ABSTRACT
Objectives: to construct and validate a classification of nipple and areola complex lesions resulting from breastfeeding, according to content and appearance. Methods: this is a methodological study, developed in four stages: operational definition, instrument construction, content and appearance validation. The Delphi technique and a Likert-type scale were used to validate content and appearance, through the participation of ten and sixteen judges, respectively. For analysis, Content Validity Index and Kappa Coefficient were applied. Results: Content Validity Index obtained an overall value of 0.93 and, for appearance, 0.94. Kappa values ranged between 0.46 and 1. The high rates of agreement among judges demonstrated the quality of the proposed content validity. Conclusions: the Nipple and Areola Complex Lesions Classification Instrument developed obtained acceptable values of its indexes, proving to be valid in terms of content and appearance. Descriptors: Breast Feeding; Wounds and Injuries; Nipples; Validation Study; Obstetric Nursing.

RESUMO
Objetivos: construir e validar uma classificação das lesões mamilo-areolares decorrentes da amamentação segundo conteúdo e aparência. Métodos: estudo metodológico, desenvolvido em quatro etapas: definição operacional; construção do instrumento, validação de conteúdo e de aparência. Utilizaram-se a técnica Delphi e a escala tipo Likert para a validação de conteúdo e de aparência, por meio da participação de dez e dezenove juízes, respectivamente. Para análise, aplicou-se o Índice de Validade de Conteúdo e o Coeficiente Kappa. Resultados: o Índice de Validade de Conteúdo obteve valor global de 0,93 e, de aparência, 0,94. Os valores de Kappa variaram entre 0,46 e 1. As altas taxas de concordância entre os juízes demonstraram a qualidade da validade de conteúdo proposta. Conclusões: o Instrumento de Classificação das Lesões Mamilo-Areolares desenvolvido obteve valores aceitáveis de seus índices, mostrando-se válido quanto ao conteúdo e aparência. Descriptores: Aleitamento Materno; Ferimentos e Lesões; Mamilos; Estudo de Validação; Enfermagem Obstétrica.

RESUMEN
Objetivos: construir y validar un instrumento para la clasificación de las lesiones areolares del pezón derivadas de la lactancia según su contenido y apariencia. Métodos: estudio metodológico, desarrollado en cuatro etapas: definición operativa, construcción del instrumento, validación de contenido y apariencia. Para análisis, se aplicó el Índice de Validez de Contenido y el Coeficiente Kappa. Resultados: el Índice de Validez de Contenido obtuvo un valor global de 0,93 y, de apariencia, 0,94. Los valores de Kappa oscilaron entre 0,46 y 1. Las altas tasas de acuerdo entre los jueces demostraron la calidad de la validez del contenido propuesto. Conclusiones: el Instrumento de Clasificación de Lesiones Pezón-Areolares desarrollado obtuvo valores aceptables para sus índices, demostrando ser válido en términos de contenido y apariencia. Descriptores: Lactancia Materna; Heridas y Traumatismos; Pezones; Estudio de Validación; Enfermería Obstétrica.
Nipple and areola complex lesions (NAL) resulting from breastfeeding have been presenting frequencies between 55% and 100% among postpartum women and are generally related to the main causes of early weaning, mainly due to association with pain and difficulties with proper infant latch.

A systematic review study with 1,409 women identified a greater intensity of pain (6.2/10) in postpartum women with NAL, when compared to those without injury (2.7/10) in the first week after delivery, highlighting the importance of prevention and early diagnosis of injuries in the care of these women.

Identifying NALs occurs during the clinical examination of postpartum women and, despite the clarity, by healthcare professionals, regarding the absence or presence of skin integrity in the nipple and areola complex region, there is no consensus on their classification, and the use of terminology “fissures” for any type of injury identified.

When rethinking clinical practice, it is clear how much a detailed physical examination can contribute to identifying the type of injury and, consequently, the choice of appropriate treatment. Furthermore, recognizing the affected structures, their shape, extension or depth, their thickness or consistency, among other observational variables, can expand and standardize the terminologies used to classify NALs.

It is observed that, despite the high frequencies of NALs, few studies have explored the classification and form of assessment of NALs. Only three authors classify and describe the types and characteristics of NALs and differentiate them by size of the injured area, degree of impairment, loss or not of skin integrity, defining them based on their relationship with the causal factor. However, in addition to the lack of coverage of the lesions, only one validation study was developed to assess the agreement of the elaborated classification.

Regarding the forms of NAL assessment, a review study identified 20 publications from 1986 to 2012 addressing this issue. Among the assessment methods, the use of scales, indexes or scores, measurement of lesions, clinical examination, use of enlarged photographs and telephone call stood out. Seven publications used previously developed instruments, namely: NTS (Nipple Trauma Score), Three-point Scale for Nipple Damage, NAS (Nipple Attribute Score), NTI (Nipple Trauma Index), the four-point scale for the assessment of erythema and fissure, and the six-point scale for nipple damage. Other publications, not included in this review, bring: the assessment scale for nipple damage, the nipple damage severity scale, the pain, bleeding and severity score scale, and the Nipple Trauma Indicator Instrument. However, only one of these studies has a validation process and several authors have adapted an assessment pattern arising from other injuries, not specifically from NALs.

All these studies brought different and important assessment models for a specific NAL or for nipple damage in a generalized way, however, in addition to the clear lack of consensus found among authors in the literature, the types of injury are poorly explored and the specific assessment and comprehensive is non-existent. Furthermore, there is no content validation study in the scientific literature that can define and classify them under the dermatological approach.

Thus, the construction of a new classification, through a standardized, consensual and validated language, can bring important contributions to the recognition of NALs, favoring the diagnosis, specific treatment and continuity of nursing care, in addition to the possibility of bringing different outcomes to scientific research and the population’s health, promoting and protecting the practice of breastfeeding.

OBJECTIVES

To construct and validate a classification of nipple and areola complex lesions resulting from breastfeeding, according to content and appearance.

METHODS

Ethical aspects

The study complied with Resolution 466 of December 2012, being sent to the Institutional Review Board of Universidade Federal de São Paulo and approved on November 14, 2017.

Methodological design and study stages

This is a methodological study, which used as a framework the psychometric procedures for the construction and validation of measuring instruments in health, developed in four stages: operational definition; classification instrument construction; application of content validation; appearance validation application.

In the first stage, the search for representative items of the construct under investigation was carried out, through three extensive literature reviews, in order to identify the main injuries currently classified and their definitions as well as the existence of a validated classification instrument on them.

The first review was carried out in the MEDLINE (Medical Literature Online), LILACS (Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences) and PMC (PubMed Central) databases between October 2012 and February 2019. Chart 1 presents the searches performed in each database and the keywords and/or words used.

The second review was carried out in the same period, and the databases, for the searches, used the following keywords and/or words, as shown in Chart 2.

Chart 1 - Keywords and/or words used in the first review, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 2021
The third review specifically sought to answer the following question: how are NALs described in dermatological glossaries? The searches were carried out in the collections of Libraries of Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Universidade de São Paulo (USP), and Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), through its search portals, from 1990 to 2018. The words used in the search portals were dermatology atlas, clinical dermatology, and dermatology or medicine guidelines.

In the second stage, there was the construction of a classification composed of three domains (D): D1 - Definition of NALs resulting from the practice of breastfeeding; D2 - NALs without skin barrier disruption; D3 - NALs with skin barrier disruption; eight items (I): I1 - Definition of NAL; I2 - Red Erythema or Redness; I3 - Ecchymosis or Blemish; I4 - Vesicle; I5 - Edema; I6 - Crust; I7 - Erosion; I8 - Ulceration.

Then, the domains and items were organized through the morphological characteristics of the skin found in the dermatological glossaries23-27, and objectivity standards of nominalist dermatological school28, with the purpose of identifying the lesion at the time of observation, including: anatomical location, shape, color, presence or absence of liquid content, changes in thickness or consistency, tissue loss or continuity solution, type of injured tissue and extension or depth.

After this first content construction, several discussions and focal meetings took place between a group of four researchers with expertise in teaching, research, and care in obstetrics and breastfeeding and/or dermatology and injury care, to clarify controversial points and define, with greater precision: the main lesions observed in clinical practice and the best way to describe these lesions in accordance with the dermatological glossaries. Then, a dermatology specialist was selected based on her expertise and resume on the platform, to assess the latest version of the Instrument was written.

### Study protocol

Data collection took place electronically in the following order: identification of judges, invitation to participate and submission of the instrument for analysis upon acceptance and signature of the ICF. The data collection instrument contained judges’ characterization and a Likert-type scale for assessing the items with filling instructions.

Content and appearance validation took place through the Delphi technique, which consisted of sending the data collection instrument in rounds, which could extend up to 3 and/or end with the achievement of the determined minimum agreement22-31. This strategy has been widely used in the field of health22-33,37,38 to obtain a maximum consensus from a group of experts on a given topic, especially when unanimity of opinion does not exist due to the lack of scientific evidence39. For this assessment, a Likert-type scale was adopted with a score of 1 to 4, and, in the case of non-agreement, a space for comments and suggestions was reserved.

Stage 3 judges were asked to assess item agreement in relation to three dimensions or variables, clarity (text comprehension), scope (breadth of the phenomenon studied) and relevance.
(adequacy to the proposed objectives). In stage 4, assessment took place in relation to the dimension of clarity (easiness of reading and/or understanding of the text), through the instrument judgment by the target audience.

Analysis of results, and statistics

Current studies on the development of measurement instruments demonstrate that a widely used approach to assess content and/or appearance validity is Content Validity Index (CVI) by item, by dimension or globally, adopting a value minimum to reach agreement, which can range from 0.70 to 0.80[30-35,38-44].

The results of this study were tabulated by double typing, using the Excel program (Microsoft, USA). CVI was calculated by adding the items marked as “3” or “4” and divided by the total number of responses. A minimum value of 0.80 was adopted to reach agreement and items that presented indexes below the minimum would be reformulated according to judges’ opinion[30-35,38,43-44].

The overall CVI by dimension was also adopted, which represents the average of content validation indexes for a given dimension, calculated by the sum of all CVIs divided by the number of items. The global CVI, when possible, represents the average of content validation indexes for a given instrument’s global CVI, i.e., the average of CVIs for all items and dimensions, calculated by the sum of all overall CVIs divided by the number of dimensions[30,41].

As it is an important supplement for CVI, as it provides information on the degree of agreement beyond chance[31,38,42]. The Kappa coefficient was also calculated with the help of a statistic, using the statistical software STATA 12 (Stata#Corp, USA), which considered a significance level of 5% and an acceptance level of 0.80, moderate, from 0.41 to 0.60, weak, from 0.21 to 0.40, mild, moderate to perfect agreement. Their values can range from 0 to 1, with perfect agreement from 1 to 0.81, substantial, from 0.61 to 0.80, moderate, from 0.41 to 0.60, weak, from 0.21 to 0.40, mild, from 0.0 to 0.20 and absent agreement less than 0[45].

Figure 1 demonstrates the methodological course stages of this study.

![Figure 1 - Methodological path, construction and validation stages, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 2021](image)

RESULTS

Nipple and Areola Complex Lesions Classification Construction

The construction process was carried out from June to October 2017. After analyzing the three literature reviews, meetings with the group of researchers and expert assessment, the concept of definition, classification and morphological description of NALs were elaborated.

In the first review, 300 publications were identified from October 2012 to February 2019 and 21 comprised the final sample. Another 20 studies were added to this sample, from 1986 to 2012, from a previous review[40]. Thus, it was possible to verify, in the world literature, which are the definitions and classifications of NALs as well as part of their characterization.

In the second review, 148 publications were identified from October 2012 to February 2019, but no publication was found that addressed the development and/or validation of a classification instrument for NALs arising from breastfeeding. The previous review also did not identify publications[40]. With this result, it was possible to affirm that there is no validated instrument that defines, classifies and characterizes NALs.

In the third review, 40 reference books in dermatology were identified, from 1990 to 2018, in the collections of the four researched universities, applying the selection criteria, leaving five references. With the analysis of dermatological glossaries and identification of NALs among the elementary lesions, it was possible to describe the best form of recognition and characterization of NALs.

With the result of the reviews categorized into tables and the clinical experience of the group of researchers in the care of skin lesions and NALs, the first version was built.

NALs were defined as changes in the nipple and areola complex skin, identified through changes in color, thickness, fluid content or tissue loss.

NALs were classified as: erythema (lesion with a change in the natural skin color to red or pink in a given nipple and areola complex area); ecchymosis or blemish (injury with a change in the skin’s natural color to purplish or brown in a particular nipple and areola complex area); edema (lesion with modification of the skin’s natural thickness due to accumulation of fluid, with the presence of distension, shine and skin stiffness); vesicle (lesion with modification of the skin’s natural liquid content, through the presence of circumscribed elevation, filled with clear, serous liquid or white content (milk), measuring up to 0.5 cm in diameter and located on the nipple surface); fissure (injury with loss of skin integrity, linear in shape, which may present with bleeding or serous fluid); erosion or exulceration (injury with loss of skin integrity in a circumscribed shape, which may present with bleeding or serous fluid. Of varied length and depth, being more superficial (excoriation) or deeper (erosion), crust (lesion formed in an area of tissue loss resulting from the concretion of serous fluids or blood, light yellow or dark red).

Also, during the construction process, ulceration was excluded and the crust was included. It was understood that ulceration did not represent a NAL, as it represents a chronic lesion with circumscribed loss of epidermis and dermis, which can reach deep
tissues\(^{27}\). The inclusion of the crust was due to its recognition in the literature and its constant observation in clinical practice.

**Nipple and Areola Complex Lesions Classification Validation**

Content validation took place from December 2017 to June 2018. Of the 38 invitations sent to the judges, 12 agreed to participate in the survey, with 10 participating in the first round of responses and 8 in the second. Participants were women with a degree in nursing, minimum master’s degree (three masters and seven doctors) and professional experience from 14 to 35 years in the field of dermatology.

After analyzing the data from the first round, using the Delphi technique, in the three dimensions analyzed (clarity, scope and relevance), a CVI between 0.90 and 1.00 was obtained for the definition of NAL and its types: erythema, ecchymosis, edema, vesicle, fissure and erosion. Only the crust had a CVI between 0.70 and 0.80, with this, this item was reformulated, and the Instrument, sent back.

After the second round, all items received, in the three variables analyzed, a CVI from 0.87 to 1.00, with no need for a third round.

The Kappa coefficient demonstrated the agreement obtained for the three dimensions (clarity, scope and relevance) per item and in a dichotomized way. At that time, for the calculation of this coefficient, value 1 was applied for answers 3 and 4, and value 0 for answers 1 and 2. Perfect and moderate Kappa was obtained, with no need to recalculate the coefficient due to absence weakly agreeable.

Next, Table 1 is presented, which highlights the instrument (first and third versions) and the indexes found.

Once agreement was reached, appearance validity was continued. This stage was carried out in July 2018. Of the 23 invitations sent, 16 judges agreed to participate in the survey and completed the first round of responses. Participants were women, one with a degree in medicine and a specialty in pediatrics and fifteen with a degree in nursing and a specialization in obstetrics. Among these, three had a master’s degree and three a PhD degree. The average length of professional experience in the field of breastfeeding was ten years, ranging between 3 and 36 years.

After analyzing the results, a CVI for clarity and per item of 0.94 was obtained for definition, fissure and erosion, and 1.00 for erythema, ecchymosis, edema, vesicle and crust, with no need for second round of responses. The overall CVI for clarity was 0.98.

After text corrections, the final version of the classification was named ILMA, and is presented in Chart 3.

### Table 1 - Nipple and Areola Complex Lesions Classification resulting from breastfeeding, under a dermatological approach and content validation stage indexes, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First version</th>
<th>Content Validity Index</th>
<th>Kappa Third version (after 2nd round of answers)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clarity</td>
<td>Scope</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D1. Nipple and areola complex injuries resulting from the practice of breastfeeding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Definition: changes in the nipple and areola complex skin, identified by (...)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D2. Nipple and areola complex lesions without skin barrier disruption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Erythema: lesion with change in the natural skin color for (...)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ecchymosis or Blemish: lesion with change in the natural skin color to purplish or (...)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Edema: lesion with modification of the skin's natural thickness due to fluid accumulation (...)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Vesicle: lesion with modification of the skin's natural liquid content through the presence of a raised shape (...)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D3. Nipple and areola complex lesions with skin barrier disruption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Fissure: lesion with loss of skin integrity, shape (...)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Erosion or Exulceration: circumscribed lesion with loss of skin integrity (...)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Crust: lesion formed in an area of tissue loss resulting from (...)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Overall Content Validity Index | 0.93 |
| Global Content Validity Index | 0.93 |
Chart 3 - Nipple and Areola Complex Lesions Classification Instrument, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nipple and Areola Complex Lesions Classification Instrument</th>
<th>Definition of nipple and areola complex lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nipple and areola complex lesions without skin barrier disruption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema</td>
<td>A lesion characterized by a change in the skin’s natural color to red or pink in a particular nipple-areola area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecchymosis</td>
<td>A lesion characterized by a change in the skin’s natural color to purple or brown in a particular nipple-areola area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edema</td>
<td>Modification of the skin’s natural thickness due to accumulation of fluid (plasma) in the dermis or hypodermis, with the presence of distension, shine and/or skin stiffness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesicle</td>
<td>A lesion with modification of the skin’s natural liquid content, identified by the presence of a bulge filled with clear (serous) or white (milky) liquid, measuring up to 0.5 centimeters in diameter, located on the nipple surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipple and areola complex lesions with disruption of the skin barrier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fissure</td>
<td>Linear and narrow continuity solution, which may present with bleeding or serous fluid of variable depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>Continuity solution of circumscribed or irregular shape, with loss of epidermis or dermis, which may present with bleeding or serous fluid. When it occurs more superficially, it is called excoration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crust</td>
<td>Result of the drying of serous, purulent, or hematic exudate from a previously injured area. It can be light yellow, brown or dark red.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first instrument validated for content and appearance, with definition and classification of NALs, under a dermatological approach.

Current literature identifies the participation of a group of experienced judges as essential in content assessment. It is recognized, in this study, that the judges contributed to content adequacy, by suggesting significant adjustments and/or additions to the proposed classification, as well as their expertise and qualification, and enabled a critical and rigorous analysis of sentences.

Regarding the dimensions analyzed, the result of an overall CVI for clarity and relevance of 0.93 demonstrated agreement in the understanding of the text and adequacy to the proposed objectives; an overall CVI of 0.94 for coverage indicated agreement with the breadth of the phenomenon studied, which may indicate the real specificity of NALs resulting from breastfeeding.

Regarding the instrument’s global CVI with a result of 0.93, the quality of the instrument’s content validity is recognized. This high rate of agreement was achieved because the process of operational definition and instrument construction took place rigorously, seeking representation through scientific evidence in three literature reviews, consensus among researchers with expertise in the studied topic and pre-assessment by the dermatology specialist.

No items were eliminated, but some discussions emerged with judges’ suggestions. Regarding erythema, this lesion can be seen at the beginning of breastfeeding. Even without disrupting skin integrity, the pressure exerted by newborns’ suction can change the skin’s natural color to red. After the end of feedings and between feedings, the skin may return to its natural color, with erythema being considered transitory, even if found around cracks or crusts, as shown in the literature.

Ecchymosis, also known and illustrated as purpura or purpuric lesion, can be observed right at the beginning of breastfeeding, around the first or second day of breastfeeding. At this time, the nipple and areola complex skin is purplish or brown, a change usually caused by blood leakage in this region.

As for edema, this lesion may appear on the third day of breastfeeding, usually associated with the inflammatory phase of tissue damage and increased pain. In the nipple and areola complex region, accumulation of plasma in the dermis and the distension of the boundary lines between the nipple papillae may show the presence of fissures; however, the skin’s shine and rigidity characterize the presence of inflammatory edema, as illustrated by some authors.

Regarding the vesicle characterization, all suggestions were accepted and changes were made, in order to provide greater clarity in the lesion definition, as presented in dermatological glossaries, without losing the nipple and areola complex tissue specificity.

During breastfeeding, this type of lesion can occur following an edema and is usually noticed by the presence of a protrusion filled with serous fluid, sometimes lighter, sometimes more reddish, as presented in the literature. Moreover, one can see the occurrence of this lesion, filled with breast milk, at different times of breastfeeding.

The fissure, the type of lesion most commonly observed in clinical practice, begins with the involvement of the most superficial layer of the skin (epidermis), which may deepen to the dermis, depending on the maintenance of the causal factor or lack of adequate coverage. Some authors point to its onset around the third to the fifth day of breastfeeding, a period that coincides with the transition of tissue damage from the inflammatory to the proliferative phase.

The choice of keeping excoriation as a more superficial type of erosion and abolishing the use of the term exulceration was made not only by judges’ suggestions, but also by NALs’ clinical evolution. Generally, excoration is observed in the first days of puerperium and erosion between the fifth and seventh day. At that time, breastfeeding episodes are more frequent; possibly, with continuity of trauma and/or absence of therapeutic measures, the lesion worsens, which can also be noticed by the presence of bleeding or serous fluid (excoriation), or exposure of a moist layer by dermis disruption (erosion).
As for the crust, this lesion is defined in dermatological glossaries as an elementary lesion, loss, or repair tissue. For scholars in pathology, crust is described as a result of the blood clot dehydrating on the wound surface. This clot is formed by fibrin, necrotic debris and exudate, generating light yellow, brown, light red and dark red variations in the crust.

In clinical practice in breastfeeding, scab is very common among nursing mothers. What is observed at the time of clinical examination is a crust and no longer the area that was previously injured (or the elementary secondary lesion that occurred), and it is understood, therefore, that, as they are different injuries, care can also be differentiated.

To assess whether the agreement observed among judges was not random, and in order to ensure that such agreement was actually attributed to the classification’s content properties, the Kappa coefficient calculation was applied, in a complementary way. Statistical analysis revealed perfect agreement for definition, erythema, ecchymosis, edema, vesicle and erosion in the three dimensions, reinforcing the quality of content due to smaller number of comments received and fewer responses 1 or 2. The moderate agreement for crack and crust is related to the largest number of suggestions received.

In appearance validation, the terms “change in thickness” or “liquid content”, from the definition of NALs item, were judged by two breastfeeding specialists as unclear and difficult to interpret. This may have occurred, due to a probable theoretical-practical distancing of these professionals with the dermatological terminology.

Likewise, the term “continuity solution” was not clear and difficult to interpret. “Liquid content” from the definition of NALs item, were judged by two breastfeeding specialists as unclear and difficult to interpret. Probably due to the specific terminology little used in the field of breastfeeding.

However, the range of CVI per item from 0.94 to 1.00 demonstrated the ease of reading sentences and characterizing the lesions. An overall CVI reach of 0.98 for clarity demonstrated the understanding of the text and content presented to the target audience.

Study limitations

The reliability and clinical validation of items in this classification need to be verified in addition to the contributions of expert opinion consensus. Such validation will increase the specificity of healthcare professionals’ practices, diagnosis and treatment of NALs.

Contributions to nursing, health, and public policies

The ILMA presents a validated classification, with high quality of its content properties, which enables the recognition of NALs, becoming an information technology tool to equip nursing care and assist, even in fulfilling the professional practice of this category.

CONCLUSIONS

This study enabled the construction of a classification instrument for NALs (ILMA), under a dermatological approach and its validation, according to content and appearance. The high validity indexes found among dermatology and/or injury care and breastfeeding experts indicated that this instrument can be applied in the recognition of NALs resulting from breastfeeding.
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