The role of the nurse in caring for the critical patient with sepsis

O papel do enfermeiro perante o paciente crítico com sepse

El papel del enfermero ante el paciente crítico con sepsis

**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives:** to know the nursing interventions in the identification, prevention and control of sepsis in critical patients. **Methods:** integrative review of the literature, with two parallel researches using different Mesh terms, using the EBSCO database and Google Scholar. Nine studies were included in the sample. **Results:** nursing interventions are centered on the creation/implementation of protocols for the early recognition of sepsis, the training of teams to ensure a safe and effective approach and the adoption of measures for infection prevention and control as a way to prevent sepsis. **Final Considerations:** the evidence shows that nurses are fundamental in the early identification, control and prevention of sepsis, preventing disease progression and contributing to decreased morbidity and mortality.

**Descriptors:** Nurse's Role, Critical Care, Patient, Prevention and Control, Sepsis.

**RESUMO**

**Objetivos:** conhecer as intervenções de enfermagem na identificação, prevenção e controle da sepse no paciente crítico. **Métodos:** revisão integradora da literatura, realizadas duas pesquisas paralelas com diferentes descritores MeSH, recorrendo à base de dados EBSCO e ao motor de busca Google Acadêmico. Obtiveram-se 9 estudos que integram a amostra. **Resultados:** as intervenções de enfermagem centram-se na criação/implantação de protocolos que auxiliem o reconhecimento precoce da sepse, na formação das equipes para garantir uma abordagem segura e eficaz e na adoção de medidas que promovam a prevenção e o controle de infeção como forma de prevenir a sepse. **Considerações Finais:** as evidências demonstraram que o enfermeiro é fundamental na identificação precoce, controle e prevenção da sepse, evitando a progressão da doença e contribuindo para a diminuição da morbidade e mortalidade.

**Descritores:** Papel do Enfermeiro; Cuidados Críticos; Paciente; Prevenção & Controle; Sepse.

**RESUMEN**

**Objetivos:** conocer las intervenciones de enfermería en la identificación, prevención y control de la sepsis en el paciente crítico. **Métodos:** se trata de una revisión integradora de literatura, realizada dos investigaciones paralelas con diferentes descriptores MeSH, recurriendo a la base de datos EBSCO y motor de búsqueda Google Académico. Se obtuvieron 9 estudios que forman parte de la muestra. **Resultados:** las intervenciones de enfermería están enfocadas en la creación/implantación de protocolos para el reconocimiento precoz de la sepse, en la formación de equipos que garanticen un abordaje seguro y eficiente y en la adopción de medidas preventivas y de control de la infección para impedir la sepsis. **Consideraciones Finales:** las evidencias demostraron que el enfermero es fundamental en la identificación temprana, el control y prevención de la sepsis, para evitar la progresión de la enfermedad y contribuir en la disminución de la morbilidad y de la mortalidad.

**Descritores:** Papel del Enfermero; Cuidados Críticos; Paciente; Prevención y Control; Sepsis.
INTRODUCTION

Critical patients have their life endangered by the failure of one or more vital functions and their survival depends on advanced surveillance, monitoring and treatment. Nursing care is of excellent quality and must be continuous in order to support vital functions, reduce complications and prevent disabilities, aiming at full recovery. These care practices require attentive observation, continuous and systematic monitoring, with the objective of understanding the situation of the patient, predicting and early detecting complications, providing precise, concrete, effective and timely interventions.(1)

Sepsis is a systemic inflammatory response to the presence of inflammatory mediators, which are produced by the host in response to microbial agents or toxins. It is considered an acute condition that can rapidly progress to septic shock and multiple organ dysfunction(2). It is a serious public health problem with high mortality rates and significant treatment costs. Compared to stroke and acute myocardial infarction, which have decreased, the incidence of sepsis increases by at least 1.5% per year. This increase is related to the aging of the population, greater longevity of people with chronic diseases, growing number of immunocompromised patients and increased use of invasive techniques(3). The clinical manifestations of sepsis are varied and depend on several factors, such as the etiology of the infection, the comorbidities and personal characteristics of the patient and the duration of evolution(4).

The implementation of a sepsis therapeutic protocol not only reduces mortality rates, but also considerably reduces healthcare costs(5). Recent evidence has demonstrated the efficacy of early warning systems to identify patients at risk or whose condition is deteriorating(6). Considering that sepsis is a critical disease and that delayed diagnosis and therapy is associated with increased morbidity and mortality, the role of nurses in the early recognition of changes is extremely important. Early recognition of sepsis, prompt and proper intervention in the early hours may prevent the condition from progressing(7). Therefore, nurses must develop specialized skills, responding to the needs of patients with sepsis, which will lead to improved health outcomes and costs.

OBJECTIVES

To know the nursing interventions in the identification, prevention and control of sepsis in critical patients.

METHODS

Ethical aspects

This research did not involve human beings; therefore, it did not require approval from a research ethics committee. However, it followed the rules of good scientific conduct, based on rigorous referencing and respect for the authors’ perspectives.

Type of study

This study is an integrative review. This type of review provides a systematic and broad analysis of scientific research, enabling the characterization and dissemination of the knowledge produced. Its purpose is to gather and synthesize results of studies on a particular theme or issue, in a systematic and orderly manner(7).

Its elaboration is based on six steps: identification of the theme and selection of the research question, establishment of inclusion and exclusion criteria, categorization of studies, quality assessment of studies included in the review, interpretation of results and presentation of the review/synthesis of knowledge(8-9).

Research is important for establishing a scientific basis that can guide the practice of care(2). Evidence-based practice the conscientious, explicit, and judicious use of current best evidence in making decisions about the care of individual patients. Its use has increased the need to develop all types of literature reviews, including integrative reviews(10-11).

Methodological procedures

The first step consisted of the formulation of a research question using the PI(C)OD method: participants, intervention, (comparison), outcomes and design(11). Based on this method, the following research question was formulated: “What are the nursing interventions in the identification, prevention and control of sepsis in critical patients?”

The sampling process was elaborated in step 2, which established the inclusion and exclusion criteria for this review, presented in Chart 1.

The initial identification and selection of studies was carried out using the keywords validated as MeSH terms: sepsis, prevention and control, nursing, diagnosis. Subsequently, inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined according to the research question (Chart 1). Two parallel researches were conducted in order to obtain a sufficient number of articles relevant for the study.

In the first research, the following research strategy was used: sepsis AND prevention and control AND nursing. In the second, the strategy used was: sepsis AND diagnosis AND nursing. Given the nature of the integrative review and with the objective of gathering a larger number of articles, the Google Scholar was also used.

Chart 1 – Inclusion and exclusion criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st research step (application of criteria in the search engines)</td>
<td>Full articles available online; Articles in English and Portuguese.</td>
<td>Documents prior to the year 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd research step (adequacy of title and abstract)</td>
<td>Inclusion of adults/older adults in the study; Nursing approach to the patient with sepsis.</td>
<td>Documents written in a language other than those in the inclusion criteria; Inclusion of patients under 18 years of age; Operating room context; Duplicated articles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd research step (full reading)</td>
<td>Study partially answers the research questions.</td>
<td>Study does not answer the research questions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data collection and organization

Data was collected and organized from September 25 to October 6, 2018 on the following database search engines: EBSCO (CINAHL Complete, MEDLINE Complete, Nursing & Allied Health Collection: Comprehensive, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Methodology Register, Library, Information Science & Technology Abstracts, MedicLatina), Google Scholar and the scientific repository of the Portuguese Catholic University.

The third step was the organization, summarization and categorization of the articles, presented in Chart 2. The level of evidence was classified according to the New Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Levels of evidence, which allows a preliminary judgment of the methodological quality and rigor of the evidence\(^{13}\). In addition to the use of this method and according to recommendations\(^{12-13}\), critical reading, critical evaluation and clinical reasoning were used.

There were 2 level 2.c studies (Quasi-experimental prospective controlled study)\(^{(14-15)}\), 1 level 2.d study (Pre-test – post-test or historic/retrospective control group study)\(^{(16)}\), 3 level 4.a studies (Systematic review of descriptive studies)\(^{(17-19)}\), 1 level 4.b study (Cross-sectional study)\(^{(20)}\), 1 level 5.a study (Systematic review of expert opinion)\(^{(21)}\) and 1 level 5.c study (Single expert opinion)\(^{(21)}\).

The JBI instrument “Checklist for Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies” was used to evaluate the methodological quality\(^{(22)}\). Two independent reviewers conducted the critical evaluation, the extraction and synthesis of the data. Full reading and evaluation of the methodological quality of the studies were conducted to ensure critical evaluation during the article selection process. Due to some disagreements between the researchers, the evaluation of a third person was requested. All studies were of high quality, so none were excluded after this evaluation.

Data analysis

In the fourth step, the studies included were evaluated and interpreted. A critical analysis was conducted, highlighting the main results related to nursing interventions in the identification, prevention and control of sepsis in critical patients, as presented in Chart 3. In the next step, the interpretation/discussion of the results and potential gaps were presented. Finally, the knowledge about nurses’ actions in the identification, prevention and control of sepsis was synthesized, highlighting the relevance of this review.

RESULTS

After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 9 articles were selected for the sample, 6 found in the EBSCO database and 3 in Google Scholar (Figure 1).

![Flowchart of the research screening process](image)

**Figure 1** – Flowchart of the research screening process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Year/Country</th>
<th>Design/sample</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Level of evidence (JBI)(^{(12)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the professional nurse about sepsis(^{(20)}) (Article 1)</td>
<td>2013, Brazil</td>
<td>Descriptive, cross-sectional, quantitative and qualitative study. n = 9 nurses</td>
<td>Identify the knowledge of nurses from an intensive care unit about sepsis.</td>
<td>4.b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be continued
Chart 3 – Main results of articles included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Year/Country</th>
<th>Design/sample</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Level of evidence (JBI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implications of the New International Sepsis Guidelines for nursing care(^{20}) (Article 2)</td>
<td>2013, United States</td>
<td>Systematic review of expert opinion.</td>
<td>Present recommendations for the treatment of adult patients with sepsis.</td>
<td>5.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurses can help improve outcomes in severe sepsis(^{21}) (Article 3)</td>
<td>2016 United States</td>
<td>Opinion piece (from an expert).</td>
<td>Discuss the nurse's role in the early identification of sepsis, considering existing guidelines.</td>
<td>5.c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scripting Nurse Communication to improve sepsis care(^{20}) (Article 4)</td>
<td>2016 United States</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental study (pre-test/post-test, one group). n = 681 nurses of a Trauma Hospital</td>
<td>Identify the role of nurses in the development a sepsis treatment program.</td>
<td>2.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early identification of sepsis in hospital inpatients by ward nurses increases 30-day survival(^{14}) (Article 5)</td>
<td>2016 Norway</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental prospectively controlled study. Pre-intervention group n = 472 patients Post-intervention group n = 409 patients</td>
<td>Investigate whether implementation of a clinical tool for triage of systemic inflammatory response syndrome and organ failure could improve clinical observations in an emergency hospital.</td>
<td>2.c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Sepsis Identification(^{11}) (Article 6)</td>
<td>2017 United States</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental prospectively controlled study.</td>
<td>Facilitate early recognition of signs and symptoms of sepsis through utilization of a screening tool for nurses on medical and surgical units.</td>
<td>2.c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance of emergency nurses in sepsis control(^{17}) (Article 7)</td>
<td>2018 Brazil</td>
<td>Systematic literature review. 13 articles</td>
<td>Analyze the actions of emergency nurses in sepsis control.</td>
<td>4.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis: importance of early identification by nursing(^{18}) (Article 8)</td>
<td>2018 Brazil</td>
<td>Integrative literature review. 11 articles</td>
<td>Demonstrate the importance of nursing in the diagnosis and treatment of sepsis.</td>
<td>4.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing care for patients with sepsis in intensive care units: a literature review(^{19}) (Article 9)</td>
<td>2018 Brazil</td>
<td>Integrative literature review. 10 articles</td>
<td>Analyze the care provided to people with sepsis in an intensive care unit.</td>
<td>4.a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chart 2 (concluded)
The role of the nurse in caring for the critical patient with sepsis
Branco MJC, Lucas APM, Marques RMD, Sousa PP.

The sample of the integrative review consisted of 9 articles, of which 2 were published in 2013(16,20), 3 in 2016(14,16,21), 1 in 2017(17) and 3 in 2018(17-19). Regarding language, 4 were in Portuguese(17-20) and 5 in English(14,16,21). As for country, 4 are from Brazil(17-20), 1 from Norway(16) and 4 from the United States of America(14,16,20-21). Of the 9 articles, only 1 was conducted by a team of internal medicine, while the remaining authors were nurses, demonstrating the relevance of the theme to this community. Chart 2 presents the synthesis of the articles included in the review and Chart 3 shows the main results.

**DISCUSSION**

Sepsis is still considered a fatal disease all over the world, with high mortality rates. There are barriers to the identification, control and prevention of this problem, since all studies analyzed reinforce the need to create/implement rapid response protocols(23). These protocols guide the nurse’s approach to patients with possible signs of sepsis, allowing the implementation of fast and safe actions and preventing deterioration of their clinical condition.

It has been demonstrated that each hour of delay in antibiotic administration is associated with an increase in mortality of 7.6%(24). Nurses have a key role in this area, since, according to recommendations, they must obtain appropriate blood cultures before initiating antimicrobial therapy(24). For this, a highly trained nursing team and good articulation/communication with the medical team are necessary in order to avoid failures and delays throughout the process.

Scarce and ineffective communication between the multidisciplinary team members contributes to the occurrence of adverse effects, which may harm the patient, prolong the length of stay, increase resource consumption and lead to professional dissatisfaction(25). One of the studies points to the importance of effective communication within the team, demonstrating that lack of communication can compromise the diagnosis and treatment and contribute to the progression of sepsis. After training the nursing teams on the theme, using role-playing, they report feeling more confident, comfortable and experienced to transmit information to the medical team(16). The nurse is still considered essential in the coordination of the communication between the professional team and the patient/family, given that their proximity to the patient allows establishing a relationship of trust and empathy, making these professionals essential for the provision of humane care(16).

Establishing standardized systems by creating protocols is of critical importance. One of the studies analyzed was conducted in two hospitals and found that, after the implementation of a sepsis screening tool adapted for infirmaries, the number of identified sepsis cases increased from 6.7% to 84.2% in hospital 1 and from 22.6% to 45.2% in hospital 2. Mortality rates decreased, as did the need for advanced treatment in intensive care units(14).

Another study found that, after the implementation of a sepsis screening tool by nurses in surgical units of two hospitals, sepsis identification improved and time of notification was shorter, allowing a more rapid initiation of appropriate treatment. The results were so positive that the researchers proposed to adapt this project to all medical-surgical units of these hospitals(15). The use of protocols allows early identification through more rigorous assessment and appropriate monitoring, facilitating the nursing approach and preventing disease progression.

It is necessary to train nurses on the problem of sepsis, with a need for a critical and specialized perspective. This was, it is possible to correctly identify, control and event prevent sepsis. Continuous training of nurses is not only a form of personal and professional development, but also serves as support for practice, contributing to the improvement of the care provided(26).

One of the studies in this review found that nurses in an intensive care unit have knowledge about sepsis prevention and control, however, show some deficiencies in defining concepts and naming forms of treatment. Only 11.1% of the nurses in this study recognized hypothermia as a possible sign of sepsis, and only 11.1% listed dyspnea, tachypnea, and altered level of consciousness as alarm signs. Lack of knowledge about the clinical picture may delay the diagnosis, leading to a deterioration of the patient’s general condition(28).

Studies(24,27) show that the patient with sepsis has altered physiological parameters 8 hours before, and their survival depends on the ability of the nurse to recognize these changes. It is essential to train the nursing teams, since the nurse is the professional who has the most contact with the patient Therefore, nurses must be able to promptly identify these alterations and act in rapid, safe and well-founded manner.
One of the studies presented found that, after receiving training on pathophysiology, risk factors, assessment and new guidelines of Surviving Sepsis Campaign, nurses reported they felt significantly more experienced on the subject and more comfortable to recognize and initiate treatment for sepsis. After their training there was also a decrease in the number of patients who received incorrect screening (from 40.6% to 8.9%)(18).

Therefore, sepsis requires a holistic approach from the nurse, who must also know that standardized evaluations may not be the answer to this problem. However, as it is an increasing health problem, it is necessary to have trained teams, expert and able to act in effective manners. A constant search for knowledge and investment in training are essential to prevent, identify and fight against sepsis(17-18,22).

Prevention of healthcare associated infections is a central area in nursing care, in which nurses have a leading role. Not all of these infections can be avoided, but they can be prevented when health professionals adhere to good practices in the context of infection prevention and control(29). In this review, four articles highlighted the importance of the nurse in this context. Professionals must have detailed knowledge of the mechanisms of infection transmission: identify possible sources of contamination, use aseptic technique in invasive procedures, perform antisepsis of surfaces and equipment, prepare medication in appropriate environment, wear personal protective equipment when necessary and wash hands before and after any procedure(18-19,22).

The implementation of programs for infection prevention and control at hospitals and the creation of campaigns to raise awareness among health professionals and general population are measures that contribute to increase knowledge about safety and sepsis prevention.

**Study limitations**

The limitations are related to the fact that only EBSCO databases and one search engine (Google Scholar) were consulted and only articles in Portuguese or English were selected.

**Contributions to the area of nursing, health or public policy**

The nurse has a central role in the care for the critical patient with sepsis, with crucial importance in early identification and intervention.

Healthcare institutions should invest in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of training programs, ensuring quality care and, consequently, reducing morbidity and mortality. Thus, healthcare gains are expected to occur, reducing the economic and social impact of the disease.

The implementation of programs for infection prevention and control at hospitals and the creation of campaigns to raise awareness among health professionals and general population are measures that contribute to increase knowledge about safety and sepsis prevention.

Considering the results and the importance of this theme, it is necessary to enhance research on the autonomous interventions of nurses for critical patients with sepsis, since they are already present in the literature. The scarcity of literature also demonstrates the importance of continuing to carry out studies in this area, specifically primary studies.

**FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

With an increasing prevalence in hospitals, sepsis is currently a real public health problem. In this review, we reinforced the important role of nurses in the identification, prevention and control of sepsis in critical patients.

Benefits of the implementation of screening protocols/tools were identified, as these tools can help nurses to recognize the problem, ensuring safe, correct and targeted interventions. Early actions from nurses can improve the quality of life of patients with sepsis, minimizing the incidence of multiple organ dysfunction.

The integrative review of literature confirms that training has a decisive influence on practice and can lead to faster, assertive and confident interventions in all stages of sepsis, preventing disease progression. Up-to-date scientific and practical knowledge ensures quality care and, consequently, reduces morbidity, mortality, and the economic and social impact of the disease.

Detailed knowledge of the mechanisms of infection transmission is essential for sepsis prevention, as well as using personal protective equipment during all procedures. It is still necessary to continue investigating this topic, as it is an area in constant update. Therefore, this topic should still be discussed and debated in the scientific community.
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