Are there differences in oxidative stress and inflammatory processes between the autogenous and reactive subtypes of obsessive-compulsive disorder? A controlled cross-sectional study
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Objective: To date, no study has investigated whether autogenous and reactive obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) types are different entities in terms of oxidative stress and inflammatory processes. The aim of this study is to compare them in terms of these features.

Methods: The study was conducted in subjects with reactive OCD (n=19), autogenous OCD (n=14), and a control group (n=17). All participants were non-smokers. Sociodemographic data were collected and the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS), Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Obsessive Beliefs Questionnaire (OBQ), and Overvalued Ideas Scale (OVIS) were administered. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-10 (IL-10), paraoxonase (PON1), total oxidant status (TOS), and total antioxidant status (TAS) were measured.

Results: There were no significant differences in TAS, TOS, or oxidative stress index (OSI) between the OCD and control groups. PON1 and hs-CRP levels were higher in the OCD group, whereas IL-6 and IL-10 levels were lower. Comparison across the three groups revealed no differences in TAS, TOS, OSI, or PON1 levels; however, hs-CRP was significantly higher while IL-6 and IL-10 were significantly lower in the reactive group compared to controls.

Conclusion: Our results show that, although inflammatory processes may play a role in OCD, the autogenous and reactive subtypes do not differ from each other in these respects. The classification of OCD into autogenous and reactive subtypes should be reevaluated.
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Introduction

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a common psychiatric disorder characterized by obsessions and compulsions that create serious difficulties for patients and their families. Its prevalence in the general population is estimated to be 2 to 3%.1 Although the etiology of OCD is still unclear, various studies have suggested that it is multifactorial.2 OCD is a heterogeneous disorder, which can be classified as autogenous or reactive OCD according to the types of obsessions. Autogenous obsessions are those that mostly come to the mind without a detectable stimulus, are alien to the self, and include themes of aggression, sex, and blasphemy. On the other hand, reactive obsessions are evoked by identifiable external stimuli and include more realistic and rational themes, such as contamination, symmetry, order, and somatic obsessions.3 It has been suggested that autogenous and reactive obsessions arise from different psychological mechanisms, and the autogenic subtype has been found to respond poorly to treatment.4

To date, no study had investigated whether the autogenous and reactive subtypes are different entities in terms of oxidative stress and inflammatory processes.

Oxidative stress can be defined as an imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants which results in macromolecular cell damage. The brain is more susceptible to oxidation than other tissues due to its higher content of unsaturated fatty acids, oxygen per unit weight, lipid peroxidation, and relatively weaker antioxidant...
defense system. Studies have shown that oxidative stress and free radicals affect the brain tissues of OCD patients. It has been reported that imbalances in antioxidant vitamin levels, and metabolites of lipid peroxidation induced by increased free radicals may play a potential role in the etiopathogenesis of OCD. On the other hand, some studies have found increased superoxide dismutase (SOD), an antioxidant enzyme, and decreased malondialdehyde (MDA), an indicator of oxidative damage, in OCD patients, or a disruption in oxidative balance in favor of antioxidants in OCD patients.

There is increasing evidence that immunological dysfunctions exist in a significant subset of OCD patients. The finding that pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric diseases (PANDAS), especially that associated with beta-hemolytic streptococcal infection, may play a role in the etiopathogenesis of OCD has resulted in an increase in studies investigating the relationship between specific OCD subtypes and inflammatory processes. A meta-analysis examining the possible role of cytokines in OCD etiology showed reduced levels of IL-1β in OCD patients as compared to healthy controls, increased levels of IL-6 in drug-free OCD patients as compared to treated patients, and increased levels of tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) in OCD with comorbid depression. However, the results of studies investigating the role of inflammatory processes in OCD are quite inconsistent.

In this respect, the aim of this study is to compare autogenic and reactive OCD patients in terms of oxidative stress and inflammatory processes. We hypothesize that oxidative stress and inflammatory processes play a more important role in autogenic OCD, which is known to respond poorly to treatment, than in reactive OCD.

Methods

Our study included patients who were diagnosed with OCD according to the Structured Clinical Interview (SCID) for DSM-5 diagnostic criteria and admitted to the Out-patient Psychiatry Clinic of Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Medical Faculty Hospital, between April 15, 2016, and December 15, 2016. Healthy volunteers matched for age and sex composed the control group. Patients were interviewed by an experienced psychiatrist in a clinical interview and divided into two groups, autogenous or reactive OCD, in accordance with the criteria put forth in the studies of Lee & Kwon. Therefore, the study was conducted on two OCD groups and one control group. A total of 33 patients with OCD (19 reactive and 14 autogenous) and 17 healthy age and sex-matched controls were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria included chronic noncommunicable diseases (such as diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, kidney disease, coronary heart disease, stroke, or connective tissue disease), current or past alcohol and substance disorder, presence of any central nervous system diseases, mental retardation, previous diagnosis of bipolar disorder or psychosis, chronic or acute infections, history of antibiotic or anti-inflammatory drug use within the 6 months prior to the study, use of any drug or nutritional supplement (including vitamins and fish oil) in the past 1 month, and current smoking or quitting in less than 6 months. The healthy control group was recruited by the snowball method. With this method, Eskişehir Osmangazi University staff was informed about the study, and the participants informed their colleagues about the participation to the study. A psychiatric interview was applied to the healthy control group, as well as the same exclusion criteria applied to the OCD groups. Those who had a previous/ongoing psychiatric history were excluded from the study.

The scales and questionnaires administered to the participants are described below.

Sociodemographic data form

In addition to the general sociodemographic data of the participants, this form, prepared by the researchers, included information such as smoking, use of herbal products, age at diagnosis, type of treatment, and presence of physical illness, in order to determine whether some conditions that may be related to oxidative stress were present.

Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS)

The Y-BOCS was developed by Goldman, Price, and Rasmussen and adapted to Turkish by Karamustafaoglu et al. The scale was designed to evaluate symptom subgroups and severity in patients with OCD. It consists of 19 items and is scored by the interviewer. The first 10 items are used to calculate the total score.

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)

The BDI was developed in 1961 by Beck et al. It is a 21-item self-assessment scale that measures physical, emotional, cognitive and motivational symptoms of depression. A validity and reliability study was conducted by Hisli in our country.

Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI)

The BAI was developed in 1988 by Beck et al. It is used to determine the frequency and severity of anxiety symptoms experienced by individuals and is a self-report instrument. Its validity and reliability in Turkey were confirmed by Ulusoy et al.

Obsessive Beliefs Questionnaire (OBQ)

The OBQ is a 7-point, Likert-type self-assessment scale, anchored from strongly disagree to completely agree, which evaluates beliefs associated with obsessive-compulsive symptoms. In this study, we used the 44-item scale developed by the Obsessive-Compulsive Cognitions Working Group. The validity and reliability of this scale were confirmed by Boysan et al. in our country. The scale contains three subscales: responsibility and
danger, perfectionism and certainty, and importance of control of thoughts.

Overvalued Ideas Scale (OVIS)

The OVIS was developed by Neziroğlu et al. with the aim of providing a quantitative assessment of the level of insight in OCD.20 After the development of the scale, the authors evaluated Overvalued Ideas as a reliable indicator of poor insight in OCD and patients with an OVIS score of ≥ 6 were considered to have “OCD with poor insight.” This 11-item scale, scored between 1 and 10, is scored by the interviewer, taking specific beliefs into consideration. The OVIS score is calculated using the average of the scores obtained from each item.

Analysis of biomarkers

Blood samples were collected from all participants in the outpatient psychiatry clinic between 9:30 and 10:30 am, after at least 8 hours of fasting. Samples were then sent to the pharmacology department for measurement of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-10 (IL-10), paraoxonase (PON1), total antioxidant status (TAS), and total oxidant status (TOS). The blood samples were rested at room temperature for 15 minutes and centrifuged at 3,000 x g. The samples were then stored at -80°C for up to 2 months until analysis. Serum levels of hs-CRP, IL-6, and IL-10 (biomarkers of inflammation) were analyzed using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits following the manufacturer’s instructions. TAS, TOS, and paraoxonase levels (biomarkers of oxidative stress) were also analyzed by ELISA. The oxidative stress index (OSI) was then calculated according to the formula OSI = TOS/TAS.

Statistical analysis

Data were calculated as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical data were given as percentage (%). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to investigate the normality of distribution. For non-normally distributed data, the Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparisons between two groups and the Kruskal-Wallis H test for comparisons across three or more groups. Pearson chi-square analysis was used for analysis of cross tables. Post-hoc Bonferroni correction was performed. SPSS version 21.0 was used for all analyses. Significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

A total of 33 patients with OCD (19 reactive and 14 autogenous) and 17 healthy, age- and sex-matched controls were included in the study. Comparison of BDI and BAI scores in the control and OCD groups revealed significantly higher scores in the OCD patients (z = 24.273 and p < 0.001, z = 23.492 and p < 0.001, respectively) (Table 1).

Comparison of oxidative stress markers in the control and OCD groups (i.e., with both OCD subgroups pooled) revealed no difference in TAS, TOS, or OSI between the two groups (H = -0.180 and p = 0.857, H = -0.411 and p = 0.681, H = -0.522 and p = 0.601, respectively), whereas PON1 and hs-CRP were significantly higher, and IL-6 and IL-10 levels were significantly lower in the OCD group (H = -2.356 and p = 0.018, H = -2.904 and p = 0.004, H = 3.057 and p = 0.002, H = 2.727 and p = 0.006) (Table 2).

Comparison of the control, autogenous OCD, and reactive OCD groups in terms of oxidative stress and inflammatory markers revealed no differences in TAS, TOS, OSI, or PON1 across groups (H = 1.217 and p = 0.544, H = 1.064 and p = 0.588, H = 0.564 and p = 0.754, H = 5.736 and p = 0.057, respectively), whereas hs-CRP, IL-6, and IL-10 levels were significantly different (H = 9.197 and p = 0.010, H = 9.552 and p = 0.008, H = 7.541 and p = 0.023). Post-hoc analysis showed that higher levels of hs-CRP and lower levels of IL-6 and IL-10 were more significant in the reactive OCD group compared to the control group (Table 3).

There was no significant difference in age at onset, duration of disease, duration of treatment, OVIS score, and...
The psychometric scores of both groups are presented in Table 4.

**Table 2** Comparison of oxidative stress and inflammatory markers in the control and obsessive-compulsive disorder (pooled) groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidative stress and inflammatory markers</th>
<th>Reactive + autogenous (n=33)</th>
<th>Control (n=17)</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total antioxidant status</td>
<td>1.01±0.31 [0.76-1.20]</td>
<td>1.05±0.28 [0.93-1.20]</td>
<td>-0.180</td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total oxidant status</td>
<td>29.06±19.34 [7.31-42.48]</td>
<td>27.25±15.51 [12.55-39.91]</td>
<td>-0.411</td>
<td>0.681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidative stress index</td>
<td>30.06±17.69 [17.64-40.67]</td>
<td>27.63±13.97 [19.09-34.67]</td>
<td>-0.522</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraoxonase</td>
<td>371.45±297.03 [62.50-700.00]</td>
<td>132.59±223.14 [24.50-110.00]</td>
<td>-2.356</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-6</td>
<td>23.91±26.13 [12.00-22.00]</td>
<td>39.70±31.95 [20.00-57.00]</td>
<td>3.057</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-sensitivity C-reactive protein</td>
<td>5,115.15±3,436.17 [2,250.00-8,500.00]</td>
<td>2,514.71±3,231.76 [350.00-3,375.00]</td>
<td>-2.904</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-10</td>
<td>485.87±268.72 [280.00-675.00]</td>
<td>673.82±163.60 [555.00-787.50]</td>
<td>2.727</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± standard deviation [interquartile range]. Bold type denotes statistical significance.

**Table 3** Comparison of oxidative stress and inflammatory markers in the control, autogenous OCD, and reactive OCD groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Reactive (n=19)</th>
<th>Autogenous (n=14)</th>
<th>Control (n=17)</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Pairwise comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total antioxidant status</td>
<td>1.06±0.29 [0.90-1.20]</td>
<td>0.94±0.34 [0.49-1.20]</td>
<td>1.05±0.28 [0.93-1.20]</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total oxidant status</td>
<td>32.27±15.43 [30.05-41.55]</td>
<td>26.85±21.31 [4.00-49.77]</td>
<td>27.72±14.66 [12.55-39.90]</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidative stress index</td>
<td>31.35±15.37 [25.23-37.03]</td>
<td>28.30±20.91 [7.09-42.40]</td>
<td>27.63±13.97 [19.09-34.67]</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraoxonase</td>
<td>377.05±309.37 [90.00-700.00]</td>
<td>366.86±290.77 [21.50-685.00]</td>
<td>132.59±223.14 [24.50-110.00]</td>
<td>5.736</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-6</td>
<td>18.10±5.83 [13.00-21.00]</td>
<td>31.78±38.95 [8.25-40.00]</td>
<td>39.71±31.96 [20.00-57.00]</td>
<td>9.552</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Control &gt; reactive p = 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-sensitivity C-reactive protein</td>
<td>5,665.79±3,269.81 [3,250.00-8,500.00]</td>
<td>4,367.86±3,635.33 [1,362.50-8,125.00]</td>
<td>2,514.71±3,231.76 [350.00-3,375.00]</td>
<td>9.197</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>Reactive &gt; control p = 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>472.58±284.40 [280.00-550.00]</td>
<td>503.93±255.27 [275.00-812.50]</td>
<td>673.82±163.60 [555.00-787.50]</td>
<td>7.541</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>Control &gt; reactive p = 0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± standard deviation [interquartile range]. Bold type denotes statistical significance.

OBQ score, or Y-BOCS score between the two OCD subgroups (p > 0.05). The psychometric scores of both groups are presented in Table 4.

**Discussion**

In our study, there was no difference in markers of oxidative stress or inflammation between the autogenous and reactive OCD subtypes. However, hs-CRP levels were significantly higher and IL-6 and IL-10 levels were significantly lower in the reactive OCD group compared to the control group. In this context, the difference between the OCD group and healthy controls seems to result from the reactive OCD subgroup. This does not support our hypothesis suggesting that the autogenous subtype, which has a lower treatment response rate, is associated with greater oxidative stress and inflammation compared to the reactive subtype. Various studies have suggested an association between stress and inflammation, revealing that acute stress primarily stimulates inflammatory
processes, while chronic stress is often associated with dysregulation or inhibition of such processes. Therefore, lower IL-6 and IL-10 may result from this inhibition, which would be consistent with the chronicity of OCD. Besides TNF-α and IL-1β, IL-6 is one of the most investigated proinflammatory cytokines in OCD. Although there are conflicting data, many studies have reported low levels of IL-6 in OCD. A recent review revealed that patients with OCD receiving disease-specific drugs were characterized by significantly lower plasma levels of IL-6, suggesting that this finding be compared with those not undergoing treatment. Although IL-6 is classified as a proinflammatory cytokine, in vitro studies have shown that it plays a role in a local and systemic feedback mechanism by blocking the effects of TNF-α. The versatility of its effects on the inflammation process and heterogeneity of the existing literature in many variables, such as the age of the patients, duration and severity of the disease, and medication use, may explain the differences in findings regarding IL-6.

We compared the TAS, TOS, and OSI scores (indicators of general oxidative status) and levels of PON1 (an antioxidant enzyme) and found no significant difference between the OCD and control groups in terms of the TAS and TOS scores, whereas the mean PON1 level was significantly higher in the OCD group compared to the control group (p < 0.05). Although there was no significant difference, TAS levels were higher in the reactive and autogenous OCD groups compared to the control group. These findings may be explained by increased defensive mechanisms and rebound increase in antioxidant levels against a chronic disease, as suggested by Selek et al., who obtained similar results in their studies, or by the fact that OCD may be one of several syndromes associated with high antioxidant levels, such as polycystic ovary syndrome.

To our knowledge, this is the first study in the literature to investigate PON1 levels in adults with OCD. In 2013, Kandemir et al. investigated oxidative stress in children and adolescents with OCD, and found that PON1 levels were significantly low. A recent review concluded that low PON1 levels may play a key role in mood disorders, generalized anxiety disorder and schizophrenia co-occurring with oxidative stress processes, and that therapies which aim to increase PON1 activity are likely to be novel drug targets for the treatment of these diseases.

In our study, the lower PON1 scores in healthy controls can be attributed to the fact that the OCD patients included in the study were under treatment. Human and animal studies investigating the effects of antidepressants on oxidative stress suggest that these agents may increase antioxidant activity.

Although IL-6 and IL-10 were found to be significantly lower and hs-CRP was higher in the OCD group compared

### Table 4 Psychometric scores of obsessive-compulsive disorder groups and comparison of these scores between groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reactive (n=19)</th>
<th>Autogenous (n=14)</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td>21.00±7.27</td>
<td>17.00±8.84</td>
<td>-1.545</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[14.00-27.25]</td>
<td>[13.25-28.75]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of disease</td>
<td>11.78±11.02</td>
<td>15.61±12.14</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[3.00-22.00]</td>
<td>[5.25-12.00]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of treatment</td>
<td>3.43±4.99</td>
<td>8.91±9.33</td>
<td>1.912</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1.00-4.50]</td>
<td>[1.25-7.50]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVIS</td>
<td>3.34±1.21</td>
<td>3.70±1.12</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[2.47-4.80]</td>
<td>[3.25-4.71]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBQ, total score</td>
<td>189.00±54.45</td>
<td>194.15±49.63</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[173.50-240.75]</td>
<td>[165.25-203.00]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBQ, responsibility/threat estimation</td>
<td>73.62±23.72</td>
<td>78.73±17.89</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[58.75-97.75]</td>
<td>[56.75-82.50]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBQ, perfectionism/certainty</td>
<td>81.62±19.83</td>
<td>71.45±19.07</td>
<td>-1.431</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[60.25-101.00]</td>
<td>[53.75-82.25]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBQ, importance/control of thoughts</td>
<td>41.12±16.69</td>
<td>51.27±15.30</td>
<td>1.624</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[30.50-59.00]</td>
<td>[42.75-62.00]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS, total score</td>
<td>19.06±9.85</td>
<td>21.75±8.12</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[18.25-30.00]</td>
<td>[13.00-29.25]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS, obsession</td>
<td>9.59±4.62</td>
<td>12.17±4.11</td>
<td>1.425</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[9.00-15.00]</td>
<td>[8.50-15.00]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS, compulsion</td>
<td>9.47±5.69</td>
<td>9.58±5.57</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[7.75-15.00]</td>
<td>[5.75-14.25]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± standard deviation [interquartile range].

**OBQ** = Obsessive Beliefs Questionnaire; **OVIS** = Overvalued Ideas Scale; **SD** = standard deviation; **Y-BOCS** = Yale Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale.
to the control group, this difference was not significant between the two OCD subtypes.

C-reactive protein (CRP), an acute-phase protein, has been the focus of extensive epidemiological investigations after association of its high plasma levels (> 3 mg/L) with cardiovascular risk. Studies have shown that it is increased in major depression and correlates with symptom severity and is significantly increased in generalized anxiety disorder, thus suggesting that it can be used as a biomarker to differentiate between bipolar depression and major depression. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies investigating hs-CRP levels in OCD patients to date, and the significant increase in our study is consistent with findings in other psychiatric disorders. This may also indicate that inflammatory processes are involved in OCD, as in other psychiatric disorders. It is well known that serum IL-6 induces CRP secretion in the liver. In our study, while IL-6 levels were low in the OCD group, hs-CRP was found to be high. These data can be explained by the mechanisms whereby the CRP gene expression is regulated by IL-6 independently. For example, in a study with more than 3,000 participants, individuals were divided into CRP-dominant, IL-6-dominant, and co-dominant groups, and an increased risk of stroke was found in the CRP-dominant group. In the future, studies in which levels of these two parameters are measured separately (as well as their ratios to each other) in psychiatric disorders may contribute to the literature.

The fact that there were no differences in OVIS, OBQ, and Y-BOCS scores between the reactive and autogenous OCD groups is inconsistent with previous studies revealing that both symptom severity and relevant scale scores were higher in the autogenous subtype than the reactive subtype. This indicates that the classification of OCD into autogenous and reactive subtypes needs to be reevaluated.

Our study had some limitations: the small sample size, cross-sectional design, and presence of possible additional diagnoses based on BDI and BAI scores, which was a confounding factor. Research has indicated that medication may have an effect on anti-inflammatory and oxidative biomarkers. In our sample, every patient was on antidepressants; however, there were no statistical analysis regarding the known effects of medication. Furthermore, body mass index may act as a confounding factor on oxidative stress markers, and our data did not include this parameter. Conversely, the exclusion of all controllable agents known to affect both inflammatory and oxidative stress markers was one of the strengths of our study.

In conclusion, the results of our study indicate that inflammatory processes may play a role in OCD. However, autogenous and reactive OCD do not differ from each other in these aspects.
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