Evidence related to sodium restriction in patients with heart failure

ABSTRACT
Objectives: to analyze the scientific production about sodium restriction in patients with heart failure. Methods: integrative literature review from articles published from 2007 to 2017, located in the CINAHL and Scopus databases. Results: thirteen studies were analyzed. Sodium intake restriction was associated with lower unfavorable clinical outcomes in patients with marked symptomatology. The 24-hour urine sodium dosage was the main tool to assess adherence to the low sodium diet. Conclusions: based on the studies included in this review, in symptomatic patients, dietary sodium restriction should be encouraged in clinical practice as a protective measure for health. However, in asymptomatic patients, it should be well studied.
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INTRODUCTION

Within the scope of cardiovascular system disorders is heart failure (HF), a chronic disease of complex and systemic character, considered as the final pathway of most heart diseases. HF is currently a challenge for health teams in promoting strategies that favor their clinical stability, given the complex therapeutic regimen proposed to minimize progressions in cardiac remodeling and decompensation crises.

According to data from the Ministry of Health's Brazilian Health Information System (DATASUS), from 2016 to 2017, 422,921 HF hospital admissions were authorized. In this biennium, a mortality rate of 10.93% was registered, and high financial costs were evidenced for the public health system. It is estimated that around 26 million people worldwide are diagnosed with HF.

Evidence shows that about 50% of Patients with HF are readmitted 90 days after hospital discharge with acute clinical decompensation. Among the most frequent causes of unwanted readmissions, the low adherence to the therapy instituted for the management of the disease stands out. Pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapeutic measures are essential for maintaining functional capacity, survival and quality of life in Patients with HF.

These include dietary sodium restriction as a non-pharmacological recommendation, which is necessary and widely prescribed as part of lifestyle changes for Patients with HF. Although sodium intake restriction is indicated to minimize exacerbations of disease symptoms and deleterious effects on the myocardium, this recommendation diverges between guidelines on optimal sodium intake for health maintenance. This is due to the variety of methods applied in research, making it difficult to generalize the results.

Overall, a daily sodium intake of around 2-3 g/day is suggested. However, it should be emphasized that sodium restriction should be adapted to the patient’s clinical picture, as well as to the pharmacological therapy employed in the treatment, especially diuretics use.

Despite its importance, research indicates low adherence of patients with HF to sodium restriction in diet therapy, favoring a higher risk for acute events, hospitalizations and unfavorable clinical outcomes. A multicenter Brazilian study aimed at outlining the clinical characteristics, treatment and prognosis of patients with acute HF conducted in five regions of the country found that 8.9% of the causes of clinical decompensation for HF were due to inadequate sodium and fluid intake. Authors showed that only 34.9% of patients received guidance at hospital discharge on the importance of following a low sodium diet.

When reviewing the literature, there is a lack of studies on the phenomenon in Brazilian journals. It is considered necessary to deepen the theme with the perspective of improving the quality of care offered to Patients with HF, as well as subsidizing the design of care technologies for self-care management and change of risk behaviors. In addition, the evidence produced synthesis in this study provides relevant information for evidence-based decision-making for clinical nurse practice.

OBJECTIVES

To analyze the scientific production about sodium restriction in Patients with HF.
Following, the selected studies were classified according to the level of evidence (LoE) proposed by Melnyk, Fineout-Overholt: I - Systematic reviews or meta-analysis of relevant clinical trials; II - Evidence from at least one well-designed randomized controlled trial; III - Well-outlined clinical trials without randomization; IV - Cohort and case-control studies; V - Systematic review of descriptive and qualitative studies; VI - Evidence derived from a single descriptive or qualitative study; VII - Opinion of authorities or expert committees including interpretations of non-research based information.[14] Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the selection process of the articles of this review, meeting the PRISMA criteria.[15]

**RESULTS**

Among the 13 eligible articles, all were populated in the English language. Chart 1 summarizes the articles included in this review.

Chart 1 – Characteristics of sodium restriction studies in Patients with Heart Failure, João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year/ Country</th>
<th>Outlining</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>LoE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colin-Ramirez E et al.[16]</td>
<td>2015 Canada</td>
<td>Randomized clinical trial n = 38</td>
<td>To determine the feasibility of a randomized controlled trial comparing a low sodium diet with a moderate sodium diet.</td>
<td>After six months, sodium intake decreased in both groups. In addition, there was an improvement in the perception of quality of life.</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paterna S et al.[17]</td>
<td>2008 Italy</td>
<td>Randomized clinical trial n = 232</td>
<td>To assess the effects of a normal sodium diet (120 mmol sodium) compared to a low sodium diet (80 mmol sodium) on HF readmissions.</td>
<td>The group with normal sodium diet had lower incidence of reincarnation. The sodium-restricted diet produced harmful renal and neurohormonal effects in patients with clinically compensated HF.</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son YJ et al.[18]</td>
<td>2011 Korea</td>
<td>Quantitative cohort study n = 232</td>
<td>To determine whether adherence to a sodium-restricted diet affects symptom burden and cardiac event-free survival in Patients with HF.</td>
<td>Patients with ≥3 g sodium intake per day exhibited lower cardiac event-free survival. Limited sodium intake &lt;3 g/day showed improvement in HF symptomatology.</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basuray A et al.[19]</td>
<td>2015 USA</td>
<td>Quantitative cohort study n = 305</td>
<td>To determine sodium restriction rates and predictors and to assess the reliability of 24-hour urine collection as a tool to estimate dietary sodium intake in Patients with HF.</td>
<td>The mean 24-hour urinary sodium excretion was 3.15 ± 1.58 g/day. Logistic regression analysis showed male gender and high body mass index as predictors associated with non-adherence to sodium restriction.</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh D et al.[20]</td>
<td>2013 USA</td>
<td>Randomized clinical trial n = 52</td>
<td>To examine the effectiveness of an educational intervention (counseling, home visits, and telephone monitoring) in reducing the dietary sodium intake of Patients with HF.</td>
<td>Dietary sodium intake did not differ between the usual care and intervention groups at 6 weeks; however, dietary sodium intake was lower in the intervention group at 6 months.</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin-Ramirez E et al.[21]</td>
<td>2015 Canada</td>
<td>Quantitative cohort study n = 237</td>
<td>To assess the association between self-reported adherence to a low sodium diet and dietary habits related to sodium intake in Patients with HF.</td>
<td>Self-reported adherence to a low sodium diet was not reliable among Patients with HF. It was found that the self-reported measure was associated with not adding salt in the preparation of meals or at the table. However, there was no reduction in the frequency of ingestion of high sodium foods.</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doukky R et al.[22]</td>
<td>2016 USA</td>
<td>Quantitative cohort study n = 902</td>
<td>To assess the impact of sodium restriction on the outcomes of patients with HF.</td>
<td>Results did not show that dietary sodium restriction is associated with lower death or hospitalization rates in Patients with HF. In patients who did not use angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers, sodium restriction showed detrimental neurohormonal changes.</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heo S et al.[23]</td>
<td>2009 USA</td>
<td>Qualitative study n = 20</td>
<td>To explore Patients with HF perceptions of foods that impact their disease, their understanding of the nutritional recommendations received, and the factors that affect recommendations for following a low sodium diet.</td>
<td>Most patients believed that eating high sodium foods could affect their health. Nevertheless, less than half understood that excessive sodium intake could accentuate the symptoms of HF. Knowledge, social influence, social conditions and diet as a source of pleasure affect the follow-up of a low sodium diet.</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding the countries where the investigations were conducted, the United States stands out, with the largest number of publications[19-20,22-26] . There is a lack of studies conducted in Latin America. The period in which the articles were published is from 2008 to 2016. Regarding the level of evidence (LoE), three studies[16,17,20] were outlined through a randomized controlled trial (level of evidence II), seven articles[18-19,21-22,25,27-28] covered cohort studies (level of evidence IV), and three publications[23-24,26] derived from descriptive or qualitative studies (level of evidence VI).

In the analyzed studies, the sample ranged from 16 to 902 participants. Most were male, were married, had ischemic cardiomyopathy and were in functional class II according to the New York Heart Association criteria (NYHA). To measure the sodium intake of the investigated sample, 7 studies used the 24-hour urinary sodium exam[17,18,24-28] . The Dietary Sodium Restriction Questionnaire (DSRQ) tool was used in five investigations[16,20,24-26].
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DISCUSSION

In response to the research question that guided the search for articles in the databases, the evidence found indicates that sodium restriction in patients with HF is not fully incorporated into health protective measures’ behavior in this population.

Although widely indicated, there is no consensus in the literature about optimal sodium intake for Patients with HF. However, excessive sodium consumption by these patients causes a series of neurohormonal changes due to reduced cardiac function, elevated systemic venous pressure and decreased renal perfusion; therefore, exacerbated activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system occurs. These changes trigger a vicious cycle of sodium and water retention, which favors the onset of pulmonary and systemic congestion.

In our findings, a cohort study of 302 patients found that NYHA functional class III/IV patients with sodium intakes greater than 3 g/day were 2.5 times more likely to have readmissions or deaths. Another study conducted with 232 patients followed for 12 months found that patients not adhering to a 3 g/day sodium diet had worsening symptoms and 2 times more chances of acute clinical decompensation.

Randomized clinical trial assessing the effects of a normal sodium diet (120 mmol sodium/2.8 g) compared to a low sodium diet (80 mmol sodium/1.8 g) associated with a high dose diuretic (furosemide, 250-500 mg) and water restriction (1,000 ml/day) in readmissions of Patients with HF, concluded that normal sodium intake combined with appropriate diuretic therapy can maintain intravascular stability and decrease rehospitalization rate. Another study comparing a low sodium diet (1.5 g/d) with a moderate sodium diet (2.3 g/d) for 6 months showed that patients with lower sodium intake had decreased vascular volume overload levels and a better perception of quality of life.

However, a cohort approach conducted with 902 participants, which investigated the impact of sodium restriction on the outcomes of patients with HF, found no association between a lower mortality rate and a decrease in sodium-related hospitalizations. Authors consider that sodium restriction in NYHA functional class I and II patients may be unsatisfactory due to neurohormonal activation and prescribed pharmacological therapy (diuretics). This may not have satisfactory benefits in maintaining the clinical stability of the disease.

In the literature analyzed, it is clear that, in patients with severe symptoms, especially in NYHA classes III and IV, sodium consumption restriction has been associated with lower unfavorable clinical outcomes. However, in NYHA class I and II patients categorized with no symptoms or effort-triggered symptoms, there is a paucity of studies on optimal sodium consumption to minimize advances in cardiac remodeling and increased volume overload.

The findings are divergent in view of the diversity of methodological designs applied in the investigations, the clinical conditions of the sample, as well as the study follow-up period. Another aspect to be considered is the use of the pharmacological therapy employed, especially the diuretic dose and the hydrosaline restriction adopted in the research protocol, which makes it impossible to generalize the results.

It is considered pertinent to analyze that water restriction associated with sodium restriction may have influenced the findings. Limiting fluid intake to 1.5-2 L/day is advised only in severe Patients with HF with signs of congestion or hyponatremia. Moreover, the benefits of this recommendation are controversial due to hemodynamic changes and progressive cardiac remodeling in chronic HF.
The cultural context where the research was developed stands out. The phenomenon in question has been explored in industrialized countries with distinct cultural habits and lifestyles. Added to this is the greater purchasing power for consumer goods, which favors the ingestion of canned and ultra-processed foods that have a high concentration of sodium content.

It is imperative that comparative multicenter prospective investigations be conducted in order to produce consistent evidence of optimal sodium intake in Patients with HF. It should be noted that the benefits found are associated with sodium intake restriction, disease functional class or the effect of diuretic therapy employed.

Although findings on optimal sodium intake for Patients with HF are controversial due to the divergence of methods and protocols employed in the investigations, as well as the lack of consensus on therapeutic guidelines, it is irrefutable to educate and guide them to restrict your intake. Excess sodium is a risk factor for diseases such as systemic arterial hypertension, ventricular hypertrophy and nephropathies that may accentuate the clinical condition of HF.[10-31]

Thus, it is suggested that these patients be advised not to add salt to prepared foods, to abolish table salt shakers and to replace salt with fresh herbs and spices when preparing meals. It is also recommended to exclude the food menu, chilled ready meals and embedded foods, which concentrate high sodium content in the preservation process. These strategies are expensive and should be incorporated into behavior change necessary to maintain the functional capacity and clinical stability of Patients with HF.

Since HF is a chronic and progressive disease, without the therapeutic possibility of cure, it is important to identify which factors can facilitate or hinder the follow-up of a low sodium diet. From this perspective, in our findings, there is a study with a sample of 246 participants that aimed to describe the perceptions, facilities and barriers to following a low sodium diet. Authors identified that 80.1% of participants received advice on following a sodium-restricted diet, such as controlling salt intake, not adding salt to cooked foods, and leaving table salt available. However, 54% said it was difficult or very difficult to follow these guidelines.[20]

In addition, 70% of dietary sodium was from processed foods. Not knowing how to choose low sodium meals in restaurants is a barrier. Additionally, the non-adherence of family members to share a low sodium diet, as well as social life, were difficulties identified.[20] These facts reinforce the need to incorporate the social support network (family, friends) in the educational process of Patients with HF. Added to this is the need to advise them on the benefits found are associated with sodium intake restriction, disease functional class or the effect of diuretic therapy employed.

Another study with a qualitative approach conducted in the United States found similar results. 85% of respondents received specific guidelines regarding dietary sodium restriction, but only 60% reported following it. Among the factors related to non-adherence to a low sodium diet was lack of knowledge; social and family pressure; socioeconomic conditions; difficulty finding low sodium meals in restaurants; and food as a source of pleasure and satisfaction.[20]

It is understood that the impact and physical and psychosocial limitations inherent to HF may influence adherence to prescribed therapy. Thus, it is considered irrefutable to outline systematic educational interventions to enhance the understanding and understanding of the benefits and harms of dietary sodium restriction, considering beliefs, habits, socioeconomic conditions and family contexts. It is noteworthy that there are still incipient studies conducted in the national scenario aiming to analyze the determinants of reduced dietary sodium intake in Patients with HF.

Regarding the methods applied for data collection in the analyzed studies, one factor to highlight is the use of different procedures and protocols for this purpose. It was observed the use of 24-hour urine sodium dosage as the main tool to assess adherence to the low sodium diet in the investigations.[19,22,24-25,27]

The 24-hour urine sodium test is a biomarker, considered the gold standard for measuring daily sodium intake, since 90% of the sodium excreted through the urine is given by salt consumption.[30-31]

A study conducted with 119 participants, whose objective was to determine whether self-reported adherence agrees with adherence to a low sodium diet, measured by daily food intake and 24-hour urinary sodium dosage, showed agreement between the results. Authors found by logistic regression analysis that within one year, participants who reported never or rarely following a low sodium diet (daily intake > 4 g) were 4.7 times more likely to exhibit compared with those on a low sodium diet (≤ 3 g/day; p = 0.017).[23]

Another study comparing adherence to a low sodium diet (adherent versus non-adherent), measured by 24-hour urinary sodium excretion, found that the adherent group had 27% lower urinary sodium excretion than the non-adherent group (≤ 3 g vs. ≥ 4 g, p = 0.01, respectively) after 6 months of follow-up.[25]

Another investigation analyzed the reliability of the urinary sodium test in a 24-hour diuresis collection as a tool to estimate dietary sodium intake in 305 HF outpatients with reduced ejection fraction (< 40%). Authors found by logistic regression analysis that men and high body mass index were associated with poor adherence to lower sodium diet (< 3 g/day)[32].

It is understood that the urinary sodium excretion exam is a reliable and enlightening tool in clinical practice. Its use in the assessment of Patients with HF behavior in following a low sodium diet is indispensable, considering that only the use of questionnaires and/ or food diaries can underestimate their consumption. Self-report may be influenced by economic, cultural and cognitive conditions.

Among the surveys, it was found that the Dietary Sodium Restriction Questionnaire (DSRQ) was the most used among the various tools available in the literature to assess sodium intake. Based on Theory Planned Behavior (TPB), DSRQ is aimed at measuring the factors that facilitate or hinder adherence to a sodium-restricted diet and has reliability and reproducibility measures proven in the literature.[32]

Composed of three subscales, the mentioned tool involves statements that assess the following constructs: 1) attitude - is related to the subject’s favorability regarding behavior performance or non-performance; 2) subjective norm - represents the perceived social pressure, that is, it is based on the individual’s perception about the opinions of their social referents about behavior performance or non-performance; 3) behavior control - composed of items that assess the individual’s perceptions regarding the perceived ease or difficulty in behavior performance.[32-33]

A study that determined factors associated with TPB sodium intake concluded that the New York Heart Association’s subjective
standard, gender, and functional class were associated with lower sodium intake (p<0.001). Authors point out that having approval from spouse, family members and/or health care providers emerges as a significant positive influence on adherence to a hyposodic diet(24).

The literature has pointed to the role of the social support network as adjuvants in adhering to the therapy instituted in patients with HF. As social network members, they are spouses, children, neighbors, health professionals, among others. Family participation in the educational process of this population is essential for patients' motivation and adherence to the prescribed lifestyle change recommendations to maintain the clinical stability of the disease(16).

It is important to emphasize that the formation of bonds between health professionals and service users is fundamental to close gaps and promote confidence in the prescribed therapeutic approach. In most situations, this bond is considered a positive referent for health care. It is important that care for patients with HF be performed in a multidisciplinary, holistic manner and integrated with their social support network, in order to strengthen the pharmacological and non-pharmacological disease management, as well as quality of life.

Of all studies, only one aimed to examine the effectiveness of an educational intervention in reducing dietary sodium intake in the subscales that make up the Dietary Sodium Restriction Questionnaire (attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control). Intervention group participants received guidance for six weeks through home visits or telephone instructions on the consumption of a high-sodium diet and its relationship to exacerbation of signs and symptoms of pulmonary congestion, choosing lower sodium foods and tips for choosing healthy foods when dining out. Authors found higher attitude subscale score in the intervention group (n=27) after six weeks when compared to the control group (n=25) that received guidance (p<0.01)(18).

These results provide support for the development of educational interventions based on behavioral theories. These interventions opened new perspectives for nursing in the design of care technologies that may favor the change of beliefs and health risk behaviors. Measurement tools anchored in TPB seem to be adequate to study the motivational factors that determine the behavior of reducing sodium intake in the diet.

DSRQ was adapted and validated for Brazilian Portuguese(25). Nevertheless, it is understood that the use of tools produced in different contexts may not be adequate to assess certain phenomena in culturally distinct regions. Moreover, according to TPB, to measure the determinant factors of behavior performance, it is essential to elucidate salient beliefs of the target audience. The inherent aspects of the context in which it is intended to investigate and intervene must be considered(13).

From the belief survey, a questionnaire is designed with direct and indirect measures to measure behavioral intention, considered the immediate antecedent of behavior. Measurement tools to assess psychosocial factors determining dietary sodium intake restriction should be constructed from the local context, since important elements may not be covered by previously validated tools.

**Study limitations**

Authors were restricted to publications in only three languages (Portuguese, English and Spanish), which may have limited access to other relevant findings. It was observed that in this review, investigations with cohort design prevailed, a fact that makes it impossible to establish robust evidence on the effect of dietary sodium restriction in patients with HF. In addition, there is a scarcity of Latin American productions on the theme.

**Contributions to nursing, health or public policy**

This IR synthesized the knowledge about sodium restriction in patients with HF. It is expected that, based on the findings highlighted in this study, future investigations may be conducted with robust methods and protocols to produce consistent evidence of sodium restriction, a determining factor for decompensation or acute clinical status in Patients with HF. It is noteworthy that the reduction of sodium intake is a worldwide goal to be achieved and was assumed by Brazil in the Plan for the Prevention and Control of Chronic Noncommunicable Diseases proposed by the World Health Organization.

Incorporating evidence into nurses’ clinical practice can help them implement theories and motivational strategies based on theories to change beliefs, attitudes, habits and risk behaviors according to cultural and socioeconomic contexts; reduce complications from HF due to non-follow-up of a low sodium diet; and provide grants for nurses in decision-making.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of this review showed that there is no consensus in the literature on dietary sodium restriction in patients with HF. Although studies have different methodologies and protocols, sodium restriction should be encouraged in clinical practice as a protective measure for health, in order to reduce unfavorable clinical outcomes in patients with advanced symptoms. However, the results suggest that the effect of low sodium diets needs to be well studied in asymptomatic patients. Therefore, it is imperative to carry out further investigations on a larger scale and to assess long-term consequences of this recommendation.
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