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ABSTRACT

On January 2022, the Carmo River (a tributary of the Doce River) was affected by an extreme hydrological event, with 50-yr return interval. This event was 50% higher than that associated to one of the largest tailing dam failures ever reported that took place in the Gualaxo do Norte River. This delivered to Doce River, through the Carmo River, in a Y-shaped confluence, the contaminated tailing slurry. In order to understand the role of tributaries in the recovery of the Doce River, 2D hydrodynamic and 2D sediment transport models were applied at the confluence of the Carmo River with the Piranga River. Our results, based on hydraulic modeling, remote sensing, and in-situ measurements, indicated that significant amounts of sediments were trapped on the riverbanks and stone banks, making this confluence an important site for the retention of contaminated sediments, influencing sediment budgets and downstream water quality. Therefore, this confluence deserves special attention from water engineering in order to prevent the sediments retained in this area from being transported downstream during extreme events in the coming years. These results can contribute to decision-making, to identify reaches with greater susceptibility to erosion and sediment deposition and to plan the restoration of these rivers.
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INTRODUCTION

River confluences are where rivers meet and are therefore fundamental components of natural channel drainage networks (Shukla et al., 2022a). The river confluence is an environment with continuous changes in the flow structure such as speed, direction and flow rate (Best & Rhoads, 2008; Yuan et al., 2022); in the composition of sediments and nutrients (Nazarigiglou et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2020); and in the morphology of the receptor channel (Best & Roy, 1991; Sukhodolov et al., 2023).

Abrupt changes in the hydraulic characteristics of rivers generally occur at confluences (Rhoads, 2020) because of the interactions of hydraulic variables. Furthermore, confluences are of great importance in the river ecosystem, as they enable the occurrence of biophysical and ecosystem processes from the interaction of two often distinct basins (Rice et al., 2008; Samson, et al., 2019).

The geomorphological processes that occur at confluences have attracted scientific interest in recent decades. These interests derive from the understanding that river processes summarize the physical characteristics of the upstream watershed. Furthermore, they also generate information about hydrodynamics and sediments in the downstream drainage network (Santos & Stevaux, 2017; Luz et al., 2020).

Best (1987) and Liu et al. (2019) defined the flow behavior of confluences in six flow zones: stagnation zone (where deflection of both flows occurs at the junction); deflection zone (where flow recirculation occurs); separation zone (where the change in flow direction occurs); zone of maximum speed (junction of flows after the separation zone); restoration zone (where the flow gradually recovers) and shear layers (areas stagnant with the flow). Rhoads & Kenworthy (1995) defined these zones as the Confluence Hydrodynamic Zone (CHZ).

Best & Rhoads (2008) and Bilal et al. (2020) identified five main morphological characteristics that may be present or absent in a confluence: excavation zone (characterized by a depression in the receiving channel caused by bed erosion); tributary bar (accumulation of sediment at the mouth of one or both channels); central bar (sediment accumulation after the excavation zone); side bar (accumulation of sediment on the sides); and, region of sediment accumulation (located at the corner of the junction, upstream).

Some human interventions alter the morphological characteristics of confluences, such as: the extraction of sediments from the riverbed (Calle et al., 2017; Dawson, 2021), channelization (Zawiejska et al., 2015; Laurence et al., 2022), the construction of dams (Sanchis-Illor et al., 2018; Thomson et al., 2022), and changes in land use and land cover (Portugno et al., 2017; Magliulo et al., 2021). In particular, in this work, we will be investigating how the hydrological pulse interferes in a confluence impacted by one of the biggest environmental disasters known, the Mariana disaster – MG.

Another factor that can modify morphological characteristics is climate change (Cienciala & Pasternack, 2017; Anim-Gyampo et al., 2019), especially extreme floods that can produce notable changes in the channel in a very short period, often with long-lasting geomorphological effects. (Righini et al., 2017; Magliulo & Valente, 2020).

One of the ways to reproduce/show changes in the morphology of confluences is through numerical models (1D, 2D and 3D). Some models simulate flow and sediment transport, using hydraulic variables and sediment properties (Yan et al., 2022), which allow: calculating sediment deposition and erosion; evaluate the sediment balance and; identify critical points and areas prone to deposition or erosion (Edmonds et al., 2023).

The HEC-RAS (Hydrologic Engineering Center - River Analysis System) program has been widely used, around the world, in research related to modeling sediment transport in river channels. Since its creation in 1995 and its last update in August 2022, the model has demonstrated consistent performance and is compatible with field measurements, demonstrating its potential to contribute to studies on hydrosedimentation. The review by Das & Senthil Vadivel (2022) highlights the continued effectiveness of HEC-RAS, with positive results being observed since 2003. Examples of studies that have used HEC-RAS to model sediment transport include research such as: Duan et al. (2008) evaluated the model for the Rillito River in Arizona, USA; Haghiahi & Zaredehdasht (2012) investigated sedimentation prediction in the Karun River, Iran; Azarang & Bajestan. (2015) simulated the sedimentation process in river and floodplain areas in a river basin in northern Germany; Berghout, & Meddi (2016) conducted a sediment transport modeling study in the Wadi River, Chemorn, Algeria; Mohammed et al. (2018) investigated the sedimentation pattern in a section of the Euphrates River in Iraq and; Shelley (2021) simulated sediment transport to predict deposition in the Missouri River, USA. More recently, Damte et al. (2021) used HEC-RAS to estimate sedimentation activities to predict floods in the Kuflı River, in Ethiopia and; Ghosh et al. (2021) evaluated the lateral erosion of the banks of the Bhagirathi-Hooghly River, in India. Corum et al. (2023), Gudgerisdöttir (2023) and Marx (2023) employed the HEC-RAS (6.3) program to model two-dimensional sediment transport. These studies collectively demonstrate the continued relevance and effectiveness of HEC-RAS as a modeling tool for hydrodynamic and sediment transport analyzes in river environments. The application of this model for hydrosedimentological studies in Brazil, to the best of our knowledge, is being carried out in this work.

The Doce river basin is a basin impacted by the tragedy that occurred on November 5, 2015, when the mining tailings dam in Mariana – MG collapsed, causing a gigantic environmental disaster that, to this day, has serious consequences for the lives of thousands of people (Lopes et al., 2019; Fraga et al., 2020; Frachini et al., 2021; Paulelli et al., 2022; Mourão et al., 2023). These sediments contain the presence of heavy metals (mercury, lead, cadmium, manganese, nickel, iron, tin, chromium and arsenic) (Frachini et al., 2021; Kroukamp & Wepener 2022).

In January 2022, a flood event occurred, which was the largest in the last 25 years and the third largest recorded in the Doce River basin (Santos et al., 2021). This caused major disruption and around 20 thousand people were left homeless (Oliveira et al., 2022). It is assumed that this event may have disturbed and transported the sediments that were accumulated at the bottom of the river channel.

This article is a contribution to the Project “Satellite-Tracker Drifters and Automatic Monitoring of Environmental Parameters Applied to Understanding the Contribution of Tributaries to the Reestablishment of the Doce River”, which consists of a
multi-instrumental and multi-institutional effort to study the influence of hydrodynamics in the region of confluences for the reestablishment of the Doce River.

In this context, this study seeks to investigate the morphological changes that occurred in response to the 2015 disaster that occurred in the Rio Doce, at a Y-shaped confluence that conceptually represents the beginning of the Rio Doce. It was also investigated whether a hydrological pulse related to intense floods that occurred in January 2022, whose intensity was the highest in the last 25 years, contributed to highlighting or minimizing the impacts resulting from the disaster. This 2022 event resulted in flow 53% greater than that observed during the disaster, presenting the potential to carry, from the bank to the river, the contaminated sediment.

STUDY AREA

The study covers the confluence of the Piranga River with the Carmo River, which is located in the Iron Quadrangle. The iron quadrangle is a region in the center-south of the state of Minas Gerais, which has the largest production of raw iron in Brazil. This confluence is formed by two river basins, the Piranga river basin, which has a drainage area of 6,612 km², and the Carmo river basin, which has a drainage area of 2,279 km². Its main tributary is the Gualaxo do Norte River, which was the river that transported iron ore tailings from the Mariana disaster to the Doce River, which occurred in November 2015, when the iron ore tailings dam collapsed. After this confluence, the watercourse is named Doce River (Sposito, 2021).

Figure 1 shows the study area where there is a confluence of the Piranga River with the Carmo River, which is classified by the geometry of the junction as symmetrical. In this type of confluence, rivers are of similar size that meet and join together to form a single watercourse. The characteristic of this junction is that there is an acute or right angle, resulting in a symmetrical flow pattern. The junction point is shaped like a “Y” or a triangle. The combined flow of the rivers continues from this point of confluence to form the resulting downstream watercourse called the Rio Doce (Grzegorczyk et al., 2019).

METHODOLOGY

Data sources

Data from monitoring stations

After the Mariana disaster, much attention was paid to monitoring the quality and quantity of water in the Doce River. The monitoring stations that already existed before the tragedy were updated, and new stations were created to monitor, over time, the recovery of the Doce river basin. An example is the Systematic Quali-quantitative Water and Sediment Monitoring Program (PMQQS), implemented on July 31, 2017, whose data is made available free of charge on the website (Fundação Renova, 2023).

In this study, hydrological, sedimentological and water quality data available in the National Hydrometeorological Network (RHN) of the National Water Agency (ANA) and PMQQS were used.

Initially, stations within the study area were selected, followed by a data consistency test (Estigoni, 2016), looking for possible errors (inconsistencies or failures). Data containing more than 5% error was disregarded. The ratified data were organized by date and time, in spreadsheet format, to generate graphs. After these procedures, hydrographs were created representing the flood event that occurred in January 2022.
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The stations that are upstream of the confluences served as input data to generate the model, while the stations that are downstream served to calibrate the model. Table 1 and Figure 2 present the coordinates and location of the monitoring stations used.

Using data from the stations, the return time (TR) was calculated, which is the average time in which a given natural event is equaled or surpassed (Jesus & Nascimento, 2020). To calculate return times, the probabilistic method of empirical distribution was used, according to Hallinan Junior (1993), which consists of the arrangement of the annual maximum daily flows, represented by Equations 1 and 2.

\[ P = \frac{m}{n+1} \]  
\[ TR = \frac{1}{P} \]

where: \( P \) is the probability; \( m \) is the order of numbers; \( n \) is the amount of data in the historical series; and \( TR \) is the payback time in years.

**Topographic data**

Topographic data were obtained by field data collection, the ANA metadata catalog and the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the ALOS PALSAR - 2 satellite sensor. Details on interpolation procedure will be presented later.

During data collection in the field, planialtimetric surveys of the sections were carried out, measurements of the physical parameters of the water, flow measurements and collection of sediments on the banks and bottom of the rivers. Data collection in the field took place on September 1, 2021, the end of the dry period, to characterize the banks and to avoid contamination of the water that runs off from the rain.

The equipment used was: GNSS receivers model Leica CS09, L1/, L2, for collecting topographic points and georeferencing; Hanna multiparameter probe model HI9828, for measuring the physical and chemical parameters of water; Qliner V3 flow meter, for surveying topobathymetric sections and flows and; Van Veen type samplers for collecting sediment from the banks and bottom. Figure 3 shows some equipment used in field data collection.

The ANA metadata catalog is a source of varied data from the National Water Resources Information System (SNIRH). This database has geographic, hydrological and hydraulic information on Brazilian hydrography. In this study, data from topobathymetric sections of the Doce river basin were used. These data were collected, processed and presented by a private company hired by a consortium of companies (CBG-DOCE, IBIO Doce and ANA), to provide topography and bathymetry services, which are available free of charge on the ANA catalog website (Brasil, 2023).

Another source of data used was from the ALOS satellite (Advanced Land Observing Satellite), which was launched on January 24, 2006 by the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), at the Tanegashima space center (Japan) and entered the operational phase of data provision to the public on October 24, 2006. One of the radars attached to the satellite is PALSAR (Phased Array L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar), which is a synthetic aperture radar that operates in the L Band, capable of obtaining day or night images and in any atmospheric conditions. The Alaska Satellite Facility project processed these images, making a radiometric correction of the terrain, generating a product of altimetric images with a spatial resolution of 12.5 m, in the GEO TIFF (Georeferenced Tagged Image File) format, made available free of charge on the website (Alaska Satellite Facility, 2023).

With these three data sources, the DEM for the study area was created. The DEM of the study area was carried out using a GIS (Geographic Information System) program. To create the DEM, the watercourse channels were created, through interpolation of topobathymetric sections, using data collected in fields and data from the ANA metadata catalog. Data from the ALOS PALSAR-2 satellite was to complement the gaps in the DEM.

**Table 1. Location of stations.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Data Matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCA 01 – Carmo River</td>
<td>20° 16’ 58.08” S</td>
<td>43° 01’ 55.92” W</td>
<td>Liquid discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPG 02 – Piranga River</td>
<td>20° 23’ 02.04” S</td>
<td>42° 54’ 10.08” W</td>
<td>Liquid discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDO 02 – Doce River</td>
<td>19° 59’ 39.84” S</td>
<td>42° 40’ 27.84” W</td>
<td>Liquid discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA 04 - Carmo River</td>
<td>20° 15’ 54.51” S</td>
<td>42° 58’ 56.90” W</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPG 01 – Piranga River</td>
<td>20° 23’ 22.55” S</td>
<td>42° 53’ 57.46” W</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDO 01J – Doce River</td>
<td>20° 15’ 20.23” S</td>
<td>42° 54’ 38.16” W</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2. Location of stations in the study area.**
The images were obtained from surveys carried out by a remotely piloted aircraft (ARP) equipped with a photogrammetric camera. After the survey, the reconstruction and map-making process were carried out. These images were collected, processed and presented as orthophotos with a GSD (Ground Sample Distance) of 10 cm by a private company hired by the Renova Foundation. The Renova Foundation is the entity responsible for mobilizing to repair the damage caused by the collapse of the Fundão dam, in Mariana (MG).

The Renova Foundation made available 3 orthophotos of the study area, showing the before, during and after the flood event that occurred in January 2022 (Figure 4). Table 2 presents details from the acquisition period of orthophotos.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orthophoto</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthophoto (before the event)</td>
<td>April to May 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthophoto (during the event)</td>
<td>January to March 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthophoto (after the event)</td>
<td>June to July 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Orthophoto acquisition period.

Figure 3. Equipment used in fieldwork: (A) Van Veen type sampler; (B) Hanna HI9828 multiparameter probe; (C) Qliner V3 and flow meter; (D) Leica L1 and L2 GNSS receiver.

Figure 4. Orthophotos of the study area, showing the before, during and after the flooding event that occurred in January 2022.
The orthophotos were used to evaluate the hydrodynamic and sediment transport models. In the first, the area of the flood spot was statistically evaluated. In the second, the sedimentation and erosion zones indicated by the simulation were evaluated.

Models

2D hydrodynamic modeling

To solve the 2D hydrodynamic model, the HEC-RAS version 6.4.1 program was used, which solves the mass and momentum conservation equations using an implicit finite difference scheme developed by Holly Junior & Preissmann (1977) and Chen & Falconer (1992).

The two-dimensional equations were obtained from the one-dimensional equations, integrating the vertical between the bottom and the surface, considering the Cartesian x and y directions. Equations 3, 4 and 5 are the solutions of the mass and momentum conservation equations, in a plane parallel to the bottom of the channel that the HEC-RAS program solves. (Andrade, 2020).

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (hu)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (hv)}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial hu}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial hu}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial hu}{\partial y} = -gh \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{g v^2}{2} \left| u + 2 \alpha \sigma \cos \phi + A \dot{\nu} \right|^2 \tag{4}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial hv}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial hv}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial hv}{\partial y} = -gh \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + \frac{g v^2}{2} \left| v + 2 \alpha \sigma \cos \phi + A \dot{\nu} \right|^2 \tag{5}
\]

where: \( h \) is the depth; \( u, v \) are the average speeds in the directions of \( x \) and \( y \); \( H \) is the elevation of the water; \( \alpha \) is the angular velocity of the earth; \( \phi \) is the latitude and; \( A \) is the turbulent viscosity coefficient.

The input data are the previously worked data, which were inserted by the geometric data editor of the HEC-RAS program to create the strands measuring 5 m x 5 m in the main gutter and 10 m x 10 m in the secondary gutter. The Manning roughness values for the main and secondary gutters were calculated according to the proposal by Chow (1959) where is determined by the physical characterization of the channel and \( n \) is the degree of sinuosity, according to Equation 6.

\[
n = (n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4) \cdot m_s \tag{6}
\]

The hydrographs obtained from the monitoring stations were inserted into the HEC-RAS program considering the period from 01/01/2022 to 03/30/2022. During this period, one of the largest flood events ever recorded in the region occurred.

The model was calibrated using the HEC-RAS program, adjusting the Manning coefficient for all sections, between 0.030 and 0.070, to find the best fit between the simulated hydrograph and that of the downstream monitoring station.

To adjust the calibration, Equations 7, 8 and 9 were used, which express the comparison between the hydrographs and the determination of the coefficient \( r^2 \). Equation 6 calculates the proportion of variation in observed values compared to the simulated variable (Rangel, 2021).

\[
SSE = \sum (y - \hat{y})^2 \tag{7}
\]

\[
SST = \sum (y - \bar{y})^2 \tag{8}
\]

\[
r^2 = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST} \tag{9}
\]

where: \( SSE \) is the quadratic sum of the error; \( SST \) is the total sum of squares; \( y \) is the observed value; \( \bar{y} \) is the average of the observed values; and \( \hat{y} \) is the calculated/simulated value.

2D sediment transport modeling

To solve the 2D sediment transport model, the HEC-RAS version 6.4.1 program was used, which solves Equation 10 of bed material load transport, separating the bed material load into bed load and suspended loads with empirical formulas. Equation 7 is solved with an implicit finite volume scheme on the same mesh as the hydrodynamic model (Nelson et al., 2023).

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{C_{tk} \cdot H^F_k}{R_{tk}} \right] + \nabla \cdot \left( u C_{tk} h V C_{tk} \right) = \nabla \cdot \left( A_{tk} \dot{\nu} \right) + \frac{E_{tk}^H}{k} - \frac{D_{tk}^H}{S_{tk}} \tag{10}
\]

where: \( C_{tk} \) is the total sediment concentration load; \( R_{tk} \) is the total load correction factor for the particle size class; \( U \) is the average speed at depth; \( h \) is the depth of the water; \( A_{tk} \) is the total charge diffusion (mixing) coefficient; \( E_{tk}^H \) is the total load erosion rate; \( D_{tk}^H \) is the total charge deposition rate and; \( S_{tk} \) is the total load source/sink term.

\( A_{tk} \) is the total load horizontal mixing/diffusion coefficient, determined by Equation 11.

\[
A_{tk} = r_k \cdot m_{sk} \cdot \left( 1 - r_{sk} \right) \cdot e_{tk} \quad \text{para} \quad k = 1, \ldots, N \tag{11}
\]

where: \( r_k \) is the fraction of suspended load; \( m_{sk} \) is the suspended load mixing coefficient; \( e_{tk} \) is the bed load mixing coefficient.

The horizontal mixing coefficient of suspended load \( e_{tk} \) represents the effects of turbulent diffusion. Assuming that the horizontal sediment mixing coefficient is related to the eddy viscosity. The horizontal diffusion coefficient of the bedload \( e_{tk} \) represents the mixing of sediments due to spatially and temporally varying bedload velocities.

The input data is the data already worked on previously. Sediment data were entered into the sediment data editor of the HEC-RAS program.

The sediment transport equation applied in the model was that of Wu (2004) due to the depth of the rivers. The grain classification equation was chosen from Copeland & Thomas (1989) due to the extensive ability to calculate grain size. The fall speed equation chosen was from Wu & Wang (2006), which takes into account the shape of the particle. The granulometry was inserted for each water course according to the data collected by the field survey and data obtained from monitoring stations.

The boundary condition used was the equilibrium load boundary, as the other modes require additional data, which were not collected.
Calibration of the sediment transport simulation was done in the HEC-RAS program, adjusting the transport function. The transport function controls the rate of erosion and deposition. This parameter is responsible for temporal delays in deposition and erosion. Longer fitting lengths will slow erosion and deposition, smoothing the result. Shorter lengths increase erosion and deposition. The amount recommended by the program is 10 m for rivers measuring 30 to 100 m.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results are presented in 3 stages. The first stage consists of creating the hydrographs that were inserted into the HEC-RAS program and creating the digital terrain model. The second stage presents the results of 2D hydrodynamic and 2D sediment transport simulations. The third stage is the evaluation of the models.

Data sources

Data from monitoring stations

To generate the hydrographs of the monitoring stations, consistency tests were carried out on the data, for greater reliability in the simulation. The procedures were carried out following the studies by Alves (2017) and Rosa (2017). Spreadsheets were then created with the hydrographs of the stations, according to a study by Marciano (2019). Figures 5, 6 and 7 show the hydrographs with the historical series (50 years of data) and with the 5-year, 10-year and 50-year TRs.

Figure 5 shows the records from station RCA 01. The biggest floods occurred in the years 1979, 1997 and 2022 (Batista, 2022; Campana, 2022; Coelho Filho et al., 2022), these have a TR greater than 50 years. The biggest flood occurred on February 3, 1979 with a flow of 733 m$^3$/s. For comparison purposes, for the anthropogenic Mariana – MG disaster, there was a flow of 414 m$^3$/s, equivalent to a TR of 10 years. In the January 2022 event, the flow reached 637 m$^3$/s on January 11, being the highest in the last 25 years and 53% greater than that of the Mariana – MG disaster. Since the Rio do Carmo was the river that carried the mud from the Mariana disaster to the Rio Doce, the occurrence of a flood pulse with an intensity so much greater than that of the accident itself, anticipates the possibility that natural events could contribute for the remobilization of sediments deposited on the bank.

Figure 6 shows the records from station RPG 02. The biggest floods occurred in 1997 and 2012, with a TR of more than 50 years. The biggest flood occurred on January 4, 1997 with a flow of 2236 m$^3$/s. In the Mariana disaster – MG there was a flow of 871 m$^3$/s equivalent to a TR of 3 years. In the January 2022 event, a flow rate of 2000 m$^3$/s was reached on February 10, 2022, the highest in the last 25 years. Note that the peak flow, in this case, is one month behind what was observed in the two previous cases. This is due to the fact that this station is downstream of the Risoleta Neves UHE, which played a role in regulating the flow.

Data from stations RCA 01 and RPG 02 were used as input data in the HEC-RAS program. The RDO 02 station was used to calibrate the model.

The granulometric curve of the sediments was made using data from monitoring stations and field data surveys. Figure 8 shows the particle size distribution, collected in September 2021. The Doce River has a greater amount of coarse particles (sand) than the Carmo River and the Piranga River. The Carmo River, 36% fine sand and 34% medium sand. The Piranga River has 36% fine sand particles and 24% medium sand.
The three rivers have a considerable amount of medium sand, which shows uniformity. The coarse sand in the Doce River can be explained by the sediment load that the river water carries, which depends on the speed of the current and the diameter of the particles. The larger the diameter of the particles, the greater their weight, which can occur due to several factors, such as a decrease in the river's velocity gradient, smooth curves or an increase in the flooding area (Andrade et al., 2021).

Topographic data

The DEM was generated through data collected in the field (September/2021) and data acquired from other sources. Table 3 presents the coordinates and dates of the topobathymetric sections that were used from the ANA metadata catalog.

Figure 9 shows the confluence of the Carmo River with the Piranga River and the topobathymetric sections used to generate the DEM. The topobathymetric sections: CR-10, has an area of 29 m² and an average depth of 0.94 m; PG-71, has an area of 84 m² and an average depth of 0.90 m; DC-01, has an area of 314 m² and an average depth of 2.50 m. The difference in areas is related to the shape of the channel, in wide and deep channels the flow speed is slow. In narrow channels the flow speed is fast (Dietze et al., 2022).

In this region, the presence of several islands (Figure 10) was verified, which impact the flow and speed of the water current. Among them, those of a perennial nature, made up of rocks, and those of a temporary nature made up of sediments, which were formed as a result of flood events, stood out. The existence of these islands generated a complex scenario for the study of sediment transport, due to the multiplicity of variables involved and the difficulty in obtaining information. Another aspect to highlight is that the confluence under study, although morphologically of type Y (Liu et al., 2019; Bilal et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2021; Leli et al., 2023), presents perennial obstructions arising from rocky outcrops, making this a peculiar type of confluence.

The DEM of the study area was made based on data from the topobathymetric sections and the slopes of the watercourses. The model was created with a spatial resolution of 1 m to better characterize the main and secondary channels of the rivers. We sought to represent the islands, as they are important in the study of flow and sediment transport. There was no need to represent the relief outside the main and secondary gutters, as they were not used in the simulation.

Models

2D hydrodynamic simulation

For the 2D hydrodynamic simulation, previously processed data was inserted into the HEC-RAS program. Manning roughness values were calculated for the main and secondary gutters, as proposed by Chow (1959).

The hydrodynamic simulation showed that at the confluence of the Carmo River with the Piranga River, the islands are obstacles to the flow. Figure 11 shows the flow velocity in the study area.

The highest speeds indicated by the red marking are in the sections funneled by the islands, which cause flow speeds to be greater than 1 m/s. These stretches are in the zone of maximum velocity, which is related to the junction of flows after the separation zone, which is commonly associated with increased frictional stress (Best, 1987; Biron et al., 1993, 1996; Boyer et al., 2006).

Low flow speeds are found on some banks and downstream of the islands, which are indicated by the black marking in Figure 11. The regions of low speed configure the stagnation zones. Stagnation zones occur due to the deflection of both flows at the junction and are associated with: increased pressure and depth; the decrease in flow velocity; and frictional stress (Best, 1987; Biron et al., 1996). The low-speed section on the left bank of the Doce River is explained by the natural barrier that dams the waters.

Figure 12 details the river flow at the confluence. The flow of the Carmo River is divided into 2 sections: A, with flow on the left, has 100% of the flow, while B, on the right, only occurs when the flow of the Carmo River is above 30 m³/s. This situation directly depends on the flow of the Piranga River. The Piranga River's flow is divided into 3 sections, shown in Figure 12. Section C drains 18% of the Piranga River's flow, while Section D drains 52% and Section E, 30%. This configuration is maintained up to the limit of 160 m³/s in the Carmo River and 210 m³/s in the Piranga River. From these flows, the islands begin to be flooded by waters.

Table 3. Information on topobathymetric sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Watercourses</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR-10</td>
<td>Carmo River</td>
<td>01/17/2018</td>
<td>20° 16’ 44.88” S</td>
<td>42° 55’ 08.76” W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG-71</td>
<td>Piranga River</td>
<td>11/29/2017</td>
<td>20° 16’ 49.05” S</td>
<td>42° 54’ 15.91” W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-01</td>
<td>Doce River</td>
<td>12/04/2017</td>
<td>20° 16’ 21.15” S</td>
<td>42° 55’ 08.59” W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 9. Confluence of the Carmo River with the Piranga River and topobathymetric sections. Source: Image (Google Earth, 2023); Photos (Brasil, 2023).

Figure 10. DEM of the confluence of the Carmo River with the Piranga River.

Figure 11. Orthophoto of the period from June to July 2022 with flow velocities at the confluence of the Carmo River with the Piranga River.
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Figure 13 shows the flooded area on January 11, 2022, at 12 noon. The yellow marking shows two islands and part of the right bank of the Piranga River that were submerged, for an average height of 2 m. According to the DEM, there was no overflow of water into the secondary gutters due to the watercourse being embedded in the topography. The islands are constantly flooded from December to February, as the sum of the flows of the Carmo River and the Piranga River is often greater than 160 m$^3$/s.

The black marking was placed to show the usual (normal) limit of the watercourse in comparison to the flood spot area. It was observed that there are no large overflows due to the fit of the watercourse into the topography in the study area, as previously mentioned.

No study was found with hydrodynamic simulations specifically in the researched area. Machado (2017) and Almeida (2019) simulated the propagation of the flood wave caused by the rupture of the Fundão dam up to 10 km downstream of the disaster. The studied area is 80 km downstream of the Fundão dam and has a different simulated period, making it incompatible for comparisons.

Based on the DEM (Figure 10) and the topobathymetric sections (Figure 9), it is observed that the topography is embedded in the watercourse. Therefore, even though the flow of the January flood event was greater than the flow that occurred in the Mariana – MG disaster, a similarity in the flood area is expected. The flood area varied little and the runoff speed fluctuated more compared to the Mariana – MG disaster. The simulation showed that the area flooded by the Mariana – MG disaster was 2.48 x 106 m$^2$, 4% smaller than the area flooded in the January 2022 event (Figure 14). No image record of the day of the disaster was found at this time.

The floodplain from the Mariana disaster (Figure 14) was provided by the RENOVA Foundation, which carries out studies to minimize and understand the disaster that occurred. This stain confirms that the banks are embedded in the watercourses. Even though the events had different amplitudes, there were no significant variations in the floodplain areas.

This study corroborates those of Dasallas et al. (2019), Hagg et al. (2021) and Shaikh et al. (2023) among others, who state that in funneled areas the flow speed increases in relation to other sections.
2D sediment transport simulation

After the 2D hydrodynamic simulation, sediment data was inserted into the HEC-RAS program. Figure 14 shows the places where sediment deposition and erosion occurred at the confluence of the Carmo River with the Piranga River (which constitutes the official starting point of the Rio Doce) after the January 2022 flood event.

The 2D sediment transport simulation showed that in the period from January 1 to March 30, 2022, there was more sediment deposition than erosion. Figure 15 shows in blue, the deposition of sediments, and in red, the places where erosion occurred.

The quantitative analysis of the sediments that entered and left the study area during the simulated period is represented in Table 4. The Carmo river brought $3.1 \times 10^3$ hm$^3$ of sediment, while the Piranga river brought $8.6 \times 10^2$ hm$^3$. In addition to these sediments that entered the area, there was erosion of $5.8 \times 10^3$ hm$^3$, totaling $17.5 \times 10^3$ hm$^3$ of sediment transported. Of these, a portion of $8.6 \times 10^2$ hm$^3$ was deposited internally, while the remainder, $8.9 \times 10^3$ cubic hectometers, was transported outside the study area.

A predominance of the sedimentation process in relation to erosion was observed, which results in significant implications, which include an increase in the frequency and intensity of floods, since the space available for water flow has been reduced due to the accumulation of sediments (Pakam et al., 2023). Furthermore, sediment accumulation has a direct impact on aquatic ecosystems, suffocating resident organisms and resulting in a decrease in biodiversity and disruption of food chains (Darko et al., 2021).

No study was identified in the literature that was dedicated to carrying out simulations related to sediment transport at confluences in the research area of this study. The sediment retention values presented in Table 4 will be compared with estimated values (Palu, 2019) for the first reservoir downstream of the rupture site.

In the study by Palu (2019), the efficiency of sediment retention in the Risoleta Neves reservoir during the Mariana disaster, MG, was 60%, corresponding to a volume of $7$ hm$^3$, which resulted in a loss of $17\%$ of the total reservoir volume.

The sediment retention efficiency at the confluence of the Piranga and Carmo rivers was approximately 50% (Table 4) during the January 2022 flood event. This value is comparable to the 60% obtained above for the reservoir, indicating the importance of this confluence for the sediment balance. Figure 15 shows that the islands play an important role in sediment retention, retaining much of the sediment that entered and eroded during the event. This confluence behaved in a similar way to a dam, which corroborates the idea that “Y” shaped confluences with the presence of rocky outcrops together with reservoirs are effective in retaining sediment.

Table 4. Sediment budget.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sediment Input (hm$^3$)</th>
<th>Sediment Retention (hm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piranga River</td>
<td>$8.6 \times 10^2$ (49%)</td>
<td>$6.0 \times 10^2$ (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposition</td>
<td>$8.6 \times 10^2$ (49%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment Output</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doce River</td>
<td>$8.9 \times 10^2$ (51%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 2D sediment transport model calculated that in these areas there was deposition sediment average of 0.60 m.

Figure 17 shows the erosion areas. The erosion process occurred on the islands due to high flows from the January 2022 event that surpassed the surface of the islands, dragging sediments. In the central part of the watercourses, the islands made drainage difficult, causing the waters to bypass these islands. It then substantially increased the speed of flow in the bypassed areas, consequently excavating the river bed.

The erosions depicted in areas D (Figure 18) occurred because of the January 2022 flood event. These areas were submerged by high-velocity waters, causing sediments to detach from the soil. The model calculated that area D had an average erosion of 0.30 m and area E of 0.40 m. Figure 18 shows a profile of area D, where the blue line represents the surface before the 2022 event and the red line after the 2022 event, it is clear that there was erosion. These areas are devoid of vegetation, which corroborates the result presented, and may also indicate constant flooding.

Area F shows an excavation area, caused by a lateral choke. This excavation area is related to the junction angle and the increase in flow velocity (Figure 11), which coincides with the studies by Mosley (1979) and Best (1988). According to Bryan & Kuhn (2002), the erosion zones at the confluences (type Y), similar to this study, are symmetrical, where the excavation zone is practically located in the central part of the watercourse. The excavation area is characterized by turbulence along the shear layer between the flows, leading to rotation of sediments in the surrounding area or cleaning the excavation area (Atkinson, 1987; Roy et al., 1988; Boyer et al., 2006; Shukla et al., 2022b). Mosley (1976), Best (1988) and Santos & Stevaux (2017), describe that the depth of the excavation zone is closely related to the confluence angles, and that at acute angles the depth tends to be deeper in relation to the watercourse channel. 2D sediment calculated that there was an average excavation of 0.70 m in this area, making the channel deeper.

The 2D sediment transport simulation showed that the extreme flooding event of January 2022 eroded part of some islands and hydrodynamically deposited sediment on the banks.
Figure 17. Orthophoto of the period from June to July 2022 with areas of sediment erosion in the shear zone with flow velocity.

Figure 18. Profile of erosion area D.
The results indicate that extreme events have the capacity to change the morphology of confluences. Furthermore, there is a constant change in the morphology of the confluences mainly due to the deposition of sediments which is superior to erosion.

No study was found with simulations of sediment transport at confluences in this area. However, there is agreement with the study by Palu (2019), that sediment parameters significantly influence the volume of sediment mobilized in the Risoleta Neves reservoir, which is downstream of the study area.

Magliulo et al. (2021), analyzed the adjustments of a channel over 140 years in response to extreme floods on the Tammaro River, in southern Italy, and showed that extreme floods can in a very short time produce morphological changes comparable to adjustments long-term channel. Shrestha et al. (2020), analyzed hydrological and morphological changes in the Chindwin River, in Miami, and showed the occurrence of morphological changes resulting from extreme events in climate change scenarios. Both studies confirm that extreme events can change the morphology of watercourses, as observed here.

**Calibration and evaluation of models**

2D hydrodynamic simulation

The calibration of the 2D hydrodynamic model was done using the Manning coefficient adjustment. These coefficients were adjusted between 0.030 and 0.070 for the main flume and 0.09 to 0.14 for the secondary flume, to find the best fit between the simulated hydrograph and that of the downstream monitoring station. The coefficient of 0.035 was used for the main gutter and 0.11 for the secondary gutter according to the methodology of Rangel (2021).

Using orthophotos, the area of the flood spot was assessed. The flood spot was identified, which has an area of 222 thousand square meters, highlighting 9 islands that were not submerged by water. The simulation’s flood spot was also vectorized, which has an area of 258 thousand square meters, 16% larger than the area of the spot created by the orthophoto. Figure 19 shows the comparison of the images, since the flood spot generated by the simulation does not have the same precision as the orthophoto from January 2022 (during the event). This difference is due to the limitations of topography and bathymetry data.

To verify the reach of the flood spot, the yellow area in Figure 19 was investigated to highlight the reach of the spot. Figure 20, photo taken in August 2023, shows the primary trough and the beginning of the secondary trough confirming the reach of the floodplain from the 2D hydrodynamic simulation, which was similar to the beginning of the secondary trough indicated by Figure 19.

Figure 21 shows the comparison of the hydrographs, simulated and observed, by the monitoring station RDO 02 – Rio Doce (Figure 7). The comparison of the hydrographs, simulated and observed, shows that the hydrograph of the RDO 02 station, which is downstream of the confluence and the Risoleta Neves plant, recorded a maximum flow of 2000 m/s³ on January 11, 2022, while the simulation recorded a flow of 1480 m/s³. In agreement with the work of Dias et al. (2018), Palu (2019) and Figueiredo et al. (2019), the dam at the Risoleta Neves Hydroelectric Power Plant plays a role in dampening extreme events, as was seen in the Mariana disaster – MG.
The sum of the flows from the RCA 01 stations (Figure 5) – Carmo river and the RPG 02 station (Figure 6) – Piranga river which are upstream of the confluence was 1486 m$^3$/s, and the flow recorded by the simulation was 1480 m$^3$/s. The date of recording this flow is January 11, 2022, the same date as the maximum flows at the stations, with a delay of 3 hours. By comparing the maximum flows of the station that are upstream of the confluence with the flow recorded by the simulation, the model evaluation was considered adequate, due to the small difference in flow and peak time between them.

2D sediment transport simulation

The calibration of the 2D sediment transport model was carried out in the HEC-RAS program, adjusting the transport function according to Brunner (2020) and Gudgeirsdóttir (2023), for rivers from 30 to 100m the recommended value is 10 m.

To evaluate the 2D sediment transport simulation, orthophotos were used to highlight changes in the morphology of the confluence after the January 2022 flood event.

Figure 22 shows the comparison of orthophotos: before the event, during the event and after the January 2022 event, in area A2 (Figure 15). There were changes in the morphology of the channel, in the same location indicated by the 2D sediment transport simulation.

Figure 23 shows a photo taken in August 2023 of the A2 deposition area (Figure 16). There is evidence that there was hydrodynamic deposition, which can be proven by the amount of sand sedimented in the ravine. This hydrodynamic deposition may have occurred because of the January 2022 flood that reached a height of 4.6 m above the mean level (Figure 13) with a flow speed below 0.5 m/s (Figure 11).

In Figure 24, the topobathymetric section surveyed in the field, on the Doce River, was compared with the orthophotos before and after the January 2022 flood event. The first orthophoto has an extension of 101 m while the following orthophoto has an extension of 101.5 m. According to the orthophotos, there was no significant change in the morphology of the margins. The simulation showed the same results.

The 2D sediment transport simulation (Figure 25) shows that, in the topobathymetric section, there was a sediment deposition between the distance 80 m and 101 m, of approximately 0.35 m. This can be explained by Figure 11, which shows the speed in this section, which is relatively low compared to the other sections of the section. There were no changes in the rest of the section.
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CONCLUSIONS

According to the analyses of the field observations and remote sensing data, combined with hydrodynamic and sediment transport modelling from the bidimensional (2-D) hydrological engineering center-river analysis system (HEC-RAS) model, we evaluated changes in hydromorphological conditions due to a flood depth with 50 years return period. The study area encompasses a river confluence which is the official milestone of the beginning of the Rio Doce, one of the rivers in the world with the greatest concentration of studies aimed at its restoration, after having suffered one of the biggest disasters due to the collapse of a tailings dam. The main conclusions are as follows:

1) The REC-HAS model skills showed good performance in reproducing sediment transport during an extreme hydrological pulse, as qualitatively verified from high resolution images and field data.

2) The simulation results of the sediment budgets have shown that this confluence has a regional significance to net sediment transport functioning as an enhanced sediment retention area (sediment storage), with approximately 50% retention rate, comparable to that of the reservoirs.

3) Bed and bank material gradation curves shown that small sand particles dominate in upstream while medium sand particles dominate at downstream of the confluence. The resultant bars or islands were caused by sediment deposition due to low velocities and/or flow recirculation. (Figure 8, 14 and 15)

4) 2-D HEC-RAS model proved to be efficient in depicting the sedimentation and scour occurring in the side slope of a river cross-section, as corroborated by the cross-section measures before and after the event. Geometric changes in cross-section indicated that sediment distribution was not uniform throughout the study reach and that right side of the river boundary was a strength deposition area. (Figure 21 and 22).

The results presented here have the potential to indicate the river reaches with remarkable sediment deposition. These reaches deserve some hydraulic engineering intervention, in order to prevent the sediments trapped in this area to be transported downstream during hydraulic pulses in years to come. Overall, HEC-RAS 2-D model shown to be a very useful tool for forecasting morphological changes in near future in river banks, which would ultimately be useful for planning and execution of River Doce restoration issue.
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