Gemelarity-based diagnosis for subclinical keratoconus

Diagnóstico baseado em gemelaridade para ceratocone subclínico
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ABSTRACT

We report a case of two twins for whom advanced keratoconus is present in one of the siblings and no clear sign of the disease could be found for the other.

Keywords: Keratoconus; Twins; Corneal diseases; Refractive surgery procedures; Tomography

RESUMO

Relatamos um caso de dois gêmeos em que o ceratocone avançado está presente em um dos irmãos e nenhum sinal da doença foi encontrado no outro.
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INTRODUCTION

Keratoconus (Kc) is a bilateral, asymmetric and often progressive corneal ectasia, characterized by thinning and protrusion of the cornea. Its etiopathogenesis remains uncertain. Studies on Kc involving twins have investigated the involvement of the genetic and environmental components of the disease. There are a large number of family cases in the literature, and inheritance related to sex, autosomal dominant, recessive, and multifactorial have been suggested. The diagnosis of subclinical forms among refractive surgery candidates should be one of the main concerns of the surgeon, since the weakening of the biomechanical properties of the cornea, associated with the keratorefractive procedures, can aggravate the ectasia, leading to low postoperative visual acuity. The present report addresses the case of two twins for whom routine refractive consultation and corneal tomography have shown unequivocal diagnosis of Kc for one sibling, meanwhile virtually no findings suggestive of the disease have been found for the other. Both had no history of pruritus or allergic eye disease.

Case report

A 23-year-old male patient has sought ophthalmologic care aiming for refractive surgery. His personal or family histories were unremarkable for any known eye, or systemic diseases at that time. His best corrected distance visual acuity has been 20/20 for both eyes and his cycloplegic refraction was -4.50 sphere -0.25-cylinder x 175° for the right eye (OD), and -4.75 sphere -0.50 cylinder x 40° for the left eye (OS), similar to the patient’s glasses, prescribed a year earlier elsewhere. No remarkable findings were found on biomicroscopy, or fundus examinations.

Corneal tomography (Pentacam - Oculus, Wetzlar, Germany) revealed a simulated keratometry of 43.8 diopters (D) @ 177.8°/44.5 for OD and 43.3 D @ 23.6°/44.2 for OS (Figure 1) and also maximum keratometric reading of 44.9 D for OD and 44.4 D for OS. Both anterior and posterior elevation maps have shown values within normal range: central elevation lower than +12 μm and +17 μm, respectively. Topometric indices have showed values lower than 2.5 standard deviations of a normal population. The pachymetric analysis charts showed a distribution within two standard deviations of the population mean (Figure 2). The pachymetric measurement of the thinnest point was 498 μm for both. Astigmatism vector analysis for OD was 0.10 D for the astigmatism vector (Astigm) and the bluring vector (Blur) 6.40 D, and for OS Astigm was 0.15 D and the Blur of 6.35 D. Such findings associated with the qualitative analysis of the anterior keratometric, pachymetric and anterior and posterior elevation maps, and even the various topometric indices presented, were not suggestive of Kc.

His twin brother, who also sought routine ophthalmologic evaluation, has complained of progressive worsening in corrected visual acuity for OS during the last six months. His distance corrected visual acuity was 20/20 in the OD with cycloplegic refraction of -2.25 spherical D. His distance visual acuity for OS, on the other hand, was lower than 20/200; it was impossible to measure its cycloplegic refraction. Evident Vogt’s striaes were observed in OS. No fundoscopic changes were found. Corneal tomography and anterior segment obtained the following results: maximum keratometry of 47.7 D for OD and 60.8 D for OS; thinnest pachymetric measurement of 465 μm for OD and of 431 μm for OS (Figure 3). Qualitative analysis of keratometric and

Figure 1A: Sagital map of the OD

Figure 1B: Anterior sagital map of OS

Figure 2: Pachymetric exams of OD (on the left) and OS (on the right).
paquimetric maps and their indexes were unambiguous about the
diagnosis of Kc to this patient: grade I for OD and grade III for
OS (Amsler-Krumeich classification). (7)

**DISCUSSION**

Laser keratorefractive procedures promote biomechanical
weakening of the cornea, which can lead to progressive stromal
thinning, and consequently to increased curvature and irregular
astigmatism. Such a complication occurs more frequently within
corneas that present preexisting biomechanical fragility, such as
in keratoconus carriers, even in the subclinical form.

Although most of the cases of Kc occur sporadically,
there are a large number of familial cases in the literature, with
the possibility of Kc among first-degree relatives being 27.9% higher. (8) There are reports of inheritance related to sex, autoso-
mal dominant and recessive, in addition to possible multifac-
torial inheritance. (1) In addition to the genetic component, the
relative contribution of environmental and behavioral factors
is proposed. (2) Studies involving Kc and gemelarity may contri-
bute to clarification about the participation of these factors in
the development of the disease, but such studies are still scarce
in the literature. (9) A study involving 18 pairs of twins, being 13
monozygotic and 5 dizygotic, showed that all monozygotic (100%)
and 4 of 5 dizygotic (80%) were concordant for occurrence of Kc,
but with differences in age and onset of the disease. Phenotypic
variability among monozygotics can be explained by possible
environmental differences, epigenetic mechanisms or other factors
that have not yet been fully elucidated. (2)

Preoperative evaluation among candidates for keratore-
fractive procedures attempts to identify corneas that are more
susceptible to the development of postoperative ectasia. (4, 7) The
topography of the anterior surface of the cornea, traditionally
evaluated by based on the reflection of Placid disk, has been
complemented, or even replaced, by corneal tomography based
on the Scheimpflug system. (2) Equipments such as Pentacam
(Oculus, Wetzlar, Germany), Galilei (Ziener, Biel, Switzerland),
or the Sirius (Costruzione Strumenti Oftalmici, Florence, Italy)
are, at least theoretically, able to perform measurements of
anterior and posterior corneal surfaces, as well as elevation
maps, pachymetric maps, as well as calculating various topome-
tric indices that aim to raise sensitivity and specificity for sub-
clinical Kc forms. (7, 10) Equipment such as the Ocular Response
Analyzer (Reichert Buffalo, NY, USA), or Corvis ST (Oculus,
Wetzlar, Germany) offer additional information on corneal
biomechanics, however, they do not yet have widespread use. (9)
Despite the advances in propaedeutics, sometimes the diagnosis
of subclinical forms of Kc remains difficult to perform, (11) the
literature is rich in examples, sometimes conflicting, of indexes
or analyzes that claim better diagnostic capacity; Hashemi et al.
concluded that the Belin Ambrosio Enhanced Display (BAD-D),
the surface variation index (ISV) and the vertical asymmetry
index (IVA) are strong indicators for subclinical keratoconus. (12)
Muftuoglu et al. have reached similar conclusions; (13) Shetty
et al. demonstrated high sensitivity (100%) to differentiate sub-
clinical keratoconus through ISV and the highest asymmetry
index (IHA) (7); Shahjari et al. suggested the use of IVA and the
index of greater decentralization (IHD) to evaluate the initial
stages of the disease. More recently, the tomographic and bio-
mecanical index (TBI) that combines data from Pentacam and
Corvis ST, showed greater precision to improve the detection
of subclinical ectasia. (14)

Regarding the patient with Kc grade III of the report,
intrastral ring segment implant for OS and crosslinking of
corneal collagen for OD were indicated. Both procedures were
rejected by the patient who preferred the use of semi-scleral
lenses in the most affected eye and visual correction by means
of glasses for the least affected. For the other sibling, classified
as having suspected cornea, the exams showed subtle changes,
which, according to the evaluation of many refractive surgeons,
would certainly not contraindicate the performance of photo-
refractive keratectomy. However, considering the reality that is
required in the day-to-day practice of the clinics, in which there
is no adequate propaedeutic, as well as the epidemiology that
suggests that the concordance varying between 30 and 100%
between twins (if possible monozygos), we have chosen the
prescription of optical correction through glasses, not indicating
laser vision correction. Both patients have been advised to
perform close follow-ups visits every six months, until around
the age of 30, for clinical evaluation and complementary propa-
edectics available. In addition to the inherent limitation to the
type of study, there is the question of the relative subjectivity of
the diagnosis and conduct for the twin with the suspected cornea.
Nevertheless, the report reinforces the need for complementary
tests to be interpreted in the light of the patient’s clinic, of which
family history is a fundamental part.
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