Tuberculosis/HIV coinfection from the perspective of quality of life: scope review

ABSTRACT

Objectives: to analyze evidence on the quality of life of people with tuberculosis/HIV coinfection. Methods: review that followed steps of the Cochrane Collaboration, with the search of publications in the bases Embase, LILACS, PubMed, and Cochrane, through the descriptors “HIV,” “Tuberculosis,” and “Quality of Life,” without a temporal cut. Results: the results include 15 studies, published between 2009 and 2019, with the level of evidence V, in its majority. It was observed that there is no uniformity in the instrument used. Psychological support to this group and strengthening of actions to manage both infections are necessary. This segment’s quality of life is associated with social factors and scientific production on the subject concentrated in underdeveloped countries. Conclusions: the articles found have a low level of scientific evidence and indicate that people who experience coinfection have a more compromised quality of life when compared to those who experience tuberculosis or HIV separately. Descriptors: Tuberculosis; HIV; Quality of Life; Public Health; Community Health Nursing.
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INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) represents a severe public health issue, one of the first causes of death worldwide and the main one for a single infectious agent. The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated that in 2019 approximately 10 million people became ill with TB and 1.4 million deaths occurred due to the disease[1]. When associated with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, TB can potentiate the clinical and epidemiological picture of this condition. Although the WHO recommends the anti-HIV test for every person diagnosed with TB, the latest data available for 2019 showed that, worldwide, 69% of reported cases performed HIV serology, and 9.5% the percentage of TB/HIV coinfection[1].

In 2019, Brazil notified 73,864 new TB cases (incidence coefficient of 35 cases/100 thousand inhabitants), and 8.4% presented TB/HIV coinfection. There was an increase in HIV testing in people with TB, from 62.1% in 2010 to 76.1% in 2019. In the same year, antiretroviral therapy (ART) performance among new cases of TB with coinfection was 47.5%.[2]

There is a divergence between international and Brazilian data, because in the world, although HIV testing in confirmed cases of TB has been lower (69%), the percentage of coinfection (9.5%) was higher if compared to Brazil (8.4%), where testing presented a higher percentage (76.1%). There are no data in the literature that justify such a difference. Brazilian health policies could explain this, and the clinical management of HIV cases should prevent TB from developing.

The expedient diagnosis of TB and HIV enables the early initiation of the respective treatments[3]. With the introduction of the policy of universal and free access to ART, since 1996, advances have been observed in the control of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), with an impact on morbidity and mortality rates, decrease in hospitalizations, and improvement in the quality of life (QoL) of infected people[4].

The number of drugs added to the overlap of side effects and drug interactions can have repercussions on QoL and adherence to treatment of people living with TB/HIV coinfection[5].

According to WHO definition[5], QoL involves the individual’s perception and the social environment in which they live. The Organization recognizes that it is a broad concept that "incorporates in a complex way the physical health, psychological state, level of independence and social relations of people, as well as their interfaces with important characteristics of their environment".

Participants of a study conducted in Rio de Janeiro characterized TB/HIV coinfection as a challenging experience, which brought tremendous suffering to life and consequently affected QoL. As potentials for strengthening/coping supporting networks, patients considered family, religion, and healthcare services[6].

As HIV/AIDS infection, TB is a disease marked by stigma and prejudice. The acceptance of the new health status is a complicated process, mainly when referring to HIV/AIDS, often understood as the cause of TB. Therefore, the person who experiences TB/HIV coinfection faces not only physical consequences but also stressful situations at the emotional and social levels, which may cause anxieties and internal conflicts that directly affect QoL.[6-8]

The previous consultation of the databases used in this review has shown that there is a wide range of studies on QoL of people with TB, or PLHIV (people living with HIV/AIDS), but the same does not occur with the approach of the same theme in people with TB/HIV coinfection. By gathering the studies already done on the subject and filling the gap of knowledge, this study presents a guiding question: What is the scientific evidence on QoL of people with TB/HIV coinfection?

It is based on the assumption that the knowledge of the aspects that interfere in QoL makes it possible to rethink the health practices directed to this population group’s care, including through the elaboration or improvement of specific public policies.

OBJECTIVES

To map and analyze the evidence of QoL in people who have TB/HIV coinfection.

METHODS

Scope review following the recommendations proposed by Joanna Briggs Methods[8] and PRISMA-SCCR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews)[9][10]. The PICO(11) strategy, an acronym for Patient, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcomes, was used to design the research question: P - People experiencing TB/HIV coinfection; I - Not applicable; C - No intervention; and O - QoL.

The inclusion criteria were: articles addressing specifically QoL of people experiencing TB/HIV coinfection, published in Portuguese, English and Spanish and available in full text. The exclusion criteria were: studies that addressed specific populations, such as people under 18 years old, with psychiatric alterations, people living in street situation or deprived of their freedom.

This research analyzed the study designs, the number of participants evaluated, and the evidence level for each article. Publications without time restrictions were included and found in the Excerpta Medica data BASE (Embase) and Latin American and Caribbean Literature on Health Sciences (LILACS), as well as in the U.S. National Library of Medicine (PubMed) and The Cochrane Library (Cochrane) portals, between March and April 2020. The primary descriptors used were “HIV” AND “Tuberculosis” AND “Quality of Life.” Titles and summaries were analyzed to verify those that fit the inclusion criteria. After this stage, the study obtained the complete publications of the selected articles.

The articles were classified according to the levels of scientific evidence, based on the model proposed by Melnyk and Fineout-Overholt[12]: I - Evidence derived from at least one well-designed randomized controlled clinical trial; II - Evidence obtained from well-designed clinical trials without randomization; III - Evidence derived from well-designed cohort and case-control studies; IV - Evidence derived from a systematic review of descriptive and qualitative studies; V - Evidence derived from a single descriptive or qualitative study; VI - Evidence derived from authorities opinion and/or expert committee report.

Afterward, there was the formation of hierarchical categories according to the methodological design of each study.

RESULTS

Figure 1 demonstrates the search of the articles in the databases and other sources.
A summary of the articles included in the review is demonstrated in Table 1, presented in ascending chronological order according to the title, year of publication, country of study, method, number of participants, evaluation tool, main results, conclusion, and level of evidence.

The 15 selected articles were published between 2009 and 2019, being two publications in 2009[13-14], four in 2012[7,15-16], three in 2013[17,18,19], one in 2014[20], one in 2015[21], one in 2016[22], two in 2018[23-24], and one in 2019[25]. As for the journal, the articles have been published in 13 different ones, with emphasis on Health and Quality of Life Outcomes[14,16] and The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease[19,20], with two publications each. Five publications from Brazil[7-8,13,19,24], India[14,18,20,25], South Africa[16,22] and Ethiopia[14,17], with two publications in each country, and Nepal[21], Nigeria[22] and Thailand[15], with one publication each. Out of the total number of studies, most (n = 14) of the publications were in English, two[23-24] had variations for Portuguese, and one for Spanish[24], and another one was exclusively in Portuguese[11].

The following are the main findings, according to the most important themes.

Table 1 - Characterization of the studies included in the review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title / Level of evidence</th>
<th>Year / Country</th>
<th>Method/Number of participants/Evaluation instrument</th>
<th>Main results</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coinfection HIV/tuberculosis (Pott’s disease): a case study[21]</td>
<td>2009 Brazil</td>
<td>Case study, n = 1 with TB/HIV coinfection</td>
<td>Did not use an instrument</td>
<td>Non-adherence to treatment, or abandonment, of TB and HIV is one of the significant coinfection problems, resulting in a decrease in QoL due to therapeutic failures and resistance to the drugs used. The non-acceptance of diagnoses, the socioeconomic level, low schooling, and prejudice are intimately related to this problem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis and HIV coinfection: its impact on quality of life[14]</td>
<td>2009 Ethiopia</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study, n = 124 with TB/HIV coinfection and 467 with HIV</td>
<td>HOQoL-HIV and Kessler-10</td>
<td>Patients with TB/HIV coinfection showed lower QoL in all domains when compared to HIV-infected without TB. Not having depression, having an income source, and family support were strongly associated with most QoL domains. In patients with both diseases, those who had depression were 8.8 times more likely to have physical health problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aids and Tuberculosis coinfection from the perspective of the patients’ quality of life[23]</td>
<td>2012 Brazil</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study, n = 57 with TB/HIV coinfection and 58 with HIV</td>
<td>WHOQoL-HIV Brief</td>
<td>In the evaluation of QoL, those who experienced coinfection presented inferior results in all domains, with a significant difference in the Physical, Psychological, Level of Independence, and Social Relations domains.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-related quality of life among patients with tuberculosis and HIV in Thailand[20]</td>
<td>2012 Thailand</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study, n = 222 with TB/HIV coinfection</td>
<td>Structured questionnaire; EuroQoL (EQ-5D); EuroQoL Visual Analog Scale (EQ-VAS)</td>
<td>The QoL score was higher among patients cured of TB, and lower for those in treatment of pharmaco-resistant TB.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title / Level of evidence</th>
<th>Year/Country</th>
<th>Method/Number of participants/Evaluation instrument</th>
<th>Main results</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life among tuberculosis (TB), TB retreatment and/or TB-HIV coinfected primary public health care patients in three districts in South Africa (30)</td>
<td>2012 South Africa</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study n = 2,935 with TB/HIV coinfected and 1,965 with tuberculosis</td>
<td>Positive effects for QoL were found in the domains of mental health, emotional role, energy and fatigue, social function, and physical role, while adverse effects were observed on general health, body pain, and physical function. Higher schooling, less psychological suffering, less chronic conditions, less poverty, and low psychological suffering had positive effects on QoL.</td>
<td>TB and HIV have weakened patients’ physical capacity and damaged QoL, so TB control programs must develop strategies to improve the QoL of patients who experience coinfection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life aspects of patients with HIV/ tuberculosis coinfection (38)</td>
<td>2012 Brazil</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study, qualitative and quantitative n = 34 with TB/HIV coinfected HAT-QoL</td>
<td>QoL has shown itself impaired in areas related to economic, sexual, and diagnostic confidentiality issues. It was also evidenced that coinfection imposes changes in daily life that potentiated the commitment of QoL.</td>
<td>Experiencing coinfection has negatively influenced QoL. Health-promoting interventions could mitigate repercussions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in quality of life: a follow up study among patients with HIV infection with and without TB in Ethiopia (37)</td>
<td>2013 Ethiopia</td>
<td>Observational n = 97 with TB/HIV coinfected and 455 with HIV WHOQoL-HIVBref; Kessler-10.</td>
<td>There was an improvement in physical, psychological, social, environmental, and spiritual QoL throughout the treatment, and it was more remarkable in all dimensions for those who experienced coinfection. The severe mental disorder was associated with worse QoL.</td>
<td>ART and TB treatment have improved QoL, particularly among patients experiencing coinfection. The recommendation is to integrate mental health services with TB/HIV programs and training of caregivers to identify and treat common mental disorders in due course and improve QoL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘I cry every day’: experiences of patients coinfected with HIV and multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) (34)</td>
<td>2013 India</td>
<td>Qualitative n = 12 with multipharamresistant TB/HIV coinfected, 5 lay caregivers, 10 healthcare professionals Did not use an instrument</td>
<td>The side effects of the medications were considered seriously debilitating. Family caregivers are crucial to maintaining patients' mental and physical health, but they also report high fatigue and stress levels. Health professionals stated that the barriers to treatment compliance were fundamentally social, not medical and that they felt powerless in the face of this situation.</td>
<td>The treatment of pharmaco-resistant TB in PLWHAs requires the dedication of patients, caregivers, and family. Treatments consume many resources and can negatively affect QoL, so they require high levels of support from family and caregivers to encourage patient compliance and retention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life among people treated for tuberculosis and human immunodeficiency virus in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (39)</td>
<td>2013 Brazil</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study n = 9 with TB/HIV coinfected and 45 with HIV and 44 with TB MOS-HIV and VAS (visual analogic scale)</td>
<td>Participants with TB/HIV coinfected reported less physical health than participants with TB or HIV alone, but there was no difference in mental health. Participants who had TB or HIV separately did not differ regarding QoL or mental health, but TB was associated with worse physical health.</td>
<td>There are similar decreases in QoL in participants undergoing HIV and TB treatment with no evidence of an additive effect in participants with TB/HIV coinfection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘I’m fed up’: experiences of prior anti-tuberculosis treatment in patients with drug-resistant tuberculosis and HIV (40)</td>
<td>2014 India</td>
<td>Qualitative n = 12 with coinfection Pharmacoresistant TB/HIV Did not use an instrument</td>
<td>The study participants reported long therapeutic itineraries related to the diagnostic procedures and the treatment period, leading to fatigue. They also expressed concern about the lack of effectiveness of the treatment, based on past experiences.</td>
<td>Participants reported negative experiences with previous treatments for HIV and TB, which affected perceived QoL. Diagnosis and initiation of treatments in a quick and timely manner, coupled with a patient-centered support system, could help raise the credibility of the treatments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessing Quality of Life and Depression Among People Living With HIV/AIDS and TB-HIV coinfection in Kathmandu, Nepal (41)</td>
<td>2015 Nepal</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study n = 71 with TB/HIV coinfected and 75 with HIV WHOQoL-HIV, Beck Depression Inventory Scale.</td>
<td>Participants with TB/HIV coinfected had low QoL, and depression was more frequent than HIV-infected participants. CD4 cell count, educational status, occupation, ethnicity, family size, and depression were factors related to QoL.</td>
<td>TB control programs should develop strategies to improve the QoL of people with coinfection, just as there should be interventions aimed at depression to improve the QoL of people living with HIV, with or without TB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal assessment of the health-related quality of life of HIV infected patients treated for tuberculosis and HIV in a high burden setting (42)</td>
<td>2016 South Africa</td>
<td>Observational n = 76 with TB/HIV coinfected HRQoL</td>
<td>There was a significant increase in the total score and in both groups with the months’ passing, considering mainly the CD4 cell elevation. There was no difference in scores between participants who underwent ART and TB treatment and those who received only TB treatment. Less adverse respiratory events were positively related to better QoL.</td>
<td>There was improvement in QoL related to the decrease of adverse events and signs and symptoms of TB. Lower QoL was related to the number and type of adverse events although there was a cure of TB.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Types of studies

Ten studies were cross-sectional studies(7,8,14-16,19-21,23-25), two qualitative(18,20); two observational(17-22); one cross-sectional study- qualitative(8), and one case study(13). The predominance of studies with a qualitative approach is evident, and only three employed a qualitative method(8,18,20).

Instruments applied

It was observed that a variety of instruments were used for the QoL evaluation, with evidence from the WHO Quality of Life Instrument for people with HIV, in the extended version (WHOQoL-HIV) (14,21,23,25) and abbreviated (WHOQoL-HIV Bref)(7,17,24), in seven studies. In the sequence, the Kessler-10 psychological stress scale was used in three studies(14,16-17), the Beck Depression Inventory was used in three studies(14,16-17), the Hat-QoL(8), Social Support Scale for People Living with HIV/AIDS(9), and Emotional Support was used in three studies(14,16-17). The predominance of studies with a qualitative approach is evident, and only three employed a qualitative method(8,18,20).

Interventions to improve Quality of Life

The articles have demonstrated that TB/HIV coinfection can compromise QoL more than experiencing one of the diseases(7,14,17,23). It is emphasized the importance of developing and improving programs and policies for screening and managing both infections(7,14,16,24) and suggested focusing on some actions, such as improving early detection of HIV and TB, implementing ART, investigating TB in seropositive individuals, treating latent TB, and expanding Directly Observed Treatment (DOT).

Moreover, there were suggested interventions focused on mental health working with self-stigma issues, i.e., self-disapproval and depression, and it was recommended the integration of mental health services with TB/HIV programs. There should be training for professional caregivers to immediately identify and treat common mental disorders, therefore improving the QoL of people experiencing TB/HIV coinfection(7,17,23).

The articles pointed out the need for psychological support to this group that is emotionally fragile and vulnerable to mental disorders and other diseases(7,17,23). They have also shown that further research is needed to know better the QoL of this specific group(14-15,17,23).

Factors related to decline or improving quality of life

There were listed as contributors to a better QoL in this population: source of income, higher education, family, and social support, as well as successful treatment of TB and ART(14-16,24).

As for barriers to improving QoL, it was highlighted the association with chronic diseases, poverty, psychic suffering, depression, severe mental disorders, self-stigma/self-disapproval, treatment of TB, and pharmacoresistant TB due to the several side effects(14,17).

DISCUSSION

The national and international literature presented studies related to PLWHA(26-28) and people living with TB(6,30,32) separately. In this review, there was a lack of temporal regularity in the studies published, with greater concentration in 2012. The low number of publications each year may suggest a lack of interest in the topic in question.

It is known that TB is a socially determined disease, and its occurrence is related to precarious living conditions. This review's...
The lack of standardization regarding the evaluation tool and the majority of the population who faces these diseases, as food, housing, transportation, security, and education - to the vast array of public social support policies to provide essential resources - such makes sense to individuals' daily lives. It requires the strengthening of services and disseminate knowledge about such diseases in a way that distribution of studies mostly concentrated in developing countries. treatment adherence. There has also been a variable geographical income, schooling, as well as social and family support. associated with poverty, chronic diseases, mental suffering, lower QoL showed that QoL suffered an equally significant influence when ing that experiencing coinfection resulted in a greater decrease in QoL than experiencing HIV or TB alone, but the same studies showed that QoL suffered an equally significant influence when associated with poverty, chronic diseases, mental suffering, lower income, schooling, as well as social and family support. The association of TB with HIV poses challenges, mainly related to treatment adherence. There has also been a variable geographical distribution of studies mostly concentrated in developing countries. Social issues have been the major impediments to raising QoL, so it is necessary to transform living conditions and access to health services and disseminate knowledge about such diseases in a way that makes sense to individuals' daily lives. It requires the strengthening of public social support policies to provide essential resources - such as food, housing, transportation, security, and education - to the vast majority of the population who faces these diseases. The results showed little scientific production on the subject. The lack of standardization regarding the evaluation tool and the standardization of QoL evaluation of people with TB/HIV coinfection, on the one hand, makes more complex the evaluation of QoL propitiating needs, which allows the development of a healthy daily life. However, it is necessary to consider that, not rarely, closed instruments, which do not allow the assumption of more personal issues and which reveal the wear and tear and strengthening for the conduct of life, can limit the understanding of the process of health-disease and its repercussions on the daily routine. Also, such instruments need to provide a broad view, of a multiprofessional and interdisciplinary order, coherent with the concept of QoL, to instrumentalize the work of health professionals in order to rethink the multiple constitutive sides of QoL, which is permeated by cultural, political, and social processes, transcending the approach that restricts its understanding to the volitive and individual component.

Study limitations

The search strategy may have been limited, given the possibility that publications in local databases were not included in this review, which may have generated a bias.

Contributions to the Fields of Nursing, Health or Public Policy

This review highlights the need for investigations on QoL of people experiencing TB/HIV coinfection. The studies demonstrated that both diseases primarily affect participants who are victims of social exclusion or who have vulnerabilities of both an individual and a social nature, such as low socioeconomic and schooling levels, as well as those related to access to services, which makes up the programmatic dimension of the concept of Vulnerability. Among a series of other duties, the nurse’s fundamental participation in the prevention and control of TB and HIV stands out and the promotion of actions that support the improvement of QoL of people living with such diseases. From a perspective that makes it possible to recognize health needs and provide answers for the improvement of QoL, the health team can lead to overcoming the weaknesses and difficulties experienced by these people.

CONCLUSIONS

The selected publications present a low level of scientific evidence. This review highlighted the variability of instruments used to analyze and evaluate QoL, limiting the understanding of the processes experienced by people with such diseases, indicating that this is an area of knowledge that needs further investigation. Also, qualitative research can bring contributions by enabling people with coinfection to share needs and difficulties of living the health-disease process, including the perception about QoL. It allows the development of strategies to improve the prevention and control of both diseases and promote the physical, mental, and social health of this population group.
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