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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is one of the important structures of knee joint stability.
Objective: To investigate the application of medical training therapy (MTT) in the functional recovery of patients after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.
Methods: Eighty patients who were treated in a secondary hospital were selected as the study subjects and were divided into a control group and an observation group according to a random number meter, with 40 cases per group. We compared Lysholm knee function scores, the range of motion (ROM), daily life capability (ADL scale), pain degree (VAS rating), knee stretch muscle score, and standing balance ability score of the two groups 3 months after intervention.
Results: After intervention, the first time getting out of bed, the doctor-patient satisfaction score, and the average length of stay were better in the observation group than in the control group (P<0.05). The excellent rate of knee function in the observation group was 92.50%, which was significantly higher than 70.00% in the control group (P<0.05).
Conclusions: MTT therapy can significantly improve knee function and pain level of patients after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, shorten the length of hospital stay, promote rehabilitation, improve the therapeutic effect, and improve the quality of life of patients.

Level of evidence II; Therapeutic studies - investigation of treatment results.
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RESUMO

Introdução: O ligamento cruzado anterior (LCA) é uma das estruturas importantes para a estabilidade da articulação do joelho.
Objetivo: Investigar a aplicação da terapia de treinamento médico (MTT) na recuperação funcional de pacientes depois de reconstrução do ligamento cruzado anterior.
Métodos: Oitenta pacientes tratados em um hospital secundário foram selecionados como participantes do estudo e foram divididos em grupo controle e grupo observação com um gerador de números aleatórios, com 40 casos por grupo. Comparamos os escores de função do joelho de Lysholm, a amplitude de movimento (ROM), capacidade de vida diária (escala ADL), grau de dor (classificação EVA), escor de músculo de alongamento do joelho e escora da capacidade de equilíbrio em pé dos dois grupos, três meses depois da intervenção.
Resultados: Depois da intervenção, na primeira vez em que os pacientes levantaram da cama, o índice de satisfação médico-paciente e o tempo médio de permanência foram melhores no grupo observação do que no grupo controle (P < 0,05). A excelente taxa de função do joelho no grupo observação foi de 92,50%, que foi significativamente maior do que 70,00% no grupo controle (P < 0,05).
Conclusões: A terapia de MTT pode melhorar significativamente a função e o nível de dor do joelho em pacientes depois da reconstrução do ligamento cruzado anterior, reduzir o tempo de internação, promover a reabilitação, melhorar o efeito terapêutico e melhorar a qualidade de vida dos pacientes.

Nivel de Evidência II; Estudos terapêuticos - Investigação dos resultados do tratamento.

Descritores: Centros médicos acadêmicos; Articulação do joelho; Funcional.

RESUMEN

Introducción: El ligamento cruzado anterior (LCA) es una de las estructuras importantes para la estabilidad de la articulación de la rodilla.
Objetivo: Investigar la aplicación de la terapia de entrenamiento médico (MTT) en la recuperación funcional de pacientes tras la reconstrucción del ligamento cruzado anterior.
Métodos: Se seleccionaron como participantes en el estudio 80 pacientes tratados en un hospital secundario y se dividieron en un grupo de control y otro de observación con un generador de números aleatorios, con 40 casos por grupo. Se compararon las puntuaciones de la función de la rodilla de Lysholm, la amplitud de movimiento (ROM), la capacidad de la vida diaria (escala ADL), el grado de dolor (clasificación EVA), la puntuación del músculo extensor de la rodilla y la puntuación de la capacidad de equilibrio de pie de los dos grupos tres meses después de la intervención.
Resultados: Tras la intervención, la primera vez que los pacientes se levantaron de la cama, el índice de satisfacción médico-paciente y el tiempo medio de internación fueron mejores en el grupo de observación que en el grupo de control (p < 0,05). La excelente tasa de función de la rodilla en el grupo de observación fue del 92,50%, significativamente superior al 70,00% del grupo de control (P < 0,05).
Conclusiones: La terapia de MTT
INTRODUCTION

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is one of the important structures of knee joint stability and after its damage can lead to knee before - and rotational instability, which in turn can cause the meniscus and articular cartilage injury; accelerate the degeneration of the knee joint; the forward will induce a variety of secondary diseases such as osteoarthritis, seriously affect the patient’s daily life and movement. Factors causing ACL injury include active sports and traffic injuries, etc., and age, gender, side and knee position during injury have important influence on ACL injury.1 Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction is the main method for the treatment of ACL injury, but postoperative rehabilitation can benefit the recovery of knee function and shorten the recovery time. To understand the application of professional rehabilitation methods in postoperative rehabilitation of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction of knee joint, and to establish postoperative rehabilitation training standards and norms for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction of knee joint, to maximize the recovery of patients' motor function, so as to solve the prominent and urgent problems in the rehabilitation of acl injury.2

METHOD

The general information

A total of 80 patients treated in a hospital from January 2015 to June 2017 after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction were selected as the research object. Inclusion criteria: confirmed by joint MRI, front drawer test and axial shift test. Exclusion criteria: Serious diseases of heart, liver, lung, kidney and other important organs were excluded. Patients with intra-knee fracture and serious articular cartilage injury were excluded. According to the random number table method, patients were divided into control group and observation group, 40 cases in each group. In the control group, there were 23 males and 17 females. Age range: 22-55; There were 18 cases of left knee joint injury and 22 cases of right knee joint injury. The causes of injury were sports injury 18 cases, traffic accident injury 10 cases, daily life injury 12 cases. In observation group, there were 24 males and 16 females. Age range: 23 to 56; There were 16 cases of left knee joint injury and 24 cases of right knee joint injury. The causes of injury were sports injury in 15 cases, traffic accident injury in 12 cases and daily life injury in 13 cases. There was no significant difference in general data between the two groups (P>0.05), indicating comparability.

METHODOLOGIES

1. The control group

Routine rehabilitation treatment is given, patients are encouraged to carry out independent rehabilitation training under the guidance of doctors, and patients are encouraged to move to the ground at an early stage, advantages and methods of moving to the ground are explained in detail to patients, and patients can be assisted to move out of bed when necessary.3

2. Observation group

Routine rehabilitation and MTT therapy were given for rehabilitation. (1) Balance test and training system: proprioception assessment was conducted to find out the cause of postoperative balance loss, and the same tester was used to test the patient. (2) Targeted balance and motion control training, including sitting balance, static balance and dynamic balance training.4

Observation indicators and criteria

(1) The time to get out of bed for the first time, doctor-patient satisfaction and average length of hospital stay were compared between the two groups, a self-made questionnaire was used to evaluate doctor-patient satisfaction, with a total of 21 questions and a total score of 0–21 points. The higher the score, the higher the satisfaction. (2) Lysholm knee function score was used to compare the knee function of the two groups before and 3 months after intervention, the total score ranges from 0 to 100, with above 95 being excellent, 94 to 85 good, 84 to 65 fair, and below 65 poor, excellent and good rate = (number of excellent cases + number of good cases)/total cases x100%; (3) Range of motion (ROM) before and 3 months after intervention was observed in both groups.5 6 There was no significant correlation in the observation group, the correlation coefficients were 0.485 and 0.388, and the significance levels were 0.270 and 0.420, respectively. (Figure 1)

Statistical Treatment

SPSS 19.0 software was used for statistical analysis of the obtained data, and the measurement data was represented by (x ± s). T-test was used for comparison between groups. The count data were expressed in percentage (%) and compared with x² test. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant difference.

RESULTS

Comparison of general conditions after rehabilitation intervention between the two groups The first time to get out of bed, doctor-patient satisfaction and average length of stay in the observation group were better than those in the control group, with statistical significance (P<0.05). (Table 1)

Comparison of the recovery of knee function between the two groups before intervention, there was no statistical significance in Lysholm score and ROM between the two groups (P>0.05). After intervention, Lysholm score, average length of hospital stay and satisfaction were significantly improved in the observation group compared with the control group (P<0.05). (Table 2 and Figure 2)

Figure 1. High negative variation of the two groups.
Comparison of ADL, VAS, knee extensor muscle strength and standing balance scores between the two groups before intervention, there were no significant differences in ADL, VAS, knee extensor muscle strength and standing balance scores between the two groups (P>0.05). After intervention, ADL, VAS, knee extensor muscle strength and standing balance score of observation group were improved compared with control group (P<0.05). (Table 2)

DISCUSSION

MTT therapy includes training of balance and coordination ability, proprioceptive function, walking gait, muscle strength, endurance, range of motion and other aspects, with obvious characteristics of systematicness, initiative and planning. Targeted MTT therapy can effectively improve physical function and maintain maximum bone mass in young people, muscle strength and mass can also be improved in older adults with common MTT therapy. The training device of MTT therapy makes the training mode suitable for patients with different injuries and training needs, according to the patient's routine physiological situation and state, individual medical diagnosis results, pain and individual joint range of motion allowed by individual muscle function, so that training can be carried out in an effective, individual and targeted way. Inducing neuromuscular adaptive changes is an important mechanism of MTT therapy, which can induce and adapt the nervous system at different levels to set training prescriptions individually according to the assessment situation, this greatly enhances the systematicness and pertinency of treatment, thus ensuring the quality of treatment for each patient undergoing MTT therapy training.10

CONCLUSION

This study explored the application of MTT therapy in functional recovery of patients after anterior fork ligament reconstruction of the knee joint, the results showed that Lysholm score and ROM of the observation group were significantly better than those of the control group after rehabilitation intervention (P<0.05). MTT, VAS, knee extensor muscle strength and standing balance score in observation group were improved compared with control group (P<0.05). The time to get out of bed for the first time, the satisfaction degree of both doctors and patients and the average length of hospital stay in the observation group were better than those in the control group (P<0.05), the excellent rate of knee function in the observation group was 92.50%, which was significantly higher than that in the control group (70.00%). In conclusion, MTT therapy training can significantly improve the knee function of patients after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, reduce the degree of pain, shorten the length of hospital stay, promote rehabilitation, improve the treatment effect, and improve the quality of life of patients, which is worthy of clinical application.
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Table 1. Comparison of general situation between the two groups after rehabilitation intervention (x±s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>First time out of bed (d)</th>
<th>Doctor-patient satisfaction (points)</th>
<th>Average length of stay (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The control group (n=40)</td>
<td>3.5±2.1</td>
<td>14.52±2.14</td>
<td>19.24±2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation group (n=40)</td>
<td>1.25±1.13</td>
<td>18.54±2.36</td>
<td>13.18±2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of functional recovery of knee joint between the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Lysholm score Before the intervention</th>
<th>After the intervention</th>
<th>Excellent and good rate (%)</th>
<th>ROM Before the intervention</th>
<th>After the intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The control group (n=40)</td>
<td>46.55±3.34</td>
<td>83.32±3.24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35.36±2.64</td>
<td>84.24±4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation group (n=40)</td>
<td>46.58±4.41</td>
<td>92.41±3.39</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35.41±2.51</td>
<td>119.42±7.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of ADL, VAS, knee extensor muscle strength and standing balance between the two groups (x±s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Lysholm score Before the intervention</th>
<th>After the intervention</th>
<th>And strength</th>
<th>Standing balance</th>
<th>VAS score Before the intervention</th>
<th>After the intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The control group (n=40)</td>
<td>52.04±3.21</td>
<td>72.34±4.18</td>
<td>6.32±1.24</td>
<td>7.21±1.34</td>
<td>3.26±1.16</td>
<td>4.34±1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation group (n=40)</td>
<td>53.01±3.18</td>
<td>85.23±4.21</td>
<td>6.35±1.13</td>
<td>8.36±1.12</td>
<td>3.28±1.14</td>
<td>5.28±1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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