

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

ADDUCTOR POLICIS MUSCLE: A NEW ANTHROPOMETRIC PARAMETER

Edson Braga Lameu, Mauricio Freitas Gerude, Regina Célia Corrêa and Keite Azevedo Lima

LAMEU EB et al. - Adductor pollicis muscle: a new anthropometric parameter. *Rev. Hosp. Clín. Fac. Med. S. Paulo* 59(2):57-62, 2004.

PURPOSE: To measure the thickness of adductor pollicis muscle in healthy adults. This measurement will be used as a nutritional anthropometric parameter in further studies.

SUBJECTS AND METHOD: Four hundred and twenty-one healthy adults were studied, 209 men and 212 women, with ages ranging from 18 to 87 years, living in Rio de Janeiro.

The adductor pollicis muscle was also studied in the human anatomy lab as well as in normal healthy volunteers using CAT scans and nuclear magnetic resonance imaging to ensure that only the adductor pollicis was included in measurement of muscle thickness with a Lange caliper.

To standardize the measurement, the methodology was detailed, with subjects sitting with the dominant hand dangling over the homolateral thigh and the elbow bent at approximately a 90° angle. The Lange caliper was applied at a pressure of 10 g/mm², pinching the adductor pollicis muscle at the vertex of an imaginary angle between the thumb and the index finger. The average of 3 consecutive measurements was considered to be the muscle thickness.

RESULTS: This study provides the first estimates of adductor pollicis thickness in normal healthy subjects as an anthropometric parameter. The normal values in the dominant hand for men were 12.5 ± 2.8 mm (mean ± SD), median 12 mm, and for women were 10.5 ± 2.3 mm, median 10 mm.

KEY WORDS: Adductor pollicis. Muscle atrophy. Malnutrition. Nutritional assessment. Anthropometry.

Technological evolution has enabled the development of several sophisticated devices for the analysis of body composition, but the high costs of such equipment limit their utilization in clinical practice¹. Thus, anthropometric and laboratory parameters, primarily circumferences and muscle areas, are still routinely used as measurements in nutritional assessment at the bedside².

Since there is no report in the literature of the thickness of the adductor pollicis muscle (APM) as an anthropometric parameter, but only references on dynamometry³, electric stimulation of the ulnar nerve⁴, and

acoustic myography of the thumb adductor⁵, we standardized the measurement of thickness of APM, correlating it with age, sex, frame size, and race.

SUBJECTS

The assessment involved 421 healthy adults, randomly selected among professors, graduate and undergraduate students, physicians, employ-

ees, and companions of outpatients of our university hospital and employees and companions of outpatients of the National Cancer Institute (INCa) during a period of 8 months.

Subjects were distributed into the following age groups: 18 to 25 years, 26 to 45 years, 46 to 65 years, and greater than 65 years.

The project was approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of our institution.

METHOD

Subjects underwent nutritional assessment using the parameters of the

From the Department of Medicine, Nutrology Service, Faculty of Medicine, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro - Rio de Janeiro/RJ, Brazil.

Received for publication on
March 26, 2003.

Classical Anthropometry and Subjective Global Assessment.

Classical anthropometry comprised height, actual, usual and ideal weight, body mass index (BMI), arm muscle circumference (AMC), total arm circumference (TAC), arm muscle area (AMA), triceps (TSF) and biceps (BSF) skin fold, arm fat area (AFA), and calf circumference (CC). Such measures were taken according to methods classically described by several authors⁶⁻¹¹.

Subjective global assessment was performed using the standard questionnaire described by Baker¹², classifying the subjects as eutrophic or mildly, moderately, or severely malnourished.

Measurement of Thickness of Adductor Pollicis Muscle (APM)

The technique of measurement of APM thickness was studied in detail through images obtained by CAT scans and magnetic resonance imaging and in anatomical specimens of the Department of Anatomy of Medical School, with the muscle gently pinched by the Lange caliper in the selected location to ensure that no other muscles were involved in the measurement.

It is worth mentioning that measuring the adductor muscle with a plastic adipometer was reason for disagreement among 4 examiners, who observed that the use of the Lange caliper is mandatory.

Through these methods, we concluded that the point we selected is virtually deprived of fat tissue and that it would be possible to take repetitive measurements of the total thickness of the muscle. Consequently, the following method was developed: With the subject sitting with the hand lying on the knee and with the elbow in angle of approximately 90° degrees over the homolateral lower limb, the

Lange caliper was used with a continuous pressure of 10 g/mm² to pinch the adductor muscle in the vertex of an imaginary triangle formed by the extension of thumb and index finger. The average of 3 measurements was considered to be the mean thickness of the adductor muscle.



Figure 1 - How to measure adductor pollicis muscle thickness.

The APM index (iAPM) was also assessed, which is defined as the relationship between the APM measured in millimeters and the height in square meters, computed using the same formula used for the calculation of BMI.

Statistical Analysis

The Student's *t* test was used to verify significant differences in anthropometric measurements between genders and also between whites and non-

whites. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the anthropometric measurements in the 3 levels of frame size. Tukey's test for multiple comparisons was applied to identify which body frame size levels differed from one another and analogically in the 4 age groups. The level of significance was set at 5%. Statistical analysis was processed by statistical software SAS® system.

RESULTS

Nutritional State of Subjects

The results in table 1 show that all assessed subjects were well nourished.

Measuring of thickness of APM and iAPM

The average thickness of APM for both genders was 11.50 ± 2.76 mm (mean ± SD) and average iMAP was 4.20 ± 1.01 (Table 1).

Assessment of APM and iAPM according to gender

Males had an average APM of 12.49 ± 2.85 mm and females an average of 10.53 ± 2.29 mm. The iAPM did not present variation in the values among genders.

Table 1 - General descriptive analysis of anthropometric measurements.

Variable	n	Mean	SE	SD	Median
Age	421	44.86	0.95	19.41	46
Height	421	1.66	0.00	0.10	1.65
Usual weight	421	67.81	0.59	12.19	67
Actual weight	421	67.85	0.59	12.15	67
Wrist	421	15.88	0.08	1.59	16
Ideal Weight	416	66.74	0.34	6.88	67
Body Mass Index	421	24.65	0.18	3.64	24.3
Triceps Skin Fold	421	15.52	0.38	7.77	15
Biceps Skin Fold	421	9.82	0.27	5.56	8.5
Total Arm Circumference	421	28.17	0.17	3.54	28
Arm Muscle Circumference	421	23.29	0.17	3.46	22.86
Arm Muscle Area	421	44.15	0.66	13.57	41.61
Arm Fat Area	421	20.00	0.53	10.77	17.36
Calf Circumference	421	35.40	0.18	3.65	36
Adductor Pollicis Muscle	421	11.50	0.13	2.76	11.0
Adductor Pollicis Muscle Index	421	4.20	0.05	1.01	4.1

Assessment of APM and iAPM according to race

There was no significant variation for means of APM and iAPM among the races.

Assessment of APM and iAPM according to frame size

Mean thickness of APM and iAPM is progressively higher in subjects with small, medium, and large frame sizes (Table 2).

Assessment of APM and iAPM according to age group and gender

Table 3 shows the results of APM and iAPM according to the age group, while tables 4 and 5 show muscle variations according to gender per age group.

DISCUSSION

Man is the only animal able to perform thumb opposition, and this move-

ment exists in all routine activities developed. Thus, the trophic state of the thumb adductor muscle can reflect an individual's labor activity. APM, as for every skeletal peripheral muscle, is also consumed during catabolism and atrophied by inactivity¹³. The loss of contractile function has already been studied by dynamometry³ and has been related to a higher prevalence of post-operative complications. Thus, not only is the reduction of muscle mass a

Table 2 - Descriptive analysis of adductor pollicis muscle according to frame size.

Variable	Frame Size	n	Mean	SE	SD	Median	Min	Max	P value	Significant differences
Adductor Pollicis Muscle										
	0	165	10.49	0.18	2.31	10	5	16	0.0001	0 ≠ 1 0 ≠ 2 1 ≠ 2
	1	179	11.95	0.20	2.67	12	6	21		
	2	76	12.66	0.36	3.13	13	6	22		
Adductor Pollicis Muscle Index										
	0	165	3.69	0.06	0.79	3.67	1.73	5.71	0.0001	0 ≠ 1 0 ≠ 2 1 ≠ 2
	1	179	4.40	0.07	0.95	4.41	2.4	7.26		
	2	76	4.87	0.12	1.07	4.89	2.13	7.79		

Table 3 - Descriptive analysis of adductor pollicis muscle and adductor pollicis muscle index according to age group.

Variable	Age Group	n	Mean	SE	SD	Median	Min	Max	P value	Significant differences
Adductor Pollicis Muscle										
	<= 25	106	10.69	0.25	2.57	10.5	6	21	0.0001	1 ≠ 2 1 ≠ 3 3 ≠ 4
	26-45	104	11.84	0.28	2.87	11.5	5	22		
	46-65	108	12.46	0.25	2.57	12	7	20		
	> 65	103	10.99	0.26	2.68	11	5	18		
Adductor Pollicis Muscle Index										
	<= 25	106	3.72	0.08	0.81	3.63	2.2	6.86	0.0001	1 ≠ 2 1 ≠ 3 1 ≠ 4 2 ≠ 3 3 ≠ 4
	26-45	104	4.26	0.11	1.11	3.92	1.95	7.79		
	46-65	108	4.61	0.09	0.95	4.52	2.83	7.12		
	> 65	103	4.23	0.10	0.97	4.41	1.73	6.02		

Table 4 - Descriptive analysis of adductor pollicis muscle according to age for males.

Variable	Age Group	n	Mean	SE	SD	Median	Min.	Max.	P value	Significant differences
Adductor Pollicis Muscle										
	<= 25	51	11.94	0.36	2.58	12	7	21	0.007	1 ≠ 3 3 ≠ 4
	26-45	52	12.82	0.42	3.00	12.25	8	22		
	46-65	54	13.41	0.35	2.58	13	8	20		
	> 65	52	11.73	0.41	2.95	12	5	18		
Adductor Pollicis Muscle Index										
	<= 25	51	3.85	0.12	0.83	3.76	2.34	6.86	0.001	1 ≠ 3
	26-45	52	4.27	0.16	1.16	3.92	2.36	7.79		
	46-65	54	4.62	0.13	0.99	4.41	2.83	7.12		
	> 65	52	4.21	0.14	1.01	4.3	1.73	6.02		

Table 5 - Descriptive analysis of adductor pollicis muscle and adductor pollicis muscle index.

Variable	Age Group	n	Mean	SE	SD	Median	Min.	Max	P value	Significant differences
Adductor Pollicis Muscle										
	<= 25	55	9.54	0.27	1.97	10	6	15	0.0001	1 ≠ 2 1 ≠ 3 3 ≠ 4
	26-45	52	10.86	0.33	2.37	10	5	16		
	46-65	54	11.52	0.30	2.20	12	7	18		
	> 65	51	10.24	0.30	2.16	10	5	13		
Adductor Pollicis Muscle Index										
	<= 25	55	3.60	0.11	0.78	3.47	2.2	6.09	0.0001	1 ≠ 2 1 ≠ 3 1 ≠ 4
	26-45	52	4.25	0.15	1.07	3.975	1.95	6.25		
	46-65	54	4.59	0.13	0.93	4.545	2.88	7.08		
	> 65	51	4.25	0.13	0.94	4.44	2.11	5.86		

prognostic parameter for complications in postoperative patients¹⁴ but the loss of muscle function is also a predictor of mortality in healthy subjects¹⁵.

Malnutrition causes decrease of contraction strength, relaxation rate, and increase of muscle fatigue of the adductor muscle of the thumb. Several functional and morphological alterations¹³ have been demonstrated in healthy adults related to temperature, neuromuscular blocking¹⁶, intensity of generated force, age¹⁷, gender¹⁸, race, perfusion pressure¹⁹, and nutritional state. However, in daily clinical practice, the routine utilization of electromyography or electric stimulation of the ulnar nerve is difficult, not only due to its high cost, but also because of the need for experience with the procedure.

Because the APM is flat and located between 2 bone structures, it is the only muscle allowing an adequate assessment of its thickness, since it presents a well-defined anatomic reference, and importantly, measurements are reproducible by other investigators.

The assessment of classical anthropometric parameters reflects the degree of malnutrition²⁰, but the alterations in such parameters appear only after a variable period of malnutrition. Functional alterations occur before anatomical alterations appear. Thus, all somatic modifications, although important for the diagnosis of chronic malnutrition, are not able to assess such condition in its acute stage²¹.

Likewise, the reduction of APM shows the loss of working life as a consequence to various clinical and surgical conditions, with intensity and duration varying according to the underlying morbid condition.

Considering only the APM and iAPM value for males and analyzing their variations among the different frame sizes, there was a progressive increase of the APM values with significant differences among small, medium, and large frame sizes. iMAP measurements produced the same results for males, with increasing values according to frame size.

Table 6 below shows normal values for APM using only 2 indexes, the

Z score and the percentage of median. The selection of these 2 indicators was based on their easy application in the clinical practice and their adequate capacity to assess extremes in the population²² (Table 6).

The APM value in the various age groups was always significantly higher in males, although the iMAP did not vary between genders in the various age groups. Thus, we would emphasize the importance of further characterization of the sex-related APM values.

The values expressed in Table 6 use the same criteria used by Janssen²³ to classify sarcopenia according to muscle mass assessed by bioimpedance. Subjects were considered to have a normal APM thickness if their value was greater than 1 standard deviation above the sex-specific mean, class I values were considered present in subjects whose APM was within 1 to 2 standard deviations of normal values, and class II with values of APM thickness below 2 standard deviations of normal mean value.

Percentages of the mean were similarly referred to in the description by

Table 6 - Adults standards for adductor pollicis muscle thickness.

Sex	Classification Type:		Standard Deviation (SD)			Percentages of Median		
	Mean	Median	Normal > 1 SD	Class I Between 1 and 2 SD	Class II < 2SD	Light >90%	Moderate 60-90%	Severe <60%
Male	12.5	12	> 9.5	7 – 9.5	< 7	> 11	11 – 7	< 7
Female	10.5	10	>8	8 – 6	< 6	> 9	9 - 6	< 6

Jelliffe⁹ regarding the TSF, in which light muscle loss was considered to be when the values are above 90% of the mean, moderate between 60% and 90%, and severe when the values are below 60% of the mean.

Although the variation of the mean APM was significant in males and females, the median values remained virtually unaltered in the different age groups, making the utilization of values corresponding to each age group unnecessary.

In our case study, AMP progressively increased with age up to 65 years and then it showed a clear decline (decrease in thickness). As to iMAP, the highest value of thickness

is also found in the 46-65 year age group, suggesting greater activity in such age group. These results demonstrate that after 65 years of age, in the absence of systemic diseases, neuropathies, or disuse, sarcopenia does not seem to contribute to the reduction of the adductor muscle mass with consequent functional disability. However, the APM mass in individuals over 65 years of age is sustained^{23,24}. As to the point selected for measuring the thickness, Kaufman²⁵ studied the isometric forces and electric activity generated by voluntary contraction in 7 muscles present in the thumb area. He demonstrated that the highest production of strength is obtained during flexion.

APM was measured in extension (angle >70%), preserving at maximum the anatomic architecture of adductor muscle.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The thickness of the adductor pollicis muscle was standardized in 421 healthy adult subjects, with results varying according to gender, age, and frame size. The mean for males was 12.5 mm and for females was 10.5 mm.

This parameter will be assessed in future works as a predictor of complications in clinical and surgical patients.

RESUMO

LAMEU EB e col. - Músculo adutor do polegar: um novo parâmetro antropométrico. **Rev. Hosp. Clín. Fac. Med. S. Paulo** 59(2):57-62, 2004.

OBJETIVO: Padronizar a medida da espessura do músculo adutor do polegar em adultos saudáveis, para futuras avaliações como parâmetro antropométrico.

INDIVÍDUOS E MÉTODOS: Foram estudados 421 adultos saudáveis, oriundos de vários municípios do Rio de Janeiro, de ambos os sexos e nas faixas etárias compreendidas entre 18

a 25 anos, 26 a 45 anos, 46 a 65 anos e mais de 65 anos. Após a confirmação pelos estudos radiológicos e anatômicos, concluindo que com a técnica empregada, nenhum outro músculo além do adutor do polegar foi incluído na medição, foi elaborado o seguinte método: Com o indivíduo sentado, mão dominante repousando sobre o joelho homolateral, cotovelo em ângulo de aproximadamente noventa graus sobre o membro inferior, foi utilizado o paquímetro de Lange exercendo uma pressão contínua de 10g/mm² para pinçar o músculo adutor no vértice de um ângulo imaginário for-

mado pela extensão do polegar e o dedo indicador. A média de três aferições foi considerada como a medida da espessura do músculo adutor.

RESULTADOS: A média obtida para a espessura do músculo adutor do polegar, na mão dominante, no sexo masculino foi de 12,5 ± 2,8 mm, com uma mediana de 12 mm e no sexo feminino foi de 10,5 ± 2,3 mm, com uma mediana de 10 mm.

UNITERMOS: Músculo adutor do polegar. Atrofia muscular. Desnutrição. Avaliação nutricional. Antropometria.

REFERENCES

1. Figueiredo FA, Dickson ER, Pasha TM, et al. Utility of standard nutritional parameters in detecting body cell and mass depletion in patients with end-stage liver disease. *Liver Transpl* 2000; 6:575-581.
2. Goulet O. Assessment of nutritional status in clinical practice. *Baillières Clin Gastroenterology* 1998; 12:647-669.
3. Webb AR, Newman LA, Taylor M, et al. Hand grip dynamometry as a predictor of postoperative complications reappraisal using age standardized grip strengths. *JPEN* 1989; 13:30-33.
4. DE Ruiter CJ, Jones DA, Sargeant AJ. The measurement of force/velocity relationships of fresh and fatigued human adductor pollicis muscle. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1999; 80:386-393.
5. Bellemare F, Couture J, Donati F. Temporal relation between acoustic and force responses at the adductor pollicis during nondepolarizing neuromuscular block. *Anesthesiology* 2000; 93:646-652.

6. Heymsfield SB, Mcmannus CB, Smith J. Anthropometric measurement of muscle. Revised equations for calculating bone-free arm muscle area. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1982; 36:680-687.
7. Hopkins B. Assessment of nutritional status. In: Gottschlich MM, Materese LE, Shrouts EP. *Nutrition Support Dietetics – Core Curriculum*. 2nd ed, ASPEN, 1993. p.15.
8. Garrow JS, Webster J. Quetelet's index (W/H²) as a measure of fatness. *Int J Obesity* 1985; 9:147-153.
9. Jelliffe DR. The assessment of nutritional status of the community. *Nutrition* 1997; 13:714-717.
10. Frisancho AR. New norms of upper limb fat muscle areas for assessment of nutritional status. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1981; 34:2540-2545.
11. Frisancho AR. New standards of weight and body composition by frame size and height for assessment of nutritional status of adults and the elderly. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1984; 40:808-820.
12. Baker JP, Detsky AS, Wesson DE. Nutritional assessment. A comparison of clinical judgment and objective measurements. *N Engl J Med* 1982; 306:969-972.
13. Dastur DK, Gagrat BM, Manghani DK. Human muscle in disuse atrophy. *Neurophathol Appl Neurobiol* 1979; 5:85-89.
14. Mullen JL, Gertner MH, Buzby GP, et al. Implications of malnutrition in the surgical patient. *Arch Surg* 1979; 114:121-125.
15. Metter EJ, Talbot LA, Schrager M, et al. Skeletal muscle strength as a predictor of all-cause mortality in healthy men. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2002; 57:B359-365.
16. Vandervoort AA. Aging of the human neuromuscular system. *Muscle Nerve* 2002; 25:17-25.
17. Tomlinson BE, Walton JN, Rebeiz JJ. The effects of ageing and cachexia upon skeletal muscle. A histopathological study. *J Neurol Sci* 1968; 9:321-326.
18. Ditor DS, Hicks AL. The effect of age and gender on the relative fatigability of the human adductor pollicis muscle. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* 2000; 78:781-790.
19. Wright JR, McCloskey DI, Fitzpatrick RC. Effects of muscle perfusion pressure on fatigue and systemic arterial pressure in human subjects. *J Appl Physiol* 1999; 86:845-851.
20. Blackburn LG, Bistrrian RB, Maini SB, et al. Nutritional and metabolic assessment of the hospitalized patient. *JPEN* 1977; 1:11-22.
21. Bray GA, Greenway FL, Molitch ME, et al. Use of anthropometric measures to assess weight loss. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1978; 31:769-773.
22. Gorstein J, Sullivan R, Yip R, et al. Issues in the assessment of nutritional status using anthropometry. *Bull World Health Organ* 1994; 72: 273-280.
23. Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Ross R. Low relative skeletal muscle mass (sarcopenia) in older persons is associated with functional impairment and physical disability. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002; 50:889-896.
24. Dionne IJ, Kinaman KA, Poehlman ET. Sarcopenia and muscle function during menopause and hormone-replacement therapy. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2000; 4:156-161.
25. Kaufman KR, An KN, Litchy WJ, et al. In-vivo function of the thumb muscles. *Clin Biomech* 1999; 14:141-150.