matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization, time-of-flight mass spectrometry-based blood group genotyping of 4000 donors shows close to full concordance with serotyping and detects new alleles. *Transfusion.* 2014;54(12):3198-207.
  33. Junqueira PC, Wishart PJ, Ottensouper F, Pasqualin R, Loureiro Fernandez P, Kalmus H. The Diego blood factor in Brazilian Indians. *Nature.* 1956;177(4497):41.
  34. Komatsu F, Hasegawa K, Yanagisawa Y, Kawabata T, Kaneko Y, Watanabe S, et al. Prevalence of Diego blood group Dia antigen in mongolians: comparison with that in Japanese. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2004;30(2):119-24.
  35. Brasil Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). [cited 2015 April 2015] Available from: <http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/estatistica/populacao/estimativa2013>.
  36. Polin H, Danzer M, Pröll J, Hofer K, Heilinger U, Zopf A, et al. Introduction of a real-time based blood group genotyping approach. *Vox Sang.* 2008;95(2):125-30.

## Erratum

Please consider the following correction:

### Results

**Page 201** – “Statistical differences were observed in the comparison with Brazilian populations. The genotypes RHCE\*Ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, FY\*01/FY\*01, JK\*01/JK\*01, and JK\*02/02 were more prevalent in the population from the state of Santa Catarina in comparison with the state of São Paulo. In addition, RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*cc, RHCE\*ee, FY\*01/FY\*02, FY\*01/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02/FY\*02, FY\*02/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02.N01/FY\*02.N01, and JK\*01/JK\*02 genotypes were more common in the population from the state of São Paulo” **should read as** “Statistical differences were observed in the comparison with Brazilian populations. The genotypes RHCE\*Ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, FY\*01/FY\*02, JK\*01/JK\*01, and JK\*02/02 were more prevalent in the population from the state of Santa Catarina in comparison with the state of São Paulo. In addition, RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*cc, RHCE\*ee, FY\*01/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02/FY\*02, FY\*02/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02.N01/FY\*02.N01, and JK\*01/JK\*02 genotypes were more common in the population from the state of São Paulo.”

**Page 201** – “When the data were compared with European populations, only the genotypes RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*EE, RHCE\*ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*01.1, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, KEL\*02/KEL\*02 JK\*01/JK\*01, and DI\*01/DI\*01 did not differ statistically (Table 4)” **should read as** “When the data were compared with European populations, only the genotypes RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*EE, RHCE\*ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*01.1, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, KEL\*02/KEL\*02, FY\*01/FY\*01, JK\*01/JK\*01, and DI\*01/DI\*01 did not differ statistically (Table 4)”.

#### Tables

Table 1 should read as:

System	Genotype	Frequency (n(%))	
		373 donors	31 patients
Duffy	FY*01/FY*01	57 (15.3)	3 (9.7)
	FY*01/FY*02	176 (47.2)	11 (35.5)

Table 2 should read as:

Genotype	JOA N33
Rh System	
RHCE*Ee	0.12 (4)
RHCE*ee	0.82 (27)
RHCE*E	0.12
RHCE*e	0.88

Table 3 should read as:

Genotype	SC N373	PR N400	SP-POP1 N948	SP-POP2 N250	SP-POP3 N308
Duffy System					
FY*01/FY*01	0.15 (57)	0.12 (50)	0.12 (114)	0.14 (34)	0.12 (36)
FY*01/FY*02	0.47 (176)	0.40 (157)*	0.45 (426)	0.27 (68)*	0.34 (105)*

Table 4 should read as:

Genotype	SC N373	Austria <sup>27</sup> N200	Naples <sup>28</sup> N225	Zurich <sup>29</sup> N4000
Duffy System				
FY*01/FY*01	0.15 (57)	0.20 (40)	0.18 (40)	0.18 (703)
FY*01/FY*02	0.47 (176)	0.36 (72)*	0.43 (98)	0.47 (1862)