Aline Macedo Carvalho Freitas[a]
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5696-9625

Tânia Maria de Araújo[a]
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2766-7799

Paloma de Souza Pinho[b]
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6402-0869

Camila Carvalho Sousa[a]
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6139-0984

Paula Caroline Santos Oliveira[a]
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0244-4134

Fernanda de Oliveira Souza[b]
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3573-9801

[a] Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana (UEFS), Departamento de Saúde, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Saúde Coletiva. Feira de Santana, BA, Brazil.

[b] Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia (UFRB), Centro de Ciências da Saúde. Cruz das Almas, BA, Brazil.

*Contact:*
Aline Macedo Carvalho Freitas
*Email:*
amcfreitas@uefs.br

# Sleep quality and associated factors among professors

*Qualidade do sono e fatores associados entre docentes de educação superior*

## Abstract

*Introduction*: poor sleep quality can compromise both health and quality of life. *Objective*: to estimate the prevalence and associated factors of poor sleep quality among professors of a public university in the state of Bahia, Brazil. *Methods*: exploratory, cross-sectional study, conducted from November 2015 to April 2016 with 423 professors randomly selected. Sleep quality was measured using the Mini-Sleep Questionnaire (MSQ) scale. *Results*: the poor sleep quality prevalence was 61.3%. The most frequent complaints were difficulty in falling asleep, waking up tired, waking up with a headache and not having time to sleep during the day. The following factors were statistically associated with poor sleep quality: maintaining more than one job, irregular and/or insufficient time to leisure activities, six or fewer sleep hours, complaints of musculoskeletal pain and headache, and high psychological demands with low control over work. *Conclusion*: the working conditions and the associated factors that provide high prevalence of poor sleep quality among professors should be rethought in favor of the workers' health and the importance of these work for society.

**Keywords:** occupational health; sleep; professors; higher education; cross-sectional studies.

## *Resumo*

Introdução*: a baixa qualidade do sono pode comprometer tanto a saúde como a qualidade de vida.* Objetivo*: estimar a prevalência e os fatores associados à qualidade do sono ruim entre docentes de educação superior de uma universidade pública na Bahia.* Métodos*: estudo exploratório, transversal, realizado de novembro de 2015 a abril de 2016 com 423 docentes aleatoriamente selecionados. A qualidade do sono foi mensurada pela escala Mini-Sleep Questionnaire (MSQ).* Resultados*: a prevalência de qualidade do sono ruim foi de 61,3%. As queixas mais frequentes foram: dificuldade de adormecer, acordar cansado, acordar com dor de cabeça e não ter tempo para dormir durante o dia. Apresentaram-se estatisticamente associadas com a qualidade do sono ruim: manter mais de um vínculo empregatício, tempo irregular e/ou insuficiente para a prática de atividades de lazer, seis horas ou menos de sono, queixas de dor musculoesquelética e de cabeça, e alta exigência psicológica com baixo controle sobre o trabalho.* Conclusão*: condições de trabalho que propiciam os fatores associados à elevada prevalência de qualidade do sono ruim entre docentes devem ser repensadas em prol da saúde desses trabalhadores e da importância do seu trabalho para a sociedade.*

**Palavras-chave:** *saúde do trabalhador; sono; docentes; educação superior; estudos transversais.*

# Introduction

Sleep is a necessary physiological process for human survival and, when in good quality, it helps to maintain a good wakefulness[1]. Sleep quality, when impaired, causes responses in the body that can alter cognitive, behavioral, psychomotor functioning, in addition to impairing the mood, increasing levels of stress, tiredness, discouragement and complaints of pain and other problems that compromise health and quality of life[1].

Among the factors and work situations that contribute to changes in sleep quality, psychosocial variables, sociodemographic characteristics and negative experiences at work are also mentioned, which aggravate stressful responses, increase release of glucocorticoids (GCs) – a hormone that regulates the defense reactions activated by stress – and hinder workers' recovery and rest period before and after working hours[2-5]. In higher education, the multiple academic demands are made up of long hours, excessive activities and pressure to increase productivity, performance and competitiveness, among other conditions, trigger changes in the health situation and, consequently, in the professors' quality and quantity of sleep[2,6].

Demands increase, requirements and responsibilities drive professors to the need of creating new social, emotional and pedagogical skills that impact on different dimensions of life[7]; it is possible to state that sleep is one of those affected dimensions[2,8]. It is important to know the dynamics involved in the professors' work and their relations with biological needs, such as sleep, since these workers are inserted in activities that demand cognition, logical and fast reasoning, memory and critical reflection.

In the current scenario, the sleep quality in professors has been altered due to the demands and arrangements in the time spent in work activities. In this context, the growing need to expand their working time, inside and outside the academic environment, becomes a reality, requiring from the professors a continuous greater dedication. The use of time, which has been increasingly directed to work demands and by the effort to meet academic requirements,[9] is a condition that reduces the time available for personal activities, such as leisure, rest, social and family interactions.

Sleep alterations, in addition to causing serious consequences for health, result in high financial costs for society and for the educational institutions, considering that the aggravation of this condition can lead to the withdrawing of professors from their work functions.

Given the above, it can be said that the low sleep quality is highly harmful to the individual, whether in the context of work, health or even interpersonal relationships. Impaired sleep, without favoring rest, causes consequences that are often imperceptible, but highly threatening to physical, emotional, relational and productive integrity, becoming, in general, a public health problem[1,2,5] that deserves further investigation and publication, above all due to its effects on the professors'health.

Although the negative effects of sleep quality interfere with work performance, this topic receives little attention in the epidemiological literature considering the context of the professors' work. This study aimed at estimating the prevalence and associated factors of poor sleep quality among professors of a public university in the state of Bahia, Brazil.

# Methods

This is an epidemiological cross-sectional exploratory study. It is an excerpt from the research entitled "Occupational stress and common mental disorders among professors", developed by Epidemiology Research Group of the Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brazil, from November 2015 to April 2016.

All professors who were effectively teaching were eligible for the study, regardless of the type of employment contract they had with the university. The study did not include those who were away from work either for professional development or due to illness, vacation, personal leave or maternity leave. All those who refused to participate in the research were excluded.

The selection of professors was made by stratified sampling according to the allocation by type of employment contract and department. A random selection was carried out using the Open Epi software, version 3.03a, with a numerical procedure to identify the participants.

To calculate the sample size, the total number of professors from the university (931) was considered, with a sampling error of 5%, a confidence level of 95%, and an expected poor sleep quality prevalence of 46.7%[2], obtaining the minimum sample size of

271 professors. We added 20% due to possible losses, totaling 324 professors. At the end of the data collection, 423 professors had participated in the research. Although the original study was not designed specifically to assess the professors' sleep, the sample here studied has power to investigate this study.

For data collection, a standardized, anonymous and self-administered questionnaire was used, consisting of nine blocks. Aiming at reducing the information bias, all researchers were previously trained in order to guarantee the standardization of data collection. The interviews with the professors were made on the days when the department and collegiate bodies meetings took place. When professors were not found at the meetings, we looked for them in the classrooms. To avoid losses in the study, we made up to four attempts to find each professor.

Sleep quality was assessed using the Mini-Sleep Questionnaire (MSQ) scale[10], an instrument that evaluates sleep quality. The MSQ consists of 10 questions with answers on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (never) to 7 (always). The highest scores characterize poor sleep quality. The total sum of the scores is divided into four levels: 10 to 24 points indicate good sleep; 25 to 27 points, slightly altered sleep; 28 to 30 points, moderately altered sleep; and ≥ 31, very altered sleep[10,11].

In this study, we analyzed the MSQ results as a dichotomous variable: the sleep quality defined as good was characterized by the sum of the responses that reached 10 to 27 points, and the sleep quality defined as poor, by the sum that reached 28 to 70 points.

For the data analysis, a descriptive evaluation was initially carried out, based on the work experiences of the demand-control model (DCM)[12]. We analyze the socioeconomic characteristics, complaints of sleep quality, work, life habits, complaints of pain and psychosocial aspects at work.

The prevalence of sleep quality was assessed according to these variables of interest. The initial exploration of the crude associations was made by bivariate analysis. Prevalence, prevalence ratio, and their respective 95% confidence intervals were estimated.

The multiple logistic regression analysis (MLRA) was calculated according to the following steps: 1) selection of variables based on the objectives of the study and the criteria identified in the literature review; 2) verification of the model's assumptions; 3) pre-selection of variables for inclusion in the analysis using the likelihood-ratio test, with the adoption of a 25% significance level; and 4) use of the backward procedure in the MLRA to select the best model. In this stage, the significance adopted was 5%[13].

We used Poisson regression analysis with robust variance to obtain prevalence ratio estimates. We checked the adjustment quality of the final model using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test[13] and the area on the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC). We conducted data analysis and processing using the statistical programs Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 23.0 and Statistics Data Analysis (Stata), version 17.

The study followed the National Health Council 466/2012 resolution and was submitted and approved by the Research Ethics Committee (CEP) under the report number 1.145.223 and CAAE 44623115.8.0000.0053. Before receiving the questionnaires, all participants read and signed the Free and Clarified Consent Term (FCCT), accepting to participate in the research. The professors' participation took place on a voluntary basis, with confidentiality at all stages and in the information obtained.

## Results

**Table 1** presents the descriptive analysis of the professors' sociodemographic characteristics. In the population women predominated (52.0%), young adults aged between 25 and 46 years old (51.1%), with a partner (70.6%), with children (70.3%) and with a monthly income of more than 10 Brazilian national minimum wages (65.3%). Considering the professor distribution by department, the Department of Health was the one with the highest percentage (28.7%), followed by the departments of Arts and Literature (12.3%) and Biological Sciences 12.1%.

Of the 423 professors participating in this study, 408 valid and self-reported answers on sleep quality were analyzed; therefore, there was a loss of 3.5%. Of these, 250 reached scores greater than 28 on the Mini-Sleep Questionnaire (MSQ)[10,11], indicating a poor sleep quality prevalence of 61.3% (**Table 2**).

**Table 1** Sociodemographic characteristics and distribution by department of professors of a public university in Bahia, Brazil, 2016

| Variables (n) | n | % |
|---|---|---|
| Sex (421)* | | |
| Male | 202 | 48.0 |
| Female | 219 | 52.0 |
| Age (407)* | | |
| 25 to 46 years old | 208 | 51.1 |
| 47 to 59 years old | 199 | 48.9 |
| Marital Status (418)* | | |
| With a partner | 295 | 70.6 |
| Without a partner | 123 | 29.4 |
| With children (420)* | | |
| No | 125 | 29.7 |
| Yes | 295 | 70.3 |
| Monthly Income (418)* | | |
| Up to 10 minimum salary wages | 145 | 34.7 |
| More than 10 minimum salary wages | 273 | 65.3 |
| Department (422)* | | |
| Physics | 13 | 3.1 |
| Education | 32 | 7.6 |
| Humanities and Philosophy | 33 | 7.8 |
| Technology | 35 | 8.3 |
| Exact Sciences | 38 | 9.0 |
| Applied Social Sciences | 47 | 11.1 |
| Biological Sciences | 51 | 12.1 |
| Literature and Arts | 52 | 12.3 |
| Health | 121 | 28.7 |

*valid responses of variables, excluding losses.

**Table 2** Characteristics of sleep quality, duration and complaints among professors from a public university in Bahia, Brazil, 2016

| Variables (n) | Frequencies | |
|---|---|---|
| | n | % |
| Sleep quality** (408)* | | |
| Good | 158 | 38.7 |
| Poor | 250 | 61.3 |
| Sleep hours (418)* | | |
| 7 to 8 hours | 274 | 65.5 |
| ≤ 6 hours | 144 | 34.5 |
| Difficulty falling asleep (419)* | | |
| Yes | 253 | 60.4 |
| No | 166 | 39.6 |
| Wake up at dawn (419)* | | |
| Yes | 129 | 30.6 |
| No | 292 | 69.4 |

(Continued)

**Table 2** Continuation...

| Variables (n) | Frequencies | |
|---|---|---|
| | n | % |
| Take sleeping pills (420)* | | |
| Yes | 26 | 6.2 |
| No | 394 | 93.8 |
| Sleep during the day (421)* | | |
| Yes | 340 | 80.7 |
| No | 81 | 19.3 |
| Wake up tired (417)* | | |
| Yes | 247 | 59.3 |
| No | 170 | 40.7 |
| Snore (417)* | | |
| Yes | 193 | 46.3 |
| No | 224 | 53.7 |
| Wake up in the middle of the night (420)* | | |
| Yes | 168 | 40.0 |
| No | 252 | 60.0 |
| Wake up with a headache (421)* | | |
| Yes | 345 | 82.0 |
| No | 76 | 18.0 |
| Tiredness for no perceived reason (420)* | | |
| Yes | 152 | 36.2 |
| No | 268 | 63.8 |
| Restless sleep (421)* | | |
| Yes | 163 | 38.7 |
| No | 258 | 61.3 |

*valid responses of variables, excluding losses; **Mini-Sleep Questionnaire (MSQ)[10-11].

Among the sleep characteristics analyzed, difficulty falling asleep (60.4%), waking up tired (59.3%) and waking up with a headache (82.0%) were the most frequent (**Table 2**).

The highest poor sleep quality prevalence was observed among females (65.0%), aged 47 to 59 years (62.4%), among those who did not have a partner (68.0%), with children (63.2%), with an effective employment relationship (64.5%), with an exclusive work regime (62.3%), with a higher degree, doctorate and post-doctorate (61.5%), with more than 10 years at work (64.0%) and with more than one job (72.5%). However, only the variable having another job (PR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.05-1.84) was statistically associated with poor sleep quality (**Table 3**).

Variables associated with poor sleep quality: irregular or insufficient time to practice leisure activities (PR: 1.43; 95% CI: 1.23-1.66), complaints of back pain (PR: 1.26; 95% CI: 1.06-1.50), musculoskeletal pain (PR: 1.33; 95% CI: 1.09-1.64), headache (PR: 1.38; 95% CI: 1.16-1.64), experiences of passive work (PR: 1.31; 95% CI: 1.01-1.72) and of high demands (PR: 1.44; 95% CI: 1.10-1.87) (**Table 4**).

In the analysis of the association between sleep quality according to the variables of interest assessed simultaneously in the multiple logistic regression analysis (MLRA), the following variables were significantly associated with poor sleep quality: irregular and/or insufficient time for the practice of leisure activities, 6 sleep hours or less, musculoskeletal pain, headache and the highly demanding work experience (high demands combined with low control at work). In the MLRA, considering the losses obtained in the study, a final amount of 316 observations was obtained (**Table 5**).

**Table 3** Prevalence, prevalence ratio and confidence interval of poor sleep quality, according to sociodemographic and work characteristics among professors from a public university in Bahia, Brazil, 2016

| Variables (n)* | Poor Sleep Quality | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | n | P (%)** | PR*** | (95% CI)**** |
| Sex (407)* | | | | |
| Male | 109 | 56.7 | 1.00 | --- |
| Female | 140 | 65.0 | 1.14 | (0.97-1.34) |
| Age (394)* | | | | |
| 25 to 46 years old | 120 | 58.5 | 1.00 | --- |
| 47 to 59 years old | 118 | 62.4 | 1.06 | (0.90-1.25) |
| Marital Status (404)* | | | | |
| With a partner | 165 | 57.8 | 1.00 | --- |
| Without a partner | 81 | 68.0 | 1.17 | (1.00-1.37) |
| With children (406)* | | | | |
| No | 69 | 56.1 | 1.00 | --- |
| Yes | 179 | 63.2 | 1.12 | (0.94-1.34) |
| Employment relationship (408)* | | | | |
| Substitute/visitor | 210 | 60.6 | 1.00 | --- |
| Effective | 40 | 64.5 | 1.06 | (0.86-1.30) |
| Working hours (407)* | | | | |
| 40 hours or less per week | 110 | 59.7 | 1.00 | --- |
| Exclusive dedication | 139 | 62.3 | 1.04 | (0.89-1.22) |
| Academic degree (407)* | | | | |
| Bachelor/Specialization/ Master's | 116 | 60.7 | 1.00 | --- |
| Doctoral/Post-doctoral | 133 | 61.5 | 1.01 | (0.87- 1.18) |
| Time working at the university (408)* | | | | |
| Up to 10 years | 113 | 58.2 | 1.00 | --- |
| More than 10 years | 137 | 64.0 | 1.09 | (0.94 - 1.28) |
| Another employment (122)* | | | | |
| No | 37 | 52.0 | 1.00 | --- |
| Yes | 37 | 72.5 | 1.39 | (1.05-1.84) |

*valid responses of variables, excluding losses; **prevalence; ***prevalence ratio; ****95% confidence interval.

**Table 4** Prevalence, prevalence ratio and confidence interval for poor sleep quality, according to the variables: time for leisure habits, pain complaints and work experiences according to the demand-control model among professors of a public university in Bahia, Brazil, 2016

| Variables (n)* | Poor Sleep Quality | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | n | P(%)** | PR*** | (95% CI)**** |
| Time for leisure (407)* | | | | |
| Yes | 209 | 58.3 | 1.00 | --- |
| No | 41 | 83.6 | 1.43 | (1.23 - 1.66) |
| Back Pain (320)* | | | | |
| No | 62 | 55.7 | 1.00 | --- |
| Yes | 127 | 70.5 | 1.26 | (1.06 - 1.50) |
| Musculoskeletal pain (331)* | | | | |
| No | 54 | 52.0 | 1.00 | --- |
| Yes | 151 | 69.5 | 1.33 | (1.09 - 1.64) |
| Headache (321)* | | | | |
| No | 79 | 53.7 | 1.00 | --- |
| Yes | 130 | 74.2 | 1.38 | (1.16 - 1.64) |
| Demand-control model (389)* | | | | |
| Low demand | 41 | 49.4 | 1.00 | --- |
| Passive work | 60 | 65.2 | 1.31 | (1.01 - 1.72) |
| Active work | 74 | 57.8 | 1.17 | (0.89 - 1.52) |
| High demand | 52 | 71.2 | 1.44 | (1.10 - 1.87) |

*valid responses of variables, excluding losses; **prevalence; ***prevalence ratio; ****95% confidence interval (CI).

**Table 5** Estimation of the model selected in the multiple logistic regression analysis among professors of a public university in Bahia, Brazil, 2016

| Variables | PR** | (95% CI)*** |
|---|---|---|
| Time for leisure activities | | |
| Yes | 1.00 | - |
| No | 1.31 | 1.10 – 1.56* |
| Sleep hours | | |
| 7 to 8 hours | 1.00 | - |
| ≤ 6 hours | 1.22 | 1.03 – 1.44* |
| Musculoskeletal pain | | |
| No | 1.00 | - |
| Yes | 1.27 | 1.01 – 1.60* |
| Headache | | |
| No | 1.00 | - |
| Yes | 1.30 | 1.07 – 1.56* |
| Work experience (DCM****) | | |
| Low demand at work | 1.00 | - |
| Passive work | 1.20 | 0.89 – 1.60 |
| Active work | 1.20 | 0.90 – 1.61 |
| High demand at work | 1.34 | 1.02 – 1.77* |

* p-value < 0.05; ** prevalence ratio; *** 95% confidence interval (CI); **** Demand-Control Model. Multiple logistic regression analysis with 316 observations analyzed.

## Discussion

This study sought to estimate the frequency of poor sleep quality among professors, evaluating the factors related to sociodemographic, work, lifestyle and health characteristics and the psychosocial aspects of work associated with the observed sleep quality. The global prevalence of poor sleep was very high (61.3%), indicating that questions investigated in this study are relevant aspects, that deserve special attention among professors, because they are indirectly or directly related to various health problems that may result from harmful work conditions, which must be re-thought in the context studied.

The proportion of professors with altered sleep quality identified in this study was higher to the research conducted with high school teachers in Poços de Caldas, (46,7%)[2], with a elementary and high school teachers carried out in Londrina (54.3%)[8] and lower than that obtained in a study with professors from Porto Alegre, with a prevalence of 76.9%[14]. Cultural and contextual aspects of work must be considered in these places as possible justifications for the differences found. Anyhow, it can be said that, regardless of the study, the high frequencies found reinforce the compromised sleep quality among the professors surveyed in the referred studies.

Maintaining more than one job was associated with a higher prevalence of poor sleep quality. Professors, when exposed to this work condition, become more vulnerable to situations that demand greater work dedication and reduced sleep[15]. Spending more time in work-related activities, in addition to impairing the sleep quality, compromises professional performance, physical, psychological and mental well-being, and lifestyle habits, such as leisure[16-17].

The current context and organization of time spent in work activities have contributed significantly to changes in professors' health and sleep quality at the investigated university. In this study, the unavailability of time for leisure activities was associated with poor sleep quality.

A study conducted with elementary and high school teachers in Londrina-Paraná, Brasil[8] identified association between poor sleep quality and negative perception in relation to time for leisure and family. Another research observed that, due to the weekly teaching workload, it was practically impossible to comply with all the work demands[18]. They spent their time, that could be used for leisure and relaxing activities, fulfilling academic demands[18].

These findings confirm that, in the current work context, professors have less time for leisure, for social interaction and for creative work, given

that, even when they are in social and leisure environments, conversation about their work and exchange of academic information are common among them[19].

Poor sleep quality was also associated with sleep duration less than or equal to six hours. Similar results were identified in studies conducted with high school teachers and professors[20-22]. The association found may be a consequence of the reduction in sleep caused by work intensification, by technological developments that expanded the use of electronic devices and dissolved the limits between private and work life and, mainly, by the volume of demands to be met in teaching work[24-25].

It is noteworthy that the sleep quality, when inferior to human needs, favors the occurrence of problems that affect cognitive performance, generate psychological distress and alter the biological rhythm, causing serious problems for biopsychosocial health[26-28].

Complaints of headache and musculoskeletal pain, quite common among professors[28], were also associated with a higher prevalence of poor sleep quality, indicating the need for greater attention to the professors' health, as well as reflections on the consequences that these pains can have on the development of activities at the university[29].

A survey carried out with teachers from the municipal system of Salvador, in Bahia, Brazil, identified prevalence of musculoskeletal pain of 41.1% in lower limbs and 23.7% in upper limbs[30]. Another study, conducted with professors from a university in the northwest of Rio Grande do Sul, found a headache prevalence of 56.5% [29]. These findings reinforce that, in the work experience of a professor, a longer working day favors both occurrence of pain and partial sleep restriction, which maintain the frequency and pain complaints.

Pain can produce changes in sleep patterns and on lifestyle, compromising self-esteem, mood, emotional state, daily activities and work performance. Symptoms can manifest as signs of tension, fatigue, anxiety and emotional disturbances, commonly known as tension pain, caused by sleep deprivation, tiredness, stress and other situations that exceed the responsiveness of the organism[29-31].

The high demands at work also remained associated with poor sleep quality. This condition implies a high psychological demand and low control over work, frequently associated with different types of illnesses[32]. Literature has recorded evidence of an association between the psychosocial aspects of a high strain at work and sleep disorders among professors and other jobs[20,25,33-36].

Professors, when subjected to high demands, find it difficult to relax, calm thoughts related to work and start the phase of recovery and distance from the tensions experienced during the day. The continuous livingness of this experience generates greater physiological and cognitive excitement of the central nervous system, which can promote partial deprivation and/or delay the onset of sleep[35-37].

Thus, studies have shown that, although there may be some specificities among professors that differentiate them, attention should be paid, in higher education, to the psychosocial factors that produce or contribute to different health disorders, among them, sleep disorders[38-39].

The results obtained in this study allow reflections that can contribute to improve the organizational work conditions, the sleep quality, and, consequently, the physical and mental health of the professors at the researched university. However, some limitations should be considered.

The first one refers to the cross-sectional design, which makes it impossible to identify the temporal sequence between exposure and outcome. That is, it is not possible to assess whether the factors that supposedly led to poor sleep quality, in fact, preceded this event.

The prevalence used for the sample calculation may be another limitation. Until the investigation period of this study, we did not know others studies on sleep quality among professors curried out in Brazil, which led us to the use of the aforementioned reference data to calculate the sample[2]. In other words, although there are distinct characteristics between professors and teachers from different educational levels, there are similarities between them, constituting a common base that allows this use.

Measuring poor sleep quality through self-reported subjective answers is also another aspect that limits the data interpretation. Another limiting factor is the healthy worker bias, since the professors who were on leave due to vacation and/or illness were not included in the study. It is possible that professors with sleep problems, which produced more severe illness, may have been excluded from the study. Finally, the memory bias also needs to be considered, since there the participants needed to retrieve information from previously experiences.

As positive points, we highlight the research object importance to occupational health, as it was little explored, and the fact that the research was conducted in a representative sample of professors from a public university.

## Conclusion

Falling asleep difficulties, waking up tired and with a headache are the most frequent sleep complaints among the studied professors. The high proportion of poor sleep quality among the professors is also quite worrying. The results show that the absence and/or irregularity of leisure time, the six or fewer hours sleep, the complaints of musculoskeletal pain and headache, and the experience of high demand at work are associated factors that favor a a higher prevalence of poor sleep quality among the participating professors. Therefore, health promotion actions that contribute to a better sleep quality are necessary. These actions should especially consider reordering the characteristics and organizational context of teaching work.

Thus, we understand that the exploration of this study's theme is of great importance for professors, as well as it positively contributes to collective health, with emphasis on occupational health. In addition, new studies in this theme can benefit the whole society, due to the importance of the work carried out in public universities. Therefore, good working, living and health conditions can lead to general well-being among professors.

## Authors' contributions

Freitas AMC, Araújo TM, Pinho PS, Sousa CC, Oliveira PCS and Souza FO had a substantial contribution in the study's design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, in the manuscript writing and critical reviews, and in the approval of the final published version, and assume full public responsibility for the research and the content here published.

## References

1. Kim LJ, Tufik S, Andersen ML. Neurofisiologia do Sono. In: Haddad FLM, Gregório LC, editors. Manual do residente: medicina do sono. Barueri: Manole; 2017.

2. Valle LER, Reimão R, Malvezzi S. Reflexões sobre psicopedagogia, estresse e distúrbios do sono do professor. Rev Psicopedag. 2011;28(87):237-45.

3. Karasek RA. Job demands, job decision latitude and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. Adm Sci Q. 1979;24(2):285-308.

4. Munck A, Guyre PM, Holbrook NJ. Physiological functions of glucocorticoids in stress and their relation to pharmacological actions. Endocr Rev. 1984;5(1):25-44.

5. Palma BD, Tiba PA, Machado RB, Tufik S, Suchecki S. Repercussões imunológicas dos distúrbios do sono: o eixo hipotálamo-pituitária-adrenal como fator modulador. Rev Bras Psiquiatr. 2007;29(Suppl 1):33-8.

6. Cortez PA, Souza MVR, Amaral LO, Silva LCA. A saúde docente no trabalho: apontamentos a partir da literatura recente. Cad. Saude Colet. 2017;25(1):113-22.

7. Santos DAS, Azevedo CA, Araújo TM, Soares JFS. Reflexões sobre a saúde docente no contexto de mercantilização do ensino superior. Rev Docencia Ens Sup. 2016;6(1):159-86.

8. Meier DAP. Qualidade do sono entre professores e fatores associados [thesis]. Londrina: Universidade Estadual de Londrina; 2016.

9. Vidal A, Oliveira S, Rotenberg L. A autoconfrontação com o próprio tempo como perspectiva analítica no estudo das relações entre o tempo e a saúde. Cien Saude Colet. 2018;23(7):2393-402.

10. Zomer J, Peled R, Rubin A, Lavie P. Mini-sleep questionnaire (MSQ) for screening large populations for EDS complaints. In: Koella W, Ruether E, Schulz H, editors. Sleep'84. Proceedings of the 7th European Congress on Sleep Research; 1984 Sep 3-7; Munich, Germany. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer; 1985.

11. Gorenstein C, Tavares S, Alóe F. Sleep self-evaluation questionnaires. São Paulo: Lemos; 2000.

12. Araújo TM, Karasek RA. Validity and reliability of the job content questionnaire in formal and informal jobs in Brazil. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2008;34(6):52-9.

13. Hosmer D, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.

14. Ligabue R. Prevalência de alterações de sono e estresse em docentes do ensino superior de uma instituição de ensino privada em Porto Alegre [dissertation]. Canoas: Centro Universitário La Salle; 2017.

15. Santos-Silva R, Bittencourt LRA, Pires MLN, Mello MT, Taddei JA, Benedito-Silva AA, et al. Increasing trends of sleep complaints in the city of Sao Paulo, Brazil. Sleep Med. 2010;11(6):520-4.

16. Lopes MCR, Mancebo D. Trabalho docente: compressão temporal, flexibilidade e prazer? Rev Educ Publica. 2004;24(13):138-52.

17. Penteado RZ, Pereira IMTB. Qualidade de vida e saúde vocal de professores. Rev Saude Publica. 2007;41(2):236-43.

18. Lemos DVS. Alienação no trabalho docente: o professor no centro das contradições [thesis]. Salvador: Universidade Federal da Bahia; 2007.

19. Carlotto MS, Câmara SG. Preditores da Síndrome de Burnout em professores. Psicol Esc Educ. 2007;11(1):101-10.

20. Vedovato TG, Monteiro MI. Perfil sociodemográfico e condições de saúde e trabalho dos professores de nove escolas estaduais paulistas. Rev Esc Enferm USP. 2008;42(2):290-7.

21. Souza JC. Caracterização do ciclo sono/vigília de professores do ensino médio em Natal/RN [dissertation]. Natal: Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte; 2010.

22. Silva KN, Dutra FCMS. Fatores psicossociais do trabalho e dor crônica: análise em duas escolas da rede municipal de educação em Serrana/SP. Revista Dor. 2016;17(3):164-70.

23. Mancebo D. Trabalho docente: subjetividade, sobreimplicação e prazer. Psicol Reflex Crit. 2007;20(1):74-80.

24. Cappuccio FP, Miller MA, Lockley SW. Sleep, health and society: the contribution of epidemiology. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

25. Vedovato TG, Monteiro MI. Health Conditions and Factors Related to the Work Ability of Teachers. Ind Health. 2014;52(2):121-8.

26. Chellappa SL, Araújo JF. Confiabilidade e reprodutibilidade do questionário de hábitos do sono em pacientes depressivos ambulatoriais. Rev Psiquiatr Clin. 2007;34(5):210-4.

27. Chellappa SL, Araújo JF. O sono e os transtornos do sono na depressão. Rev Psiquiatr Clin. 2007;34(6):285-9.

28. Branco JC, Jansen K. Prevalência de sintomas osteomusculares em professores do ensino fundamental do maior colégio municipal da América Latina. Cien Cogn. 2011;16(3):109-15.

29. Dallepiane S, Bigolin SE. A presença de dor no cotidiano de professores da universidade regional do noroeste do estado do Rio Grande do Sul – Unijuí. Rev Contexto Saude. 2004;3(7):231-9.

30. Cardoso JP, Araújo TM, Carvalho FM, Oliveira NF, Reis EJFB. Aspectos psicossociais do trabalho e dor musculoesquelética em professores. Cad Saude Publica. 2011;27(8):1498-506.

31. Silva FC, Sampaio RF, Mancini MC, Luz MT, Alcântara MA. A qualitative study of workers with chronic pain in Brazil and its social consequences. Occup Ther Int. 2011;18(2):85-95.

32. Mattos AIS, Araújo TM, Almeida MMG. Interação entre demanda-controle e suporte social na ocorrência de transtornos mentais comuns. Rev Saude Publica. 2017;51:48.

33. Caran VCS, Freitas FCT, Alves LA, Pedrão LJ, Robazzi MLCC. Riscos ocupacionais psicossociais e sua repercussão na saúde de docentes universitários. Rev Enferm UERJ. 2011;19(2):255-61.

34. Åkerstedt T. Psychosocial stress and impaired sleep. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2006;32(6):493-501.

35. Cropley M, Dijk DJ, Stanley N. Job strain, work rumination, and sleep in school teachers. Eur J Work Organ Psychol. 2006;15(2):181-96.

36. Gao X, Ge H, Jiang Y, Lian Y, Zhang C, Liu J. Relationship between Job Stress and 5-HT2A Receptor Polymorphisms on Self-Reported Sleep Quality in Physicians in Urumqi (Xinjiang, China): A Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(5):1034.

37. Kecklund G, Åkerstedt T. Apprehension of the subsequent working day is associated with a low amount of slow wave sleep. Biol Psychol. 2004;66(2):169-76.

38. Merey Z, Pişkin M, Boysan M, Şehribanoğlu S. Burnout among Turkish Teachers: The Influence of Sleep Quality and Job Satisfaction. H U J Educ. 2013;28(3):332-42.

39. Teixeira LN, Rodrigues AL, Silva FM, Silveira RCP. As possíveis alterações no estilo de vida e saúde dos professores. R Enferm Cent O Min. 2015;5(2):1669-83.